

# EXHIBIT G



In answering these questions, you are to follow the instructions I have given you in the Charge of Court.

**INFRINGEMENT**

1. Did Eolas prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Go Daddy directly infringes any of the following asserted claims of the '985 patent?

Answer "Yes" or "No" to each question below.

<b>'985 Patent</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>
Claim 1		
Claim 3		
Claim 10		
Claim 16		
Claim 18		

2. Did Eolas prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Go Daddy has induced infringement of any of the following asserted claims of the '985 patent?

Answer "Yes" or "No" to each question below.

<b>'985 Patent</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>
Claim 1		
Claim 3		
Claim 10		
Claim 16		
Claim 18		

## INVALIDITY

3. Did Defendants prove by clear and convincing evidence that any of the patent claims asserted against Go Daddy are invalid?

Answer "Yes" or "No" to each question below. Your answer need not be the same for each question, but you may find that a claim is invalid on any or all of the bases that have been explained to you. Answer all questions for all claims regardless of whether you have found those claims were infringed.

<b>'985 Patent</b>	<b>Anticipation?</b>	<b>Obviousness?</b>	<b>Written description?</b>
Claim 1			
Claim 3			
Claim 10			
Claim 16			
Claim 18			

**CONTRACTUAL AND LICENSE DEFENSES**

4. Did defendant Go Daddy prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Plaintiffs' covenant not to sue Microsoft customers and end-users applies to Go Daddy's conduct at issue in this case?

**Please answer "Yes" or "No" in the spaces provided below**

Yes \_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_

5. Did defendant Go Daddy prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Plaintiffs' covenant not to sue Microsoft customers and end-users applies to visitors to Go Daddy's accused websites?

**Please answer "Yes" or "No" in the spaces provided below**

Yes \_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_

6. Did defendant Go Daddy prove by a preponderance of the evidence that its conduct at issue in this case is authorized under an implied license?

**Please answer "Yes" or "No" in the spaces provided below**

Yes \_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_

7. Did defendant Go Daddy prove by a preponderance of the evidence that visitors to its websites are authorized under an implied license?

**Please answer "Yes" or "No" in the spaces provided below**

Yes \_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_

8. Did defendant Go Daddy prove by a preponderance of the evidence that its conduct at issue in this case is authorized under the doctrine of patent exhaustion?

**Please answer "Yes" or "No" in the spaces provided below**

Yes \_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_

9. Did defendant Go Daddy prove by a preponderance of the evidence that visitors to its websites are authorized under the doctrine of patent exhaustion?

**Please answer "Yes" or "No" in the spaces provided below**

Yes \_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_

**INEQUITABLE CONDUCT**

10. Did Defendants prove by clear and convincing evidence that:

- a) Anyone involved in the prosecution of the '906 or '985 patents withheld material prior art from the Patent and Trademark Office?

**Please answer "Yes" or "No" in the spaces provided below**

Yes \_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_

- b) Any withholding of material prior art to the '906 or '985 patents from the Patent and Trademark Office was done with intent to deceive?

**Please answer "Yes" or "No" in the spaces provided below**

Yes \_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_

