EXHIBIT 15

```
 1                 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                   FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
 2                         TYLER DIVISION
 3
     BEDROCK COMPUTER            )
 4   TECHNOLOGIES LLC
                                          DOCKET NO. 6:09cv269
 5   -vs-                        )
                                          Tyler, Texas
 6                                        1:10 p.m.
     YAHOO!, INC.                )        April 29, 2011
 7
 8                        TRANSCRIPT OF TRIAL
                          AFTERNOON SESSION
 9           BEFORE THE HONORABLE LEONARD DAVIS,
                    UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
10
11                   A P P E A R A N C E S
12
     FOR THE PLAINTIFF:
13
     MR. DOUGLAS A. CAWLEY
14   MR. THEODORE STEVENSON, III
     MR. SCOTT W. HEJNY
15   MR. JASON D. CASSADY
     McKOOL SMITH
16   300 Crescent Court, Ste. 500
     Dallas, TX  75201
17
18   MR. ROBERT M. PARKER
     MR. ROBERT CHRISTOPHER BUNT
19   PARKER, BUNT & AINSWORTH
     100 E. Ferguson, Ste. 1114
20   Tyler, TX  75702
21
     COURT REPORTERS:
22
     MS. JUDY WERLINGER
23   MS. SHEA SLOAN
24
     Proceedings taken by Machine Stenotype; transcript was
25   produced by a Computer.
```

 1   QUESTION: Now, Mr. Kuznetsov, isn't it

 2   true, sir, that the Defendant's position can be

 3   difficult to defend, and you believe that they should

 4   seek an expert in loopholes of patent rules?

 5   ANSWER: Yes. I wrote that as well --

 6   no, actually, the situation was the following: When

 7   Alton Absher contacted me at the first contact, I didn't

 8   remember exactly about -- about my communication with

 9   Nathan Day from Softlayer, Inc. I didn't remember

10   details.

11   So I -- I took the version of kernel

12   dated by 1997, right before the patent -- the patent was

13   filed, the last kernel version before the patent was

14   filed. I looked to the source and found out that this

15   code actually doesn't collide with the patent -- does

16   not -- does not collide with the patent. That's what I

17   wrote there.

18   But, for a minute, I actually forgot

19   about that older code. I remembered about it only after

20   I read the mail from the communication with Nathan Day

21   dated a year ago. When I read it, I saw that actually I

22   told him that I have code dated by 1995, which directly

23   invalidates the patent.

24   QUESTION: Is it true, sir, that you

25   wrote to Mr. Absher stating the position can be