EXHIBIT J

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

	§	
Eolas Technologies, Inc.,	§	
	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
VS.	§ §	
	§	Civil Action No. 6:09-cv-446-LED
Adobe Systems, Inc, Amazon.com, Inc.,	§	
Apple Inc., Argosy Publishing, Inc.,	§	
Blockbuster Inc., CDW Corp., Citigroup Inc.,	§	
eBay Inc., Frito-Lay, Inc., The Go Daddy	§	
Group, Inc., Google Inc., J.C. Penney	§	
Company, Inc., JPMorgan Chase & Co., New	§	
Frontier Media, Inc., Office Depot, Inc.,	§	
Playboy Enterprises International, Inc., Rent-A-	§	
Center, Inc., Staples, Inc., Sun Microsystems	§	
Inc., Texas Instruments Inc., Yahoo! Inc., and	§	
YouTube, LLC,	§	
	§	
Defendants.	§	
	§	

VERDICT FORM

In answering these questions, you are to follow the instructions I have given you in the Charge of Court.

INFRINGEMENT

1. Has Eolas shown by a preponderance of the evidence that Staples infringes directly or indirectly any of the following claims of the patents in suit based on the use of the interactive zoom on staples.com? Answer "Yes" or "No" for each claim.

'906 Patent	Yes	No
1 (independent)		
6 (independent)		

'985 Patent	Yes	No
1 (independent)		
16 (independent)		
20 (independent)		
2 (dependent)		
3 (dependent)		
8 (dependent)		
10 (dependent)		
11 (dependent)		
17 (dependent)		
18 (dependent)		
21 (dependent)		
22 (dependent)		

2. Has Eolas shown by a preponderance of the evidence that Staples infringes directly or indirectly any of the following claims of the patents in suit based on the use of the slideshow on staples.com? Answer "Yes" or "No" for each claim.

'906 Patent	Yes	No
1 (independent)		
6 (independent)		

'985 Patent	Yes	No
1 (independent)		
16 (independent)		
20 (independent)		
2 (dependent)		
3 (dependent)		
8 (dependent)		
10 (dependent)		
11 (dependent)		
17 (dependent)		
18 (dependent)		
21 (dependent)		
22 (dependent)		

3. Has Eolas shown by a preponderance of the evidence that Staples infringes directly or indirectly any of the following claims of the patents in suit based on the use of video on staples.com? Answer "Yes" or "No" for each claim.

'906 Patent	Yes	No
1 (independent)		
6 (independent)		

'985 Patent	Yes	No
1 (independent)		
16 (independent)		
20 (independent)		
2 (dependent)		
3 (dependent)		
8 (dependent)		
10 (dependent)		
11 (dependent)		
17 (dependent)		
18 (dependent)		
21 (dependent)		
22 (dependent)		

4. Has Eolas shown by a preponderance of the evidence that Staples infringes directly or indirectly any of the following claims of the patents in suit based on the use of autocomplete on staples.com? Answer "Yes" or "No" for each claim.

'985 Patent	Yes	No
36 (independent)		
40 (independent)		

5. Has Eolas shown by a preponderance of the evidence that Staples infringes directly or indirectly any of the following claims of the patents in suit based on the use of the carousel on staples.com? Answer "Yes" or "No" for each claim.

'985 Patent	Yes	No
36 (independent)		
40 (independent)		
37 (dependent)		
38 (dependent)		
39 (dependent)		
41 (dependent)		
42 (dependent)		
43 (dependent)		

6. Has Eolas shown by a preponderance of the evidence that Staples infringes directly or indirectly any of the following claims of the patents in suit based on the use of the product tour on order.staplesadvantage.com? Answer "Yes" or "No" for each claim.

'985 Patent	Yes	No
1 (independent)		
16 (independent)		

20 (independent)	
2 (dependent)	
3 (dependent)	
8 (dependent)	
10 (dependent)	
11 (dependent)	
17 (dependent)	
18 (dependent)	
21 (dependent)	
22 (dependent)	

7. Has Eolas shown by a preponderance of the evidence that Staples infringes directly or indirectly any of the following claims of the patents in suit based on the use of the product viewer on order.staplesadvantage.com? Answer "Yes" or "No" for each claim.

