UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS TYLER DIVISION

BEDROCK COMPUTER TECHNOLOGIES LLC, Plaintiff, v.))) CASE NO. 6:09–CV–00269) Hon. Leonard E. Davis)			
SOFTLAYER TECHNOLOGIES, I al.,	NC. et)) JURY TRIAL DEMANDED)			
Defendants.)			
)			
DEFENDANT YAHOO! INC.'S PROPOSED VERDICT FORM				
In answering these questions, you are to follow all of the instructions I have given you in				
the Charge of Court.				
1. Did Bedrock prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Yahoo! infringes the following Claims of the '120 patent?				
Answer "Yes" or "No" for each Claim:				
Linux kernels versio (i.e., "CANDIDATE	•			
Claim 1	Claim 1			
Claim 2	Claim 2			

If you answered "Yes" to any of the Claims in question number 1, then proceed to question number 2. Otherwise, do not answer question number 2 and proceed directly to question number 3.

2.	Did Bedrock prove by clear and convincing evidence that Yahoo's infringement was willful?
	Answer "Yes" or "No."
3.	Did Yahoo! prove by a preponderance of the evidence that any of the listed Claims of the '120 patent are invalid as anticipated?
	If you find the Claim invalid as anticipated, answer "Yes," otherwise, answer "No."
Claim	1
Claim	2
4.	Did Yahoo! prove by a preponderance of the evidence that any of the listed Claims of the '120 patent are invalid as obvious?
	If you find the Claim invalid as obvious, answer "Yes," otherwise, answer "No."
Claim	1
Claim	2
	If you answered "Yes" to any of the Claims in question number 1 and "No" for all s in questions 3 and 4, then answer question number 5. Otherwise, do not answer on number 5.
5.	What sum of money, if paid now in cash, do you find from a preponderance of the evidence would fairly and reasonably compensate Bedrock for Yahoo's infringement of the '120 patent through the time of trial?
	Answer with the amount of money in dollars and cents.
	\$

The jury foreperson should sign and date the Verdict Form and return it to the Security Officer.		
SIGNED this day of	_, 2011.	
	JURY FOREPERSON	