EXHIBIT H

1

		Page
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
2	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS	
3	TYLER DIVISION	
4		
5	EOLAS TECHNOLOGIES	
	INCORPORATED,	
6		
	Plaintiff,	
7		
	vs. Civil Act	ion No.
8		
	ADOBE SYSTEMS INC., et al., 6:09-CV-0)0446-LED
9		
	Defendants.	
10	/	
11 12		
13		
14	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES	ONLY
15	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF DAVID FILO	
16	REDWOOD SHORES, CALIFORNIA	
17	TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 29, 2011	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22	BY: ANDREA M. IGNACIO HOWARD, CSR, RPR, CCF	R CI.R
23	CSR LICENSE NO. 9830	
24	JOB NO. 44280	
25		

	Page 2		Page 3
1	NOVEMBER 29, 2011	1	A P P E A R A N C E S:
2	9:02 a.m.	2	
3		3	FOR THE PLAINTIFF:
4		4	MCKOOL SMITH, Esq.
5		5	By: JOSH BUDWIN, Esq.
6	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF DAVID FILOK, taken at	6	300 West 6th Street
7	WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES, LLP 201 Redwood	7	Austin, Texas 78701
8	Shores Parkway, Redwood Shores, California,	8	
9	pursuant to Notice, before me, ANDREA M. IGNACIO	9	
10	HOWARD, CLR, CCRR, RPR, CSR License No. 9830.	10	
11		11	FOR THE DEFENDANT YAHOO!:
12		12	HALTOM DOAN, Esq.
13		13	By: JENNIFER HALTOM DOAN, Esq.
14		14	6500 Summerhill Road
15		15	Texarkana, Texas 75503
16		16	
17		17	
18		18	ALSO PRESENT: Aric Kerhoulas, Videographer.
19		19	2
20		20	oOo
21 22		21	
22 23		22	
23 24		23 24	
24 25		24 25	
	Page 4	2.5	Page 5
1	REDWOOD SHORES, CALIFORNIA 09:00	1	behalf of Eolas and UC, and with me on the phone is 09:02
2	TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 29, 2011 09:00	2	Lindsay Martin and Kevin Burgess. 09:02
3	9:02 a m. 09:01	3	MS. DOAN: Jennifer Doan, Haltom & Doan, for 09:03 Yahoo. 09:03
4 5	09:01 09:01	45	Yahoo. 09:03 MR. KRAMER: Kevin Kramer from Yahoo. 09:03
6	09:01	6	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The court reporter will 09:03
7	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. 09:01	7	please swear in the witness, and we can proceed. 09:03
8	This marks the beginning of Disc 1 of the 09:01	8	please swear in the writess, and we can proceed. 05.05
9	videotaped deposition of David Filo. In the matter 09:01	9	DAVID FILO,
10	Eolas Technologies, Incorporated, versus Adobe 09:01	10	having been sworn as a witness,
11	Systems, Incorporated, et al. 09:01	11	by the Certified Shorthand Reporter,
12	In the United States District Court, for the 09:02	12	testified as follows:
13	Eastern District of Texas, Tyler Division. Case 09:02	13	
14	No. 6:09-CV-446. 09:02	14	
15	This deposition is being held at the office 09:02	15	EXAMINATION BY MR. BUDWIN 09:03
16	of Weil Gotshal & Manges, at 201 Redwood Shores 09:02	16	MR. BUDWIN: Q. Good morning, Mr. Filo. 09:03
17	Parkway, in Redwood Shores, California. 09:02	17	A Good morning. 09:03
18	The date today is November 29th, 2011, and 09:02	18	Q Can you state your full name, please. 09:03
19	the time is 9:02. 09:02	19	A David Filo. 09:03
20	My name is Aric Kerhoulas, from TSG 09:02	20	Q Middle name, middle initial? 09:03
21	Reporting, Incorporated. Our court reporter is Andrea 09:02	21	A Robert. 09:03
22	Ignacio, in association with TSG. 09:02	22	Q And where are you currently employed, 09:03
23	Will counsel please introduce yourself for 09:02	23	Mr. Filo? 09:03
24	the record. 09:02	24	A Yahoo. 09:03
25	MR. BUDWIN: Josh Budwin of McKool Smith on 09:02	25	Q And what's your current address? 09:03

	Page 6		Page 7
1	A 1008 Bryant Street, Palo Alto, California. 09:03	1	A I think twice. 09:04
2	Q Mr. Filo, you understand that you've been 09:03	2	Q And was one of those depositions in the 09:04
3	placed under oath here today? 09:03	3	Bedrock case? 09:04
4	A Yes. 09:03	4	A Yes. 09:04
5	Q And that the testimony you're about to give 09:03	5	Q What was the other one? 09:04
6	has the same legal effect as if you were appearing 09:03	6	A It was a number of years ago. It was in a 09:04
7	live in a court of law? 09:04	7	case involving, I think, Yahoo Cake Company or 09:04
8	A Yes. 09:04	8	something like that. 09:04
9	Q And that the penalties of perjury apply 09:04	9	Q Was that a patent case? 09:04
10	equally to the deposition today as they would if you 09:04	10	A No, it wasn't. 09:04
11	were appearing live? 09:04	11	Q How many years ago? 09:04
12	A Yes. 09:04	12	A More than ten years ago. 09:04
13	Q Are you under the influence of any drugs or 09:04	13	Q Okay. Have you ever testified live in a 09:04
14	alcohol today? 09:04	14	court of law? 09:04
15	A No. 09:04	15	A Yes. 09:04
16	Q Is there any reason that you can't answer my 09:04	16	Q How many times? 09:04
17	questions truthfully and accurately? 09:04	17	A Once. 09:05
18	A No. 09:04	18	Q Was that the Bedrock case? 09:05
19	Q And do you have any medical conditions that 09:04	19	A Yes. 09:05
20	would impact your ability to recall past events? 09:04	20	Q Now, you understand that your testimony is 09:05
21	A No. 09:04	21	being transcribed by the court reporter? 09:05
22	Q Mr. Filo, have you had your deposition taken 09:04	22	A Yes. 09:05
23	before? 09:04	23	Q And because of that, we need to have verbal 09:05
24	A Yes. 09:04	24	answers to all the questions. No head nods, or 09:05
25	Q Okay. How many times? 09:04	25	shakes, or "uh-huhs," or things of that nature. 09:05
	Page 8		Page 9
1	Page 8 A Yes. 09:05	1	Page 9 that you worked on or had seen in the past? 09:06
1 2		1 2	
	A Yes. 09:05 Q Now, even though all of my questions will be 09:05 perfectly formed, there may be times when your counsel 09:05	2	that you worked on or had seen in the past? 09:06
2	AYes.09:05QNow, even though all of my questions will be09:05perfectly formed, there may be times when your counsel09:05will still object to my questions, and she'll say09:05	2	that you worked on or had seen in the past?09:06AI think at that time I probably recalled what09:06
2 3	A Yes. 09:05 Q Now, even though all of my questions will be 09:05 perfectly formed, there may be times when your counsel 09:05 will still object to my questions, and she'll say 09:05 things like "Objection; form." 09:05	2 3	that you worked on or had seen in the past?09:06A I think at that time I probably recalled what09:06was the Eolas-Microsoft issue from early 2000s.09:06
2 3 4	A Yes. 09:05 Q Now, even though all of my questions will be 09:05 perfectly formed, there may be times when your counsel 09:05 will still object to my questions, and she'll say 09:05 things like "Objection; form." 09:05 Unless you're instructed not to answer my 09:05	2 3 4	that you worked on or had seen in the past?09:06AI think at that time I probably recalled what09:06was the Eolas-Microsoft issue from early 2000s.09:06QWere you surprised that Eolas had filed suit09:06
2 3 4 5	A Yes. 09:05 Q Now, even though all of my questions will be 09:05 perfectly formed, there may be times when your counsel 09:05 will still object to my questions, and she'll say 09:05 things like "Objection; form." 09:05 Unless you're instructed not to answer my 09:05 question, you understand you're required to answer; 09:05	2 3 4 5	that you worked on or had seen in the past?09:06AI think at that time I probably recalled what09:06was the Eolas-Microsoft issue from early 2000s.09:06QWere you surprised that Eolas had filed suit09:06against Yahoo?09:06AYes.09:06QWhy?09:06
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A Yes. 09:05 Q Now, even though all of my questions will be 09:05 perfectly formed, there may be times when your counsel 09:05 will still object to my questions, and she'll say 09:05 things like "Objection; form." 09:05 Unless you're instructed not to answer my 09:05	2 3 4 5 6	that you worked on or had seen in the past?09:06AI think at that time I probably recalled what09:06was the Eolas-Microsoft issue from early 2000s.09:06QWere you surprised that Eolas had filed suit09:06against Yahoo?09:06AYes.09:06QWhy?09:06AWell, just what I knew about small you09:06
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A Yes. 09:05 Q Now, even though all of my questions will be 09:05 perfectly formed, there may be times when your counsel 09:05 will still object to my questions, and she'll say 09:05 things like "Objection; form." 09:05 Unless you're instructed not to answer my 09:05 question, you understand you're required to answer; 09:05 right? 09:05 A Yes. 09:05	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that you worked on or had seen in the past?09:06AI think at that time I probably recalled what09:06was the Eolas-Microsoft issue from early 2000s.09:06QWere you surprised that Eolas had filed suit09:06against Yahoo?09:06AYes.09:06QWhy?09:06AWell, just what I knew about small you09:06telling me about the Microsoft-Eolas issue had a09:07
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A Yes. 09:05 Q Now, even though all of my questions will be 09:05 perfectly formed, there may be times when your counsel 09:05 will still object to my questions, and she'll say 09:05 things like "Objection; form." 09:05 Unless you're instructed not to answer my 09:05 question, you understand you're required to answer; 09:05 right? 09:05 A Yes. 09:05 Q Now, do you have an understanding that you're 09:05	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	that you worked on or had seen in the past? 09:06 A I think at that time I probably recalled what 09:06 was the Eolas-Microsoft issue from early 2000s. 09:06 Q Were you surprised that Eolas had filed suit 09:06 against Yahoo? 09:06 A Yes. 09:06 Q Why? 09:06 A Well, just what I knew about small you 09:06 telling me about the Microsoft-Eolas issue had a 09:07 little you know, I was under the impression that it 09:07
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A Yes. 09:05 Q Now, even though all of my questions will be 09:05 perfectly formed, there may be times when your counsel 09:05 will still object to my questions, and she'll say 09:05 things like "Objection; form." 09:05 Unless you're instructed not to answer my 09:05 question, you understand you're required to answer; 09:05 right? 09:05 A Yes. 09:05 Q Now, do you have an understanding that you're 09:05 here today because my client, Eolas and the University 09:05	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	that you worked on or had seen in the past? 09:06 A I think at that time I probably recalled what 09:06 was the Eolas-Microsoft issue from early 2000s. 09:06 Q Were you surprised that Eolas had filed suit 09:06 against Yahoo? 09:06 A Yes. 09:06 Q Why? 09:06 A Well, just what I knew about small you 09:06 telling me about the Microsoft-Eolas issue had a 09:07 little you know, I was under the impression that it 09:07 was a browser technology issue and that it was more of 09:07
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	AYes.09:05QNow, even though all of my questions will be09:05perfectly formed, there may be times when your counsel09:05will still object to my questions, and she'll say09:05things like "Objection; form."09:05Unless you're instructed not to answer my09:05question, you understand you're required to answer;09:05right?09:05QNow, do you have an understanding that you'reQNow, do you have an understanding that you're09:05of California, filed a patent infringement case09:0509:05	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	that you worked on or had seen in the past? 09:06 A I think at that time I probably recalled what 09:06 was the Eolas-Microsoft issue from early 2000s. 09:06 Q Were you surprised that Eolas had filed suit 09:06 against Yahoo? 09:06 A Yes. 09:06 Q Why? 09:06 A Well, just what I knew about small you 09:06 telling me about the Microsoft-Eolas issue had a 09:07 little you know, I was under the impression that it 09:07 was a browser technology issue and that it was more of 09:07 a Microsoft-type thing and didn't was surprised 09:07
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	AYes.09:05QNow, even though all of my questions will be09:05perfectly formed, there may be times when your counsel09:05will still object to my questions, and she'll say09:05things like "Objection; form."09:05Unless you're instructed not to answer my09:05question, you understand you're required to answer;09:05right?09:05QNow, do you have an understanding that you'reQNow, do you have an understanding that you'reof California, filed a patent infringement case09:05against Yahoo and several other companies?09:05	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that you worked on or had seen in the past? 09:06 A I think at that time I probably recalled what 09:06 was the Eolas-Microsoft issue from early 2000s. 09:06 Q Were you surprised that Eolas had filed suit 09:06 against Yahoo? 09:06 A Yes. 09:06 Q Why? 09:06 A Well, just what I knew about small you 09:06 telling me about the Microsoft-Eolas issue had a 09:07 little you know, I was under the impression that it 09:07 was a browser technology issue and that it was more of 09:07 a Microsoft-type thing and didn't was surprised 09:07 that web publishers would be would be they'd be 09:07
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A Yes. 09:05 Q Now, even though all of my questions will be 09:05 perfectly formed, there may be times when your counsel 09:05 will still object to my questions, and she'll say 09:05 things like "Objection; form." 09:05 Unless you're instructed not to answer my 09:05 question, you understand you're required to answer; 09:05 right? 09:05 A Yes. 09:05 Q Now, do you have an understanding that you're 09:05 here today because my client, Eolas and the University 09:05 of California, filed a patent infringement case 09:05 against Yahoo and several other companies? 09:05 A Yes. 09:05	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that you worked on or had seen in the past? 09:06 A I think at that time I probably recalled what 09:06 was the Eolas-Microsoft issue from early 2000s. 09:06 Q Were you surprised that Eolas had filed suit 09:06 against Yahoo? 09:06 A Yes. 09:06 Q Why? 09:06 A Well, just what I knew about small you 09:06 telling me about the Microsoft-Eolas issue had a 09:07 little you know, I was under the impression that it 09:07 was a browser technology issue and that it was more of 09:07 a Microsoft-type thing and didn't was surprised 09:07 that web publishers would be would be they'd be 09:07 going after web publishers. 09:07
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A Yes. 09:05 Q Now, even though all of my questions will be 09:05 perfectly formed, there may be times when your counsel 09:05 will still object to my questions, and she'll say 09:05 things like "Objection; form." 09:05 Unless you're instructed not to answer my 09:05 question, you understand you're required to answer; 09:05 right? 09:05 A Yes. 09:05 Q Now, do you have an understanding that you're 09:05 here today because my client, Eolas and the University 09:05 of California, filed a patent infringement case 09:05 against Yahoo and several other companies? 09:05 A Yes. 09:05 Q And when did you first become aware of this 09:05	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that you worked on or had seen in the past? 09:06 A I think at that time I probably recalled what 09:06 was the Eolas-Microsoft issue from early 2000s. 09:06 Q Were you surprised that Eolas had filed suit 09:06 against Yahoo? 09:06 A Yes. 09:06 Q Why? 09:06 A Well, just what I knew about small you 09:06 telling me about the Microsoft-Eolas issue had a 09:07 little you know, I was under the impression that it 09:07 was a browser technology issue and that it was more of 09:07 a Microsoft-type thing and didn't was surprised 09:07 that web publishers would be would be they'd be 09:07 going after web publishers. 09:07 Q Now, I don't want to get into the substance 09:07
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	AYes.09:05QNow, even though all of my questions will be09:05perfectly formed, there may be times when your counsel09:05will still object to my questions, and she'll say09:05things like "Objection; form."09:05Unless you're instructed not to answer my09:05question, you understand you're required to answer;09:05right?09:05QNow, do you have an understanding that you're09:05QNow, do you have an understanding that you're09:05of California, filed a patent infringement case09:05against Yahoo and several other companies?09:05AYes.09:05QAnd when did you first become aware of this09:05litigation?09:06	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that you worked on or had seen in the past? 09:06 A I think at that time I probably recalled what 09:06 was the Eolas-Microsoft issue from early 2000s. 09:06 Q Were you surprised that Eolas had filed suit 09:06 against Yahoo? 09:06 A Yes. 09:06 Q Why? 09:06 A Well, just what I knew about small you 09:06 telling me about the Microsoft-Eolas issue had a 09:07 little you know, I was under the impression that it 09:07 was a browser technology issue and that it was more of 09:07 a Microsoft-type thing and didn't was surprised 09:07 that web publishers would be they'd be 09:07 going after web publishers. 09:07 Q Now, I don't want to get into the substance 09:07 of any communications you've had with counsel, whether 09:07
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	AYes.09:05QNow, even though all of my questions will be09:05perfectly formed, there may be times when your counsel09:05will still object to my questions, and she'll say09:05things like "Objection; form."09:05Unless you're instructed not to answer my09:05question, you understand you're required to answer;09:05right?09:05QNow, do you have an understanding that you're09:05QNow, do you have an understanding that you're09:05of California, filed a patent infringement case09:05against Yahoo and several other companies?09:05QAnd when did you first become aware of this09:05Itigation?09:06ASome time earlier this year.09:06	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that you worked on or had seen in the past? 09:06 A I think at that time I probably recalled what 09:06 was the Eolas-Microsoft issue from early 2000s. 09:06 Q Were you surprised that Eolas had filed suit 09:06 against Yahoo? 09:06 A Yes. 09:06 Q Why? 09:06 A Well, just what I knew about small you 09:06 telling me about the Microsoft-Eolas issue had a 09:07 little you know, I was under the impression that it 09:07 was a browser technology issue and that it was more of 09:07 a Microsoft-type thing and didn't was surprised 09:07 that web publishers would be they'd be 09:07 going after web publishers. 09:07 Q Now, I don't want to get into the substance 09:07 that's Ms. Doan or inside counsel at Yahoo, but did 09:07
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	AYes.09:05QNow, even though all of my questions will be09:05perfectly formed, there may be times when your counsel09:05will still object to my questions, and she'll say09:05things like "Objection; form."09:05Unless you're instructed not to answer my09:05question, you understand you're required to answer;09:05right?09:05QNow, do you have an understanding that you'reof California, filed a patent infringement case09:05against Yahoo and several other companies?09:05AYes.09:05QAnd when did you first become aware of this09:05Itigation?09:06ASome time earlier this year.09:06QEarlier in 2011?09:06	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that you worked on or had seen in the past? 09:06 A I think at that time I probably recalled what 09:06 was the Eolas-Microsoft issue from early 2000s. 09:06 Q Were you surprised that Eolas had filed suit 09:06 against Yahoo? 09:06 A Yes. 09:06 Q Why? 09:06 A Well, just what I knew about small you 09:06 telling me about the Microsoft-Eolas issue had a 09:07 little you know, I was under the impression that it 09:07 was a browser technology issue and that it was more of 09:07 a Microsoft-type thing and didn't was surprised 09:07 that web publishers would be they'd be 09:07 going after web publishers. 09:07 Q Now, I don't want to get into the substance 09:07 of any communications you've had with counsel, whether 09:07 that's Ms. Doan or inside counsel at Yahoo, but did 09:07 you meet with counsel to prepare for the deposition 09:07
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	AYes.09:05QNow, even though all of my questions will be09:05perfectly formed, there may be times when your counsel09:05will still object to my questions, and she'll say09:05things like "Objection; form."09:05Unless you're instructed not to answer my09:05question, you understand you're required to answer;09:05right?09:05QNow, do you have an understanding that you'reof California, filed a patent infringement case09:05against Yahoo and several other companies?09:05AYes.09:05QAnd when did you first become aware of this09:05Itigation?09:06ASome time earlier this year.09:06A2011, yes.09:06	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	that you worked on or had seen in the past? 09:06 A I think at that time I probably recalled what 09:06 was the Eolas-Microsoft issue from early 2000s. 09:06 Q Were you surprised that Eolas had filed suit 09:06 against Yahoo? 09:06 A Yes. 09:06 A Yes. 09:06 A Well, just what I knew about small you 09:06 telling me about the Microsoft-Eolas issue had a 09:07 little you know, I was under the impression that it 09:07 was a browser technology issue and that it was more of 09:07 a Microsoft-type thing and didn't was surprised 09:07 that web publishers would be they'd be 09:07 going after web publishers. 09:07 Q Now, I don't want to get into the substance 09:07 of any communications you've had with counsel, whether 09:07 that's Ms. Doan or inside counsel at Yahoo, but did 09:07 you meet with counsel to prepare for the deposition 09:07 today? 09:07
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A Yes. 09:05 Q Now, even though all of my questions will be 09:05 perfectly formed, there may be times when your counsel 09:05 will still object to my questions, and she'll say 09:05 things like "Objection; form." 09:05 Unless you're instructed not to answer my 09:05 question, you understand you're required to answer; 09:05 right? 09:05 A Yes. 09:05 Q Now, do you have an understanding that you're 09:05 here today because my client, Eolas and the University 09:05 here today because my client, Eolas and the University 09:05 of California, filed a patent infringement case 09:05 against Yahoo and several other companies? 09:05 A Yes. 09:05 Q And when did you first become aware of this 09:05 litigation? 09:06 A Some time earlier this year. 09:06 A 2011, yes. 09:06 Q And how did you become aware of it? 09:06	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that you worked on or had seen in the past? 09:06 A I think at that time I probably recalled what 09:06 was the Eolas-Microsoft issue from early 2000s. 09:06 Q Were you surprised that Eolas had filed suit 09:06 against Yahoo? 09:06 A Yes. 09:06 Q Why? 09:06 A Well, just what I knew about small you 09:06 telling me about the Microsoft-Eolas issue had a 09:07 little you know, I was under the impression that it 09:07 was a browser technology issue and that it was more of 09:07 a Microsoft-type thing and didn't was surprised 09:07 that web publishers would be they'd be 09:07 going after web publishers. 09:07 Q Now, I don't want to get into the substance 09:07 of any communications you've had with counsel, whether 09:07 that's Ms. Doan or inside counsel at Yahoo, but did 09:07 you meet with counsel to prepare for the deposition 09:07 today? 09:07 A Yes. 09:07
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	AYes.09:05QNow, even though all of my questions will be09:05perfectly formed, there may be times when your counsel09:05will still object to my questions, and she'll say09:05things like "Objection; form."09:05Unless you're instructed not to answer my09:05question, you understand you're required to answer;09:05qNow, do you have an understanding that you're09:05QNow, do you have an understanding that you're09:05here today because my client, Eolas and the University09:05of California, filed a patent infringement case09:05AYes.09:05QAnd when did you first become aware of this09:05Itigation?09:0609:06ASome time earlier this year.09:06A2011, yes.09:06QAnd how did you become aware of it?09:06AIbelieve talking to Yahoo lawyers.09:06	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that you worked on or had seen in the past? 09:06 A I think at that time I probably recalled what 09:06 was the Eolas-Microsoft issue from early 2000s. 09:06 Q Were you surprised that Eolas had filed suit 09:06 against Yahoo? 09:06 A Yes. 09:06 Q Why? 09:06 A Well, just what I knew about small you 09:06 telling me about the Microsoft-Eolas issue had a 09:07 little you know, I was under the impression that it 09:07 was a browser technology issue and that it was more of 09:07 a Microsoft-type thing and didn't was surprised 09:07 that web publishers would be they'd be 09:07 going after web publishers. 09:07 Q Now, I don't want to get into the substance 09:07 of any communications you've had with counsel, whether 09:07 that's Ms. Doan or inside counsel at Yahoo, but did 09:07 you meet with counsel to prepare for the deposition 09:07 today? 09:07 Q And when did you meet with them? 09:07
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	AYes.09:05QNow, even though all of my questions will be09:05perfectly formed, there may be times when your counsel09:05will still object to my questions, and she'll say09:05things like "Objection; form."09:05Unless you're instructed not to answer my09:05question, you understand you're required to answer;09:05right?09:05QNow, do you have an understanding that you're09:05QNow, do you have an understanding that you're09:05of California, filed a patent infringement case09:05against Yahoo and several other companies?09:05QAnd when did you first become aware of this09:05Itigation?09:06ASome time earlier this year.09:06QAnd how did you become aware of it?09:06A1 believe talking to Yahoo lawyers.09:06QWhat was your reaction upon hearing about09:06	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that you worked on or had seen in the past? 09:06 A I think at that time I probably recalled what 09:06 was the Eolas-Microsoft issue from early 2000s. 09:06 Q Were you surprised that Eolas had filed suit 09:06 against Yahoo? 09:06 A Yes. 09:06 A Well, just what I knew about small you 09:06 telling me about the Microsoft-Eolas issue had a 09:07 little you know, I was under the impression that it 09:07 was a browser technology issue and that it was more of 09:07 a Microsoft-type thing and didn't was surprised 09:07 that web publishers would be they'd be 09:07 going after web publishers. 09:07 Q Now, I don't want to get into the substance 09:07 of any communications you've had with counsel, whether 09:07 that's Ms. Doan or inside counsel at Yahoo, but did 09:07 you meet with counsel to prepare for the deposition 09:07 today? 09:07 A Yes. 09:07 Q And when did you meet with them? 09:07 A Multiple times. I met with them yesterday. 09:07
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	AYes.09:05QNow, even though all of my questions will be09:05perfectly formed, there may be times when your counsel09:05will still object to my questions, and she'll say09:05things like "Objection; form."09:05Unless you're instructed not to answer my09:05question, you understand you're required to answer;09:05right?09:05QNow, do you have an understanding that you'reop:05QNow, do you have an understanding that you're09:05of California, filed a patent infringement case09:05against Yahoo and several other companies?09:05AYes.09:05QAnd when did you first become aware of this09:05Itigation?09:06A2011, yes.09:06QAnd how did you become aware of it?09:06AI believe talking to Yahoo lawyers.09:06QWhat was your reaction upon hearing about09:06	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that you worked on or had seen in the past? 09:06 A I think at that time I probably recalled what 09:06 was the Eolas-Microsoft issue from early 2000s. 09:06 Q Were you surprised that Eolas had filed suit 09:06 against Yahoo? 09:06 A Yes. 09:06 A Well, just what I knew about small you 09:06 telling me about the Microsoft-Eolas issue had a 09:07 little you know, I was under the impression that it 09:07 was a browser technology issue and that it was more of 09:07 a Microsoft-type thing and didn't was surprised 09:07 that web publishers would be they'd be 09:07 going after web publishers. 09:07 Q Now, I don't want to get into the substance 09:07 of any communications you've had with counsel, whether 09:07 that's Ms. Doan or inside counsel at Yahoo, but did 09:07 you meet with counsel to prepare for the deposition 09:07 today? 09:07 A Yes. 09:07 A Yes. 09:07 Q And when did you meet with them? 09:07 A Multiple times. I met with them yesterday. 09:07
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	AYes.09:05QNow, even though all of my questions will be09:05perfectly formed, there may be times when your counsel09:05will still object to my questions, and she'll say09:05things like "Objection; form."09:05Unless you're instructed not to answer my09:05question, you understand you're required to answer;09:05right?09:05QNow, do you have an understanding that you're09:05QNow, do you have an understanding that you're09:05of California, filed a patent infringement case09:05against Yahoo and several other companies?09:05QAnd when did you first become aware of this09:05Itigation?09:06ASome time earlier this year.09:06QAnd how did you become aware of it?09:06A1 believe talking to Yahoo lawyers.09:06QWhat was your reaction upon hearing about09:06	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that you worked on or had seen in the past? 09:06 A I think at that time I probably recalled what 09:06 was the Eolas-Microsoft issue from early 2000s. 09:06 Q Were you surprised that Eolas had filed suit 09:06 against Yahoo? 09:06 A Yes. 09:06 A Well, just what I knew about small you 09:06 telling me about the Microsoft-Eolas issue had a 09:07 little you know, I was under the impression that it 09:07 was a browser technology issue and that it was more of 09:07 a Microsoft-type thing and didn't was surprised 09:07 that web publishers would be they'd be 09:07 going after web publishers. 09:07 Q Now, I don't want to get into the substance 09:07 of any communications you've had with counsel, whether 09:07 that's Ms. Doan or inside counsel at Yahoo, but did 09:07 you meet with counsel to prepare for the deposition 09:07 today? 09:07 A Yes. 09:07 Q And when did you meet with them? 09:07 A Multiple times. I met with them yesterday. 09:07

