

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
TYLER DIVISION**

| | | |
|-----------------------------|---|------------------------------------|
| EOLAS TECHNOLOGIES, INC. | § | |
| | § | |
| Plaintiff, | § | |
| | § | |
| v. | § | CIVIL ACTION NO. 6:09-CV-446 (LED) |
| | § | |
| ADOBE SYSTEMS, INC. ET AL., | § | |
| | § | |
| Defendants. | § | |
| | § | |
| | § | |
| | § | |

**OPPOSED MOTION FOR LEAVE TO SERVE
DISCOVERY ON APPLE, INC., PATRICK HEYNEN, AND
LOS ALAMOS NATIONAL LABRATORY**

Defendants Adobe Systems Incorporated, Amazon.com, Inc., CDW LLC, Citigroup Inc., The Go Daddy Group, Inc., Google, Inc., J.C. Penney Corp., Inc., Yahoo! Inc., and YouTube LLC respectfully move for leave to serve discovery on Apple, Inc. (“Apple”), Apple employee Patrick Heynen¹, and Los Alamos National Laboratory (“LANL”) and would show the Court as follows:

Following the close of discovery, Defendants became aware of, or gained an appreciation for, information that is believed to be in the possession of Apple, Inc. and/or Los Alamos National Laboratory (“LANL”). Defendants believed that Apple had produced all relevant, responsive information pertaining to one of the prior art systems. Defendants had a common interest agreement with Apple, had reciprocally shared

¹ Defendants identify Mr. Heynen out of abundance of caution since they believe the subpoena to Apple, based on the positions of Apple and Eolas as understood by Defendants, should be sufficient to secure the information they are seeking.

information about the case, and identified Apple in their initial disclosures on their various witness lists. Moreover, Defendants recently learned that a current Apple employee, Patrick Heynen, who was not known to Defendants before this time, has specific, relevant, and responsive information pertaining to the distribution, use and demonstration of one of their prior art references called MediaView from his personal possession, but believed to be located in an office at Apple. Defendants requested this information from Apple, but were rebuffed by both Apple and Eolas based on the settlement agreement between Apple and Eolas, which prohibits Apple from assisting Defendants in any way. Defendants asked Eolas if it would release Apple from this provision—one that Defendants question both the validity and fairness of—but Eolas has not responded. Defendants request leave to serve a subpoena on Apple to obtain this information, as it appears based on the meet and confers between Defendants and Eolas that both Apple and Eolas agree that Apple may provide information to Defendants in response to a subpoena. Exhibit 1 contains the subpoena topics related to Apple that Defendants seek leave to serve.

With regard to LANL, Defendants had timely noticed a deposition of the Regents of the University of California (“Regents”) on LANL topics pertaining to the MediaView prior art. At the time MediaView was developed, LANL was managed by the Regents, and some of the MediaView materials have a Regents copyright mark. Without first informing Defendants, Apple and Eolas informed the Regents on July 20 at 11:01 Pacific time that Apple would not proceed with the noticed depositions of the Regents. *See* July 20, 2011 email exchange, a true and correct copy of which is attached as Exhibit 2. The Regents notified Defendants of this development at 2:44 Pacific time. *Id.* Counsel for

Adobe (Linhong Zhang), who traveled to California for the depositions, promptly called counsel for the Regents back to try and keep the depositions, or at least some of them, on calendar, but the Regents objected that the subpoena would need to be re-served. *Id.* Thus, the Regents position was that there was no properly noticed subpoena by Defendants. Exhibit 1 contains the subpoena topics Defendants seek leave to serve on LANL.

With the addition of Regents as a party, the depositions have been postponed due to additional production by Regents that is rolling in nature and objections by Plaintiffs to potentially subjecting the same witnesses to multiple depositions. Moreover, Defendants recently obtained from LANL a video of the MediaView prior art,² made during the time the Regents managed LANL, and wish to authenticate this and the MediaView materials from LANL directly given the delays that have been experienced obtaining discovery information from the Regents, the nearly 20 year time span between when the video was made and the likelihood, based on the Regents' initial disclosures, that they will not produce a witness who is able to authenticate the MediaView materials and their expert's recent position that they are of questionable provenance in his rebuttal report served on November 15, 2011. Eolas has indicated that it would not oppose discovery to authenticate [LANL92] and [LANL93], but otherwise object to the scope of the subpoena because they believe the Regents' witnesses should be able to cover the remaining topics.

See Exhibit 1.

² Defendants learned of the video on September 23, 2011 through a catalog released on October 31, 2010 by the Department of Energy pursuant to a Freedom of Information Act Request filed May 28, 2006. Upon learning of the catalog, which was republished online some time after October 31, 2010 by a third party, Defendants immediately requested the video from LANL and promptly produced what they received.

