

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
TYLER DIVISION**

<b>Eolas Technologies Incorporated,</b>	§	
	§	
<b>Plaintiff,</b>	§	<b>Civil Action No. 6:09-CV-00446-LED</b>
	§	
<b>vs.</b>	§	
	§	
<b>Adobe Systems Inc., Amazon.com, Inc.,</b>	§	<b>JURY TRIAL</b>
<b>Apple Inc., Argosy Publishing, Inc.,</b>	§	
<b>Blockbuster Inc., CDW Corp.,</b>	§	
<b>Citigroup Inc., eBay Inc., Frito-Lay, Inc.,</b>	§	
<b>The Go Daddy Group, Inc., Google Inc.,</b>	§	
<b>J.C. Penney Company, Inc., JPMorgan</b>	§	
<b>Chase &amp; Co., New Frontier Media, Inc.,</b>	§	
<b>Office Depot, Inc., Perot Systems Corp.,</b>	§	
<b>Playboy Enterprises International, Inc.,</b>	§	
<b>Rent-A-Center, Inc., Staples, Inc., Sun</b>	§	
<b>Microsystems Inc., Texas Instruments Inc.,</b>	§	
<b>Yahoo! Inc., and YouTube, LLC</b>	§	
	§	
<b>Defendants.</b>	§	

**ORDER**

The Court, having considered the parties’ briefing and argument regarding Defendants’ Supplemental Motions in Limine Regarding the Invalidity Trial [Dkt. No. 1273], finds that supplemental limine numbers 1 and 2 should be denied and that supplemental limine number 3 should be granted, in part.

It is, therefore, hereby ordered that supplemental limine numbers 1 and 2 in Defendants’ Supplemental Motions in Limine Regarding the Invalidity Trial are denied and supplemental limine number 3 is granted, in part. The parties are precluded from presenting any argument, evidence, testimony, or making reference to prior litigation that involves any party or counsel currently involved in this case except for impeachment purposes.