1	Page 10		Page 11
	Can you tell me how many meetings you've had 09:07	1	Q Have any of your meetings been with people 09:08
2	and the approximate dates of those meetings? 09:07	2	other than counsel, inside or outside counsel for 09:08
3	A You know, I can't. I don't remember all the 09:08	3	Yahoo and Yahoo employees? Any non-Yahoo employees or 09:09
4	dates. I know we've had a number of my deposition 09:08	4	non-Yahoo lawyers? 09:09
5	was scheduled a number of times, maybe twice already. 09:08	5	A Yes. 09:09
6	So I know prior to both of those meetings, we had met, 09:08	6	Q Who? 09:09
7	so I think I don't know those dates, but 09:08	7	A So I met with Pei Wei. 09:09
8	Q Okay. So you met with counsel yesterday, and 09:08	8	Q Okay. Other 09:09
9	you met with counsel prior to both of your previously 09:08	9	A And 09:09
10	scheduled depositions? 09:08	10	Q Anybody else? 09:09
11	A Yes. 09:08	11	A Yeah. Well, on the phone, I've talked to 09:09
12	Q Did you have any other meetings with counsel 09:08	12	some of our expert witnesses. 09:09
13	besides those three meetings? 09:08	13	Q Okay. So on the phone you talked with some 09:09
14	A Yes. 09:08	14	experts; which experts? 09:09
15	Q Okay. When? 09:08	15	A I talked to Dick Philips, Bruce Maddox. I 09:09
16	A I don't know the dates. 09:08	16	talked to our I don't know his first name, but 09:09
17	Q At any of the meetings, were people other 09:08	17	Blakewell. 09:09
18	than Yahoo counsel or your outside counsel present? 09:08	18	Q Bakewell? 09:09
19	A Yes. 09:08	19	A Bakewell. I'm sorry. 09:09
20	Q Okay. Who? 09:08	20	Q All right. 09:09
21	A Generally with other I'm trying to think 09:08	21	Anybody else? 09:09
22	if they all other witnesses. 09:08	22	A I might not be remembering everyone, but yes, 09:09
23	Q Okay. 09:08	23	that's it. 09:09
24	A So some Yahoo employees that were being 09:08	24	Q Other than Mr. Wei, Mr. Philips, Mr. Maddox, 09:09
25	deposed. 09:08	25	Mr. Bakewell, have you had any meetings where people 09:09
	Page 12		Page 13
1	outside of Yahoo have been present? 09:10		
_	outside of Fundo have been present.	1	Q How long was that meeting? 09:10
2	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:10	1 2	QHow long was that meeting?09:10AI don't I would say between an hour and09:10
	-		
2	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:10 THE WITNESS: Lawyers included or lawyers 09:10 not? 09:10	2	A I don't I would say between an hour and 09:10 two hours. 09:10 Q And what did you discuss with Mr. Wei? 09:10
2 3	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:10 THE WITNESS: Lawyers included or lawyers 09:10 not? 09:10 MR. BUDWIN: Yeah. 09:10	2 3	 A I don't I would say between an hour and 09:10 two hours. 09:10 Q And what did you discuss with Mr. Wei? 09:10 A I didn't discuss a lot personally. It was 09:11
2 3 4	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:10 THE WITNESS: Lawyers included or lawyers 09:10 not? 09:10 MR. BUDWIN: Yeah. 09:10 Q So, other than your meeting with Mr. Wei, 09:10	2 3 4	A I don't I would say between an hour and 09:10 two hours. 09:10 Q And what did you discuss with Mr. Wei? 09:10 A I didn't discuss a lot personally. It was 09:11 mostly there were a lot of lawyers in the room. It 09:11
2 3 4 5	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:10 THE WITNESS: Lawyers included or lawyers 09:10 not? 09:10 MR. BUDWIN: Yeah. 09:10 Q So, other than your meeting with Mr. Wei, 09:10 Mr. Philips, Mr. Maggs, Mr. Bakewell, have you had any 09:10	2 3 4 5	A I don't I would say between an hour and 09:10 two hours. 09:10 Q And what did you discuss with Mr. Wei? 09:10 A I didn't discuss a lot personally. It was 09:11 mostly there were a lot of lawyers in the room. It 09:11 was more kind of going over legal issues. 09:11
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:10 THE WITNESS: Lawyers included or lawyers 09:10 not? 09:10 MR. BUDWIN: Yeah. 09:10 Q So, other than your meeting with Mr. Wei, 09:10 Mr. Philips, Mr. Maggs, Mr. Bakewell, have you had any 09:10 other meetings with people outside of Yahoo that 09:10	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A I don't I would say between an hour and 09:10 two hours. 09:10 Q And what did you discuss with Mr. Wei? 09:10 A I didn't discuss a lot personally. It was 09:11 mostly there were a lot of lawyers in the room. It 09:11 was more kind of going over legal issues. 09:11 Q Okay. What legal issues do you remember 09:11
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:10 THE WITNESS: Lawyers included or lawyers 09:10 not? 09:10 MR. BUDWIN: Yeah. 09:10 Q So, other than your meeting with Mr. Wei, 09:10 Mr. Philips, Mr. Maggs, Mr. Bakewell, have you had any 09:10 other meetings with people outside of Yahoo that 09:10 includes your inside and outside counsel? 09:10	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A I don't I would say between an hour and 09:10 two hours. 09:10 Q And what did you discuss with Mr. Wei? 09:10 A I didn't discuss a lot personally. It was 09:11 mostly there were a lot of lawyers in the room. It 09:11 was more kind of going over legal issues. 09:11 Q Okay. What legal issues do you remember 09:11 being discussed at that meeting? 09:11
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:10 THE WITNESS: Lawyers included or lawyers 09:10 not? 09:10 MR. BUDWIN: Yeah. 09:10 Q So, other than your meeting with Mr. Wei, 09:10 Mr. Philips, Mr. Maggs, Mr. Bakewell, have you had any 09:10 other meetings with people outside of Yahoo that 09:10 includes your inside and outside counsel? 09:10 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:10	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A I don't I would say between an hour and 09:10 two hours. 09:10 Q And what did you discuss with Mr. Wei? 09:10 A I didn't discuss a lot personally. It was 09:11 mostly there were a lot of lawyers in the room. It 09:11 was more kind of going over legal issues. 09:11 Q Okay. What legal issues do you remember 09:11 being discussed at that meeting? 09:11 A I think document collection came up. Just 09:11
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:10 THE WITNESS: Lawyers included or lawyers 09:10 not? 09:10 MR. BUDWIN: Yeah. 09:10 Q So, other than your meeting with Mr. Wei, 09:10 Mr. Philips, Mr. Maggs, Mr. Bakewell, have you had any 09:10 other meetings with people outside of Yahoo that 09:10 includes your inside and outside counsel? 09:10 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:10 THE WITNESS: Any meetings related to? 09:10	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A I don't I would say between an hour and 09:10 two hours. 09:10 Q And what did you discuss with Mr. Wei? 09:10 A I didn't discuss a lot personally. It was 09:11 mostly there were a lot of lawyers in the room. It 09:11 was more kind of going over legal issues. 09:11 Q Okay. What legal issues do you remember 09:11 being discussed at that meeting? 09:11 A I think document collection came up. Just 09:11 some background. His background came up. 09:11
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:10 THE WITNESS: Lawyers included or lawyers 09:10 not? 09:10 MR. BUDWIN: Yeah. 09:10 Q So, other than your meeting with Mr. Wei, 09:10 Mr. Philips, Mr. Maggs, Mr. Bakewell, have you had any 09:10 other meetings with people outside of Yahoo that 09:10 includes your inside and outside counsel? 09:10 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:10 THE WITNESS: Any meetings related to? 09:10 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Eolas. 09:10	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A I don't I would say between an hour and 09:10 two hours. 09:10 Q And what did you discuss with Mr. Wei? 09:10 A I didn't discuss a lot personally. It was 09:11 mostly there were a lot of lawyers in the room. It 09:11 was more kind of going over legal issues. 09:11 Q Okay. What legal issues do you remember 09:11 being discussed at that meeting? 09:11 A I think document collection came up. Just 09:11 some background. His background came up. 09:11 Q Do you have a specific recollection of the 09:11
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:10 THE WITNESS: Lawyers included or lawyers 09:10 not? 09:10 MR. BUDWIN: Yeah. 09:10 Q So, other than your meeting with Mr. Wei, 09:10 Mr. Philips, Mr. Maggs, Mr. Bakewell, have you had any 09:10 other meetings with people outside of Yahoo that 09:10 includes your inside and outside counsel? 09:10 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:10 THE WITNESS: Any meetings related to? 09:10 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Eolas. 09:10 A Eolas. 09:10	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A I don't I would say between an hour and 09:10 two hours. 09:10 Q And what did you discuss with Mr. Wei? 09:10 A I didn't discuss a lot personally. It was 09:11 mostly there were a lot of lawyers in the room. It 09:11 was more kind of going over legal issues. 09:11 Q Okay. What legal issues do you remember 09:11 being discussed at that meeting? 09:11 A I think document collection came up. Just 09:11 some background. His background came up. 09:11 Q Do you have a specific recollection of the 09:11 substance of any of the discussions at the meeting 09:11
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:10 THE WITNESS: Lawyers included or lawyers 09:10 not? 09:10 MR. BUDWIN: Yeah. 09:10 Q So, other than your meeting with Mr. Wei, 09:10 Mr. Philips, Mr. Maggs, Mr. Bakewell, have you had any 09:10 other meetings with people outside of Yahoo that 09:10 includes your inside and outside counsel? 09:10 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:10 THE WITNESS: Any meetings related to? 09:10 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Eolas. 09:10 A Eolas. 09:10 There's other than my and there's other 09:10	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A I don't I would say between an hour and 09:10 two hours. 09:10 Q And what did you discuss with Mr. Wei? 09:10 A I didn't discuss a lot personally. It was 09:11 mostly there were a lot of lawyers in the room. It 09:11 was more kind of going over legal issues. 09:11 Q Okay. What legal issues do you remember 09:11 being discussed at that meeting? 09:11 A I think document collection came up. Just 09:11 some background. His background came up. 09:11 Q Do you have a specific recollection of the 09:11 substance of any of the discussions at the meeting 09:11 with Mr. Wei? 09:11
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:10 THE WITNESS: Lawyers included or lawyers 09:10 not? 09:10 MR. BUDWIN: Yeah. 09:10 Q So, other than your meeting with Mr. Wei, 09:10 Mr. Philips, Mr. Maggs, Mr. Bakewell, have you had any 09:10 other meetings with people outside of Yahoo that 09:10 includes your inside and outside counsel? 09:10 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:10 THE WITNESS: Any meetings related to? 09:10 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Eolas. 09:10 A Eolas. 09:10 There's other than my and there's other 09:10 counsel in some of those meetings 09:10	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A I don't I would say between an hour and 09:10 two hours. 09:10 Q And what did you discuss with Mr. Wei? 09:10 A I didn't discuss a lot personally. It was 09:11 mostly there were a lot of lawyers in the room. It 09:11 was more kind of going over legal issues. 09:11 Q Okay. What legal issues do you remember 09:11 being discussed at that meeting? 09:11 A I think document collection came up. Just 09:11 some background. His background came up. 09:11 Q Do you have a specific recollection of the 09:11 substance of any of the discussions at the meeting 09:11 M Not not in real detail. 09:11
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:10 THE WITNESS: Lawyers included or lawyers 09:10 not? 09:10 MR. BUDWIN: Yeah. 09:10 Q So, other than your meeting with Mr. Wei, 09:10 Mr. Philips, Mr. Maggs, Mr. Bakewell, have you had any 09:10 other meetings with people outside of Yahoo that 09:10 includes your inside and outside counsel? 09:10 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:10 THE WITNESS: Any meetings related to? 09:10 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Eolas. 09:10 A Eolas. 09:10 There's other than my and there's other 09:10 counsel in some of those meetings 09:10 MR. BUDWIN: Okay. 09:10	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A I don't I would say between an hour and 09:10 two hours. 09:10 Q And what did you discuss with Mr. Wei? 09:10 A I didn't discuss a lot personally. It was 09:11 mostly there were a lot of lawyers in the room. It 09:11 was more kind of going over legal issues. 09:11 Q Okay. What legal issues do you remember 09:11 being discussed at that meeting? 09:11 A I think document collection came up. Just 09:11 some background. His background came up. 09:11 Q Do you have a specific recollection of the 09:11 substance of any of the discussions at the meeting 09:11 A Not not in real detail. 09:11 Q Do you recall any of the questions that were 09:11
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:10 THE WITNESS: Lawyers included or lawyers 09:10 not? 09:10 MR. BUDWIN: Yeah. 09:10 Q So, other than your meeting with Mr. Wei, 09:10 Mr. Philips, Mr. Maggs, Mr. Bakewell, have you had any 09:10 other meetings with people outside of Yahoo that 09:10 includes your inside and outside counsel? 09:10 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:10 THE WITNESS: Any meetings related to? 09:10 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Eolas. 09:10 A Eolas. 09:10 There's other than my and there's other 09:10 counsel in some of those meetings 09:10 THE WITNESS: for other 09:10	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A I don't I would say between an hour and 09:10 two hours. 09:10 Q And what did you discuss with Mr. Wei? 09:10 A I didn't discuss a lot personally. It was 09:11 mostly there were a lot of lawyers in the room. It 09:11 was more kind of going over legal issues. 09:11 Q Okay. What legal issues do you remember 09:11 being discussed at that meeting? 09:11 A I think document collection came up. Just 09:11 some background. His background came up. 09:11 Q Do you have a specific recollection of the 09:11 substance of any of the discussions at the meeting 09:11 A Not not in real detail. 09:11 Q Do you recall any of the questions that were 09:11 being asked of Mr. Wei at the meeting? 09:11
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:10 THE WITNESS: Lawyers included or lawyers 09:10 not? 09:10 MR. BUDWIN: Yeah. 09:10 Q So, other than your meeting with Mr. Wei, 09:10 Mr. Philips, Mr. Maggs, Mr. Bakewell, have you had any 09:10 other meetings with people outside of Yahoo that 09:10 includes your inside and outside counsel? 09:10 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:10 THE WITNESS: Any meetings related to? 09:10 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Eolas. 09:10 A Eolas. 09:10 There's other than my and there's other 09:10 counsel in some of those meetings 09:10 MR. BUDWIN: Okay. 09:10 THE WITNESS: for other 09:10 MR. BUDWIN: Q. For other defendants? 09:10	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A I don't I would say between an hour and 09:10 two hours. 09:10 Q And what did you discuss with Mr. Wei? 09:10 A I didn't discuss a lot personally. It was 09:11 mostly there were a lot of lawyers in the room. It 09:11 was more kind of going over legal issues. 09:11 Q Okay. What legal issues do you remember 09:11 being discussed at that meeting? 09:11 A I think document collection came up. Just 09:11 some background. His background came up. 09:11 Q Do you have a specific recollection of the 09:11 substance of any of the discussions at the meeting 09:11 A Not not in real detail. 09:11 Q Do you recall any of the questions that were 09:11 being asked of Mr. Wei at the meeting? 09:11 A Again, not specifically, but general things 09:11
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:10 THE WITNESS: Lawyers included or lawyers 09:10 not? 09:10 MR. BUDWIN: Yeah. 09:10 Q So, other than your meeting with Mr. Wei, 09:10 Mr. Philips, Mr. Maggs, Mr. Bakewell, have you had any 09:10 other meetings with people outside of Yahoo that 09:10 includes your inside and outside counsel? 09:10 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:10 THE WITNESS: Any meetings related to? 09:10 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Eolas. 09:10 A Eolas. 09:10 There's other than my and there's other 09:10 counsel in some of those meetings 09:10 MR. BUDWIN: Okay. 09:10 THE WITNESS: for other 09:10 MR. BUDWIN: Q. For other defendants? 09:10 A departments, yeah. 09:10	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A I don't I would say between an hour and 09:10 two hours. 09:10 Q And what did you discuss with Mr. Wei? 09:10 A I didn't discuss a lot personally. It was 09:11 mostly there were a lot of lawyers in the room. It 09:11 was more kind of going over legal issues. 09:11 Q Okay. What legal issues do you remember 09:11 being discussed at that meeting? 09:11 A I think document collection came up. Just 09:11 some background. His background came up. 09:11 Q Do you have a specific recollection of the 09:11 substance of any of the discussions at the meeting 09:11 A Not not in real detail. 09:11 Q Do you recall any of the questions that were 09:11 being asked of Mr. Wei at the meeting? 09:11 A Again, not specifically, but general things 09:11 like, again, around document collection. I think 09:11
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:10 THE WITNESS: Lawyers included or lawyers 09:10 not? 09:10 MR. BUDWIN: Yeah. 09:10 Q So, other than your meeting with Mr. Wei, 09:10 Mr. Philips, Mr. Maggs, Mr. Bakewell, have you had any 09:10 other meetings with people outside of Yahoo that 09:10 includes your inside and outside counsel? 09:10 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:10 THE WITNESS: Any meetings related to? 09:10 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Eolas. 09:10 A Eolas. 09:10 There's other than my and there's other 09:10 counsel in some of those meetings 09:10 MR. BUDWIN: Okay. 09:10 THE WITNESS: for other 09:10 MR. BUDWIN: Q. For other defendants? 09:10 A departments, yeah. 09:10 Q How many times did you meet with Mr. Wei? 09:10	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A I don't I would say between an hour and 09:10 two hours. 09:10 Q And what did you discuss with Mr. Wei? 09:10 A I didn't discuss a lot personally. It was 09:11 mostly there were a lot of lawyers in the room. It 09:11 was more kind of going over legal issues. 09:11 Q Okay. What legal issues do you remember 09:11 being discussed at that meeting? 09:11 A I think document collection came up. Just 09:11 some background. His background came up. 09:11 Q Do you have a specific recollection of the 09:11 substance of any of the discussions at the meeting 09:11 Mr. Wei? 09:11 A Not not in real detail. 09:11 Q Do you recall any of the questions that were 09:11 being asked of Mr. Wei at the meeting? 09:11 I here was some questions around just making sure that 09:11
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:10 THE WITNESS: Lawyers included or lawyers 09:10 not? 09:10 MR. BUDWIN: Yeah. 09:10 Q So, other than your meeting with Mr. Wei, 09:10 Mr. Philips, Mr. Maggs, Mr. Bakewell, have you had any 09:10 other meetings with people outside of Yahoo that 09:10 includes your inside and outside counsel? 09:10 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:10 THE WITNESS: Any meetings related to? 09:10 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Eolas. 09:10 A Eolas. 09:10 There's other than my and there's other 09:10 counsel in some of those meetings 09:10 MR. BUDWIN: Okay. 09:10 THE WITNESS: for other 09:10 MR. BUDWIN: Q. For other defendants? 09:10 A departments, yeah. 09:10 A Once. 09:10	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A I don't I would say between an hour and 09:10 two hours. 09:10 Q And what did you discuss with Mr. Wei? 09:10 A I didn't discuss a lot personally. It was 09:11 mostly there were a lot of lawyers in the room. It 09:11 was more kind of going over legal issues. 09:11 Q Okay. What legal issues do you remember 09:11 being discussed at that meeting? 09:11 A I think document collection came up. Just 09:11 some background. His background came up. 09:11 Q Do you have a specific recollection of the 09:11 substance of any of the discussions at the meeting 09:11 M Not not in real detail. 09:11 Q Do you recall any of the questions that were 09:11 being asked of Mr. Wei at the meeting? 09:11 A Again, not specifically, but general things 09:11 like, again, around document collection. I think 09:11 all the documents had been were you know, 09:11
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:10 THE WITNESS: Lawyers included or lawyers 09:10 not? 09:10 MR. BUDWIN: Yeah. 09:10 Q So, other than your meeting with Mr. Wei, 09:10 Mr. Philips, Mr. Maggs, Mr. Bakewell, have you had any 09:10 other meetings with people outside of Yahoo that 09:10 includes your inside and outside counsel? 09:10 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:10 THE WITNESS: Any meetings related to? 09:10 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Eolas. 09:10 A Eolas. 09:10 There's other than my and there's other 09:10 counsel in some of those meetings 09:10 MR. BUDWIN: Okay. 09:10 THE WITNESS: for other 09:10 MR. BUDWIN: Q. For other defendants? 09:10 A departments, yeah. 09:10 Q How many times did you meet with Mr. Wei? 09:10 Q And that was in person? 09:10	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A I don't I would say between an hour and 09:10 two hours. 09:10 Q And what did you discuss with Mr. Wei? 09:10 A I didn't discuss a lot personally. It was 09:11 mostly there were a lot of lawyers in the room. It 09:11 was more kind of going over legal issues. 09:11 Q Okay. What legal issues do you remember 09:11 being discussed at that meeting? 09:11 A I think document collection came up. 109:11 g Do you have a specific recollection of the 09:11 substance of any of the discussions at the meeting 09:11 A Not not in real detail. 09:11 Q Do you recall any of the questions that were 09:11 being asked of Mr. Wei at the meeting? 09:11 A Again, not specifically, but general things 09:11 like, again, around document collection. I think 09:11 all the documents had been were you know, 09:11 wherever he had access to documents, that those had 09:12
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:10 THE WITNESS: Lawyers included or lawyers 09:10 NR. BUDWIN: Yeah. 09:10 Q So, other than your meeting with Mr. Wei, 09:10 Mr. Philips, Mr. Maggs, Mr. Bakewell, have you had any 09:10 other meetings with people outside of Yahoo that 09:10 includes your inside and outside counsel? 09:10 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:10 THE WITNESS: Any meetings related to? 09:10 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Eolas. 09:10 A Eolas. 09:10 There's other than my and there's other 09:10 counsel in some of those meetings 09:10 MR. BUDWIN: Okay. 09:10 THE WITNESS: for other 09:10 MR. BUDWIN: Q. For other defendants? 09:10 A departments, yeah. 09:10 Q How many times did you meet with Mr. Wei? 09:10 A Once. 09:10 A Yes. 09:10	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A I don't I would say between an hour and 09:10 two hours. 09:10 Q And what did you discuss with Mr. Wei? 09:10 A I didn't discuss a lot personally. It was 09:11 mostly there were a lot of lawyers in the room. It 09:11 was more kind of going over legal issues. 09:11 Q Okay. What legal issues do you remember 09:11 being discussed at that meeting? 09:11 A I think document collection came up. Just 09:11 g Do you have a specific recollection of the 09:11 substance of any of the discussions at the meeting 09:11 A Not not in real detail. 09:11 Q Do you recall any of the questions that were 09:11 being asked of Mr. Wei at the meeting? 09:11 A Again, not specifically, but general things 09:11 like, again, around document collection. I think 09:11 there was some questions around just making sure that 09:11 all the documents had been were you know, 09:12 been collected and looked at 09:12
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:10 THE WITNESS: Lawyers included or lawyers 09:10 not? 09:10 MR. BUDWIN: Yeah. 09:10 Q So, other than your meeting with Mr. Wei, 09:10 Mr. Philips, Mr. Maggs, Mr. Bakewell, have you had any 09:10 other meetings with people outside of Yahoo that 09:10 includes your inside and outside counsel? 09:10 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:10 THE WITNESS: Any meetings related to? 09:10 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Eolas. 09:10 A Eolas. 09:10 There's other than my and there's other 09:10 counsel in some of those meetings 09:10 MR. BUDWIN: Okay. 09:10 THE WITNESS: for other 09:10 MR. BUDWIN: Q. For other defendants? 09:10 A departments, yeah. 09:10 Q How many times did you meet with Mr. Wei? 09:10 Q And that was in person? 09:10	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A I don't I would say between an hour and 09:10 two hours. 09:10 Q And what did you discuss with Mr. Wei? 09:10 A I didn't discuss a lot personally. It was 09:11 mostly there were a lot of lawyers in the room. It 09:11 was more kind of going over legal issues. 09:11 Q Okay. What legal issues do you remember 09:11 being discussed at that meeting? 09:11 A I think document collection came up. 109:11 g Do you have a specific recollection of the 09:11 substance of any of the discussions at the meeting 09:11 A Not not in real detail. 09:11 Q Do you recall any of the questions that were 09:11 being asked of Mr. Wei at the meeting? 09:11 A Again, not specifically, but general things 09:11 like, again, around document collection. I think 09:11 all the documents had been were you know, 09:11 wherever he had access to documents, that those had 09:12