This motion is not made for delay, and should not impact any other deadline applicable to this case. Accordingly, the Defendants respectfully move the Court for leave to serve discovery on Apple, Inc., Patrick Heynen, and Los Alamos National Laboratory. Copies of the subpoena topics are attached as Exhibit 1.

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ Jennifer H. Doan

Jennifer H. Doan
Texas Bar No. 08809050
Joshua R. Thane
Texas Bar No. 24060713
Haltom & Doan
Crown Executive Center, Suite 100
6500 Summerhill Road
Texarkana, TX 75503
Telephone: (903) 255-1000
Facsimile: (903) 255-0800
Email: jdoan@haltomdoan.com
Email: jthane@haltomdoan.com

Jared Bobrow
Edward R. Reines
Sonal N. Mehta
Aaron Y. Huang
Andrew L. Perito
WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP
201 Redwood Shores Parkway
Redwood Shores, CA 94065
Telephone: (650) 802-3000
Facsimile: (650) 802-3100
Email: jared.bobrow@weil.com
Email: edward.reines@weil.com
Email: sonal.mehta@weil.com
Email: aaron.huang@weil.com
Email: andrew.perito@weil.com

**ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANTS
AMAZON.COM INC. and YAHOO!
INC.**

/s/ David J. Healey (w/ permission)

David J. Healey
healey@fr.com
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.
1 Houston Center
1221 McKinney Street, Suite 2800
Houston, TX 77010
Telephone: (713) 654-5300
Facsimile: (713) 652-0109

OF COUNSEL:

Frank E. Scherkenbach
scherkenbach@fr.com
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.
One Marina Park Drive
Boston, MA 02110-1878
Telephone: (617) 542-5070
Facsimile: (617) 542-8906

Jason W. Wolff
wolff@fr.com
Joseph P. Reid (*pro hac vice*)
reid@fr.com
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.
12390 El Camino Real
San Diego, CA 92130
Telephone: (858) 678-5070
Facsimile: (858) 678-5099

**ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT
ADOBE SYSTEMS INC.**

/s/ Thomas L. Duston (w/ permission)

Thomas L. Duston
tduston@marshallip.com
Anthony S. Gabrielson
agabrielson@marshallip.com
Scott A. Sanderson (*pro hac vice*)
ssanderson@marshallip.com
MARSHALL, GERSTEIN &
BORUN LLP
6300 Willis Tower
233 South Wacker Drive
Chicago, IL 60606-6357
Telephone: (312) 474-6300
Facsimile: (312) 474-0448

Eric H. Findlay (Bar No. 00789886)
efindlay@findlaycraft.com
Brian Craft (Bar No. 04972020)
bcraft@findlaycraft.com
FINDLAY CRAFT, LLP
6760 Old Jacksonville Highway
Suite 101
Tyler, TX 75703
Telephone: (903) 534-1100
Facsimile: (903) 534-1137

**ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT
CDW LLC**

/s/ Galyn Gafford (w/ permission)
Edwin R. DeYoung (Bar No. 05673000)
edeyoung@lockelord.com
Roy W. Hardin (Bar No. 08968300)
rhardin@lockelord.com
Roger Brian Cowie (Bar No. 00783886)
rcowie@lockelord.com
M. Scott Fuller (Bar No. 24036607)
sfuller@lockelord.com
Galyn Gafford (Bar No. 24040938)
ggafford@lockelord.com
LOCKE LORD BISSELL &
LIDDELL LLP
2200 Ross Avenue, Suite 2200
Dallas, TX 75201-6776
Telephone: (214) 740-8000
Facsimile: (214) 740-8800

Alexas D. Skucas (*pro hac vice*)
askucas@kslaw.com
KING & SPALDING LLP
1185 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10036-4003
Telephone: (212) 556-2100
Facsimile: (212) 556-2222

Eric L. Sophir (*pro hac vice*)
esophir@kslaw.com
KING & SPALDING LLP
1700 Pennsylvania Ave. NW, Suite 200
Washington, D.C. 20006-4707
Telephone: (202) 626-8980
Facsimile: (202) 626-3737

**ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT
CITIGROUP INC.**

/s/ Proshanto Mukherji (w/ permission)
Thomas M. Melsheimer (Bar No. 13922550)
txm@fr.com
Neil J. McNabney (Bar No. 24002583)
njm@fr.com
Carl E. Bruce (Bar No. 24036278)
ceb@fr.com
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.
1717 Main Street, Suite 5000
Dallas, TX 75201
Telephone: (214) 747-5070
Facsimile: (214) 747-2091

Proshanto Mukherji (*pro hac vice*)
pvm@fr.com
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.
One Marina Park Drive Boston, MA 02110-
1878
Telephone: (617) 542-5070
Facsimile: (617) 542-8906

**ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT
THE GO DADDY GROUP, INC.**

/s/ James R. Batchelder (w/ permission)
James R. Batchelder (*pro hac vice*)
james.batchelder@ropesgray.com
Sasha G. Rao (*pro hac vice*)
sasha.rao@ropesgray.com
Mark D. Rowland
mark.rowland@ropesgray.com
Brandon Stroy (*pro hac vice*)
brandon.stroy@ropesgray.com
Lauren N Robinson (*pro hac vice*)
lauren.robinson@ropesgray.com
Rebecca R. Hermes (*pro hac vice*)
rebecca.hermes@ropesgray.com
Han Xu (*pro hac vice*)
han.xu@ropesgray.com
ROPES & GRAY LLP
1900 University Avenue, 6th Floor
East Palo Alto, California 94303-2284
Telephone: (650) 617-4000
Facsimile: (650) 617-4090

Michael E. Jones (Bar No. 10929400)
mikejones@potterminton.com
Allen F. Gardner (Bar No. 24043679)
allengardner@potterminton.com
POTTER MINTON
A Professional Corporation
110 N. College, Suite 500
Tyler, TX 75702
Telephone: (903) 597-8311
Facsimile: (903) 593-0846

**ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT
GOOGLE INC.**

/s/ Christopher M. Joe (w/ permission)
Jeffrey K. Joyner (*pro hac vice*)
joynerj@gtlaw.com
Jeffrey F. Yee (*pro hac vice*)
yeej@gtlaw.com
GREENBERG TRAUERIG LLP
2450 Colorado Avenue, Suite 400E
Santa Monica, CA 90404
Telephone: (310) 586-7700
Facsimile: (310) 586-7800

Christopher M. Joe (Bar No. 00787770)
chrisjoe@bjciplaw.com
Brian Carpenter (Bar No. 03840600)
brian.carpenterb@bjciplaw.com
Eric W. Buether (Bar No. 03316880)
eric.buethere@bjciplaw.com
BUETHER JOE & CARPENTER, LLC
1700 Pacific, Suite 2390
Dallas, TX 75201
Telephone: (214) 466-1270
Facsimile: (214) 635-1842

**ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT
J.C. PENNEY CORPORATION**

/s/ James R. Batchelder (w/ permission)

James R. Batchelder (*pro hac vice*)

james.batchelder@ropesgray.com

Sasha G. Rao (*pro hac vice*)

sasha.rao@ropesgray.com

Mark D. Rowland

mark.rowland@ropesgray.com

Brandon Stroy (*pro hac vice*)

brandon.stroy@ropesgray.com

Lauren N Robinson (*pro hac vice*)

lauren.robinson@ropesgray.com

Rebecca R. Hermes (*pro hac vice*)

rebecca.hermes@ropesgray.com

Han Xu (*pro hac vice*)

han.xu@ropesgray.com

ROPES & GRAY LLP

1900 University Avenue, 6th Floor

East Palo Alto, California 94303-2284

Telephone: (650) 617-4000

Facsimile: (650) 617-4090

Michael E. Jones (Bar No. 10929400)

mikejones@potterminton.com

Allen F. Gardner (Bar No. 24043679)

allengardner@potterminton.com

POTTER MINTON

A Professional Corporation

110 N. College, Suite 500

Tyler, TX 75702

Telephone: (903) 597-8311

Facsimile: (903) 593-0846

**ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT
YOUTUBE, LLC**

CERTIFICATE OF CONFERENCE

The undersigned certifies that the parties have conferred by telephone at least twice on this matter, the most recent conference on November 28. Plaintiffs do not oppose portions of the relief requested, namely an authentication deposition of LANL regarding production materials [LANL92] and [LANL93] (*see* Exhibit 1), and the parties agreed that an attempt would be made to obtain authentication of these materials through a deposition on written questions or another mutually agreeable method that does not require the expense and logistics of a formal deposition. Defendants agree that it may be possible to postpone discovery on the LANL-Regents relationship (Exhibit 1, LANL Topic 3) if the Regents witness was sufficiently prepared to address topics beyond simply licensing, but Defendants prefer to have the follow-up discovery lined up now.

Plaintiffs oppose Defendants seeking leave to take the Media-View related discovery upon Apple, but offered to not oppose the motion for leave if Defendants agreed to allow Plaintiffs to take discovery from Apple related to Defendants' knowledge of the patents-in-suit and attempted design around efforts. Defendants do not object to Plaintiffs taking MediaView-related discovery upon Apple related to any information Apple provides responsive to Defendants' subpoena, but believe the discovery should not be broader. The parties could not come to an agreement and reached an impasse.

/s/ Joshua R. Thane
Joshua R. Thane

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that the foregoing document was filed electronically in compliance with Local Rule CV-5(a). All other counsel of record not deemed to have consented to electronic service were served with a true and correct copy of the foregoing by certified mail, return receipt requested, on this the 29th day of November, 2011.

/s/ Joshua R. Thane
Joshua R. Thane