'985 Patent	Yes	No
1 (independent)		
16 (independent)		
20 (independent)		
36 (independent)		
40 (independent)		
2 (dependent)		
3 (dependent)		
8 (dependent)		
10 (dependent)		

11 (dependent)		
17 (dependent)		
18 (dependent)		
21 (dependent)		
22 (dependent)		
37 (dependent)		
38 (dependent)		
39 (dependent)		
41 (dependent)		
42 (dependent)		
43 (dependent)		

8. Has Eolas shown by a preponderance of the evidence that Staples infringes directly or indirectly any of the following claims of the patents in suit based on the weeklyad.staples.com website? Answer "Yes" or "No" for each claim.

'906 Patent	Yes	No
1 (independent)		
6 (independent)		

'985 Patent	Yes	No	
1 (independent)			
16 (independent)			
20 (independent)			
2 (dependent)			

3 (dependent)		
8 (dependent)		
10 (dependent)		
11 (dependent)		
17 (dependent)		
18 (dependent)		
21 (dependent)		
22 (dependent)		

9. Has Eolas shown by a preponderance of the evidence that Staples infringes directly or indirectly any of the following claims of the patents in suit based on the media.staples.com website? Answer "Yes" or "No" for each claim.

'906 Patent	Yes	No
1 (independent)		
6 (independent)		

'985 Patent	Yes	No
1 (independent)		
16 (independent)		
20 (independent)		
2 (dependent)		
3 (dependent)		
8 (dependent)		
10 (dependent)		

11 (dependent)	
17 (dependent)	
18 (dependent)	
21 (dependent)	
22 (dependent)	

10. Has Eolas shown by a preponderance of the evidence that Staples infringes directly or indirectly any of the following independent claims of the patents in suit based on the staplesadvantagecatalogs.com website? Answer "Yes" or "No" for each claim.

'906 Patent	Yes	No
1 (independent)		
6 (independent)		

'985 Patent	Yes	No
1 (independent)		
16 (independent)		
20 (independent)		
36 (independent)		
40 (independent)		
2 (dependent)		
3 (dependent)		
8 (dependent)		
10 (dependent)		
11 (dependent)		

17 (dependent)	
18 (dependent)	
21 (dependent)	
22 (dependent)	
37 (dependent)	
38 (dependent)	
39 (dependent)	
41 (dependent)	
42 (dependent)	
43 (dependent)	

INVALIDITY

5. Has Staples shown by clear and convincing evidence that any of the following claims are invalid?

'906 Patent	Yes	No
1 (independent)		
6 (independent)		

'985 Patent	Yes	No
1 (independent)		
16 (independent)		
20 (independent)		
36 (independent)		
40 (independent)		
2 (dependent)		
3 (dependent)		
8 (dependent)		
10 (dependent)		
11 (dependent)		
17 (dependent)		
18 (dependent)		
21 (dependent)		
22 (dependent)		
37 (dependent)		

38 (dependent)		
39 (dependent)		
41 (dependent)		
42 (dependent)		
43 (dependent)		
	,	

INEQUITABLE CONDUCT

Has Staples proven by clear and convincing evidence that anyone involved in the prosecution of the '906 or '985 patents withheld material prior art from the Patent and Trademark Office with intent to deceive?

Please ans	wer "Yes" or "No" in the spaces provided below	
Yes	No	

DAMAGES

ANSWER THIS QUESTION ONLY FOR ANY ASSERTED CLAIMS YOU FOUND BOTH INFRINGED AND NOT INVALID. IF YOU FOUND NO CLAIMS INFRINGED AND NOT INVALID, PLEASE DO NOT ANSWER THIS QUESTION.

Has Eolas proven to a reasonable certainty that it is entitled to damages?

Please answer "Yes" or "No" in the spaces provided below				
	Yes No			
	swer is yes, what sum of money do you find would fairly and reasonably compensa Staples' infringement of the patent claims that you have found were infringed and n			
	\$			