1	Page 14		Page 15
	Q So you remember there was discussion with 09:12	1	A I want to say July time frame. Maybe August. 09:13
2	Mr. Wei about his document collection? 09:12	2	Q So your recollection was your meeting with 09:13
3	A Yes. 09:12	3	Mr. Wei was in July or August of 2011? 09:13
4	Q And there were questions of Mr. Wei about 09:12	4	A Yes. 09:13
5	whether he had been able to collect all of the 09:12	5	Q And sitting here today, you don't recall many 09:13
6	documents that he had that potentially related to this 09:12	6	of the details of any substantive discussion related 09:13
7	case? 09:12	7	to Mr. Wei or his work? 09:13
8	A Yeah. Generally speaking, that and just 09:12	8	A Well, I don't again, I'm not sure what you 09:13
9	probably going over what he had collected and those 09:12	9	mean by "detail," but a lot of it was lawyers talking 09:13
10	kinds of things. 09:12	10	about, again, legal-type issues that wasn't of real 09:13
11	Q Okay. So other than discussions with Mr. Wei 09:12	11	interest to me, but 09:13
12	ý 5	12	Q Was 09:13
13	, ,	13	A again we talked about some of his 09:13
14	worked on in the past? 09:12	14	background and things he had done, so 09:13
15	A Yeah. Again, just more kind of background 09:12	15	Q Was there anything at that meeting with 09:13
16	information about what he had done years ago, and 09:12	16	Mr. Wei that was of interest to you? 09:13
17	probably something we talked a little bit about. 09:12	17	A Yeah; I think his background was interesting 09:13
18	Maybe some previous litigation. 09:12	18	and 09:13
19	Q Okay. What do you recall Mr the 09:12	19	Q So tell me what you remember about the 09:13
20	6	20	discussion with Mr. Wei about his background. 09:13
21	the previous litigation being? 09:12	21	A I think he talked about when you know, 09:13
22	A I don't remember the details. Again, you 09:12	22	that he was his time at Berkeley, a student at the 09:14
23		23	University of California there, and his work at XEF, 09:14
24 25	what was talked about, I don't remember. 09:13	24	and probably he may have talked about the his 09:14
25	Q How long ago was this meeting? 09:13	25	work at O'Riley as well. 09:14
	Page 16		
			Page 17
1	Q Do you recall any documents or demonstrations 09:14	1	same time? 09:15
2	Q Do you recall any documents or demonstrations 09:14 or anything being done with Mr. Wei at this meeting? 09:14	2	same time? 09:15 A No; he 09:15
2 3	QDo you recall any documents or demonstrations09:14or anything being done with Mr. Wei at this meeting?09:14AI don't think so.09:14	2 3	same time? 09:15 A No; he 09:15 Q At Berkeley at the time 09:15
2 3 4	QDo you recall any documents or demonstrations09:14or anything being done with Mr. Wei at this meeting?09:14AI don't think so.09:14QOkay.09:14	2 3 4	same time? 09:15 A No; he 09:15 Q At Berkeley at the time 09:15 A visited Stanford while I was there. 09:15
2 3 4 5	QDo you recall any documents or demonstrations09:14or anything being done with Mr. Wei at this meeting?09:14AI don't think so.09:14QOkay.09:14AI didn't I don't think I signed any09:14	2 3 4 5	same time? 09:15 A No; he 09:15 Q At Berkeley at the time 09:15 A visited Stanford while I was there. 09:15 Q When Mr. Wei had you ever seen or 09:15
2 3 4 5 6	QDo you recall any documents or demonstrations09:14or anything being done with Mr. Wei at this meeting?09:14AI don't think so.09:14QOkay.09:14AI didn't I don't think I signed any09:14documents.09:14	2 3 4 5 6	same time? 09:15 A No; he 09:15 Q At Berkeley at the time 09:15 A visited Stanford while I was there. 09:15 Q When Mr. Wei had you ever seen or 09:15 interacted with Mr. Wei during your time at Stanford? 09:15
2 3 4 5 6 7	QDo you recall any documents or demonstrations09:14or anything being done with Mr. Wei at this meeting?09:14AI don't think so.09:14QOkay.09:14AI didn't I don't think I signed any09:14documents.09:14QDid you ask Mr. Wei any questions?09:14	2 3 4 5 6 7	same time? 09:15 A No; he 09:15 Q At Berkeley at the time 09:15 A visited Stanford while I was there. 09:15 Q When Mr. Wei had you ever seen or 09:15 interacted with Mr. Wei during your time at Stanford? 09:15 A I don't remember. That's what one of 09:15
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	QDo you recall any documents or demonstrations09:14or anything being done with Mr. Wei at this meeting?09:14AI don't think so.09:14QOkay.09:14AI didn't I don't think I signed any09:14documents.09:14QDid you ask Mr. Wei any questions?09:14AI probably did.09:14	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	same time? 09:15 A No; he 09:15 Q At Berkeley at the time 09:15 A visited Stanford while I was there. 09:15 Q When Mr. Wei had you ever seen or 09:15 interacted with Mr. Wei during your time at Stanford? 09:15 A I don't remember. That's what one of 09:15 things I was trying to remember back is if I had 09:15
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	QDo you recall any documents or demonstrations09:14or anything being done with Mr. Wei at this meeting?09:14AI don't think so.09:14QOkay.09:14AI didn't I don't think I signed any09:14documents.09:14QDid you ask Mr. Wei any questions?09:14AI probably did.09:14QOkay. What did you ask Mr. Wei about?09:14	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	same time? 09:15 A No; he 09:15 Q At Berkeley at the time 09:15 A visited Stanford while I was there. 09:15 Q When Mr. Wei had you ever seen or 09:15 interacted with Mr. Wei during your time at Stanford? 09:15 A I don't remember. That's what one of 09:15 things I was trying to remember back is if I had 09:15 interacted with him when I was there. 09:15
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	QDo you recall any documents or demonstrations09:14or anything being done with Mr. Wei at this meeting?09:14AI don't think so.09:14QOkay.09:14AI didn't I don't think I signed any09:14documents.09:14QDid you ask Mr. Wei any questions?09:14AI probably did.09:14QOkay. What did you ask Mr. Wei about?09:14AAgain, just about his background and09:14	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	same time? 09:15 A No; he 09:15 Q At Berkeley at the time 09:15 A visited Stanford while I was there. 09:15 Q When Mr. Wei had you ever seen or 09:15 interacted with Mr. Wei during your time at Stanford? 09:15 A I don't remember. That's what one of 09:15 things I was trying to remember back is if I had 09:15 interacted with him when I was there. 09:15 Q Okay. And prior to your involvement in this 09:15
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	QDo you recall any documents or demonstrations09:14or anything being done with Mr. Wei at this meeting?09:14AI don't think so.09:14QOkay.09:14AI didn't I don't think I signed any09:14documents.09:14QDid you ask Mr. Wei any questions?09:14AI probably did.09:14QOkay. What did you ask Mr. Wei about?09:14AAgain, just about his background and09:14QWhat what specifically?09:14	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	same time? 09:15 A No; he 09:15 Q At Berkeley at the time 09:15 A visited Stanford while I was there. 09:15 Q When Mr. Wei had you ever seen or 09:15 interacted with Mr. Wei during your time at Stanford? 09:15 A I don't remember. That's what one of 09:15 things I was trying to remember back is if I had 09:15 interacted with him when I was there. 09:15 Q Okay. And prior to your involvement in this 09:15 case, had you ever heard of Mr. Wei or Viola? 09:15
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	QDo you recall any documents or demonstrations09:14or anything being done with Mr. Wei at this meeting?09:14AI don't think so.09:14QOkay.09:14AI didn't I don't think I signed any09:14documents.09:14QDid you ask Mr. Wei any questions?09:14AI probably did.09:14QOkay. What did you ask Mr. Wei about?09:14AAgain, just about his background and09:14QWhat what specifically?09:14ASpecific questions, like, I remember talking09:14	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	same time? 09:15 A No; he 09:15 Q At Berkeley at the time 09:15 A visited Stanford while I was there. 09:15 Q When Mr. Wei had you ever seen or 09:15 interacted with Mr. Wei during your time at Stanford? 09:15 A I don't remember. That's what one of 09:15 things I was trying to remember back is if I had 09:15 interacted with him when I was there. 09:15 Q Okay. And prior to your involvement in this 09:15 case, had you ever heard of Mr. Wei or Viola? 09:15 A Yes. 09:15
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q Do you recall any documents or demonstrations 09:14 or anything being done with Mr. Wei at this meeting? 09:14 A I don't think so. 09:14 Q Okay. 09:14 A I didn't I don't think I signed any 09:14 documents. 09:14 Q Did you ask Mr. Wei any questions? 09:14 A I probably did. 09:14 Q Okay. What did you ask Mr. Wei about? 09:14 A Again, just about his background and 09:14 Q What what specifically? 09:14 A Specific questions, like, I remember talking 09:14 to him about his time. I think he he had been at 09:14	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	same time? 09:15 A No; he 09:15 Q At Berkeley at the time 09:15 A visited Stanford while I was there. 09:15 Q When Mr. Wei had you ever seen or 09:15 interacted with Mr. Wei during your time at Stanford? 09:15 A I don't remember. That's what one of 09:15 things I was trying to remember back is if I had 09:15 interacted with him when I was there. 09:15 Q Okay. And prior to your involvement in this 09:15 case, had you ever heard of Mr. Wei or Viola? 09:15 A Yes. 09:15 Q Okay. How? 09:15
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q Do you recall any documents or demonstrations 09:14 or anything being done with Mr. Wei at this meeting? 09:14 A I don't think so. 09:14 Q Okay. 09:14 A I didn't I don't think I signed any 09:14 documents. 09:14 Q Did you ask Mr. Wei any questions? 09:14 A I probably did. 09:14 Q Okay. What did you ask Mr. Wei about? 09:14 A Again, just about his background and 09:14 Q What what specifically? 09:14 A Specific questions, like, I remember talking 09:14 to him about his time. I think he he had been at 09:14 Stanford, and so we talked about that, because I was 09:14	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	same time? 09:15 A No; he 09:15 Q At Berkeley at the time 09:15 A visited Stanford while I was there. 09:15 Q When Mr. Wei had you ever seen or 09:15 interacted with Mr. Wei during your time at Stanford? 09:15 A I don't remember. That's what one of 09:15 things I was trying to remember back is if I had 09:15 interacted with him when I was there. 09:15 Q Okay. And prior to your involvement in this 09:15 case, had you ever heard of Mr. Wei or Viola? 09:15 A Yes. 09:15 Q Okay. How? 09:15
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q Do you recall any documents or demonstrations 09:14 or anything being done with Mr. Wei at this meeting? 09:14 A I don't think so. 09:14 Q Okay. 09:14 A I didn't I don't think I signed any 09:14 documents. 09:14 Q Did you ask Mr. Wei any questions? 09:14 A I probably did. 09:14 Q Okay. What did you ask Mr. Wei about? 09:14 A Again, just about his background and 09:14 Q What what specifically? 09:14 A Specific questions, like, I remember talking 09:14 to him about his time. I think he he had been at 09:14	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	same time? 09:15 A No; he 09:15 Q At Berkeley at the time 09:15 A visited Stanford while I was there. 09:15 Q When Mr. Wei had you ever seen or 09:15 interacted with Mr. Wei during your time at Stanford? 09:15 A I don't remember. That's what one of 09:15 things I was trying to remember back is if I had 09:15 interacted with him when I was there. 09:15 Q Okay. And prior to your involvement in this 09:15 case, had you ever heard of Mr. Wei or Viola? 09:15 A Yes. 09:15 Q Okay. How? 09:15 A Well, I heard of Viola back when we first 09:15
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q Do you recall any documents or demonstrations 09:14 or anything being done with Mr. Wei at this meeting? 09:14 A I don't think so. 09:14 Q Okay. 09:14 A I didn't I don't think I signed any 09:14 documents. 09:14 Q Did you ask Mr. Wei any questions? 09:14 A I probably did. 09:14 Q Okay. What did you ask Mr. Wei about? 09:14 A Again, just about his background and 09:14 Q What what specifically? 09:14 A Specific questions, like, I remember talking 09:14 to him about his time. I think he he had been at 09:14 at Stanford, and so we talked about that, because I was 09:14 at Stanford at the time; and so I might have asked him 09:14	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	same time? $09:15$ A No; he $09:15$ Q At Berkeley at the time $09:15$ A visited Stanford while I was there. $09:15$ Q When Mr. Wei had you ever seen or $09:15$ interacted with Mr. Wei during your time at Stanford? $09:15$ interacted with Mr. Wei during your time at Stanford? $09:15$ A I don't remember. That's what one of $09:15$ things I was trying to remember back is if I had $09:15$ interacted with him when I was there. $09:15$ Q Okay. And prior to your involvement in this $09:15$ case, had you ever heard of Mr. Wei or Viola? $09:15$ A Yes. $09:15$ Q Okay. How? $09:15$ A Well, I heard of Viola back when we first $09:15$ started Yahoo. $09:16$
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q Do you recall any documents or demonstrations 09:14 or anything being done with Mr. Wei at this meeting? 09:14 A I don't think so. 09:14 Q Okay. 09:14 A I didn't I don't think I signed any 09:14 documents. 09:14 Q Did you ask Mr. Wei any questions? 09:14 A I probably did. 09:14 Q Okay. What did you ask Mr. Wei about? 09:14 A Again, just about his background and 09:14 Q What what specifically? 09:14 A Specific questions, like, I remember talking 09:14 to him about his time. I think he he had been at 09:14 Stanford, and so we talked about that, because I was 09:14 at Stanford at the time; and so I might have asked him 09:14 some questions about who he saw there and things like 09:15	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	same time? $09:15$ A No; he $09:15$ Q At Berkeley at the time $09:15$ A visited Stanford while I was there. $09:15$ Q When Mr. Wei had you ever seen or $09:15$ interacted with Mr. Wei during your time at Stanford? $09:15$ A I don't remember. That's what one of $09:15$ things I was trying to remember back is if I had $09:15$ interacted with him when I was there. $09:15$ Q Okay. And prior to your involvement in this $09:15$ case, had you ever heard of Mr. Wei or Viola? $09:15$ A Yes. $09:15$ Q Okay. How? $09:15$ A Well, I heard of Viola back when we first $09:15$ started Yahoo. $09:16$ Q When was that? $09:16$
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q Do you recall any documents or demonstrations 09:14 or anything being done with Mr. Wei at this meeting? 09:14 A I don't think so. 09:14 Q Okay. 09:14 A I didn't I don't think I signed any 09:14 documents. 09:14 Q Did you ask Mr. Wei any questions? 09:14 A I probably did. 09:14 Q Okay. What did you ask Mr. Wei about? 09:14 A Again, just about his background and 09:14 Q What what specifically? 09:14 A Specific questions, like, I remember talking 09:14 to him about his time. I think he he had been at 09:14 Stanford, and so we talked about that, because I was 09:14 at Stanford at the time; and so I might have asked him 09:14 some questions about who he saw there and things like 09:15 that. 09:15	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	same time? $09:15$ A No; he $09:15$ Q At Berkeley at the time $09:15$ A visited Stanford while I was there. $09:15$ Q When Mr. Wei had you ever seen or $09:15$ interacted with Mr. Wei during your time at Stanford? $09:15$ interacted with Mr. Wei during your time at Stanford? $09:15$ A I don't remember. That's what one of $09:15$ things I was trying to remember back is if I had $09:15$ interacted with him when I was there. $09:15$ Q Okay. And prior to your involvement in this $09:15$ case, had you ever heard of Mr. Wei or Viola? $09:15$ A Yes. $09:15$ A Yes. $09:15$ A Well, I heard of Viola back when we first $09:15$ started Yahoo. $09:16$ Q When was that? $09:16$
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q Do you recall any documents or demonstrations 09:14 or anything being done with Mr. Wei at this meeting? 09:14 A I don't think so. 09:14 Q Okay. 09:14 A I didn't I don't think I signed any 09:14 documents. 09:14 Q Did you ask Mr. Wei any questions? 09:14 A I probably did. 09:14 Q Okay. What did you ask Mr. Wei about? 09:14 A Again, just about his background and 09:14 Q What what specifically? 09:14 A Specific questions, like, I remember talking 09:14 to him about his time. I think he he had been at 09:14 Stanford, and so we talked about that, because I was 09:14 at Stanford at the time; and so I might have asked him 09:14 some questions about who he saw there and things like 09:15 that. 09:15 Q Did you ask Mr. Wei any questions about any 09:15	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	same time? 09:15 A No; he 09:15 Q At Berkeley at the time 09:15 A visited Stanford while I was there. 09:15 Q When Mr. Wei had you ever seen or 09:15 interacted with Mr. Wei during your time at Stanford? 09:15 A I don't remember. That's what one of 09:15 things I was trying to remember back is if I had 09:15 interacted with him when I was there. 09:15 Q Okay. And prior to your involvement in this 09:15 case, had you ever heard of Mr. Wei or Viola? 09:15 A Yes. 09:15 Q Okay. How? 09:15 A Well, I heard of Viola back when we first 09:15 started Yahoo. 09:16 Q When was that? 09:16 A 1994. 09:16 Q Okay. So you first heard about Viola when 09:16
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q Do you recall any documents or demonstrations 09:14 or anything being done with Mr. Wei at this meeting? 09:14 A I don't think so. 09:14 Q Okay. 09:14 A I didn't I don't think I signed any 09:14 documents. 09:14 Q Did you ask Mr. Wei any questions? 09:14 A I probably did. 09:14 Q Okay. What did you ask Mr. Wei about? 09:14 A Again, just about his background and 09:14 Q What what specifically? 09:14 A Specific questions, like, I remember talking 09:14 to him about his time. I think he he had been at 09:14 Stanford, and so we talked about that, because I was 09:14 at Stanford at the time; and so I might have asked him 09:14 some questions about who he saw there and things like 09:15 that. 09:15 Q Did you ask Mr. Wei any questions about any 09:15 of the work that he had done on his Viola system? 09:15	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	same time? 09:15 A No; he 09:15 Q At Berkeley at the time 09:15 A visited Stanford while I was there. 09:15 Q When Mr. Wei had you ever seen or 09:15 interacted with Mr. Wei during your time at Stanford? 09:15 A I don't remember. That's what one of 09:15 things I was trying to remember back is if I had 09:15 interacted with him when I was there. 09:15 Q Okay. And prior to your involvement in this 09:15 case, had you ever heard of Mr. Wei or Viola? 09:15 A Yes. 09:15 Q Okay. How? 09:15 A Well, I heard of Viola back when we first 09:15 started Yahoo. 09:16 Q When was that? 09:16 Q Okay. So you first heard about Viola when 09:16 you started Yahoo in 1994? 09:16
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q Do you recall any documents or demonstrations 09:14 or anything being done with Mr. Wei at this meeting? 09:14 A I don't think so. 09:14 Q Okay. 09:14 A I didn't I don't think I signed any 09:14 documents. 09:14 Q Did you ask Mr. Wei any questions? 09:14 A I probably did. 09:14 Q Okay. What did you ask Mr. Wei about? 09:14 A Again, just about his background and 09:14 Q What what specifically? 09:14 A Specific questions, like, I remember talking 09:14 to him about his time. I think he he had been at 09:14 Stanford, and so we talked about that, because I was 09:14 at Stanford at the time; and so I might have asked him 09:14 some questions about who he saw there and things like 09:15 that. 09:15 Q Did you ask Mr. Wei any questions about any 09:15 of the work that he had done on his Viola system? 09:15 A Yeah; I don't we talked about the Viola 09:15	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	same time? 09:15 A No; he 09:15 Q At Berkeley at the time 09:15 A visited Stanford while I was there. 09:15 Q When Mr. Wei had you ever seen or 09:15 interacted with Mr. Wei during your time at Stanford? 09:15 A I don't remember. That's what one of 09:15 things I was trying to remember back is if I had 09:15 interacted with him when I was there. 09:15 Q Okay. And prior to your involvement in this 09:15 case, had you ever heard of Mr. Wei or Viola? 09:15 A Yes. 09:15 Q Okay. How? 09:15 A Well, I heard of Viola back when we first 09:15 started Yahoo. 09:16 Q When was that? 09:16 A 1994. 09:16 Q Okay. So you first heard about Viola when 09:16 you started Yahoo in 1994? 09:16 A Yes. 09:16
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q Do you recall any documents or demonstrations 09:14 or anything being done with Mr. Wei at this meeting? 09:14 A I don't think so. 09:14 Q Okay. 09:14 A I didn't I don't think I signed any 09:14 documents. 09:14 Q Did you ask Mr. Wei any questions? 09:14 A I probably did. 09:14 Q Okay. What did you ask Mr. Wei about? 09:14 A Again, just about his background and 09:14 Q What what specifically? 09:14 A Specific questions, like, I remember talking 09:14 to him about his time. I think he he had been at 09:14 Stanford, and so we talked about that, because I was 09:14 at Stanford at the time; and so I might have asked him 09:14 some questions about who he saw there and things like 09:15 that. 09:15 Q Did you ask Mr. Wei any questions about any 09:15 of the work that he had done on his Viola system? 09:15 A Yeah; I don't we talked about the Viola 09:15	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	same time? $09:15$ A No; he $09:15$ Q At Berkeley at the time $09:15$ A visited Stanford while I was there. $09:15$ Q When Mr. Wei had you ever seen or $09:15$ interacted with Mr. Wei during your time at Stanford? $09:15$ interacted with Mr. Wei during your time at Stanford? $09:15$ A I don't remember. That's what one of $09:15$ things I was trying to remember back is if I had $09:15$ interacted with him when I was there. $09:15$ Q Okay. And prior to your involvement in this $09:15$ case, had you ever heard of Mr. Wei or Viola? $09:15$ A Yes. $09:15$ Q Okay. How? $09:15$ A Well, I heard of Viola back when we first $09:15$ started Yahoo. $09:16$ Q Okay. So you first heard about Viola when $09:16$ you started Yahoo in 1994? $09:16$ A Yes. $09:16$ Q Had you ever used Viola? $09:16$
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q Do you recall any documents or demonstrations 09:14 or anything being done with Mr. Wei at this meeting? 09:14 A I don't think so. 09:14 Q Okay. 09:14 A I didn't I don't think I signed any 09:14 documents. 09:14 Q Did you ask Mr. Wei any questions? 09:14 A I probably did. 09:14 Q Okay. What did you ask Mr. Wei about? 09:14 A Again, just about his background and 09:14 Q What what specifically? 09:14 A Specific questions, like, I remember talking 09:14 to him about his time. I think he he had been at 09:14 Stanford, and so we talked about that, because I was 09:14 at Stanford at the time; and so I might have asked him 09:14 some questions about who he saw there and things like 09:15 that. 09:15 Q Did you ask Mr. Wei any questions about any 09:15 of the work that he had done on his Viola system? 09:15 a Yeah; I don't we talked about the Viola 09:15 to the web browser that he built. So the Viola stuff 09:15	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	same time? $09:15$ A No; he $09:15$ Q At Berkeley at the time $09:15$ A visited Stanford while I was there. $09:15$ Q When Mr. Wei had you ever seen or $09:15$ interacted with Mr. Wei during your time at Stanford? $09:15$ interacted with Mr. Wei during your time at Stanford? $09:15$ A I don't remember. That's what one of $09:15$ things I was trying to remember back is if I had $09:15$ interacted with him when I was there. $09:15$ Q Okay. And prior to your involvement in this $09:15$ case, had you ever heard of Mr. Wei or Viola? $09:15$ A Yes. $09:15$ A Yes. $09:15$ A Well, I heard of Viola back when we first $09:15$ started Yahoo. $09:16$ Q Okay. So you first heard about Viola when $09:16$ you started Yahoo in 1994? $09:16$ A Yes. $09:16$

3 founding of Yahoo? 09:16 3 possible th	was? 09:17 l, given that I again, I think it's 09:17
3 founding of Yahoo? 09:16 3 possible th	l given that I again. I think it's 09:17
	, gi en unu i ugun, i unu i e e e e e
4 A Well, the founding of Yahoo is is a bit 09:16 4 remember,	at I used it then, but I just can't 09:17
	so it's hard to say when the first time 09:17
5 ambiguous. 09:16 5 would have	e been. 09:17
6 Q Okay. 09:16 6 Q Do y	you have any documents or information in 09:17
7 A In terms of the company was founded in 1995. 09:16 7 your posse	ssion that shows when you first used Viola 09:18
	aware of it? 09:18
	Again, back to that time frame, I don't 09:18
	ocuments that definitively say I've used it 09:18
11 A Oh, I don't remember. I don't remember 09:16 11 or didn't, b	
12 specific instances of me using it back in the '94/'95 09:17 12 Q All r	
	s your understanding that you first 09:18
	vare of Viola some time in 1994; is that 09:18
15 and it was one of several that were out there. 09:17 15 right?	09:18
16 And given that it worked on the system that I 09:17 16 A Yes.	
	you don't have any specific recollection, 09:18
	e today, of using Viola in the 1993, 1994, 09:18
19 Q Okay. 09:17 19 or 1995 tin	
	h. Of using the programming, no. 09:18
	you don't have any documents or other 09:18
-	n in your possession of which you're aware 09:18 relate to any use of Viola by you in the 09:18
÷	relate to any use of Viola by you in the 09:18 95 time frame? 09:18
	DOAN: Objection; form. 09:18
	Page 21
	you know, more than more than half the 09:19
	probably would have. 09:20
	use of browsers, again, I'd say in 09:20 o kind of mid '94, that's a time when I 09:20
,	aic I was using Mosaic more often than 09:20
	And then when Netscape came out, which 09:20
-	e exactly when it did, but it was, you 09:20
	'94 or '95-something. I think when that 09:20
-	hen, it was either late '94 or '95 or 09:20
10 that had got used in that time frame that you 09:19 10 something.	
	BUDWIN: Okay. 09:20
	WITNESS: I think when that came out, 09:20
	probably either Mosaic or Netscape that 09:20
	more often than not used as a browser. 09:20
	x before kind of mid '94, I can't 09:20
	exactly what what my usage browser 09:20
17 browsers. Things like Gopher, Wei's browsers and 09:19 17 usage habit	
	BUDWIN: Okay. 09:20
	so that I can understand, in this 1993 09:20
	ne frame, the primary browsers that you 09:20
20 Q All right. 09:19 20 to 1995 tim	
	g were Mosaic and Netscape? 09:20
21So in this 1993 to 1995 time frame, the09:1921recall using	g were Mosaic and Netscape?09:20DOAN: Objection; form.09:21
21So in this 1993 to 1995 time frame, the09:1921recall using22primary browsers that you recall using were Mosaic and09:1922MS. D	-
21So in this 1993 to 1995 time frame, the09:1921recall using22primary browsers that you recall using were Mosaic and09:1922MS. D23Netscape?09:1923You c	DOAN: Objection; form. 09:21

	Page 22		Page 23
1	MR. BUDWIN: Okay. 09:21	1	was I think I I was I had known about I 09:22
2	Q In the 1994 to 1995 time frame, the primary 09:21	2	knew about Pei Wei, and I don't recall if I had met 09:22
3	browsers you recall using were Mosaic and Netscape? 09:21	3	him before, but it's possible I had. 09:22
4	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:21	4	But I'd say the one thing that I was just 09:22
5	THE WITNESS: So, again, that's in mid '94 09:21	5	being pretty impressed with what he had done back in 09:22
6	was when I kind of recall that at that time I'm pretty 09:21	6	at both Berkeley and XEF and at O'Riley & 09:22
7	sure that, again, more often than not, I would be 09:21	7	Associates. 09:22
8	using the Mosaic browser. 09:21	8	MR. BUDWIN: Q. Was there a discussion at 09:22
9	MR. BUDWIN: Okay. 09:21	9	the meeting with Mr. Wei about any shortcomings or 09:22
10	THE WITNESS: And then later either Mosaic 09:21	10	problems with Viola or the Viola system? 09:22
11	and Netscape, and eventually it became more Netscape, 09:21	11	A I think there was a brief discussion about 09:22
12	but probably later in '95 or some time in '95. 09:21	12	one of the bugs in some version of the source code 09:22
13	MR. BUDWIN: So I want to go back to the 09:21	13	that is in the various exhibits 09:22
14	meeting that you had with Mr. Wei in July or August of 09:21	14	Q What's 09:23
15	2011. 09:21	15	A around the version of the http protocol. 09:23
16	Q What sticks out in your mind about that 09:21	16	Q So you recall, in this meeting in July or 09:23
17	meeting? Do you have any specific recollection about 09:21	17	August, that there was a discussion about a bug in one 09:23
18	the meeting? 09:21	18	of the versions of Viola that related to HTTP? 09:23
19	A Other than what I've said? 09:21	19	A I believe we talked about that briefly. 09:23
20	Q Yeah. 09:22	20	Q Okay. What do you recall about that 09:23
21	What what what's the one thing that's 09:22	21	discussion? 09:23
22	in the forefront of your mind about that meeting? 09:22	22	A Not much other than, you know, I think it was 09:23
23	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:22	23	brought up, and it was, I think, both in Pei's mind 09:23
24	You can answer it. 09:22	24	and my mind. It was very minor, a minor issue that 09:23
25	THE WITNESS: I would say that the one thing 09:22	25	was very easily addressed. 09:23
	Page 24		Page 25
1	Page 24 Q Can you describe what you understood the bug 09:23	1	Page 25 the first way I learned about it and understood it was 09:25
1 2		1 2	
	Q Can you describe what you understood the bug 09:23	1	the first way I learned about it and understood it was 09:25
2	Q Can you describe what you understood the bug 09:23 to be? 09:23	2	the first way I learned about it and understood it was 09:25 when I looked at the source code. 09:25
2 3	Q Can you describe what you understood the bug 09:23 to be? 09:23 A My understanding is that the bug so it was 09:23	2 3	the first way I learned about it and understood it was 09:25when I looked at the source code.09:25QDid anybody explain it to you?09:25
2 3 4	Q Can you describe what you understood the bug 09:23 to be? 09:23 A My understanding is that the bug so it was 09:23 a version of HTTP before well, there was 0.9, which 09:23	2 3 4	the first way I learned about it and understood it was 09:25when I looked at the source code.09:25QDid anybody explain it to you?09:25AWell, I had my I had my own understanding09:25
2 3 4 5	Q Can you describe what you understood the bug 09:23 to be? 09:23 A My understanding is that the bug so it was 09:23 a version of HTTP before well, there was 0.9, which 09:23 was an older version of the protocol. And I think 09:23	2 3 4 5	the first way I learned about it and understood it was 09:25 when I looked at the source code. 09:25 Q Did anybody explain it to you? 09:25 A Well, I had my I had my own understanding 09:25 of it. 09:25
2 3 4 5 6	Q Can you describe what you understood the bug 09:23 to be? 09:23 A My understanding is that the bug so it was 09:23 a version of HTTP before well, there was 0.9, which 09:23 was an older version of the protocol. And I think 09:23 around the time frame that we're looking at these 09:23	2 3 4 5 6	the first way I learned about it and understood it was 09:25 when I looked at the source code. 09:25 Q Did anybody explain it to you? 09:25 A Well, I had my I had my own understanding 09:25 of it. 09:25 Q Okay. And you came up with your your own 09:25
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q Can you describe what you understood the bug 09:23 to be? 09:23 A My understanding is that the bug so it was 09:23 a version of HTTP before well, there was 0.9, which 09:23 was an older version of the protocol. And I think 09:23 around the time frame that we're looking at these 09:23 snapshots of source code from Viola, the version was 09:23 changing from 0.9 to 1.0. And as part of the Viola 09:24 system and the Viola WWW browser in particular, it 09:24	2 3 4 5 6 7	the first way I learned about it and understood it was 09:25 when I looked at the source code. 09:25 Q Did anybody explain it to you? 09:25 A Well, I had my I had my own understanding 09:25 of it. 09:25 Q Okay. And you came up with your your own 09:25 understanding on your own with no help from anybody 09:25 else? 09:25 A That's correct, and we did talk. As I said, 09:25
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q Can you describe what you understood the bug 09:23 to be? 09:23 A My understanding is that the bug so it was 09:23 a version of HTTP before well, there was 0.9, which 09:23 was an older version of the protocol. And I think 09:23 around the time frame that we're looking at these 09:23 snapshots of source code from Viola, the version was 09:23 changing from 0.9 to 1.0. And as part of the Viola 09:24 system and the Viola WWW browser in particular, it 09:24 used libraries from Cern, and the HTTP protocol was 09:24	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the first way I learned about it and understood it was 09:25 when I looked at the source code. 09:25 Q Did anybody explain it to you? 09:25 A Well, I had my I had my own understanding 09:25 of it. 09:25 Q Okay. And you came up with your your own 09:25 understanding on your own with no help from anybody 09:25 else? 09:25 A That's correct, and we did talk. As I said, 09:25 it was brought it was I can't remember how it 09:25
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q Can you describe what you understood the bug 09:23 to be? 09:23 A My understanding is that the bug so it was 09:23 a version of HTTP before well, there was 0.9, which 09:23 was an older version of the protocol. And I think 09:23 around the time frame that we're looking at these 09:23 snapshots of source code from Viola, the version was 09:23 changing from 0.9 to 1.0. And as part of the Viola 09:24 system and the Viola WWW browser in particular, it 09:24 used libraries from Cern, and the HTTP protocol was 09:24 implemented by uses libraries from Cern. 09:24	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the first way I learned about it and understood it was 09:25 when I looked at the source code. 09:25 Q Did anybody explain it to you? 09:25 A Well, I had my I had my own understanding 09:25 of it. 09:25 Q Okay. And you came up with your your own 09:25 understanding on your own with no help from anybody 09:25 else? 09:25 A That's correct, and we did talk. As I said, 09:25 it was brought it was I can't remember how it 09:25 came up, but it did come up in the meeting that 09:25
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q Can you describe what you understood the bug 09:23 to be? 09:23 A My understanding is that the bug so it was 09:23 a version of HTTP before well, there was 0.9, which 09:23 was an older version of the protocol. And I think 09:23 around the time frame that we're looking at these 09:23 snapshots of source code from Viola, the version was 09:23 changing from 0.9 to 1.0. And as part of the Viola 09:24 system and the Viola WWW browser in particular, it 09:24 used libraries from Cern, and the HTTP protocol was 09:24 implemented by uses libraries from Cern. 09:24 He was using he had updated his libraries 09:24	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the first way I learned about it and understood it was 09:25 when I looked at the source code. 09:25 Q Did anybody explain it to you? 09:25 A Well, I had my I had my own understanding 09:25 of it. 09:25 Q Okay. And you came up with your your own 09:25 understanding on your own with no help from anybody 09:25 else? 09:25 A That's correct, and we did talk. As I said, 09:25 it was brought it was I can't remember how it 09:25 came up, but it did come up in the meeting that 09:25 I that we had with him. 09:25
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q Can you describe what you understood the bug 09:23 to be? 09:23 A My understanding is that the bug so it was 09:23 a version of HTTP before well, there was 0.9, which 09:23 was an older version of the protocol. And I think 09:23 around the time frame that we're looking at these 09:23 snapshots of source code from Viola, the version was 09:23 changing from 0.9 to 1.0. And as part of the Viola 09:24 system and the Viola WWW browser in particular, it 09:24 used libraries from Cern, and the HTTP protocol was 09:24 implemented by uses libraries from Cern. 09:24 He was using he had updated his libraries 09:24 from Cern to update to 1.0 where his he had code in 09:24	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the first way I learned about it and understood it was 09:25 when I looked at the source code. 09:25 Q Did anybody explain it to you? 09:25 A Well, I had my I had my own understanding 09:25 of it. 09:25 Q Okay. And you came up with your your own 09:25 understanding on your own with no help from anybody 09:25 else? 09:25 A That's correct, and we did talk. As I said, 09:25 it was brought it was I can't remember how it 09:25 came up, but it did come up in the meeting that 09:25 I that we had with him. 09:25 Q Did you ask Mr. Wei any questions about the 09:25
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q Can you describe what you understood the bug 09:23 to be? 09:23 A My understanding is that the bug so it was 09:23 a version of HTTP before well, there was 0.9, which 09:23 was an older version of the protocol. And I think 09:23 around the time frame that we're looking at these 09:23 snapshots of source code from Viola, the version was 09:23 changing from 0.9 to 1.0. And as part of the Viola 09:24 system and the Viola WWW browser in particular, it 09:24 used libraries from Cern, and the HTTP protocol was 09:24 implemented by uses libraries from Cern. 09:24 He was using he had updated his libraries 09:24 from Cern to update to 1.0 where his he had code in 09:24 his system that was interfacing with the Cern 09:24	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the first way I learned about it and understood it was 09:25 when I looked at the source code. 09:25 Q Did anybody explain it to you? 09:25 A Well, I had my I had my own understanding 09:25 of it. 09:25 Q Okay. And you came up with your your own 09:25 understanding on your own with no help from anybody 09:25 else? 09:25 A That's correct, and we did talk. As I said, 09:25 it was brought it was I can't remember how it 09:25 came up, but it did come up in the meeting that 09:25 I that we had with him. 09:25 Q Did you ask Mr. Wei any questions about the 09:25 bug? 09:26
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q Can you describe what you understood the bug 09:23 to be? 09:23 A My understanding is that the bug so it was 09:23 a version of HTTP before well, there was 0.9, which 09:23 was an older version of the protocol. And I think 09:23 around the time frame that we're looking at these 09:23 snapshots of source code from Viola, the version was 09:23 changing from 0.9 to 1.0. And as part of the Viola 09:24 system and the Viola WWW browser in particular, it 09:24 used libraries from Cern, and the HTTP protocol was 09:24 implemented by uses libraries from Cern. 09:24 He was using he had updated his libraries 09:24 from Cern to update to 1.0 where his he had code in 09:24 his system that was interfacing with the Cern 09:24 libraries where he was his code was was still 09:24	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the first way I learned about it and understood it was 09:25 when I looked at the source code. 09:25 Q Did anybody explain it to you? 09:25 A Well, I had my I had my own understanding 09:25 of it. 09:25 Q Okay. And you came up with your your own 09:25 understanding on your own with no help from anybody 09:25 else? 09:25 A That's correct, and we did talk. As I said, 09:25 it was brought it was I can't remember how it 09:25 came up, but it did come up in the meeting that 09:25 I that we had with him. 09:25 Q Did you ask Mr. Wei any questions about the 09:25 bug? 09:26 A I can't remember if I asked him. I mean, 09:26
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q Can you describe what you understood the bug 09:23 to be? 09:23 A My understanding is that the bug so it was 09:23 a version of HTTP before well, there was 0.9, which 09:23 was an older version of the protocol. And I think 09:23 around the time frame that we're looking at these 09:23 snapshots of source code from Viola, the version was 09:23 changing from 0.9 to 1.0. And as part of the Viola 09:24 system and the Viola WWW browser in particular, it 09:24 used libraries from Cern, and the HTTP protocol was 09:24 implemented by uses libraries from Cern. 09:24 He was using he had updated his libraries 09:24 from Cern to update to 1.0 where his he had code in 09:24 his system that was interfacing with the Cern 09:24 libraries where he was his code was was still 09:24 programmed to the older interface, the 0.9; and so 09:24	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the first way I learned about it and understood it was 09:25 when I looked at the source code. 09:25 Q Did anybody explain it to you? 09:25 A Well, I had my I had my own understanding 09:25 of it. 09:25 Q Okay. And you came up with your your own 09:25 understanding on your own with no help from anybody 09:25 else? 09:25 A That's correct, and we did talk. As I said, 09:25 it was brought it was I can't remember how it 09:25 came up, but it did come up in the meeting that 09:25 I that we had with him. 09:25 Q Did you ask Mr. Wei any questions about the 09:25 bug? 09:26 A I can't remember if I asked him. I mean, 09:26 it's possible. I just I wouldn't remember the 09:26
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q Can you describe what you understood the bug 09:23 to be? 09:23 A My understanding is that the bug so it was 09:23 a version of HTTP before well, there was 0.9, which 09:23 was an older version of the protocol. And I think 09:23 around the time frame that we're looking at these 09:23 snapshots of source code from Viola, the version was 09:23 changing from 0.9 to 1.0. And as part of the Viola 09:24 system and the Viola WWW browser in particular, it 09:24 used libraries from Cern, and the HTTP protocol was 09:24 implemented by uses libraries from Cern. 09:24 He was using he had updated his libraries 09:24 from Cern to update to 1.0 where his he had code in 09:24 his system that was interfacing with the Cern 09:24 libraries where he was his code was was still 09:24 there was just an incompatibility there between the 09:24	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the first way I learned about it and understood it was 09:25 when I looked at the source code. 09:25 Q Did anybody explain it to you? 09:25 A Well, I had my I had my own understanding 09:25 of it. 09:25 Q Okay. And you came up with your your own 09:25 understanding on your own with no help from anybody 09:25 else? 09:25 A That's correct, and we did talk. As I said, 09:25 it was brought it was I can't remember how it 09:25 came up, but it did come up in the meeting that 09:25 I that we had with him. 09:25 Q Did you ask Mr. Wei any questions about the 09:25 bug? 09:26 A I can't remember if I asked him. I mean, 09:26 it's possible. I just I wouldn't remember the 09:26 specific. I can't remember a specific question, if 09:26
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q Can you describe what you understood the bug 09:23 to be? 09:23 A My understanding is that the bug so it was 09:23 a version of HTTP before well, there was 0.9, which 09:23 was an older version of the protocol. And I think 09:23 around the time frame that we're looking at these 09:23 snapshots of source code from Viola, the version was 09:23 changing from 0.9 to 1.0. And as part of the Viola 09:24 system and the Viola WWW browser in particular, it 09:24 used libraries from Cern, and the HTTP protocol was 09:24 implemented by uses libraries from Cern. 09:24 He was using he had updated his libraries 09:24 from Cern to update to 1.0 where his he had code in 09:24 his system that was interfacing with the Cern 09:24 libraries where he was his code was was still 09:24 programmed to the older interface, the 0.9; and so 09:24 there was just an incompatibility there between the 09:24 code that he had developed and the code that he 09:24	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the first way I learned about it and understood it was 09:25 when I looked at the source code. 09:25 Q Did anybody explain it to you? 09:25 A Well, I had my I had my own understanding 09:25 of it. 09:25 Q Okay. And you came up with your your own 09:25 understanding on your own with no help from anybody 09:25 else? 09:25 A That's correct, and we did talk. As I said, 09:25 it was brought it was I can't remember how it 09:25 came up, but it did come up in the meeting that 09:25 I that we had with him. 09:25 Q Did you ask Mr. Wei any questions about the 09:25 bug? 09:26 A I can't remember if I asked him. I mean, 09:26 it's possible. I just I wouldn't remember the 09:26 there was one. 09:26
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q Can you describe what you understood the bug 09:23 to be? 09:23 A My understanding is that the bug so it was 09:23 a version of HTTP before well, there was 0.9, which 09:23 was an older version of the protocol. And I think 09:23 around the time frame that we're looking at these 09:23 snapshots of source code from Viola, the version was 09:23 changing from 0.9 to 1.0. And as part of the Viola 09:24 system and the Viola WWW browser in particular, it 09:24 used libraries from Cern, and the HTTP protocol was 09:24 implemented by uses libraries from Cern. 09:24 He was using he had updated his libraries 09:24 from Cern to update to 1.0 where his he had code in 09:24 his system that was interfacing with the Cern 09:24 libraries where he was his code was was still 09:24 programmed to the older interface, the 0.9; and so 09:24 there was just an incompatibility there between the 09:24 integrated from Cern. 09:24	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	the first way I learned about it and understood it was 09:25 when I looked at the source code. 09:25 Q Did anybody explain it to you? 09:25 A Well, I had my I had my own understanding 09:25 of it. 09:25 Q Okay. And you came up with your your own 09:25 understanding on your own with no help from anybody 09:25 else? 09:25 A That's correct, and we did talk. As I said, 09:25 it was brought it was I can't remember how it 09:25 came up, but it did come up in the meeting that 09:25 I that we had with him. 09:25 Q Did you ask Mr. Wei any questions about the 09:25 bug? 09:26 A I can't remember if I asked him. I mean, 09:26 it's possible. I just I wouldn't remember the 09:26 there was one. 09:26 I had at that time, when I had I think 09:26
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q Can you describe what you understood the bug 09:23 to be? 09:23 A My understanding is that the bug so it was 09:23 a version of HTTP before well, there was 0.9, which 09:23 was an older version of the protocol. And I think 09:23 around the time frame that we're looking at these 09:23 snapshots of source code from Viola, the version was 09:23 changing from 0.9 to 1.0. And as part of the Viola 09:24 system and the Viola WWW browser in particular, it 09:24 used libraries from Cern, and the HTTP protocol was 09:24 implemented by uses libraries from Cern. 09:24 He was using he had updated his libraries 09:24 from Cern to update to 1.0 where his he had code in 09:24 his system that was interfacing with the Cern 09:24 libraries where he was his code was was still 09:24 programmed to the older interface, the 0.9; and so 09:24 there was just an incompatibility there between the 09:24 integrated from Cern. 09:24 And so, I mean, that's my understanding of 09:24	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the first way I learned about it and understood it was 09:25 when I looked at the source code. 09:25 Q Did anybody explain it to you? 09:25 A Well, I had my I had my own understanding 09:25 of it. 09:25 Q Okay. And you came up with your your own 09:25 understanding on your own with no help from anybody 09:25 else? 09:25 A That's correct, and we did talk. As I said, 09:25 it was brought it was I can't remember how it 09:25 came up, but it did come up in the meeting that 09:25 I that we had with him. 09:25 Q Did you ask Mr. Wei any questions about the 09:25 bug? 09:26 A I can't remember if I asked him. I mean, 09:26 it's possible. I just I wouldn't remember the 09:26 there was one. 09:26 I had at that time, when I had I think 09:26 when we had that meeting, I had a pretty good 09:26
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q Can you describe what you understood the bug 09:23 to be? 09:23 A My understanding is that the bug so it was 09:23 a version of HTTP before well, there was 0.9, which 09:23 was an older version of the protocol. And I think 09:23 around the time frame that we're looking at these 09:23 snapshots of source code from Viola, the version was 09:23 changing from 0.9 to 1.0. And as part of the Viola 09:24 system and the Viola WWW browser in particular, it 09:24 used libraries from Cern, and the HTTP protocol was 09:24 implemented by uses libraries from Cern. 09:24 He was using he had updated his libraries 09:24 from Cern to update to 1.0 where his he had code in 09:24 his system that was interfacing with the Cern 09:24 libraries where he was his code was was still 09:24 programmed to the older interface, the 0.9; and so 09:24 there was just an incompatibility there between the 09:24 integrated from Cern. 09:24 And so, I mean, that's my understanding of 09:24 the source of that bug, and again a relatively trivial 09:24	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the first way I learned about it and understood it was 09:25 when I looked at the source code. 09:25 Q Did anybody explain it to you? 09:25 A Well, I had my I had my own understanding 09:25 of it. 09:25 Q Okay. And you came up with your your own 09:25 understanding on your own with no help from anybody 09:25 else? 09:25 A That's correct, and we did talk. As I said, 09:25 it was brought it was I can't remember how it 09:25 came up, but it did come up in the meeting that 09:25 I that we had with him. 09:25 Q Did you ask Mr. Wei any questions about the 09:25 bug? 09:26 A I can't remember if I asked him. I mean, 09:26 it's possible. I just I wouldn't remember the 09:26 there was one. 09:26 I had at that time, when I had I think 09:26 when we had that meeting, I had a pretty good 09:26 understanding of what the issue was already. So I 09:26
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q Can you describe what you understood the bug 09:23 to be? 09:23 A My understanding is that the bug so it was 09:23 a version of HTTP before well, there was 0.9, which 09:23 was an older version of the protocol. And I think 09:23 around the time frame that we're looking at these 09:23 snapshots of source code from Viola, the version was 09:23 changing from 0.9 to 1.0. And as part of the Viola 09:24 system and the Viola WWW browser in particular, it 09:24 used libraries from Cern, and the HTTP protocol was 09:24 from Cern to update to 1.0 where his he had code in 09:24 his system that was interfacing with the Cern 09:24 libraries where he was his code was was still 09:24 programmed to the older interface, the 0.9; and so 09:24 there was just an incompatibility there between the 09:24 integrated from Cern. 09:24 And so, I mean, that's my understanding of 09:24 the source of that bug, and again a relatively trivial 09:24 issue to address that and fix that. 09:25	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the first way I learned about it and understood it was 09:25 when I looked at the source code. 09:25 Q Did anybody explain it to you? 09:25 A Well, I had my I had my own understanding 09:25 of it. 09:25 Q Okay. And you came up with your your own 09:25 understanding on your own with no help from anybody 09:25 else? 09:25 A That's correct, and we did talk. As I said, 09:25 it was brought it was I can't remember how it 09:25 came up, but it did come up in the meeting that 09:25 I that we had with him. 09:25 Q Did you ask Mr. Wei any questions about the 09:25 bug? 09:26 A I can't remember if I asked him. I mean, 09:26 it's possible. I just I wouldn't remember the 09:26 there was one. 09:26 I had at that time, when I had I think 09:26 when we had that meeting, I had a pretty good 09:26 think, if anything, I might have asked him things just 09:26
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q Can you describe what you understood the bug 09:23 to be? 09:23 A My understanding is that the bug so it was 09:23 a version of HTTP before well, there was 0.9, which 09:23 was an older version of the protocol. And I think 09:23 around the time frame that we're looking at these 09:23 snapshots of source code from Viola, the version was 09:23 changing from 0.9 to 1.0. And as part of the Viola 09:24 system and the Viola WWW browser in particular, it 09:24 used libraries from Cern, and the HTTP protocol was 09:24 implemented by uses libraries from Cern. 09:24 He was using he had updated his libraries 09:24 from Cern to update to 1.0 where his he had code in 09:24 his system that was interfacing with the Cern 09:24 libraries where he was his code was was still 09:24 programmed to the older interface, the 0.9; and so 09:24 there was just an incompatibility there between the 09:24 code that he had developed and the code that he 09:24 the source of that bug, and again a relatively trivial 09:24 issue to address that and fix that. 09:25 Q Who who explained that incompatibility or 09:25	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the first way I learned about it and understood it was $09:25$ when I looked at the source code. $09:25$ Q Did anybody explain it to you? $09:25$ A Well, I had my I had my own understanding $09:25$ of it. $09:25$ Q Okay. And you came up with your your own $09:25$ understanding on your own with no help from anybody $09:25$ else? $09:25$ A That's correct, and we did talk. As I said, $09:25$ it was brought it was I can't remember how it $09:25$ came up, but it did come up in the meeting that $09:25$ I that we had with him. $09:25$ Q Did you ask Mr. Wei any questions about the $09:25$ bug? $09:26$ A I can't remember if I asked him. I mean, $09:26$ it's possible. I just I wouldn't remember the $09:26$ there was one. $09:26$ I had at that time, when I had I think $09:26$ when we had that meeting, I had a pretty good $09:26$ think, if anything, I might have asked him things just $09:26$ to confirm that what I was seeing was the same as what $09:26$
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q Can you describe what you understood the bug 09:23 to be? 09:23 A My understanding is that the bug so it was 09:23 a version of HTTP before well, there was 0.9, which 09:23 was an older version of the protocol. And I think 09:23 around the time frame that we're looking at these 09:23 snapshots of source code from Viola, the version was 09:23 changing from 0.9 to 1.0. And as part of the Viola 09:24 system and the Viola WWW browser in particular, it 09:24 used libraries from Cern, and the HTTP protocol was 09:24 from Cern to update to 1.0 where his he had code in 09:24 his system that was interfacing with the Cern 09:24 libraries where he was his code was was still 09:24 programmed to the older interface, the 0.9; and so 09:24 there was just an incompatibility there between the 09:24 integrated from Cern. 09:24 And so, I mean, that's my understanding of 09:24 the source of that bug, and again a relatively trivial 09:24 issue to address that and fix that. 09:25	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the first way I learned about it and understood it was 09:25 when I looked at the source code. 09:25 Q Did anybody explain it to you? 09:25 A Well, I had my I had my own understanding 09:25 of it. 09:25 Q Okay. And you came up with your your own 09:25 understanding on your own with no help from anybody 09:25 else? 09:25 A That's correct, and we did talk. As I said, 09:25 it was brought it was I can't remember how it 09:25 came up, but it did come up in the meeting that 09:25 I that we had with him. 09:25 Q Did you ask Mr. Wei any questions about the 09:25 bug? 09:26 A I can't remember if I asked him. I mean, 09:26 it's possible. I just I wouldn't remember the 09:26 there was one. 09:26 I had at that time, when I had I think 09:26 when we had that meeting, I had a pretty good 09:26 think, if anything, I might have asked him things just 09:26

1	Page 26		Page 27
1	Viola there was a bug related to its support for HTTP? 09:26	1	WWW system and how that interfaces with this certain 09:28
2	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:26	2	library. 09:28
3	You can answer. 09:26	3	Q Okay. So at this meeting in July or August 09:28
4	THE WITNESS: Yeah, can you 09:26	4	2001 with Mr. Wei, one of the shortcomings related to 09:28
5	MR. BUDWIN: Sure. 09:26	5	Viola that was discussed was this bug in the HTTP; 09:28
б	Q It's your understanding that in one of the 09:26	6	right? 09:28
7	versions of Viola there was a bug that related to its 09:26	7	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:28
8	support of the HT HTTP? 09:26	8	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I wouldn't say. You 09:28
9	A No; it was I mean, it's support for 09:26	9	say I wouldn't use those words. I wouldn't use 09:28
10	there was a bug that was related to an incompatibility 09:27	10	"shortcoming." 09:28
11	between versions of HTTP protocol. 09:27	11	MR. BUDWIN: Okay. 09:28
12	Q So there's 09:27	12	Q How would you how would you describe it? 09:28
13	A And I'm sorry. 09:27	13	A I would say a very minor issue with 09:28
14	Q Well, I didn't mean to interrupt you. 09:27	14	incompatibilities between a new library that was 09:28
15	A That's it. 09:27	15	integrated from Cern. Again, very minor issue that 09:28
16	Q So it's your understanding that in one of the 09:27	16	could be fixed within a couple of minutes and was 09:28
17	versions of Viola there was a bug that related to an 09:27	17	fixed later, is my understanding, as you would expect. 09:28
18	incomparability between different versions of HTTP? 09:27	18	Because again, it was a simple thing, and the 09:28
19	A Yeah. Again, in one so in one version of 09:27	19	Viola WWW browser was mostly code that was written by 09:28
20	Viola, and this is a snapshot taken on one particular 09:27	20	Pei, but it also incorporated code from other parties 09:29
21	day, I think, back in May of '93, so on that snapshot 09:27	21	like Cern. And so any time you integrate code from 09:29
22 23	that I looked at, I believe there's a bug that, again, 09:27	22 23	third parties, incompatibilities like that will creep 09:29 in. Once you see them, you address them and fix them, 09:29
23 24	is related to the upgrade of one of the components to 09:27	23	
24 25	a newer version of HTTP, and there's a slight 09:27 incompatibility between the code that's in the Viola 09:27	24	and it's not a big issue at all.09:29QSo at this meeting with Mr. Wei in July or09:29
25		25	
	Dage 28		
	Page 28		Page 29
1	August of 2011, there was a discussion with a bug in 09:29	1	THE WITNESS: it's support for HTPP. I 09:30
2	August of 2011, there was a discussion with a bug in09:29the Viola code related to which you characterized09:29	2	THE WITNESS: it's support for HTPP. I09:30wouldn't word it that way.09:30
2 3	August of 2011, there was a discussion with a bug in09:29the Viola code related to which you characterized09:29as a slight incompatibility with HTTP?09:29	2 3	THE WITNESS: it's support for HTPP. I09:30wouldn't word it that way.09:30MR. BUDWIN: Okay.09:30
2 3 4	August of 2011, there was a discussion with a bug in09:29the Viola code related to which you characterized09:29as a slight incompatibility with HTTP?09:29MS. DOAN:Objection; form.09:29	2 3 4	THE WITNESS: it's support for HTPP. I09:30wouldn't word it that way.09:30MR. BUDWIN: Okay.09:30QWord it how you would word it.09:30
2 3 4 5	August of 2011, there was a discussion with a bug in09:29the Viola code related to which you characterized09:29as a slight incompatibility with HTTP?09:29MS. DOAN: Objection; form.09:29You can answer.09:29	2 3 4 5	THE WITNESS: it's support for HTPP. I09:30wouldn't word it that way.09:30MR. BUDWIN: Okay.09:30QWord it how you would word it.09:30AWe talked about, again, an incompatibility09:30
2 3 4 5 6	August of 2011, there was a discussion with a bug in 09:29 the Viola code related to which you characterized 09:29 as a slight incompatibility with HTTP? 09:29 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:29 You can answer. 09:29 THE WITNESS: I've answered the question. 09:29	2 3 4 5 6	THE WITNESS: it's support for HTPP. I 09:30wouldn't word it that way.09:30MR. BUDWIN: Okay.09:30Q Word it how you would word it.09:30A We talked about, again, an incompatibility09:30that existed in one version of the source code on a 09:30
2 3 4 5 6 7	August of 2011, there was a discussion with a bug in 09:29 the Viola code related to which you characterized 09:29 as a slight incompatibility with HTTP? 09:29 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:29 You can answer. 09:29 THE WITNESS: I've answered the question. 09:29 I'm not I'm not sure the point of rewording it. 09:29	2 3 4 5 6 7	THE WITNESS: it's support for HTPP. I 09:30wouldn't word it that way.09:30MR. BUDWIN: Okay.09:30Q Word it how you would word it.09:30A We talked about, again, an incompatibility09:30that existed in one version of the source code on a 09:30particular day at a time when the HTTP protocol was09:30
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	August of 2011, there was a discussion with a bug in 09:29 the Viola code related to which you characterized 09:29 as a slight incompatibility with HTTP? 09:29 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:29 You can answer. 09:29 THE WITNESS: I've answered the question. 09:29 I'm not I'm not sure the point of rewording it. 09:29 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Are you refusing to answer 09:29	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE WITNESS: it's support for HTPP. I 09:30wouldn't word it that way.09:30MR. BUDWIN: Okay.09:30Q Word it how you would word it.09:30A We talked about, again, an incompatibility09:30that existed in one version of the source code on a 09:30particular day at a time when the HTTP protocol was 09:30transitioning from 0.9 to 1.1.09:30
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	August of 2011, there was a discussion with a bug in 09:29 the Viola code related to which you characterized 09:29 as a slight incompatibility with HTTP? 09:29 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:29 You can answer. 09:29 THE WITNESS: I've answered the question. 09:29 I'm not I'm not sure the point of rewording it. 09:29 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Are you refusing to answer 09:29 my question? 09:29	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE WITNESS: it's support for HTPP. I 09:30wouldn't word it that way.09:30MR. BUDWIN: Okay.09:30Q Word it how you would word it.09:30A We talked about, again, an incompatibility09:30that existed in one version of the source code on a 09:30particular day at a time when the HTTP protocol was 09:30transitioning from 0.9 to 1.1.09:30He had integrated the newest version of the 09:30
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	August of 2011, there was a discussion with a bug in 09:29 the Viola code related to which you characterized 09:29 as a slight incompatibility with HTTP? 09:29 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:29 You can answer. 09:29 THE WITNESS: I've answered the question. 09:29 I'm not I'm not sure the point of rewording it. 09:29 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Are you refusing to answer 09:29 my question? 09:29 A Well, I wouldn't say what you said. 09:29	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE WITNESS: it's support for HTPP. I 09:30wouldn't word it that way.09:30MR. BUDWIN: Okay.09:30Q Word it how you would word it.09:30A We talked about, again, an incompatibility09:30that existed in one version of the source code on a 09:30particular day at a time when the HTTP protocol was09:30transitioning from 0.9 to 1.1.09:30He had integrated the newest version of the 09:30library from Cern. And by doing that, his system09:30
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	August of 2011, there was a discussion with a bug in 09:29 the Viola code related to which you characterized 09:29 as a slight incompatibility with HTTP? 09:29 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:29 You can answer. 09:29 THE WITNESS: I've answered the question. 09:29 I'm not I'm not sure the point of rewording it. 09:29 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Are you refusing to answer 09:29 my question? 09:29 A Well, I wouldn't say what you said. 09:29 Q How about let me ask my question again. 09:29	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	THE WITNESS: it's support for HTPP. I 09:30wouldn't word it that way.09:30MR. BUDWIN: Okay.09:30Q Word it how you would word it.09:30A We talked about, again, an incompatibility09:30that existed in one version of the source code on a 09:30particular day at a time when the HTTP protocol was 09:30transitioning from 0.9 to 1.1.09:30He had integrated the newest version of the 09:30library from Cern. And by doing that, his system 09:30wasn't completely prepared to work with that new 09:30
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	August of 2011, there was a discussion with a bug in 09:29 the Viola code related to which you characterized 09:29 as a slight incompatibility with HTTP? 09:29 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:29 You can answer. 09:29 THE WITNESS: I've answered the question. 09:29 I'm not I'm not sure the point of rewording it. 09:29 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Are you refusing to answer 09:29 my question? 09:29 A Well, I wouldn't say what you said. 09:29 Q How about let me ask my question again. 09:29 A Sure. 09:29	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE WITNESS: it's support for HTPP. I 09:30wouldn't word it that way.09:30MR. BUDWIN: Okay.09:30Q Word it how you would word it.09:30A We talked about, again, an incompatibility09:30that existed in one version of the source code on a 09:3009:30particular day at a time when the HTTP protocol was 09:3009:30transitioning from 0.9 to 1.1.09:30He had integrated the newest version of the 09:30library from Cern. And by doing that, his system 09:30wasn't completely prepared to work with that new 09:30version of the library from Cern, and simple 09:30
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	August of 2011, there was a discussion with a bug in 09:29 the Viola code related to which you characterized 09:29 as a slight incompatibility with HTTP? 09:29 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:29 You can answer. 09:29 THE WITNESS: I've answered the question. 09:29 I'm not I'm not sure the point of rewording it. 09:29 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Are you refusing to answer 09:29 my question? 09:29 A Well, I wouldn't say what you said. 09:29 Q How about let me ask my question again. 09:29 A Sure. 09:29 Q So you had a meeting at which Mr. Wei was 09:29	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	THE WITNESS: it's support for HTPP. I 09:30wouldn't word it that way.09:30MR. BUDWIN: Okay.09:30Q Word it how you would word it.09:30A We talked about, again, an incompatibility09:30that existed in one version of the source code on a 09:3009:30particular day at a time when the HTTP protocol was 09:3009:30transitioning from 0.9 to 1.1.09:30He had integrated the newest version of the 09:30library from Cern. And by doing that, his system 09:30wasn't completely prepared to work with that new 09:30version of the library from Cern, and simple 09:30modifications needed to be made to his system for that 09:30
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	August of 2011, there was a discussion with a bug in 09:29 the Viola code related to which you characterized 09:29 as a slight incompatibility with HTTP? 09:29 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:29 You can answer. 09:29 THE WITNESS: I've answered the question. 09:29 I'm not I'm not sure the point of rewording it. 09:29 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Are you refusing to answer 09:29 my question? 09:29 A Well, I wouldn't say what you said. 09:29 Q How about let me ask my question again. 09:29 A Sure. 09:29 Q So you had a meeting at which Mr. Wei was 09:29	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE WITNESS: it's support for HTPP. I09:30wouldn't word it that way.09:30MR. BUDWIN: Okay.09:30QWord it how you would word it.09:30AWe talked about, again, an incompatibility09:30that existed in one version of the source code on a09:30particular day at a time when the HTTP protocol was09:30transitioning from 0.9 to 1.1.09:30He had integrated the newest version of the09:30library from Cern. And by doing that, his system09:30version of the library from Cern, and simple09:30modifications needed to be made to his system for that 09:30to be for that to work correctly.09:30
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	August of 2011, there was a discussion with a bug in 09:29 the Viola code related to which you characterized 09:29 as a slight incompatibility with HTTP? 09:29 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:29 You can answer. 09:29 THE WITNESS: I've answered the question. 09:29 I'm not I'm not sure the point of rewording it. 09:29 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Are you refusing to answer 09:29 my question? 09:29 A Well, I wouldn't say what you said. 09:29 Q How about let me ask my question again. 09:29 A Sure. 09:29 Q So you had a meeting at which Mr. Wei was 09:29 present in July or August 2011; is that right? 09:29 A That's correct. 09:29	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE WITNESS: it's support for HTPP. I 09:30wouldn't word it that way.09:30MR. BUDWIN: Okay.09:30Q Word it how you would word it.09:30A We talked about, again, an incompatibility09:30that existed in one version of the source code on a 09:3009:30particular day at a time when the HTTP protocol was 09:3009:30transitioning from 0.9 to 1.1.09:30He had integrated the newest version of the 09:30library from Cern. And by doing that, his system 09:30wasn't completely prepared to work with that new 09:30version of the library from Cern, and simple 09:30modifications needed to be made to his system for that 09:30
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	August of 2011, there was a discussion with a bug in 09:29 the Viola code related to which you characterized 09:29 as a slight incompatibility with HTTP? 09:29 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:29 You can answer. 09:29 THE WITNESS: I've answered the question. 09:29 I'm not I'm not sure the point of rewording it. 09:29 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Are you refusing to answer 09:29 my question? 09:29 A Well, I wouldn't say what you said. 09:29 Q How about let me ask my question again. 09:29 A Sure. 09:29 Q So you had a meeting at which Mr. Wei was 09:29 present in July or August 2011; is that right? 09:29 A That's correct. 09:29	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE WITNESS: it's support for HTPP. I 09:30wouldn't word it that way.09:30MR. BUDWIN: Okay.09:30Q Word it how you would word it.09:30A We talked about, again, an incompatibility09:30that existed in one version of the source code on a 09:30particular day at a time when the HTTP protocol was 09:30transitioning from 0.9 to 1.1.09:30He had integrated the newest version of the 09:30library from Cern. And by doing that, his system 09:30wasn't completely prepared to work with that new 09:30version of the library from Cern, and simple 09:30modifications needed to be made to his system for that 09:30to be for that to work correctly.09:30Q So at the time meeting in July or August of 09:31
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	August of 2011, there was a discussion with a bug in 09:29 the Viola code related to which you characterized 09:29 as a slight incompatibility with HTTP? 09:29 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:29 You can answer. 09:29 THE WITNESS: I've answered the question. 09:29 I'm not I'm not sure the point of rewording it. 09:29 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Are you refusing to answer 09:29 my question? 09:29 A Well, I wouldn't say what you said. 09:29 Q How about let me ask my question again. 09:29 A Sure. 09:29 Q So you had a meeting at which Mr. Wei was 09:29 present in July or August 2011; is that right? 09:29 A That's correct. 09:29 Q And you spent an hour or two with Mr. Wei and 09:29	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE WITNESS: it's support for HTPP. I 09:30wouldn't word it that way.09:30MR. BUDWIN: Okay.09:30Q Word it how you would word it.09:30A We talked about, again, an incompatibility09:30that existed in one version of the source code on a 09:30particular day at a time when the HTTP protocol was 09:30transitioning from 0.9 to 1.1.09:30He had integrated the newest version of the 09:30library from Cern. And by doing that, his system 09:30version of the library from Cern, and simple 09:30modifications needed to be made to his system for that 09:30to be for that to work correctly.09:30Q So at the time meeting in July or August of 09:312011, you talked about an incompatibility that existed 09:31
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	August of 2011, there was a discussion with a bug in 09:29 the Viola code related to which you characterized 09:29 as a slight incompatibility with HTTP? 09:29 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:29 You can answer. 09:29 THE WITNESS: I've answered the question. 09:29 I'm not I'm not sure the point of rewording it. 09:29 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Are you refusing to answer 09:29 my question? 09:29 A Well, I wouldn't say what you said. 09:29 Q How about let me ask my question again. 09:29 A Sure. 09:29 Q So you had a meeting at which Mr. Wei was 09:29 present in July or August 2011; is that right? 09:29 A That's correct. 09:29 Q And you spent an hour or two with Mr. Wei and 09:29 with counsel talking about things related to the Viola 09:29	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE WITNESS: it's support for HTPP. I 09:30wouldn't word it that way.09:30MR. BUDWIN: Okay.09:30Q Word it how you would word it.09:30A We talked about, again, an incompatibility09:30that existed in one version of the source code on a 09:3009:30particular day at a time when the HTTP protocol was 09:3009:30transitioning from 0.9 to 1.1.09:30He had integrated the newest version of the 09:30library from Cern. And by doing that, his system 09:30wasn't completely prepared to work with that new 09:30version of the library from Cern, and simple 09:30modifications needed to be made to his system for that 09:30to be for that to work correctly.09:312011, you talked about an incompatibility that existed 09:31
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	August of 2011, there was a discussion with a bug in 09:29 the Viola code related to which you characterized 09:29 as a slight incompatibility with HTTP? 09:29 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:29 You can answer. 09:29 THE WITNESS: I've answered the question. 09:29 I'm not I'm not sure the point of rewording it. 09:29 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Are you refusing to answer 09:29 my question? 09:29 A Well, I wouldn't say what you said. 09:29 Q How about let me ask my question again. 09:29 A Sure. 09:29 Q So you had a meeting at which Mr. Wei was 09:29 present in July or August 2011; is that right? 09:29 A That's correct. 09:29 Q And you spent an hour or two with Mr. Wei and 09:29 with counsel talking about things related to the Viola 09:29 background, document collection, things of that 09:30	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE WITNESS: it's support for HTPP. I 09:30wouldn't word it that way.09:30MR. BUDWIN: Okay.09:30Q Word it how you would word it.09:30A We talked about, again, an incompatibility09:30that existed in one version of the source code on a 09:3009:30particular day at a time when the HTTP protocol was 09:3009:30transitioning from 0.9 to 1.1.09:30He had integrated the newest version of the 09:30library from Cern. And by doing that, his system 09:30wasn't completely prepared to work with that new 09:30version of the library from Cern, and simple 09:30modifications needed to be made to his system for that 09:30to be for that to work correctly.09:312011, you talked about an incompatibility that existed 09:31in one version of the Viola source code related to 09:31transitioning the HTTP protocol from 0.9 to 1.0?09:31
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	August of 2011, there was a discussion with a bug in 09:29 the Viola code related to which you characterized 09:29 as a slight incompatibility with HTTP? 09:29 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:29 You can answer. 09:29 THE WITNESS: I've answered the question. 09:29 I'm not I'm not sure the point of rewording it. 09:29 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Are you refusing to answer 09:29 my question? 09:29 A Well, I wouldn't say what you said. 09:29 Q How about let me ask my question again. 09:29 A Sure. 09:29 Q So you had a meeting at which Mr. Wei was 09:29 present in July or August 2011; is that right? 09:29 A That's correct. 09:29 Q And you spent an hour or two with Mr. Wei and 09:29 with counsel talking about things related to the Viola 09:29 background, document collection, things of that 09:30 nature; right? 09:30	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	THE WITNESS: it's support for HTPP. I09:30wouldn't word it that way.09:30MR. BUDWIN: Okay.09:30Q Word it how you would word it.09:30A We talked about, again, an incompatibility09:30that existed in one version of the source code on a09:30particular day at a time when the HTTP protocol was09:30transitioning from 0.9 to 1.1.09:30He had integrated the newest version of the09:30library from Cern. And by doing that, his system09:30wasn't completely prepared to work with that new09:30wordifications needed to be made to his system for that 09:3009:312011, you talked about an incompatibility that existed 09:31109:31in one version of the Viola source code related to09:31A I think that sounds right.09:31
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	August of 2011, there was a discussion with a bug in 09:29 the Viola code related to which you characterized 09:29 as a slight incompatibility with HTTP? 09:29 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:29 You can answer. 09:29 THE WITNESS: I've answered the question. 09:29 I'm not I'm not sure the point of rewording it. 09:29 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Are you refusing to answer 09:29 my question? 09:29 A Well, I wouldn't say what you said. 09:29 Q How about let me ask my question again. 09:29 Q So you had a meeting at which Mr. Wei was 09:29 present in July or August 2011; is that right? 09:29 A That's correct. 09:29 Q And you spent an hour or two with Mr. Wei and 09:29 with counsel talking about things related to the Viola 09:29 background, document collection, things of that 09:30 A That's correct. 09:30	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE WITNESS: it's support for HTPP. I09:30wouldn't word it that way.09:30MR. BUDWIN: Okay.09:30QWord it how you would word it.09:30AWe talked about, again, an incompatibility09:30that existed in one version of the source code on a09:30particular day at a time when the HTTP protocol was09:30transitioning from 0.9 to 1.1.09:30He had integrated the newest version of the09:30ibrary from Cern. And by doing that, his system09:30wasn't completely prepared to work with that new09:30wodifications needed to be made to his system for that 09:3009:30QSo at the time meeting in July or August of09:312011, you talked about an incompatibility that existed 09:3109:31in one version of the Viola source code related to09:31AI think that sounds right.09:31QOther than that issue, were any other issues09:31
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	August of 2011, there was a discussion with a bug in 09:29 the Viola code related to which you characterized 09:29 as a slight incompatibility with HTTP? 09:29 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:29 You can answer. 09:29 THE WITNESS: I've answered the question. 09:29 I'm not I'm not sure the point of rewording it. 09:29 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Are you refusing to answer 09:29 my question? 09:29 A Well, I wouldn't say what you said. 09:29 Q How about let me ask my question again. 09:29 Q So you had a meeting at which Mr. Wei was 09:29 present in July or August 2011; is that right? 09:29 A That's correct. 09:29 Q And you spent an hour or two with Mr. Wei and 09:29 with counsel talking about things related to the Viola 09:29 background, document collection, things of that 09:30 A That's correct. 09:30 Q Okay. And as part of that discussion, one 09:30	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE WITNESS: it's support for HTPP. I09:30wouldn't word it that way.09:30MR. BUDWIN: Okay.09:30QWord it how you would word it.09:30AWe talked about, again, an incompatibility09:30that existed in one version of the source code on a09:30particular day at a time when the HTTP protocol was09:30transitioning from 0.9 to 1.1.09:30He had integrated the newest version of the09:30ibrary from Cern. And by doing that, his system09:30wasn't completely prepared to work with that new09:30wodifications needed to be made to his system for that 09:30to be for that to work correctly.09:31QSo at the time meeting in July or August of09:312011, you talked about an incompatibility that existed 09:31in one version of the Viola source code related to09:31AI think that sounds right.09:31QOther than that issue, were any other issues09:31or problems or shortcomings with Viola discussed?09:31
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	August of 2011, there was a discussion with a bug in 09:29 the Viola code related to which you characterized 09:29 as a slight incompatibility with HTTP? 09:29 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:29 You can answer. 09:29 THE WITNESS: I've answered the question. 09:29 I'm not I'm not sure the point of rewording it. 09:29 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Are you refusing to answer 09:29 my question? 09:29 A Well, I wouldn't say what you said. 09:29 Q How about let me ask my question again. 09:29 Q So you had a meeting at which Mr. Wei was 09:29 present in July or August 2011; is that right? 09:29 A That's correct. 09:29 Q And you spent an hour or two with Mr. Wei and 09:29 with counsel talking about things related to the Viola 09:29 background, document collection, things of that 09:30 nature; right? 09:30 Q Okay. And as part of that discussion, one 09:30 thing that came up was related to Viola's support for 09:30	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE WITNESS: it's support for HTPP. I09:30wouldn't word it that way.09:30MR. BUDWIN: Okay.09:30Q Word it how you would word it.09:30A We talked about, again, an incompatibility09:30that existed in one version of the source code on a09:30particular day at a time when the HTTP protocol was09:30transitioning from 0.9 to 1.1.09:30He had integrated the newest version of the09:30library from Cern. And by doing that, his system09:30wasn't completely prepared to work with that new09:30wordifications needed to be made to his system for that 09:30to be for that to work correctly.09:31Q So at the time meeting in July or August of09:312011, you talked about an incompatibility that existed 09:31in one version of the Viola source code related to09:31Q Other than that issue, were any other issues09:31A I think that sounds right.09:31A I don't remember. I mean, I think that was09:31

	Page 30		Page 31
1	code that would need to be made for Viola discussed at 09:31	1	in terms of issues. I mean, I know from looking at 09:33
2	the meeting in July or August of 2011? 09:31	2	there's this issue with of when files are 09:33
3	A In that meeting, I don't remember what else. 09:31	3	temporary files are stored in a certain location and 09:33
4	Q Did you have any other meetings with Mr. Wei 09:31	4	there's a part of the system that expects them in a 09:33
5	or Mr. Philips where you talked about any other bugs 09:31	5	different location, and so again, a very minor issue, 09:33
6	or shortcomings or issues with Viola? 09:31	6	very trivial to fix. 09:33
7	A That was my only meeting with Mr. Wei, and I 09:31	7	Q All right. 09:33
8	had one conversation with Mr. Philips, and I don't 09:32	8	So you've described two bugs or issues with 09:33
9	believe we talked about any issues with Viola at that 09:32	9	Viola of which you're aware. The HTTP bug and this 09:33
10	meeting. 09:32	10	temporary files issue; is that right? 09:33
11	Although now that I think maybe I can't 09:32	11	A Yeah. I guess stepping back a little bit, it 09:33
12	remember. I mean, if it did come up, it was very 09:32	12	depends on what you mean by "issues"; relative to 09:33
13	brief with Mr. Philips. 09:32	13	what? 09:34
14	Q So you may have talked about the same HTTP 09:32	14	Q Okay. Well, let's use 09:34
15	bug with Mr. Philips, but you can't recall? 09:32	15	A The 09:34
16	A That's correct. I mean, by that time, the 09:32	16	Q You 09:34
17	issue, if I had if we had talked about it was 09:32	17	A Go ahead. 09:34
18	I had understood it very well. So it didn't it 09:32	18	Q Sure. 09:34
19	wouldn't have really registered too much, because it 09:32	19	You described HTTP an HTTP bug, and you 09:34
20	was nothing new to learn there. 09:32	20	described a potential problem related to potential 09:34
21	Q Are you aware of any other bugs or issues or 09:32	21	files, both with respect to Viola. 09:34
22	shortcomings with Viola other than the HTTP bug that 09:32	22	Other than those two issues, are you aware of 09:34
23	we talked about? 09:32	23	any anything else? 09:34
24	A Issues or shortcomings. In terms of getting 09:32	24	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:34
25	it, again, I wouldn't call it "shortcomings," I mean, 09:33	25	THE WITNESS: Again, it really depends on 09:34
	Page 32		D 22
	rage 52		Page 33
1	it depends on the context of how to say this but 09:34	1	Q All right. 09:36
1 2		1 2	
	it depends on the context of how to say this but 09:34		Q All right. 09:36
2	it depends on the context of how to say this but 09:34 if you're trying to it depends on how you're trying 09:34	2	Q All right. 09:36 Mr. Filo, when did you start working on what 09:36
2 3	it depends on the context of how to say this but 09:34 if you're trying to it depends on how you're trying 09:34 to get or what you're trying to get with Viola where 09:34 you're trying to make it you know, what kind of 09:34 system you're trying to make it work in. 09:34	2 3	Q All right.09:36Mr. Filo, when did you start working on what09:36ultimately became Yahoo?09:36A I believe in March of 1994.09:36Q And is that while you were a student at09:36
2 3 4 5 6	it depends on the context of how to say this but 09:34 if you're trying to it depends on how you're trying 09:34 to get or what you're trying to get with Viola where 09:34 you're trying to make it you know, what kind of 09:34 system you're trying to make it work in. 09:34 So, I mean, I think that more specifics 09:34	2 3 4	Q All right.09:36Mr. Filo, when did you start working on what09:36ultimately became Yahoo?09:36A I believe in March of 1994.09:36Q And is that while you were a student at09:36Stanford?09:36
2 3 4 5 6 7	it depends on the context of how to say this but 09:34 if you're trying to it depends on how you're trying 09:34 to get or what you're trying to get with Viola where 09:34 you're trying to make it you know, what kind of 09:34 system you're trying to make it work in. 09:34 So, I mean, I think that more specifics 09:34 really need to be need to be stated before I can 09:34	2 3 4 5 6 7	Q All right.09:36Mr. Filo, when did you start working on what09:36ultimately became Yahoo?09:36A I believe in March of 1994.09:36Q And is that while you were a student at09:36Stanford?09:36A Yes. I was at Stanford at the time.09:36
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	it depends on the context of how to say this but 09:34 if you're trying to it depends on how you're trying 09:34 to get or what you're trying to get with Viola where 09:34 you're trying to make it you know, what kind of 09:34 system you're trying to make it work in. 09:34 So, I mean, I think that more specifics 09:34 really need to be need to be stated before I can 09:34 really 09:34	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q All right.09:36Mr. Filo, when did you start working on what09:36ultimately became Yahoo?09:36A I believe in March of 1994.09:36Q And is that while you were a student at09:36Stanford?09:36A Yes. I was at Stanford at the time.09:36Q Who, other than yourself, was working on what09:36
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	it depends on the context of how to say this but 09:34 if you're trying to it depends on how you're trying 09:34 to get or what you're trying to get with Viola where 09:34 you're trying to make it you know, what kind of 09:34 system you're trying to make it work in. 09:34 So, I mean, I think that more specifics 09:34 really need to be need to be stated before I can 09:34 mR. BUDWIN: Sure. 09:35	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q All right.09:36Mr. Filo, when did you start working on what09:36ultimately became Yahoo?09:36A I believe in March of 1994.09:36Q And is that while you were a student at09:36Stanford?09:36A Yes. I was at Stanford at the time.09:36Q Who, other than yourself, was working on what09:36ultimately became Yahoo as of March of 1994?09:36
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	it depends on the context of how to say this but 09:34 if you're trying to it depends on how you're trying 09:34 to get or what you're trying to get with Viola where 09:34 you're trying to make it you know, what kind of 09:34 system you're trying to make it work in. 09:34 So, I mean, I think that more specifics 09:34 really need to be need to be stated before I can 09:34 really 09:34 MR. BUDWIN: Sure. 09:35 THE WITNESS: answer that. 09:35	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q All right.09:36Mr. Filo, when did you start working on what09:36ultimately became Yahoo?09:36A I believe in March of 1994.09:36Q And is that while you were a student at09:36Stanford?09:36A Yes. I was at Stanford at the time.09:36Q Who, other than yourself, was working on what09:36ultimately became Yahoo as of March of 1994?09:36A Jerry Yang.09:36
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	it depends on the context of how to say this but 09:34 if you're trying to it depends on how you're trying 09:34 to get or what you're trying to get with Viola where 09:34 you're trying to make it you know, what kind of 09:34 system you're trying to make it work in. 09:34 So, I mean, I think that more specifics 09:34 really need to be need to be stated before I can 09:34 really 09:34 MR. BUDWIN: Sure. 09:35 THE WITNESS: answer that. 09:35 MR. BUDWIN: Q. What more specifics do you 09:35	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q All right.09:36Mr. Filo, when did you start working on what09:36ultimately became Yahoo?09:36A I believe in March of 1994.09:36Q And is that while you were a student at09:36Stanford?09:36A Yes. I was at Stanford at the time.09:36Q Who, other than yourself, was working on what09:36A Jerry Yang.09:36Q Anybody else?09:36
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	it depends on the context of how to say this but 09:34 if you're trying to it depends on how you're trying 09:34 to get or what you're trying to get with Viola where 09:34 you're trying to make it you know, what kind of 09:34 system you're trying to make it work in. 09:34 So, I mean, I think that more specifics 09:34 really need to be need to be stated before I can 09:34 really 09:34 MR. BUDWIN: Sure. 09:35 THE WITNESS: answer that. 09:35 MR. BUDWIN: Q. What more specifics do you 09:35 need? 09:35	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q All right.09:36Mr. Filo, when did you start working on what09:36ultimately became Yahoo?09:36A I believe in March of 1994.09:36Q And is that while you were a student at09:36Stanford?09:36A Yes. I was at Stanford at the time.09:36Q Who, other than yourself, was working on what09:36Ultimately became Yahoo as of March of 1994?09:36A Jerry Yang.09:36Q Anybody else?09:36A No.09:36
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	it depends on the context of how to say this but 09:34 if you're trying to it depends on how you're trying 09:34 to get or what you're trying to get with Viola where 09:34 you're trying to make it you know, what kind of 09:34 system you're trying to make it work in. 09:34 So, I mean, I think that more specifics 09:34 really need to be need to be stated before I can 09:34 really 09:34 MR. BUDWIN: Sure. 09:35 THE WITNESS: answer that. 09:35 MR. BUDWIN: Q. What more specifics do you 09:35 need? 09:35 A Well, I'll give you an example. I mean, if 09:35	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q All right.09:36Mr. Filo, when did you start working on what09:36ultimately became Yahoo?09:36A I believe in March of 1994.09:36Q And is that while you were a student at09:36Stanford?09:36A Yes. I was at Stanford at the time.09:36Q Who, other than yourself, was working on what09:36ultimately became Yahoo as of March of 1994?09:36A Jerry Yang.09:36Q Anybody else?09:36A No.09:36Q And was Mr. Yang also a student at Stanford09:36
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	it depends on the context of how to say this but 09:34 if you're trying to it depends on how you're trying 09:34 to get or what you're trying to get with Viola where 09:34 you're trying to make it you know, what kind of 09:34 system you're trying to make it work in. 09:34 So, I mean, I think that more specifics 09:34 really need to be need to be stated before I can 09:34 really 09:34 MR. BUDWIN: Sure. 09:35 THE WITNESS: answer that. 09:35 MR. BUDWIN: Q. What more specifics do you 09:35 need? 09:35 A Well, I'll give you an example. I mean, if 09:35 you were to get Viola running today on a modern 09:35	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q All right.09:36Mr. Filo, when did you start working on what09:36ultimately became Yahoo?09:36A I believe in March of 1994.09:36Q And is that while you were a student at09:36Stanford?09:36A Yes. I was at Stanford at the time.09:36Q Who, other than yourself, was working on what09:36ultimately became Yahoo as of March of 1994?09:36A Jerry Yang.09:36Q Anybody else?09:36A No.09:36Q And was Mr. Yang also a student at Stanford09:36at that time?09:36
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	it depends on the context of how to say this but 09:34 if you're trying to it depends on how you're trying 09:34 to get or what you're trying to get with Viola where 09:34 you're trying to make it you know, what kind of 09:34 system you're trying to make it work in. 09:34 So, I mean, I think that more specifics 09:34 really need to be need to be stated before I can 09:34 really 09:34 MR. BUDWIN: Sure. 09:35 THE WITNESS: answer that. 09:35 MR. BUDWIN: Q. What more specifics do you 09:35 need? 09:35 A Well, I'll give you an example. I mean, if 09:35 you were to get Viola running today on a modern 09:35 system, compilers change all the time, and so minor 09:35	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q All right.09:36Mr. Filo, when did you start working on what09:36ultimately became Yahoo?09:36A I believe in March of 1994.09:36Q And is that while you were a student at09:36Stanford?09:36A Yes. I was at Stanford at the time.09:36Q Who, other than yourself, was working on what09:36Ultimately became Yahoo as of March of 1994?09:36A Jerry Yang.09:36Q Anybody else?09:36A No.09:36Q And was Mr. Yang also a student at Stanford09:36at that time?09:36A Yes.09:36
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	it depends on the context of how to say this but 09:34 if you're trying to it depends on how you're trying 09:34 to get or what you're trying to get with Viola where 09:34 you're trying to make it you know, what kind of 09:34 system you're trying to make it work in. 09:34 So, I mean, I think that more specifics 09:34 really need to be need to be stated before I can 09:34 really 09:34 MR. BUDWIN: Sure. 09:35 THE WITNESS: answer that. 09:35 MR. BUDWIN: Q. What more specifics do you 09:35 need? 09:35 A Well, I'll give you an example. I mean, if 09:35 you were to get Viola running today on a modern 09:35 modifications may need to be made to the source code 09:35	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q All right.09:36Mr. Filo, when did you start working on what09:36ultimately became Yahoo?09:36A I believe in March of 1994.09:36Q And is that while you were a student at09:36Stanford?09:36Q Who, other than yourself, was working on what09:36Q Anybody else?09:36Q Anybody else?09:36Q And was Mr. Yang also a student at Stanford09:36at that time?09:36Q And wid the idea for what became Yahoo get09:36
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	it depends on the context of how to say this but 09:34 if you're trying to it depends on how you're trying 09:34 to get or what you're trying to get with Viola where 09:34 you're trying to make it you know, what kind of 09:34 system you're trying to make it work in. 09:34 So, I mean, I think that more specifics 09:34 really need to be need to be stated before I can 09:34 really 09:34 MR. BUDWIN: Sure. 09:35 THE WITNESS: answer that. 09:35 MR. BUDWIN: Q. What more specifics do you 09:35 need? 09:35 A Well, I'll give you an example. I mean, if 09:35 system, compilers change all the time, and so minor 09:35 modifications may need to be made to the source code 09:35 to get it to compile correctly. 09:35	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q All right.09:36Mr. Filo, when did you start working on what09:36ultimately became Yahoo?09:36A I believe in March of 1994.09:36Q And is that while you were a student at09:36Stanford?09:36A Yes. I was at Stanford at the time.09:36Q Who, other than yourself, was working on what09:36Q Anybody else?09:36Q Anybody else?09:36A No.09:36Q And was Mr. Yang also a student at Stanford09:36at that time?09:36A Yes.09:36Q How did the idea for what became Yahoo get09:36started in March of 1994?09:36
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	it depends on the context of how to say this but 09:34 if you're trying to it depends on how you're trying 09:34 to get or what you're trying to get with Viola where 09:34 you're trying to make it you know, what kind of 09:34 system you're trying to make it work in. 09:34 So, I mean, I think that more specifics 09:34 really need to be need to be stated before I can 09:34 really 09:34 MR. BUDWIN: Sure. 09:35 THE WITNESS: answer that. 09:35 MR. BUDWIN: Q. What more specifics do you 09:35 need? 09:35 A Well, I'll give you an example. I mean, if 09:35 system, compilers change all the time, and so minor 09:35 to get it to compile correctly. 09:35 So that's just one example of without 09:35	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q All right.09:36Mr. Filo, when did you start working on what09:36ultimately became Yahoo?09:36A I believe in March of 1994.09:36Q And is that while you were a student at09:36Stanford?09:36A Yes. I was at Stanford at the time.09:36Q Who, other than yourself, was working on what09:36Ultimately became Yahoo as of March of 1994?09:36Q Anybody else?09:36Q And was Mr. Yang also a student at Stanford09:36A Yes.09:36Q How did the idea for what became Yahoo get09:36started in March of 1994?09:36A The original idea was really just a directory09:37
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	it depends on the context of how to say this but 09:34 if you're trying to it depends on how you're trying 09:34 to get or what you're trying to get with Viola where 09:34 you're trying to make it you know, what kind of 09:34 system you're trying to make it work in. 09:34 So, I mean, I think that more specifics 09:34 really need to be need to be stated before I can 09:34 really 09:34 MR. BUDWIN: Sure. 09:35 THE WITNESS: answer that. 09:35 MR. BUDWIN: Q. What more specifics do you 09:35 need? 09:35 A Well, I'll give you an example. I mean, if 09:35 you were to get Viola running today on a modern 09:35 modifications may need to be made to the source code 09:35 to get it to compile correctly. 09:35 knowing the context of what you're asking, it's hard 09:35	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q All right.09:36Mr. Filo, when did you start working on what09:36ultimately became Yahoo?09:36A I believe in March of 1994.09:36Q And is that while you were a student at09:36Stanford?09:36A Yes. I was at Stanford at the time.09:36Q Who, other than yourself, was working on what09:36Ultimately became Yahoo as of March of 1994?09:36Q Anybody else?09:36Q And was Mr. Yang also a student at Stanford09:36A Yes.09:36Q How did the idea for what became Yahoo get09:36A The original idea was really just a directory09:37
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	it depends on the context of how to say this but 09:34 if you're trying to it depends on how you're trying 09:34 to get or what you're trying to get with Viola where 09:34 you're trying to make it you know, what kind of 09:34 system you're trying to make it work in. 09:34 So, I mean, I think that more specifics 09:34 really need to be need to be stated before I can 09:34 really 09:34 MR. BUDWIN: Sure. 09:35 THE WITNESS: answer that. 09:35 MR. BUDWIN: Q. What more specifics do you 09:35 need? 09:35 A Well, I'll give you an example. I mean, if 09:35 you were to get Viola running today on a modern 09:35 modifications may need to be made to the source code 09:35 to get it to compile correctly. 09:35 knowing the context of what you're asking, it's hard 09:35 to say or what you're trying to do with the system. 09:35	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q All right.09:36Mr. Filo, when did you start working on what09:36ultimately became Yahoo?09:36A I believe in March of 1994.09:36Q And is that while you were a student at09:36Stanford?09:36A Yes. I was at Stanford at the time.09:36Q Who, other than yourself, was working on what09:36Q Anybody else?09:36Q And was Mr. Yang also a student at Stanford09:36A Yes.09:36Q And was Mr. Yang also a student at Stanford09:36at that time?09:36A Yes.09:36A The original idea was really just a directory09:37listing of more kind of a set of bookmarks that we09:37
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	it depends on the context of how to say this but 09:34 if you're trying to it depends on how you're trying 09:34 to get or what you're trying to get with Viola where 09:34 you're trying to make it you know, what kind of 09:34 system you're trying to make it work in. 09:34 So, I mean, I think that more specifics 09:34 really need to be need to be stated before I can 09:34 really 09:34 MR. BUDWIN: Sure. 09:35 THE WITNESS: answer that. 09:35 MR. BUDWIN: Q. What more specifics do you 09:35 need? 09:35 A Well, I'll give you an example. I mean, if 09:35 you were to get Viola running today on a modern 09:35 modifications may need to be made to the source code 09:35 to get it to compile correctly. 09:35 Knowing the context of what you're asking, it's hard 09:35 to say or what you're trying to do with the system. 09:35 Viola has it's very powerful, and you can 09:35	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q All right.09:36Mr. Filo, when did you start working on what09:36ultimately became Yahoo?09:36A I believe in March of 1994.09:36Q And is that while you were a student at09:36Stanford?09:36A Yes. I was at Stanford at the time.09:36Q Who, other than yourself, was working on what09:36Q Anybody else?09:36Q And was Mr. Yang also a student at Stanford09:36A Yes.09:36Q And was Mr. Yang also a student at Stanford09:36at that time?09:36Q How did the idea for what became Yahoo get09:36A The original idea was really just a directory09:37listing of more kind of a set of bookmarks that we09:37Q When you say "a directory listing" or09:37
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	it depends on the context of how to say this but 09:34 if you're trying to it depends on how you're trying 09:34 to get or what you're trying to get with Viola where 09:34 you're trying to make it you know, what kind of 09:34 system you're trying to make it work in. 09:34 So, I mean, I think that more specifics 09:34 really need to be need to be stated before I can 09:34 really 09:34 MR. BUDWIN: Sure. 09:35 THE WITNESS: answer that. 09:35 MR. BUDWIN: Q. What more specifics do you 09:35 need? 09:35 A Well, I'll give you an example. I mean, if 09:35 system, compilers change all the time, and so minor 09:35 to get it to compile correctly. 09:35 Knowing the context of what you're asking, it's hard 09:35 to say or what you're trying to do with the system. 09:35 Viola has it's very powerful, and you can 09:35 do many things with it. And so depending on what 09:35	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q All right.09:36Mr. Filo, when did you start working on what09:36ultimately became Yahoo?09:36A I believe in March of 1994.09:36Q And is that while you were a student at09:36Stanford?09:36A Yes. I was at Stanford at the time.09:36Q Who, other than yourself, was working on what09:36Q Anybody else?09:36Q Anybody else?09:36Q And was Mr. Yang also a student at Stanford09:36A Yes.09:36Q How did the idea for what became Yahoo get09:36at that time?09:36A Yes.09:36A Yes.09:36A Yes.09:36A Yes.09:36A Yes.09:36A Yes.09:36A Yes.09:36A Yes.09:36A Yes.09:37Using of more kind of a set of bookmarks that we09:37were collecting for our own use at the time.09:37"bookmarks," you mean of other sites that were09:37
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	it depends on the context of how to say this but 09:34 if you're trying to it depends on how you're trying 09:34 to get or what you're trying to get with Viola where 09:34 you're trying to make it you know, what kind of 09:34 system you're trying to make it work in. 09:34 So, I mean, I think that more specifics 09:34 really need to be need to be stated before I can 09:34 really 09:34 MR. BUDWIN: Sure. 09:35 THE WITNESS: answer that. 09:35 MR. BUDWIN: Q. What more specifics do you 09:35 need? 09:35 A Well, I'll give you an example. I mean, if 09:35 you were to get Viola running today on a modern 09:35 system, compilers change all the time, and so minor 09:35 to get it to compile correctly. 09:35 Knowing the context of what you're asking, it's hard 09:35 to say or what you're trying to do with the system. 09:35 Viola has it's very powerful, and you can 09:35 you're trying to do, things may work. You may have to 09:35	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q All right.09:36Mr. Filo, when did you start working on what09:36ultimately became Yahoo?09:36A I believe in March of 1994.09:36Q And is that while you were a student at09:36Stanford?09:36A Yes. I was at Stanford at the time.09:36Q Who, other than yourself, was working on what09:36Q Anybody else?09:36Q Anybody else?09:36Q And was Mr. Yang also a student at Stanford09:36A Yes.09:36Q How did the idea for what became Yahoo get09:36A The original idea was really just a directory09:37were collecting for our own use at the time.09:37When you say "a directory listing" or09:37available on the web at the time?09:37
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	it depends on the context of how to say this but 09:34 if you're trying to it depends on how you're trying 09:34 to get or what you're trying to get with Viola where 09:34 you're trying to make it you know, what kind of 09:34 system you're trying to make it work in. 09:34 So, I mean, I think that more specifics 09:34 really need to be need to be stated before I can 09:34 really 09:34 MR. BUDWIN: Sure. 09:35 THE WITNESS: answer that. 09:35 MR. BUDWIN: Q. What more specifics do you 09:35 need? 09:35 A Well, I'll give you an example. I mean, if 09:35 you were to get Viola running today on a modern 09:35 system, compilers change all the time, and so minor 09:35 to get it to compile correctly. 09:35 Knowing the context of what you're asking, it's hard 09:35 Viola has it's very powerful, and you can 09:35 you're trying to do, things may work. You may have to 09:35	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q All right.09:36Mr. Filo, when did you start working on what09:36ultimately became Yahoo?09:36A I believe in March of 1994.09:36Q And is that while you were a student at09:36Stanford?09:36A Yes. I was at Stanford at the time.09:36Q Who, other than yourself, was working on what09:36Q Anybody else?09:36Q Anybody else?09:36Q And was Mr. Yang also a student at Stanford09:36A Yes.09:36Q How did the idea for what became Yahoo get09:36at that time?09:36A Yes.09:36A Yes.09:36A Yes.09:36A Yes.09:36A Yes.09:36A Yes.09:36A Yes.09:36A Yes.09:36A Yes.09:37Using of more kind of a set of bookmarks that we09:37were collecting for our own use at the time.09:37"bookmarks," you mean of other sites that were09:37

	Page 34		Page 35
1	to kind of keep track of what was happening in the 09:37	1	Q And you're both you're both students at 09:38
2	different sites that were being created, we were 09:37	2	the time? 09:38
3	keeping track of some of those sites for our own use. 09:37	3	A Yes. 09:38
4	Q And so you just made a directory listing or 09:37	4	Q And you're starting to explore the web which 09:38
5	bookmark, a blue underline hyperlink sites or category 09:37	5	is emerging around that time frame; is that right? 09:38
6	of sites that were made available? 09:37	6	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:38
7	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:37	7	THE WITNESS: We you know, we were using 09:38
8	You may answer. 09:37	8	the web from you know, well before that. 09:38
9	THE WITNESS: Yeah. And so we as we were 09:37	9	Started using the Internet for many years 09:39
10	finding sites out there, and at first we kind of 09:37	10	before that, and as the web and the predecessors to 09:39
11	started with sites that we were interested in or 09:38	11	the web started to unfold back in, you know, whatever, 09:39
12	interested in potentially going back to at some point 09:38	12	'90, '91, '92, '93, as go for an FTP and ways for 09:39
13	in the future, because there was really no good search 09:38	13	other things, and other web browsers had started 09:39
14	engine or good kind of directory out there, when you 09:38	14	coming out, we were we had been using all that 09:39
15	found something, it wasn't necessarily an easy way to 09:38	15	stuff prior to that. 09:39
16	get back to. 09:38	16	So it was just in the March of '94 09:39
17		17	March/April '94 was the time when we first started to 09:39
18		18	develop some tools that would help us kind of keep 09:39
19	e	19	track and categorize websites. 09:39
20	categorized fashion was kind of really the first 09:38	20	MR. BUDWIN: All right. 09:39
21	motivation to for what became Yahoo. 09:38	21	Q So in March or April of 1994, you and 09:39
22	MR. BUDWIN: All right. 09:38	22	Mr. Yang start developing tools that will help you 09:39
23	Q So March of 1994, you and Jerry Yang are at 09:38	23	categorize websites that existed at the time? 09:39
24	Stanford; is that right? 09:38	24	A That's correct. 09:39
25	A That's right. 09:38	25	Q And ultimately you decided to keep a list of 09:39
	Page 36		Page 37
1	Page 36 the the websites that had interested you by 09:39	1	Page 37 THE WITNESS: That's correct. 09:41
1 2		1 2	
	the the websites that had interested you by 09:39		THE WITNESS: That's correct.09:41MR. BUDWIN: Q. How were you connecting to09:41the Internet or the web?09:41
2	the the websites that had interested you by09:39category; is that right?09:40MS. DOAN: Objection; form.09:40THE WITNESS: It first started out as09:40	2	THE WITNESS: That's correct.09:41MR. BUDWIN: Q. How were you connecting to09:41
2 3	the the websites that had interested you by09:39category; is that right?09:40MS. DOAN: Objection; form.09:40THE WITNESS: It first started out as09:40categorizing sites that we were interested in. Very09:40	2 3	THE WITNESS: That's correct.09:41MR. BUDWIN: Q. How were you connecting to09:41the Internet or the web?09:41A The well, Stanford University had been09:41connected to the Internet for decades before that,09:41
2 3 4 5 6	the the websites that had interested you by09:39category; is that right?09:40MS. DOAN: Objection; form.09:40THE WITNESS: It first started out as09:40categorizing sites that we were interested in. Very09:40quickly it became categorizing any and all sites,09:40	2 3 4 5 6	THE WITNESS: That's correct.09:41MR. BUDWIN: Q. How were you connecting to09:41the Internet or the web?09:41A The well, Stanford University had been09:41connected to the Internet for decades before that,09:41probably many years, and so our use of the web was,09:41
2 3 4 5 6 7	the the websites that had interested you by09:39category; is that right?09:40MS. DOAN: Objection; form.09:40THE WITNESS: It first started out as09:40categorizing sites that we were interested in. Very09:40quickly it became categorizing any and all sites,09:40thinking that even if we weren't interested in it,09:40	2 3 4 5 6 7	THE WITNESS: That's correct.09:41MR. BUDWIN: Q. How were you connecting to09:41the Internet or the web?09:41A The well, Stanford University had been09:41connected to the Internet for decades before that,09:41probably many years, and so our use of the web was,09:41I'd say, primarily through our kind of personal09:41
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the the websites that had interested you by category; is that right?09:40MS. DOAN: Objection; form.09:40THE WITNESS: It first started out as09:40categorizing sites that we were interested in. Very quickly it became categorizing any and all sites, thinking that even if we weren't interested in it, o9:4009:40someone else might be interested in it.09:40	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE WITNESS: That's correct.09:41MR. BUDWIN: Q. How were you connecting to09:41the Internet or the web?09:41A The well, Stanford University had been09:41connected to the Internet for decades before that,09:41probably many years, and so our use of the web was,09:41I'd say, primarily through our kind of personal09:41workstations that we had at the university, and09:41
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the the websites that had interested you by category; is that right?09:40MS. DOAN: Objection; form.09:40THE WITNESS: It first started out as09:40categorizing sites that we were interested in. Very quickly it became categorizing any and all sites, thinking that even if we weren't interested in it, op:4009:40thinking that even if we weren't interested in it, MR. BUDWIN: Okay.09:40	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE WITNESS: That's correct.09:41MR. BUDWIN: Q. How were you connecting to09:41the Internet or the web?09:41A The well, Stanford University had been09:41connected to the Internet for decades before that,09:41probably many years, and so our use of the web was,09:41I'd say, primarily through our kind of personal09:41workstations that we had at the university, and09:41they're connected to the Stanford network which is09:41
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the the websites that had interested you by 09:39 category; is that right? 09:40 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:40 THE WITNESS: It first started out as 09:40 categorizing sites that we were interested in. Very 09:40 quickly it became categorizing any and all sites, 09:40 thinking that even if we weren't interested in it, 09:40 someone else might be interested in it. 09:40 MR. BUDWIN: Okay. 09:40 Q And did you make this list or categorization 09:40	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE WITNESS: That's correct.09:41MR. BUDWIN: Q. How were you connecting to09:41the Internet or the web?09:41A The well, Stanford University had been09:41connected to the Internet for decades before that,09:41probably many years, and so our use of the web was,09:41I'd say, primarily through our kind of personal09:41workstations that we had at the university, and09:41they're connected to the Stanford network which is09:41connected to the Internet.09:41
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the the websites that had interested you by 09:39 category; is that right? 09:40 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:40 THE WITNESS: It first started out as 09:40 categorizing sites that we were interested in. Very 09:40 quickly it became categorizing any and all sites, 09:40 thinking that even if we weren't interested in it, 09:40 someone else might be interested in it. 09:40 MR. BUDWIN: Okay. 09:40 Q And did you make this list or categorization 09:40 of sites available to others in this March or April of 09:40	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	THE WITNESS: That's correct.09:41MR. BUDWIN: Q. How were you connecting to09:41the Internet or the web?09:41A The well, Stanford University had been09:41connected to the Internet for decades before that,09:41probably many years, and so our use of the web was,09:41I'd say, primarily through our kind of personal09:41workstations that we had at the university, and09:41they're connected to the Stanford network which is09:41Q Now, at the time that you started Yahoo, were09:42
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the the websites that had interested you by 09:39 category; is that right? 09:40 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:40 THE WITNESS: It first started out as 09:40 categorizing sites that we were interested in. Very 09:40 quickly it became categorizing any and all sites, 09:40 thinking that even if we weren't interested in it, 09:40 someone else might be interested in it. 09:40 MR. BUDWIN: Okay. 09:40 Q And did you make this list or categorization 09:40 of sites available to others in this March or April of 09:40 '94 time frame? 09:40	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE WITNESS: That's correct.09:41MR. BUDWIN: Q. How were you connecting to09:41the Internet or the web?09:41A The well, Stanford University had been09:41connected to the Internet for decades before that,09:41probably many years, and so our use of the web was,09:41I'd say, primarily through our kind of personal09:41workstations that we had at the university, and09:41they're connected to the Stanford network which is09:41connected to the Internet.09:41Q Now, at the time that you started Yahoo, were09:42you the first person, which you're aware, who put09:42
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the the websites that had interested you by 09:39 category; is that right? 09:40 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:40 THE WITNESS: It first started out as 09:40 categorizing sites that we were interested in. Very 09:40 quickly it became categorizing any and all sites, 09:40 thinking that even if we weren't interested in it, 09:40 someone else might be interested in it. 09:40 MR. BUDWIN: Okay. 09:40 Q And did you make this list or categorization 09:40 of sites available to others in this March or April of 09:40 '94 time frame? 09:40 A Yes. 09:40	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE WITNESS: That's correct.09:41MR. BUDWIN: Q. How were you connecting to09:41the Internet or the web?09:41A The well, Stanford University had been09:41connected to the Internet for decades before that,09:41probably many years, and so our use of the web was,09:41I'd say, primarily through our kind of personal09:41workstations that we had at the university, and09:41they're connected to the Stanford network which is09:41connected to the Internet.09:41Q Now, at the time that you started Yahoo, were09:42you the first person, which you're aware, who put09:42together this index or category categorization of09:42
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the the websites that had interested you by 09:39 category; is that right? 09:40 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:40 THE WITNESS: It first started out as 09:40 categorizing sites that we were interested in. Very 09:40 quickly it became categorizing any and all sites, 09:40 thinking that even if we weren't interested in it, 09:40 MR. BUDWIN: Okay. 09:40 Q And did you make this list or categorization 09:40 of sites available to others in this March or April of 09:40 '94 time frame? 09:40 A Yes. 09:40 Q And how did you do that? 09:40	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE WITNESS: That's correct.09:41MR. BUDWIN: Q. How were you connecting to09:41the Internet or the web?09:41A The well, Stanford University had been09:41connected to the Internet for decades before that,09:41probably many years, and so our use of the web was,09:41I'd say, primarily through our kind of personal09:41workstations that we had at the university, and09:41they're connected to the Stanford network which is09:41connected to the Internet.09:41Q Now, at the time that you started Yahoo, were09:42you the first person, which you're aware, who put09:42together this index or category categorization of09:42
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the the websites that had interested you by 09:39 category; is that right? 09:40 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:40 THE WITNESS: It first started out as 09:40 categorizing sites that we were interested in. Very 09:40 quickly it became categorizing any and all sites, 09:40 thinking that even if we weren't interested in it, 09:40 MR. BUDWIN: Okay. 09:40 Q And did you make this list or categorization 09:40 of sites available to others in this March or April of 09:40 '94 time frame? 09:40 A Yes. 09:40 Q And how did you do that? 09:40 A By just publishing our own page that had the 09:40	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE WITNESS: That's correct.09:41MR. BUDWIN: Q. How were you connecting to09:41the Internet or the web?09:41A The well, Stanford University had been09:41connected to the Internet for decades before that,09:41probably many years, and so our use of the web was,09:41I'd say, primarily through our kind of personal09:41workstations that we had at the university, and09:41they're connected to the Stanford network which is09:41connected to the Internet.09:41Q Now, at the time that you started Yahoo, were09:42you the first person, which you're aware, who put09:42together this index or category categorization of09:42A No. I mean, in terms of a list of websites,09:42
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the the websites that had interested you by 09:39 category; is that right? 09:40 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:40 THE WITNESS: It first started out as 09:40 categorizing sites that we were interested in. Very 09:40 quickly it became categorizing any and all sites, 09:40 thinking that even if we weren't interested in it, 09:40 MR. BUDWIN: Okay. 09:40 Q And did you make this list or categorization 09:40 of sites available to others in this March or April of 09:40 '94 time frame? 09:40 A Yes. 09:40 Q And how did you do that? 09:40 A By just publishing our own page that had the 09:40 categories and list of sites. 09:40	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE WITNESS: That's correct.09:41MR. BUDWIN: Q. How were you connecting to09:41the Internet or the web?09:41A The well, Stanford University had been09:41connected to the Internet for decades before that,09:41probably many years, and so our use of the web was,09:41I'd say, primarily through our kind of personal09:41workstations that we had at the university, and09:41they're connected to the Stanford network which is09:41connected to the Internet.09:41Q Now, at the time that you started Yahoo, were09:42you the first person, which you're aware, who put09:42sites that were available on the web?09:42A No. I mean, in terms of a list of websites,09:42
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the the websites that had interested you by 09:39 category; is that right? 09:40 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:40 THE WITNESS: It first started out as 09:40 categorizing sites that we were interested in. Very 09:40 quickly it became categorizing any and all sites, 09:40 thinking that even if we weren't interested in it, 09:40 someone else might be interested in it. 09:40 MR. BUDWIN: Okay. 09:40 Q And did you make this list or categorization 09:40 of sites available to others in this March or April of 09:40 '94 time frame? 09:40 A Yes. 09:40 Q And how did you do that? 09:40 A By just publishing our own page that had the 09:40 categories and list of sites. 09:40 Q When did you and Mr. Yang first publish your 09:40	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE WITNESS: That's correct.09:41MR. BUDWIN: Q. How were you connecting to09:41the Internet or the web?09:41A The well, Stanford University had been09:41connected to the Internet for decades before that,09:41probably many years, and so our use of the web was,09:41I'd say, primarily through our kind of personal09:41workstations that we had at the university, and09:41they're connected to the Stanford network which is09:41connected to the Internet.09:41Q Now, at the time that you started Yahoo, were09:42you the first person, which you're aware, who put09:42sites that were available on the web?09:42A No. I mean, in terms of a list of websites,09:42web.09:42
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the the websites that had interested you by 09:39 category; is that right? 09:40 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:40 THE WITNESS: It first started out as 09:40 categorizing sites that we were interested in. Very 09:40 quickly it became categorizing any and all sites, 09:40 thinking that even if we weren't interested in it, 09:40 someone else might be interested in it. 09:40 MR. BUDWIN: Okay. 09:40 Q And did you make this list or categorization 09:40 of sites available to others in this March or April of 09:40 '94 time frame? 09:40 A Yes. 09:40 Q And how did you do that? 09:40 A By just publishing our own page that had the 09:40 categories and list of sites. 09:40 Q When did you and Mr. Yang first publish your 09:40 own page? 09:40	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE WITNESS: That's correct.09:41MR. BUDWIN: Q. How were you connecting to09:41the Internet or the web?09:41A The well, Stanford University had been09:41connected to the Internet for decades before that,09:41probably many years, and so our use of the web was,09:41I'd say, primarily through our kind of personal09:41workstations that we had at the university, and09:41they're connected to the Stanford network which is09:41connected to the Internet.09:41Q Now, at the time that you started Yahoo, were09:42you the first person, which you're aware, who put09:42together this index or category categorization of09:42A No. I mean, in terms of a list of websites,09:42web.09:42Q What made your list of websites different09:42
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	the the websites that had interested you by 09:39 category; is that right? 09:40 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:40 THE WITNESS: It first started out as 09:40 categorizing sites that we were interested in. Very 09:40 quickly it became categorizing any and all sites, 09:40 thinking that even if we weren't interested in it, 09:40 MR. BUDWIN: Okay. 09:40 Q And did you make this list or categorization 09:40 of sites available to others in this March or April of 09:40 '94 time frame? 09:40 A Yes. 09:40 Q And how did you do that? 09:40 A By just publishing our own page that had the 09:40 categories and list of sites. 09:40 Q When did you and Mr. Yang first publish your 09:40 own page? 09:40 A Would have been in the March 09:40	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	THE WITNESS: That's correct.09:41MR. BUDWIN: Q. How were you connecting to09:41the Internet or the web?09:41A The well, Stanford University had been09:41connected to the Internet for decades before that,09:41probably many years, and so our use of the web was,09:41I'd say, primarily through our kind of personal09:41workstations that we had at the university, and09:41they're connected to the Stanford network which is09:41connected to the Internet.09:41Q Now, at the time that you started Yahoo, were09:42you the first person, which you're aware, who put09:42together this index or category categorization of09:42A No. I mean, in terms of a list of websites,09:42web.09:42Q What made your list of websites different09:42than other lists that existed at this time frame,09:42
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the the websites that had interested you by 09:39 category; is that right? 09:40 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:40 THE WITNESS: It first started out as 09:40 categorizing sites that we were interested in. Very 09:40 quickly it became categorizing any and all sites, 09:40 thinking that even if we weren't interested in it, 09:40 someone else might be interested in it. 09:40 MR. BUDWIN: Okay. 09:40 Q And did you make this list or categorization 09:40 of sites available to others in this March or April of 09:40 '94 time frame? 09:40 A Yes. 09:40 A Yes. 09:40 A Sy just publishing our own page that had the 09:40 categories and list of sites. 09:40 Q When did you and Mr. Yang first publish your 09:40 own page? 09:40 A Would have been in the March 09:40 Q March. 09:40	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE WITNESS: That's correct.09:41MR. BUDWIN: Q. How were you connecting to09:41the Internet or the web?09:41A The well, Stanford University had been09:41connected to the Internet for decades before that,09:41probably many years, and so our use of the web was,09:41I'd say, primarily through our kind of personal09:41workstations that we had at the university, and09:41they're connected to the Stanford network which is09:41connected to the Internet.09:41Q Now, at the time that you started Yahoo, were09:42together this index or category categorization of09:42sites that were available on the web?09:42A No. I mean, in terms of a list of websites,09:42web.09:42Q What made your list of websites different09:42than other lists that existed at this time frame,09:42March or April of '94?09:42
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the the websites that had interested you by 09:39 category; is that right? 09:40 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:40 THE WITNESS: It first started out as 09:40 categorizing sites that we were interested in. Very 09:40 quickly it became categorizing any and all sites, 09:40 thinking that even if we weren't interested in it, 09:40 someone else might be interested in it. 09:40 MR. BUDWIN: Okay. 09:40 Q And did you make this list or categorization 09:40 of sites available to others in this March or April of 09:40 '94 time frame? 09:40 A Yes. 09:40 Q And how did you do that? 09:40 A By just publishing our own page that had the 09:40 categories and list of sites. 09:40 Q When did you and Mr. Yang first publish your 09:40 own page? 09:40 A Would have been in the March 09:40 A March. 09:40 A April time frame. 09:40	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE WITNESS: That's correct.09:41MR. BUDWIN: Q. How were you connecting to09:41the Internet or the web?09:41A The well, Stanford University had been09:41connected to the Internet for decades before that,09:41probably many years, and so our use of the web was,09:41I'd say, primarily through our kind of personal09:41workstations that we had at the university, and09:41they're connected to the Stanford network which is09:41connected to the Internet.09:41Q Now, at the time that you started Yahoo, were09:42you the first person, which you're aware, who put09:42together this index or category categorization of09:42A No. I mean, in terms of a list of websites,09:42web.09:42Q What made your list of websites different09:42March or April of '94?09:42A Well, what made it different was that it was09:42
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the the websites that had interested you by 09:39 category; is that right? 09:40 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:40 THE WITNESS: It first started out as 09:40 categorizing sites that we were interested in. Very 09:40 quickly it became categorizing any and all sites, 09:40 thinking that even if we weren't interested in it, 09:40 someone else might be interested in it. 09:40 MR. BUDWIN: Okay. 09:40 Q And did you make this list or categorization 09:40 of sites available to others in this March or April of 09:40 '94 time frame? 09:40 A Yes. 09:40 Q And how did you do that? 09:40 A By just publishing our own page that had the 09:40 categories and list of sites. 09:40 Q When did you and Mr. Yang first publish your 09:40 own page? 09:40 A Would have been in the March 09:40 Q March. 09:40 A April time frame. 09:40 Q Now, you and Mr. Yang were students at 09:40	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE WITNESS: That's correct.09:41MR. BUDWIN: Q. How were you connecting to09:41the Internet or the web?09:41A The well, Stanford University had been09:41connected to the Internet for decades before that,09:41probably many years, and so our use of the web was,09:41I'd say, primarily through our kind of personal09:41workstations that we had at the university, and09:41they're connected to the Stanford network which is09:41connected to the Internet.09:41Q Now, at the time that you started Yahoo, were09:42you the first person, which you're aware, who put09:42together this index or category categorization of09:42there were definitely other lists of websites,09:42web.09:42Q What made your list of websites on the09:42March or April of '94?09:42A Well, what made it different was that it was09:42the one we created, so kind of by definition it was09:42
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the the websites that had interested you by 09:39 category; is that right? 09:40 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:40 THE WITNESS: It first started out as 09:40 categorizing sites that we were interested in. Very 09:40 quickly it became categorizing any and all sites, 09:40 thinking that even if we weren't interested in it, 09:40 someone else might be interested in it. 09:40 MR. BUDWIN: Okay. 09:40 Q And did you make this list or categorization 09:40 of sites available to others in this March or April of 09:40 '94 time frame? 09:40 A Yes. 09:40 Q And how did you do that? 09:40 A By just publishing our own page that had the 09:40 categories and list of sites. 09:40 Q When did you and Mr. Yang first publish your 09:40 own page? 09:40 A Would have been in the March 09:40 Q March. 09:40 A April time frame. 09:40 Q Now, you and Mr. Yang were students at 09:40 Stanford in this March or April '94 time frame; is 09:40	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE WITNESS: That's correct.09:41MR. BUDWIN: Q. How were you connecting to09:41the Internet or the web?09:41A The well, Stanford University had been09:41connected to the Internet for decades before that,09:41probably many years, and so our use of the web was,09:41I'd say, primarily through our kind of personal09:41workstations that we had at the university, and09:41they're connected to the Stanford network which is09:41connected to the Internet.09:41Q Now, at the time that you started Yahoo, were09:42you the first person, which you're aware, who put09:42together this index or category categorization of09:42A No. I mean, in terms of a list of websites,09:42web.09:42Q What made your list of websites different09:42March or April of '94?09:42A Well, what made it different was that it was09:42the one we created, so kind of by definition it was09:42
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the the websites that had interested you by 09:39 category; is that right? 09:40 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:40 THE WITNESS: It first started out as 09:40 categorizing sites that we were interested in. Very 09:40 quickly it became categorizing any and all sites, 09:40 thinking that even if we weren't interested in it, 09:40 someone else might be interested in it. 09:40 MR. BUDWIN: Okay. 09:40 Q And did you make this list or categorization 09:40 of sites available to others in this March or April of 09:40 '94 time frame? 09:40 A Yes. 09:40 Q And how did you do that? 09:40 A By just publishing our own page that had the 09:40 categories and list of sites. 09:40 Q When did you and Mr. Yang first publish your 09:40 own page? 09:40 A Would have been in the March 09:40 Q March. 09:40 A April time frame. 09:40 Q Now, you and Mr. Yang were students at 09:40	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE WITNESS: That's correct.09:41MR. BUDWIN: Q. How were you connecting to09:41the Internet or the web?09:41A The well, Stanford University had been09:41connected to the Internet for decades before that,09:41probably many years, and so our use of the web was,09:41I'd say, primarily through our kind of personal09:41workstations that we had at the university, and09:41they're connected to the Stanford network which is09:41connected to the Internet.09:41Q Now, at the time that you started Yahoo, were09:42you the first person, which you're aware, who put09:42together this index or category categorization of09:42there were definitely other lists of websites,09:42web.09:42Q What made your list of websites on the09:42March or April of '94?09:42A Well, what made it different was that it was09:42the one we created, so kind of by definition it was09:42

	Page 38		Page 39
1	in March or April of '94? 09:42	1	what they looked like. 09:44
2	A Well, when I say "list," "other listings," I 09:42	2	Q And had you gathered those and provided them 09:44
3	mean, every not every but many websites at the 09:42	3	to counsel in this case? 09:44
4	time had listings of other websites. 09:42	4	A Yes. 09:44
5	Just as today, you'll find if you go to any 09:42	5	Q Okay. And do you know if those were ever 09:44
6	web page, you're going to typically find links to 09:43	6	produced to us? 09:44
7	other pages or other websites on a page. 09:43	7	A I don't know. 09:44
8	So there certainly was no shortage of lists 09:43	8	MR. BUDWIN: Okay. I'm just going to make a 09:44
9	of other websites. Again, that is kind of the nature 09:43	9	request that we get copies of those, because I don't 09:44
10	of the web to kind of link to other things. 09:43	10	believe they've been produced. 09:44
11	Q Do you have in your possession today access 09:43	11	MS. DOAN: I believe they have been produced, 09:44
12	to what Yahoo's website looked like in March or April 09:43	12	but why don't you send me a letter. 09:44
13	of 1994? 09:43	13	MR. BUDWIN: Okay. I'd like to mark a 09:44
14	A My position what do you mean today? 09:43	14	document as Exhibit 1. 09:44
15	Q Do you have access to something that would 09:43	15	(Document marked Exhibit 1 09:44
16	show us the way Yahoo's website looked like in this 09:43	16	for identification.) 09:44
17	1	17	MR. BUDWIN: Q. Mr. Filo, I've just handed 09:44
18		18	you a document which has been marked as Exhibit 1. 09:44
19	access to it, you mean in general, not could I show it 09:43	19	It's a printout from CNET, and do you see there's a 09:44
20		20	part of the document that I drew a highlighted box 09:44
21	Q Right. In general. 09:43	21	around? 09:44
22	A Yeah. 09:43	22	A Of yes. 09:44
23	Q If you went back to your office, on your 09:43	23	Q Okay. Is what's within the highlighted box 09:44
24	computer; you do? 09:43	24	an accurate representation of your understanding of 09:44
25	A We have there are a couple of snapshots of 09:43	25	the way Yahoo's website looked like in 1994? 09:44
	Page 40		Page 41
1	A Yeah, it looks reasonable. 09:45	1	A Well, the company was called Yahoo from the 09:46
2	Q Okay. All right. You can set that aside. 09:45	2	first day of incorporation. 09:46
3	All right. So you and Mr. Yang, you're 09:45	3	Q Okay. And when was that? 09:46
4	students at Stanford University. You founded Yahoo in 09:45	4	A I think that was in March of 1995. 09:46
5	March or April of 1994? 09:45	5	Q And did you end up graduating from Stanford 09:46
6	A We founded what became Yahoo in March/April 09:45	6	University? 09:46
7	1994. 09:45	7	A I have a master's degree from Stanford. 09:46
8 9	Q And at that time, March or April of 1994, 09:45	8	Q Okay. When did you get your bachelor's from $09:46$
9 10	Yahoo's website was a listing or a categorization of 09:45	9	Stanford? 09:46 A I didn't. 09:46
11	websites, other websites that were available on the 09:45 web? 09:45	10 11	
12		12	Q Okay. Where is your bachelor's degree from? 09:46 A Tulane. 09:46
13	-	13	Q When did you get that degree? 09:46
14^{13}	_	14	A 1988. 09:46
15	-	15	Q And what's that in? 09:46
16		16	A Computer engineering 09:46
17		1 - V	
18		17	() $O(x_{av}) = O(y_{av}) = O$
	Yahoo looked like in this 1994 time frame? 09:45	17 18	Q Okay. 09:47 A I believe. 09:47
19	Yahoo looked like in this 1994 time frame?09:45MS. DOAN: Objection; form.09:45	18	A I believe. 09:47
19 20	Yahoo looked like in this 1994 time frame?09:45MS. DOAN: Objection; form.09:45THE WITNESS: I don't know what this I09:45	18 19	A I believe. 09:47
	Yahoo looked like in this 1994 time frame?09:45MS. DOAN: Objection; form.09:45THE WITNESS: I don't know what this I09:45mean, I couldn't say. It looks like it's probably09:46	18 19 20	A I believe.09:47QAnd then you went to Stanford to get a09:47
20	Yahoo looked like in this 1994 time frame?09:45MS. DOAN: Objection; form.09:45THE WITNESS: I don't know what this I09:45mean, I couldn't say. It looks like it's probably09:46from the '94 time frame, but I have no idea what point09:46	18 19	A I believe.09:47QAnd then you went to Stanford to get a09:47master's?09:47ACorrect.09:47
20 21	Yahoo looked like in this 1994 time frame?09:45MS. DOAN: Objection; form.09:45THE WITNESS: I don't know what this I09:45mean, I couldn't say. It looks like it's probably09:46from the '94 time frame, but I have no idea what point09:46in '94 this was, but clearly at this point we had09:46	18 19 20 21	A I believe.09:47QAnd then you went to Stanford to get a09:47master's?09:47ACorrect.09:47
20 21 22	Yahoo looked like in this 1994 time frame? 09:45 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:45 THE WITNESS: I don't know what this I 09:45 mean, I couldn't say. It looks like it's probably 09:46 from the '94 time frame, but I have no idea what point 09:46 in '94 this was, but clearly at this point we had 09:46 called it Yahoo. 09:46	18 19 20 21 22	A I believe.09:47QAnd then you went to Stanford to get a09:47master's?09:47ACorrect.09:47QWhen did you arrive at Stanford?09:47
20 21 22 23	Yahoo looked like in this 1994 time frame? 09:45 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:45 THE WITNESS: I don't know what this I 09:45 mean, I couldn't say. It looks like it's probably 09:46 from the '94 time frame, but I have no idea what point 09:46 in '94 this was, but clearly at this point we had 09:46 called it Yahoo. 09:46 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Now, when when did the 09:46	18 19 20 21 22 23	A I believe.09:47QAnd then you went to Stanford to get a09:47master's?09:47ACorrect.09:47QWhen did you arrive at Stanford?09:47AIn 19 I guess the fall of 1988.09:47

1	Page 42		Page 43
	Q How long were you at Stanford? 09:47	1	A Yes. 09:48
2	A Left in early 1995. 09:47	2	Q And then after you got your master's degree, 09:48
3	Q All right. 09:47	3	you continued on at Stanford pursuing coursework to 09:48
4	So you were at Stanford from 1988 to 1995? 09:47		get a doctorate? 09:48
5	A Yes. 09:47	5	A Yes. 09:48
6	Q Okay. And during during that time, you 09:47	6	Q In March or April of 1994, you and Mr. Yang 09:48
7	got a master's degree? 09:47	7	began working on what would ultimately become Yahoo? 09:48
8	A Yes. 09:47	8	A Yes. 09:48
9	Q In what? 09:47	9	Q Okay. And Yahoo was incorporated some time 09:48
10	A Electrical engineering. 09:47	10	in around March of 1995? 09:48
11	Q And were you doing other coursework toward a 09:47	11	A Yes. 09:48
12	doctorate or anything like that? 09:47	12	Q And after the incorporation of Yahoo, you 09:48
13	A Yes. 09:47	13	decided to leave Stanford, not finish your doctorate, 09:48
14	Q Okay. And you ultimately decided to leave 09:47	14	and transition to Yahoo; is that right? 09:48
15	Stanford before you completed your doctorate? 09:47	15	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:48
16	A Correct. 09:47	16	THE WITNESS: Yeah, after I think 09:48
17	Q Okay. All right. So let me make sure I 09:47	17	everything you said was accurate. 09:48
18	understand the chronology here. 09:47	18	MR. BUDWIN: Okay. 09:48
19	You got a bachelor of science degree from 09:47	19	Q So you began working at Yahoo as a full-time 09:48
20	Tulane in 1988; is that right? 09:47	20	employee around March of 1995? 09:48
21	A A bachelor from computer engineering, I think 09:48	21	A Well, no. I mean, the company was 09:49
22	I said. 09:48	22	incorporated then. I think that everything was 09:49
23	Q Okay. And then in 1988, you first arrived at 09:48		roughly that time. I think maybe April '95 was when 09:49
24	Stanford's campus Stanford to pursue a master's 09:48	24	we finally left and became kind of full-time 09:49
25	degree? 09:48	25	employees. 09:49
	Page 44		Page 45
	O All right. 09:49		
1	Q All right. 09:49	1	A Correct. 09:50
1 2	And so you've been working for Yahoo from 09:49	2	Q Have you had any other titles other than 09:50
	And so you've been working for Yahoo from09:491995 through the present day?09:49	2	
2 3 4	And so you've been working for Yahoo from09:491995 through the present day?09:49A That's correct.09:49	2 3 4	QHave you had any other titles other than09:50Chief Yahoo?09:50AI mean, that's always been kind of my09:50
2 3 4 5	And so you've been working for Yahoo from09:491995 through the present day?09:49A That's correct.09:49Q Full time during that time period?09:49	2 3 4 5	QHave you had any other titles other than09:50Chief Yahoo?09:50AI mean, that's always been kind of my09:50official title.09:50
2 3 4 5 6	And so you've been working for Yahoo from09:491995 through the present day?09:49A That's correct.09:49Q Full time during that time period?09:49A Yes.09:49	2 3 4 5 6	QHave you had any other titles other than09:50Chief Yahoo?09:50AI mean, that's always been kind of my09:50official title.09:50QWhat are the job responsibilities of a Chief09:50
2 3 4 5 6 7	And so you've been working for Yahoo from09:491995 through the present day?09:49AThat's correct.09:4909:49QFull time during that time period?09:49AYes.09:49QAnd you haven't left or come left and come09:49	2 3 4 5 6 7	QHave you had any other titles other than09:50Chief Yahoo?09:50AI mean, that's always been kind of my09:50official title.09:50QWhat are the job responsibilities of a Chief09:50Yahoo?09:50
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	And so you've been working for Yahoo from09:491995 through the present day?09:49AThat's correct.09:4909:49QFull time during that time period?09:49AYes.09:49QAnd you haven't left or come left and come09:49back or had any other employment?09:49	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	QHave you had any other titles other than09:50Chief Yahoo?09:50AI mean, that's always been kind of my09:50official title.09:50QWhat are the job responsibilities of a Chief09:50Yahoo?09:50AI guess whatever I know Chief Yahoo, the09:50
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	And so you've been working for Yahoo from09:491995 through the present day?09:49AThat's correct.QFull time during that time period?09:49AYes.09:49QAnd you haven't left or come left and come09:49back or had any other employment?09:49ANo.09:49	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	QHave you had any other titles other than09:50Chief Yahoo?09:50AI mean, that's always been kind of my09:50official title.09:50QWhat are the job responsibilities of a Chief09:50Yahoo?09:50AI guess whatever I know Chief Yahoo, the09:50answer is, you know, there's nothing in particular.09:50
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	And so you've been working for Yahoo from09:491995 through the present day?09:49AThat's correct.09:4909:49QFull time during that time period?09:49AYes.09:49QAnd you haven't left or come left and come09:49back or had any other employment?09:49ANo.09:49QOkay. Can you can you run me through the09:49	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q Have you had any other titles other than 09:50 Chief Yahoo? 09:50 A I mean, that's always been kind of my 09:50 official title. 09:50 Q What are the job responsibilities of a Chief 09:50 Yahoo? 09:50 A I guess whatever I know Chief Yahoo, the 09:50 answer is, you know, there's nothing in particular. 09:50 The other cofounder, Jerry, also had a title of Chief 09:50
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	And so you've been working for Yahoo from09:491995 through the present day?09:49AThat's correct.09:4909:49QFull time during that time period?09:49AYes.09:49QAnd you haven't left or come left and come09:49back or had any other employment?09:49ANo.09:49QOkay. Can you can you run me through the09:49different titles and responsibilities you've had09:49	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q Have you had any other titles other than 09:50 Chief Yahoo? 09:50 A I mean, that's always been kind of my 09:50 official title. 09:50 Q What are the job responsibilities of a Chief 09:50 Yahoo? 09:50 A I guess whatever I know Chief Yahoo, the 09:50 answer is, you know, there's nothing in particular. 09:50 The other cofounder, Jerry, also had a title of Chief 09:50 Yahoo. So there's nothing particularly inherent with 09:51
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	And so you've been working for Yahoo from09:491995 through the present day?09:49AThat's correct.09:4909:49QFull time during that time period?09:49AYes.09:49QAnd you haven't left or come left and come09:49back or had any other employment?09:49ANo.09:49QOkay. Can you can you run me through the09:49different titles and responsibilities you've had09:49during the course of your career at Yahoo?09:49	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q Have you had any other titles other than 09:50 Chief Yahoo? 09:50 A I mean, that's always been kind of my 09:50 official title. 09:50 Q What are the job responsibilities of a Chief 09:50 Yahoo? 09:50 A I guess whatever I know Chief Yahoo, the 09:50 answer is, you know, there's nothing in particular. 09:50 The other cofounder, Jerry, also had a title of Chief 09:50 Yahoo. So there's nothing particularly inherent with 09:51 the title that has a certain set of responsibilities 09:51
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	And so you've been working for Yahoo from09:491995 through the present day?09:49AThat's correct.09:4909:49QFull time during that time period?09:49AYes.09:49QAnd you haven't left or come left and come09:49back or had any other employment?09:49ANo.09:49QOkay. Can you can you run me through the09:49different titles and responsibilities you've had09:49during the course of your career at Yahoo?09:49AWell, you asked for titles. I think I've had09:49	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q Have you had any other titles other than 09:50 Chief Yahoo? 09:50 A I mean, that's always been kind of my 09:50 official title. 09:50 Q What are the job responsibilities of a Chief 09:50 Yahoo? 09:50 A I guess whatever I know Chief Yahoo, the 09:50 answer is, you know, there's nothing in particular. 09:50 The other cofounder, Jerry, also had a title of Chief 09:50 Yahoo. So there's nothing particularly inherent with 09:51 the title that has a certain set of responsibilities 09:51 with it. 09:51
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	And so you've been working for Yahoo from09:491995 through the present day?09:49AThat's correct.09:4909:49QFull time during that time period?09:49AYes.09:49QAnd you haven't left or come left and come09:49back or had any other employment?09:49ANo.09:49QOkay. Can you can you run me through the09:49different titles and responsibilities you've had09:49during the course of your career at Yahoo?09:49AWell, you asked for titles. I think I've had09:49a consistent title pretty much from the beginning,09:49	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	QHave you had any other titles other than09:50Chief Yahoo?09:50AI mean, that's always been kind of my09:50official title.09:50QWhat are the job responsibilities of a Chief09:50Yahoo?09:50AI guess whatever I know Chief Yahoo, the09:50answer is, you know, there's nothing in particular.09:50The other cofounder, Jerry, also had a title of Chief09:50Yahoo. So there's nothing particularly inherent with09:51the title that has a certain set of responsibilities09:51QOkay. Can you tell me what different areas09:51
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	And so you've been working for Yahoo from09:491995 through the present day?09:49AThat's correct.09:4909:49QFull time during that time period?09:49AYes.09:49QAnd you haven't left or come left and come09:49back or had any other employment?09:49ANo.09:49QOkay. Can you can you run me through the09:49different titles and responsibilities you've had09:49during the course of your career at Yahoo?09:49AWell, you asked for titles. I think I've had09:49which was which is Chief Yahoo.09:49	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q Have you had any other titles other than 09:50 Chief Yahoo? 09:50 A I mean, that's always been kind of my 09:50 official title. 09:50 Q What are the job responsibilities of a Chief 09:50 Yahoo? 09:50 A I guess whatever I know Chief Yahoo, the 09:50 answer is, you know, there's nothing in particular. 09:50 The other cofounder, Jerry, also had a title of Chief 09:50 Yahoo. So there's nothing particularly inherent with 09:51 the title that has a certain set of responsibilities 09:51 with it. 09:51 Q Okay. Can you tell me what different areas 09:51 you focused on during the course of your career at 09:51
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	And so you've been working for Yahoo from09:491995 through the present day?09:49AThat's correct.09:49QFull time during that time period?09:49AYes.09:49QAnd you haven't left or come left and come09:49back or had any other employment?09:49QOkay. Can you can you run me through the09:49different titles and responsibilities you've had09:49during the course of your career at Yahoo?09:49AWell, you asked for titles. I think I've had09:49a consistent title pretty much from the beginning, which was which is Chief Yahoo.09:49I think at the incorporation of Yahoo I was09:49	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	QHave you had any other titles other than09:50Chief Yahoo?09:50AI mean, that's always been kind of my09:50official title.09:50QWhat are the job responsibilities of a Chief09:50Yahoo?09:50AI guess whatever I know Chief Yahoo, the09:50answer is, you know, there's nothing in particular.09:50The other cofounder, Jerry, also had a title of Chief09:50Yahoo. So there's nothing particularly inherent with09:51the title that has a certain set of responsibilities09:51with it.09:51QOkay. Can you tell me what different areas09:51you focused on during the course of your career at09:51Yahoo?09:51
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	And so you've been working for Yahoo from 09:49 1995 through the present day? 09:49 A That's correct. 09:49 Q Full time during that time period? 09:49 A Yes. 09:49 Q And you haven't left or come left and come 09:49 back or had any other employment? 09:49 A No. 09:49 Q Okay. Can you can you run me through the 09:49 different titles and responsibilities you've had 09:49 during the course of your career at Yahoo? 09:49 A Well, you asked for titles. I think I've had 09:49 which was which is Chief Yahoo. 09:49 I think at the incorporation of Yahoo I was 09:49 either CEO or president. I don't remember which, but 09:50	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	QHave you had any other titles other than09:50Chief Yahoo?09:50AI mean, that's always been kind of my09:50official title.09:50QWhat are the job responsibilities of a Chief09:50Yahoo?09:50AI guess whatever I know Chief Yahoo, the09:50answer is, you know, there's nothing in particular.09:50The other cofounder, Jerry, also had a title of Chief09:50Yahoo. So there's nothing particularly inherent with09:51the title that has a certain set of responsibilities09:51with it.09:51QOkay. Can you tell me what different areas09:51Yahoo?09:51ASure.09:51
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	And so you've been working for Yahoo from 09:49 1995 through the present day? 09:49 A That's correct. 09:49 Q Full time during that time period? 09:49 A Yes. 09:49 Q And you haven't left or come left and come 09:49 back or had any other employment? 09:49 A No. 09:49 Q Okay. Can you can you run me through the 09:49 different titles and responsibilities you've had 09:49 during the course of your career at Yahoo? 09:49 A Well, you asked for titles. I think I've had 09:49 which was which is Chief Yahoo. 09:49 I think at the incorporation of Yahoo I was 09:49 either CEO or president. I don't remember which, but 09:50 that was for a relatively short period of time. 09:50	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q Have you had any other titles other than 09:50 Chief Yahoo? 09:50 A I mean, that's always been kind of my 09:50 official title. 09:50 Q What are the job responsibilities of a Chief 09:50 Yahoo? 09:50 A I guess whatever I know Chief Yahoo, the 09:50 answer is, you know, there's nothing in particular. 09:50 The other cofounder, Jerry, also had a title of Chief 09:50 Yahoo. So there's nothing particularly inherent with 09:51 the title that has a certain set of responsibilities 09:51 with it. 09:51 Q Okay. Can you tell me what different areas 09:51 you focused on during the course of your career at 09:51 Yahoo? 09:51 A Sure. 09:51 Generally speaking, I focussed on the 09:51
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	And so you've been working for Yahoo from 09:49 1995 through the present day? 09:49 A That's correct. 09:49 Q Full time during that time period? 09:49 A Yes. 09:49 Q And you haven't left or come left and come 09:49 back or had any other employment? 09:49 A No. 09:49 Q Okay. Can you can you run me through the 09:49 different titles and responsibilities you've had 09:49 during the course of your career at Yahoo? 09:49 A Well, you asked for titles. I think I've had 09:49 a consistent title pretty much from the beginning, 09:49 which was which is Chief Yahoo. 09:49 I think at the incorporation of Yahoo I was 09:49 either CEO or president. I don't remember which, but 09:50 that was for a relatively short period of time. 09:50	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q Have you had any other titles other than 09:50 Chief Yahoo? 09:50 A I mean, that's always been kind of my 09:50 official title. 09:50 Q What are the job responsibilities of a Chief 09:50 Yahoo? 09:50 A I guess whatever I know Chief Yahoo, the 09:50 answer is, you know, there's nothing in particular. 09:50 The other cofounder, Jerry, also had a title of Chief 09:50 Yahoo. So there's nothing particularly inherent with 09:51 the title that has a certain set of responsibilities 09:51 with it. 09:51 Q Okay. Can you tell me what different areas 09:51 you focused on during the course of your career at 09:51 Yahoo? 09:51 A Sure. 09:51 Generally speaking, I focussed on the 09:51 technology that's behind all the Yahoo infrastructure 09:51
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	And so you've been working for Yahoo from 09:49 1995 through the present day? 09:49 A That's correct. 09:49 Q Full time during that time period? 09:49 A Yes. 09:49 Q And you haven't left or come left and come 09:49 back or had any other employment? 09:49 A No. 09:49 Q Okay. Can you can you run me through the 09:49 different titles and responsibilities you've had 09:49 during the course of your career at Yahoo? 09:49 A Well, you asked for titles. I think I've had 09:49 a consistent title pretty much from the beginning, 09:49 which was which is Chief Yahoo. 09:49 I think at the incorporation of Yahoo I was 09:49 either CEO or president. I don't remember which, but 09:50 that was for a relatively short period of time. 09:50 the incorporation of Yahoo March of 1995, you may have 09:50	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	QHave you had any other titles other than09:50Chief Yahoo?09:50AI mean, that's always been kind of my09:50official title.09:50QWhat are the job responsibilities of a Chief09:50Yahoo?09:50AI guess whatever I know Chief Yahoo, the09:50answer is, you know, there's nothing in particular.09:50The other cofounder, Jerry, also had a title of Chief09:50Yahoo. So there's nothing particularly inherent with09:51the title that has a certain set of responsibilities09:51with it.09:51QOkay. Can you tell me what different areas09:51yahoo?09:51ASure.09:51Generally speaking, I focussed on the09:51technology that's behind all the Yahoo infrastructure09:51and services. It's not everything that I've done, but 09:51
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	And so you've been working for Yahoo from 09:49 1995 through the present day? 09:49 A That's correct. 09:49 Q Full time during that time period? 09:49 A Yes. 09:49 Q And you haven't left or come left and come 09:49 back or had any other employment? 09:49 A No. 09:49 Q Okay. Can you can you run me through the 09:49 different titles and responsibilities you've had 09:49 during the course of your career at Yahoo? 09:49 A Well, you asked for titles. I think I've had 09:49 which was which is Chief Yahoo. 09:49 I think at the incorporation of Yahoo I was 09:49 either CEO or president. I don't remember which, but 09:50 that was for a relatively short period of time around 09:50 the incorporation of Yahoo March of 1995, you may have 09:50 held the title of CEO or president, but that was for a 09:50	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q Have you had any other titles other than 09:50 Chief Yahoo? 09:50 A I mean, that's always been kind of my 09:50 official title. 09:50 Q What are the job responsibilities of a Chief 09:50 Yahoo? 09:50 A I guess whatever I know Chief Yahoo, the 09:50 answer is, you know, there's nothing in particular. 09:50 The other cofounder, Jerry, also had a title of Chief 09:50 Yahoo. So there's nothing particularly inherent with 09:51 the title that has a certain set of responsibilities 09:51 with it. 09:51 Q Okay. Can you tell me what different areas 09:51 you focused on during the course of your career at 09:51 Yahoo? 09:51 A Sure. 09:51 Generally speaking, I focussed on the 09:51 technology that's behind all the Yahoo infrastructure 09:51 I'd say most of my most of my time has been spent 09:51
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	And so you've been working for Yahoo from 09:49 1995 through the present day? 09:49 A That's correct. 09:49 Q Full time during that time period? 09:49 A Yes. 09:49 Q And you haven't left or come left and come 09:49 back or had any other employment? 09:49 A No. 09:49 Q Okay. Can you can you run me through the 09:49 different titles and responsibilities you've had 09:49 during the course of your career at Yahoo? 09:49 A Well, you asked for titles. I think I've had 09:49 which was which is Chief Yahoo. 09:49 I think at the incorporation of Yahoo I was 09:49 either CEO or president. I don't remember which, but 09:50 that was for a relatively short period of time around 09:50 the incorporation of Yahoo March of 1995, you may have 09:50 held the title of CEO or president, but that was for a 09:50 short time frame? 09:50	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q Have you had any other titles other than 09:50 Chief Yahoo? 09:50 A I mean, that's always been kind of my 09:50 official title. 09:50 Q What are the job responsibilities of a Chief 09:50 Yahoo? 09:50 A I guess whatever I know Chief Yahoo, the 09:50 answer is, you know, there's nothing in particular. 09:50 The other cofounder, Jerry, also had a title of Chief 09:50 Yahoo. So there's nothing particularly inherent with 09:51 the title that has a certain set of responsibilities 09:51 with it. 09:51 Q Okay. Can you tell me what different areas 09:51 you focused on during the course of your career at 09:51 Yahoo? 09:51 A Sure. 09:51 Generally speaking, I focussed on the 09:51 technology that's behind all the Yahoo infrastructure 09:51 I'd say most of my most of my time has been spent 09:51 on those technological issues. 09:51
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	And so you've been working for Yahoo from 09:49 1995 through the present day? 09:49 A That's correct. 09:49 Q Full time during that time period? 09:49 A Yes. 09:49 Q And you haven't left or come left and come 09:49 back or had any other employment? 09:49 A No. 09:49 Q Okay. Can you can you run me through the 09:49 different titles and responsibilities you've had 09:49 during the course of your career at Yahoo? 09:49 A Well, you asked for titles. I think I've had 09:49 a consistent title pretty much from the beginning, 09:49 which was which is Chief Yahoo. 09:49 I think at the incorporation of Yahoo I was 09:49 either CEO or president. I don't remember which, but 09:50 that was for a relatively short period of time. 09:50 the incorporation of Yahoo March of 1995, you may have 09:50 held the title of CEO or president, but that was for a 09:50 short time frame? 09:50 A That's correct. 09:50	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q Have you had any other titles other than 09:50 Chief Yahoo? 09:50 A I mean, that's always been kind of my 09:50 official title. 09:50 Q What are the job responsibilities of a Chief 09:50 Yahoo? 09:50 A I guess whatever I know Chief Yahoo, the 09:50 answer is, you know, there's nothing in particular. 09:50 The other cofounder, Jerry, also had a title of Chief 09:50 Yahoo. So there's nothing particularly inherent with 09:51 the title that has a certain set of responsibilities 09:51 with it. 09:51 Q Okay. Can you tell me what different areas 09:51 you focused on during the course of your career at 09:51 Yahoo? 09:51 A Sure. 09:51 Generally speaking, I focussed on the 09:51 technology that's behind all the Yahoo infrastructure 09:51 id say most of my most of my time has been spent 09:51 on those technological issues. 09:51 Q And do you work in different areas of 09:51
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	And so you've been working for Yahoo from 09:49 1995 through the present day? 09:49 A That's correct. 09:49 Q Full time during that time period? 09:49 A Yes. 09:49 Q And you haven't left or come left and come 09:49 back or had any other employment? 09:49 A No. 09:49 Q Okay. Can you can you run me through the 09:49 different titles and responsibilities you've had 09:49 during the course of your career at Yahoo? 09:49 A Well, you asked for titles. I think I've had 09:49 which was which is Chief Yahoo. 09:49 I think at the incorporation of Yahoo I was 09:49 either CEO or president. I don't remember which, but 09:50 that was for a relatively short period of time around 09:50 the incorporation of Yahoo March of 1995, you may have 09:50 held the title of CEO or president, but that was for a 09:50 short time frame? 09:50	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q Have you had any other titles other than 09:50 Chief Yahoo? 09:50 A I mean, that's always been kind of my 09:50 official title. 09:50 Q What are the job responsibilities of a Chief 09:50 Yahoo? 09:50 A I guess whatever I know Chief Yahoo, the 09:50 answer is, you know, there's nothing in particular. 09:50 The other cofounder, Jerry, also had a title of Chief 09:50 Yahoo. So there's nothing particularly inherent with 09:51 the title that has a certain set of responsibilities 09:51 with it. 09:51 Q Okay. Can you tell me what different areas 09:51 you focused on during the course of your career at 09:51 Yahoo? 09:51 A Sure. 09:51 Generally speaking, I focussed on the 09:51 technology that's behind all the Yahoo infrastructure 09:51 I'd say most of my most of my time has been spent 09:51 on those technological issues. 09:51

	Page 46		Page 47
1	A Well, over time, I've you know, I've spent 09:51	1	focus on I would say early in those five years, it 09:53
2	more time focused on certain areas than others, so 09:51	2	would have been focus on a lot of the operations of 09:53
3	that focus has certainly changed over the years. 09:51	3	Yahoo. So whether it's servers, network, data 09:53
4	Q So can you tell me what areas you've focused 09:51	4	centers, operating systems, et cetera, some low-level 09:53
5	on over the years while you've been at Yahoo? 09:51	5	technology that runs the company as being probably the 09:53
6	A Sure. 09:51	6	primary focus, and then spending time as well up the 09:53
7	So I'd say that at the beginning of Yahoo, 09:51	7	rest of the Yahoo stack of software. 09:53
8	the focus would have been on pretty much anything and 09:52	8	So looking at overall architecture. Again, 09:53
9	everything that had anything to do with 09:52	9	overall strategic vision and direction for the 09:53
10	technology/product, so it would have been I don't 09:52	10	technology for the company, and over time kind of 09:53
11	know if you want me to list the things, but it would 09:52	11	migrating more towards that latter of just more in 09:53
12	have been everything from, you know, building a 09:52	12	terms of looking at, again, the strategic and the 09:53
13	server, hardware wise, and putting it together; to 09:52	13	vision behind the Yahoo technology and whether that's 09:53
14	wiring it up and connecting it to a network; to 09:52	14	not just on the operations side but on all the various 09:53
15		15	operations stacks and the various products and stuff 09:54
16	software components that go in to the Yahoo services. 09:52	16	that we produce. 09:54
17		17	Q Any other areas of focus over the last five 09:54
18		18	years? 09:54
19	answering e-mails, customer service. Those kinds of 09:52	19	A I wouldn't consider every I would say in 09:54
20		20	terms of what I spend most of my time doing, it's 09:54
21	everything to run the company. 09:52	21	again, it's a very broad statement I just made in 09:54
22		22	terms of it covers kind of all of the technology 09:54
23		23	behind Yahoo, which is a lot of different things. 09:54
24	5	24	And again, at a given given time, I would 09:54
25	A Last five years, I'd say it would include 09:53	25	be focusing on one piece versus another. But, yeah, 09:54
	Page 48		Page 49
1	I'd say that summarizes it. 09:54	1	Q When did you first learn of the Bedrock case? 09:55
2	I'd say that summarizes it. 09:54 Q And over the last two years, your employment 09:54	2	QWhen did you first learn of the Bedrock case?09:55MS. DOAN:Objection; form.09:55
2 3	I'd say that summarizes it.09:54QAnd over the last two years, your employment09:54at Yahoo has included testifying on behalf of Yahoo in09:54	2 3	QWhen did you first learn of the Bedrock case?09:55MS. DOAN: Objection; form.09:55THE WITNESS: I first learned of the Bedrock09:55
2 3 4	I'd say that summarizes it. 09:54 Q And over the last two years, your employment 09:54 at Yahoo has included testifying on behalf of Yahoo in 09:54 court cases? 09:54	2 3 4	QWhen did you first learn of the Bedrock case?09:55MS. DOAN: Objection; form.09:55THE WITNESS: I first learned of the Bedrock09:55case, I believe, in 19 or December of 2010.09:55
2 3 4 5	I'd say that summarizes it.09:54QAnd over the last two years, your employment09:54at Yahoo has included testifying on behalf of Yahoo in09:54court cases?09:54MS. DOAN: Objection; form.09:54	2 3 4 5	QWhen did you first learn of the Bedrock case?09:55MS. DOAN: Objection; form.09:55THE WITNESS: I first learned of the Bedrock09:55case, I believe, in 19 or December of 2010.09:55MR. BUDWIN: Okay.09:55
2 3 4 5 6	I'd say that summarizes it. 09:54 Q And over the last two years, your employment 09:54 at Yahoo has included testifying on behalf of Yahoo in 09:54 court cases? 09:54 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:54 THE WITNESS: My employment has included 09:54	2 3 4 5 6	QWhen did you first learn of the Bedrock case?09:55MS. DOAN: Objection; form.09:55THE WITNESS: I first learned of the Bedrock09:55case, I believe, in 19 or December of 2010.09:55MR. BUDWIN: Okay.09:55QAnd when did you testify at trial in that09:55
2 3 4 5 6 7	I'd say that summarizes it. 09:54 Q And over the last two years, your employment 09:54 at Yahoo has included testifying on behalf of Yahoo in 09:54 court cases? 09:54 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:54 THE WITNESS: My employment has included 09:54 Can you repeat the question? 09:54	2 3 4 5 6 7	QWhen did you first learn of the Bedrock case?09:55MS. DOAN: Objection; form.09:55THE WITNESS: I first learned of the Bedrock09:55case, I believe, in 19 or December of 2010.09:55MR. BUDWIN: Okay.09:55QAnd when did you testify at trial in that09:55case?09:55
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	I'd say that summarizes it. 09:54 Q And over the last two years, your employment 09:54 at Yahoo has included testifying on behalf of Yahoo in 09:54 court cases? 09:54 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:54 THE WITNESS: My employment has included 09:54 Can you repeat the question? 09:54 MR. BUDWIN: Sure. 09:54	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	QWhen did you first learn of the Bedrock case?09:55MS. DOAN: Objection; form.09:55THE WITNESS: I first learned of the Bedrock09:55case, I believe, in 19 or December of 2010.09:55MR. BUDWIN: Okay.09:55QAnd when did you testify at trial in that09:55case?09:55AI believe in April of 2011.09:56
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I'd say that summarizes it. 09:54 Q And over the last two years, your employment 09:54 at Yahoo has included testifying on behalf of Yahoo in 09:54 court cases? 09:54 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:54 THE WITNESS: My employment has included 09:54 Can you repeat the question? 09:54 MR. BUDWIN: Sure. 09:54 Q Over the last year or 18 months, your 09:54	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	QWhen did you first learn of the Bedrock case?09:55MS. DOAN: Objection; form.09:55THE WITNESS: I first learned of the Bedrock09:55case, I believe, in 19 or December of 2010.09:55MR. BUDWIN: Okay.09:55QAnd when did you testify at trial in that09:55case?09:55AI believe in April of 2011.09:56QSo in between the time that you first learned09:56
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	I'd say that summarizes it. 09:54 Q And over the last two years, your employment 09:54 at Yahoo has included testifying on behalf of Yahoo in 09:54 court cases? 09:54 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:54 THE WITNESS: My employment has included 09:54 Can you repeat the question? 09:54 MR. BUDWIN: Sure. 09:54 Q Over the last year or 18 months, your 09:54 employment at Yahoo has included testifying on behalf 09:54	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	QWhen did you first learn of the Bedrock case?09:55MS. DOAN: Objection; form.09:55THE WITNESS: I first learned of the Bedrock09:55case, I believe, in 19 or December of 2010.09:55MR. BUDWIN: Okay.09:55QAnd when did you testify at trial in that09:55case?09:55AI believe in April of 2011.09:56QSo in between the time that you first learned09:56about the Bedrock case in December of 2010 and the09:56
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	I'd say that summarizes it. 09:54 Q And over the last two years, your employment 09:54 at Yahoo has included testifying on behalf of Yahoo in 09:54 court cases? 09:54 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:54 THE WITNESS: My employment has included 09:54 Can you repeat the question? 09:54 MR. BUDWIN: Sure. 09:54 Q Over the last year or 18 months, your 09:54 employment at Yahoo has included testifying on behalf 09:54 of Yahoo in court cases? 09:55	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q When did you first learn of the Bedrock case? 09:55 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:55 THE WITNESS: I first learned of the Bedrock 09:55 case, I believe, in 19 or December of 2010. 09:55 MR. BUDWIN: Okay. 09:55 Q And when did you testify at trial in that 09:55 case? 09:55 A I believe in April of 2011. 09:56 Q So in between the time that you first learned 09:56 about the Bedrock case in December of 2010 and the 09:56 time when you testified at trial in April of 2011, 09:56
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	I'd say that summarizes it. 09:54 Q And over the last two years, your employment 09:54 at Yahoo has included testifying on behalf of Yahoo in 09:54 court cases? 09:54 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:54 THE WITNESS: My employment has included 09:54 Can you repeat the question? 09:54 MR. BUDWIN: Sure. 09:54 Q Over the last year or 18 months, your 09:54 employment at Yahoo has included testifying on behalf 09:54 of Yahoo in court cases? 09:55 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:55	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q When did you first learn of the Bedrock case? 09:55 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:55 THE WITNESS: I first learned of the Bedrock 09:55 case, I believe, in 19 or December of 2010. 09:55 MR. BUDWIN: Okay. 09:55 Q And when did you testify at trial in that 09:55 case? 09:55 A I believe in April of 2011. 09:56 Q So in between the time that you first learned 09:56 about the Bedrock case in December of 2010 and the 09:56 time when you testified at trial in April of 2011, 09:56 approximately how much time did you spend working on 09:56
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	I'd say that summarizes it. 09:54 Q And over the last two years, your employment 09:54 at Yahoo has included testifying on behalf of Yahoo in 09:54 court cases? 09:54 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:54 THE WITNESS: My employment has included 09:54 Can you repeat the question? 09:54 MR. BUDWIN: Sure. 09:54 Q Over the last year or 18 months, your 09:54 employment at Yahoo has included testifying on behalf 09:54 of Yahoo in court cases? 09:55 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:55 You can answer. 09:55	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q When did you first learn of the Bedrock case? 09:55 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:55 THE WITNESS: I first learned of the Bedrock 09:55 case, I believe, in 19 or December of 2010. 09:55 MR. BUDWIN: Okay. 09:55 Q And when did you testify at trial in that 09:55 case? 09:55 A I believe in April of 2011. 09:56 Q So in between the time that you first learned 09:56 about the Bedrock case in December of 2010 and the 09:56 time when you testified at trial in April of 2011, 09:56 approximately how much time did you spend working on 09:56 the Bedrock case? 09:56
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	I'd say that summarizes it. 09:54 Q And over the last two years, your employment 09:54 at Yahoo has included testifying on behalf of Yahoo in 09:54 court cases? 09:54 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:54 THE WITNESS: My employment has included 09:54 Can you repeat the question? 09:54 MR. BUDWIN: Sure. 09:54 Q Over the last year or 18 months, your 09:54 employment at Yahoo has included testifying on behalf 09:54 of Yahoo in court cases? 09:55 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:55 You can answer. 09:55 THE WITNESS: I have testified in court cases 09:55	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	QWhen did you first learn of the Bedrock case?09:55MS. DOAN: Objection; form.09:55THE WITNESS: I first learned of the Bedrock09:55case, I believe, in 19 or December of 2010.09:55MR. BUDWIN: Okay.09:55QAnd when did you testify at trial in that09:55case?09:55AI believe in April of 2011.09:56QSo in between the time that you first learned09:56about the Bedrock case in December of 2010 and the09:56time when you testified at trial in April of 2011,09:56approximately how much time did you spend working on09:56AI don't remember.09:56
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	I'd say that summarizes it. 09:54 Q And over the last two years, your employment 09:54 at Yahoo has included testifying on behalf of Yahoo in 09:54 court cases? 09:54 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:54 THE WITNESS: My employment has included 09:54 Can you repeat the question? 09:54 MR. BUDWIN: Sure. 09:54 Q Over the last year or 18 months, your 09:54 employment at Yahoo has included testifying on behalf 09:54 of Yahoo in court cases? 09:55 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:55 You can answer. 09:55 THE WITNESS: I have testified in court cases 09:55 in the last two years. 09:55	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q When did you first learn of the Bedrock case? 09:55 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:55 THE WITNESS: I first learned of the Bedrock 09:55 case, I believe, in 19 or December of 2010. 09:55 MR. BUDWIN: Okay. 09:55 Q And when did you testify at trial in that 09:55 case? 09:55 A I believe in April of 2011. 09:56 Q So in between the time that you first learned 09:56 about the Bedrock case in December of 2010 and the 09:56 time when you testified at trial in April of 2011, 09:56 approximately how much time did you spend working on 09:56 the Bedrock case? 09:56 A I don't remember. 09:56 Q Can you give me an estimate in terms of 09:56
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	I'd say that summarizes it. 09:54 Q And over the last two years, your employment 09:54 at Yahoo has included testifying on behalf of Yahoo in 09:54 court cases? 09:54 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:54 THE WITNESS: My employment has included 09:54 Can you repeat the question? 09:54 MR. BUDWIN: Sure. 09:54 Q Over the last year or 18 months, your 09:54 employment at Yahoo has included testifying on behalf 09:54 of Yahoo in court cases? 09:55 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:55 You can answer. 09:55 THE WITNESS: I have testified in court cases 09:55 in the last two years. 09:55 MR. BUDWIN: Q. And you testified in that 09:55	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q When did you first learn of the Bedrock case? 09:55 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:55 THE WITNESS: I first learned of the Bedrock 09:55 case, I believe, in 19 or December of 2010. 09:55 MR. BUDWIN: Okay. 09:55 Q And when did you testify at trial in that 09:55 case? 09:55 A I believe in April of 2011. 09:56 Q So in between the time that you first learned 09:56 about the Bedrock case in December of 2010 and the 09:56 time when you testified at trial in April of 2011, 09:56 approximately how much time did you spend working on 09:56 the Bedrock case? 09:56 A I don't remember. 09:56 Q Can you give me an estimate in terms of 09:56 hours? 09:56
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	I'd say that summarizes it. 09:54 Q And over the last two years, your employment 09:54 at Yahoo has included testifying on behalf of Yahoo in 09:54 court cases? 09:54 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:54 THE WITNESS: My employment has included 09:54 Can you repeat the question? 09:54 MR. BUDWIN: Sure. 09:54 Q Over the last year or 18 months, your 09:54 employment at Yahoo has included testifying on behalf 09:54 of Yahoo in court cases? 09:55 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:55 You can answer. 09:55 THE WITNESS: I have testified in court cases 09:55 in the last two years. 09:55 MR. BUDWIN: Q. And you testified in that 09:55 case as part of your employment at Yahoo? 09:55	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	QWhen did you first learn of the Bedrock case?09:55MS. DOAN: Objection; form.09:55THE WITNESS: I first learned of the Bedrock09:55case, I believe, in 19 or December of 2010.09:55MR. BUDWIN: Okay.09:55QAnd when did you testify at trial in that09:55case?09:55A I believe in April of 2011.09:56QSo in between the time that you first learned09:56about the Bedrock case in December of 2010 and the09:56time when you testified at trial in April of 2011,09:56approximately how much time did you spend working on09:56A I don't remember.09:56QCan you give me an estimate in terms of09:56hours?09:56A No.09:56
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	I'd say that summarizes it. 09:54 Q And over the last two years, your employment 09:54 at Yahoo has included testifying on behalf of Yahoo in 09:54 court cases? 09:54 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:54 THE WITNESS: My employment has included 09:54 Can you repeat the question? 09:54 MR. BUDWIN: Sure. 09:54 Q Over the last year or 18 months, your 09:54 employment at Yahoo has included testifying on behalf 09:54 of Yahoo in court cases? 09:55 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:55 You can answer. 09:55 THE WITNESS: I have testified in court cases 09:55 in the last two years. 09:55 MR. BUDWIN: Q. And you testified in that 09:55 case as part of your employment at Yahoo? 09:55 A I guess I've testified on behalf of the 09:55	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q When did you first learn of the Bedrock case? 09:55 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:55 THE WITNESS: I first learned of the Bedrock 09:55 case, I believe, in 19 or December of 2010. 09:55 MR. BUDWIN: Okay. 09:55 Q And when did you testify at trial in that 09:55 case? 09:55 A I believe in April of 2011. 09:56 Q So in between the time that you first learned 09:56 about the Bedrock case in December of 2010 and the 09:56 time when you testified at trial in April of 2011, 09:56 approximately how much time did you spend working on 09:56 the Bedrock case? 09:56 A I don't remember. 09:56 Q Can you give me an estimate in terms of 09:56 hours? 09:56 Q What about in terms of the percentage of time 09:56
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I'd say that summarizes it. 09:54 Q And over the last two years, your employment 09:54 at Yahoo has included testifying on behalf of Yahoo in 09:54 court cases? 09:54 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:54 THE WITNESS: My employment has included 09:54 Can you repeat the question? 09:54 MR. BUDWIN: Sure. 09:54 Q Over the last year or 18 months, your 09:54 employment at Yahoo has included testifying on behalf 09:54 of Yahoo in court cases? 09:55 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:55 You can answer. 09:55 THE WITNESS: I have testified in court cases 09:55 in the last two years. 09:55 MR. BUDWIN: Q. And you testified in that 09:55 case as part of your employment at Yahoo? 09:55 A I guess I've testified on behalf of the 09:55 company, so 09:55	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q When did you first learn of the Bedrock case? 09:55 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:55 THE WITNESS: I first learned of the Bedrock 09:55 case, I believe, in 19 or December of 2010. 09:55 MR. BUDWIN: Okay. 09:55 Q And when did you testify at trial in that 09:55 case? 09:55 A I believe in April of 2011. 09:56 Q So in between the time that you first learned 09:56 about the Bedrock case in December of 2010 and the 09:56 time when you testified at trial in April of 2011, 09:56 approximately how much time did you spend working on 09:56 the Bedrock case? 09:56 A I don't remember. 09:56 Q Can you give me an estimate in terms of 09:56 hours? 09:56 A No. 09:56 A No. 09:56 d What about in terms of the percentage of time 09:56 that you were spending on Yahoo-related matters? 09:56
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	I'd say that summarizes it. 09:54 Q And over the last two years, your employment 09:54 at Yahoo has included testifying on behalf of Yahoo in 09:54 court cases? 09:54 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:54 THE WITNESS: My employment has included 09:54 Can you repeat the question? 09:54 MR. BUDWIN: Sure. 09:54 Q Over the last year or 18 months, your 09:54 employment at Yahoo has included testifying on behalf 09:54 of Yahoo in court cases? 09:55 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:55 You can answer. 09:55 THE WITNESS: I have testified in court cases 09:55 in the last two years. 09:55 MR. BUDWIN: Q. And you testified in that 09:55 case as part of your employment at Yahoo? 09:55 A I guess I've testified on behalf of the 09:55 Q And you were being paid your salary by Yahoo 09:55	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q When did you first learn of the Bedrock case? 09:55 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:55 THE WITNESS: I first learned of the Bedrock 09:55 case, I believe, in 19 or December of 2010. 09:55 MR. BUDWIN: Okay. 09:55 Q And when did you testify at trial in that 09:55 case? 09:55 A I believe in April of 2011. 09:56 Q So in between the time that you first learned 09:56 about the Bedrock case in December of 2010 and the 09:56 time when you testified at trial in April of 2011, 09:56 approximately how much time did you spend working on 09:56 the Bedrock case? 09:56 A I don't remember. 09:56 Q Can you give me an estimate in terms of 09:56 hours? 09:56 A No. 09:56 Q What about in terms of the percentage of time 09:56 that you were spending on Yahoo-related matters? 09:56
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	I'd say that summarizes it. 09:54 Q And over the last two years, your employment 09:54 at Yahoo has included testifying on behalf of Yahoo in 09:54 court cases? 09:54 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:54 THE WITNESS: My employment has included 09:54 Can you repeat the question? 09:54 MR. BUDWIN: Sure. 09:54 Q Over the last year or 18 months, your 09:54 employment at Yahoo has included testifying on behalf 09:54 of Yahoo in court cases? 09:55 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:55 You can answer. 09:55 THE WITNESS: I have testified in court cases 09:55 in the last two years. 09:55 MR. BUDWIN: Q. And you testified in that 09:55 case as part of your employment at Yahoo? 09:55 A I guess I've testified on behalf of the 09:55 Q And you were being paid your salary by Yahoo 09:55	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q When did you first learn of the Bedrock case? 09:55 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:55 THE WITNESS: I first learned of the Bedrock 09:55 case, I believe, in 19 or December of 2010. 09:55 MR. BUDWIN: Okay. 09:55 Q And when did you testify at trial in that 09:55 case? 09:55 A I believe in April of 2011. 09:56 Q So in between the time that you first learned 09:56 about the Bedrock case in December of 2010 and the 09:56 time when you testified at trial in April of 2011, 09:56 approximately how much time did you spend working on 09:56 the Bedrock case? 09:56 A I don't remember. 09:56 Q Can you give me an estimate in terms of 09:56 hours? 09:56 A No. 09:56
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I'd say that summarizes it. 09:54 Q And over the last two years, your employment 09:54 at Yahoo has included testifying on behalf of Yahoo in 09:54 court cases? 09:54 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:54 THE WITNESS: My employment has included 09:54 Can you repeat the question? 09:54 MR. BUDWIN: Sure. 09:54 Q Over the last year or 18 months, your 09:54 employment at Yahoo has included testifying on behalf 09:54 of Yahoo in court cases? 09:55 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:55 You can answer. 09:55 THE WITNESS: I have testified in court cases 09:55 in the last two years. 09:55 MR. BUDWIN: Q. And you testified in that 09:55 case as part of your employment at Yahoo? 09:55 A I guess I've testified on behalf of the 09:55 company, so 09:55 A Yes. 09:55	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q When did you first learn of the Bedrock case? 09:55 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:55 THE WITNESS: I first learned of the Bedrock 09:55 case, I believe, in 19 or December of 2010. 09:55 MR. BUDWIN: Okay. 09:55 Q And when did you testify at trial in that 09:55 case? 09:55 A I believe in April of 2011. 09:56 Q So in between the time that you first learned 09:56 about the Bedrock case in December of 2010 and the 09:56 time when you testified at trial in April of 2011, 09:56 approximately how much time did you spend working on 09:56 the Bedrock case? 09:56 A I don't remember. 09:56 Q Can you give me an estimate in terms of 09:56 hours? 09:56 A No. 09:56 Q What about in terms of the percentage of time 09:56 that you were spending on Yahoo-related matters? 09:56 A No, I can't. There's no way to estimate 09:56 that. 09:56
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	I'd say that summarizes it. 09:54 Q And over the last two years, your employment 09:54 at Yahoo has included testifying on behalf of Yahoo in 09:54 court cases? 09:54 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:54 THE WITNESS: My employment has included 09:54 Can you repeat the question? 09:54 MR. BUDWIN: Sure. 09:54 Q Over the last year or 18 months, your 09:54 employment at Yahoo has included testifying on behalf 09:54 of Yahoo in court cases? 09:55 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:55 You can answer. 09:55 THE WITNESS: I have testified in court cases 09:55 in the last two years. 09:55 MR. BUDWIN: Q. And you testified in that 09:55 case as part of your employment at Yahoo? 09:55 A I guess I've testified on behalf of the 09:55 company, so 09:55 A Yes. 09:55	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q When did you first learn of the Bedrock case? 09:55 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:55 THE WITNESS: I first learned of the Bedrock 09:55 case, I believe, in 19 or December of 2010. 09:55 MR. BUDWIN: Okay. 09:55 Q And when did you testify at trial in that 09:55 case? 09:55 A I believe in April of 2011. 09:56 Q So in between the time that you first learned 09:56 about the Bedrock case in December of 2010 and the 09:56 time when you testified at trial in April of 2011, 09:56 approximately how much time did you spend working on 09:56 the Bedrock case? 09:56 A I don't remember. 09:56 Q Can you give me an estimate in terms of 09:56 hours? 09:56 A No. 09:56 Q What about in terms of the percentage of time 09:56 that you were spending on Yahoo-related matters? 09:56 A No, I can't. There's no way to estimate 09:56 that. 09:56 Q Did you spend more than 100 hours working on 09:56
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	I'd say that summarizes it. 09:54 Q And over the last two years, your employment 09:54 at Yahoo has included testifying on behalf of Yahoo in 09:54 court cases? 09:54 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:54 THE WITNESS: My employment has included 09:54 Can you repeat the question? 09:54 MR. BUDWIN: Sure. 09:54 Q Over the last year or 18 months, your 09:54 employment at Yahoo has included testifying on behalf 09:54 of Yahoo in court cases? 09:55 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:55 You can answer. 09:55 THE WITNESS: I have testified in court cases 09:55 in the last two years. 09:55 MR. BUDWIN: Q. And you testified in that 09:55 case as part of your employment at Yahoo? 09:55 A I guess I've testified on behalf of the 09:55 company, so 09:55 Q And you were being paid your salary by Yahoo 09:55 A Yes. 09:55 Q Okay. That was the case the court case 09:55	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q When did you first learn of the Bedrock case? 09:55 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:55 THE WITNESS: I first learned of the Bedrock 09:55 case, I believe, in 19 or December of 2010. 09:55 MR. BUDWIN: Okay. 09:55 Q And when did you testify at trial in that 09:55 case? 09:55 A I believe in April of 2011. 09:56 Q So in between the time that you first learned 09:56 about the Bedrock case in December of 2010 and the 09:56 time when you testified at trial in April of 2011, 09:56 approximately how much time did you spend working on 09:56 the Bedrock case? 09:56 A I don't remember. 09:56 Q Can you give me an estimate in terms of 09:56 hours? 09:56 A No. 09:56 Q What about in terms of the percentage of time 09:56 that you were spending on Yahoo-related matters? 09:56 A No, I can't. There's no way to estimate 09:56 the Bedrock case between December 2010 and April 2011? 09:56

	Page 50		Page 51
1	Q Okay. And the time that you spent working on 09:57	1	taken a leave of absence from 09:58
2	the Bedrock case and testifying in deposition and at 09:57	2	Q You didn't take a leave of absence or 09:58
3	trial, that was something that you did within the 09:57	3	anything of that nature? 09:58
4	scope of your employment at Yahoo? 09:57	4	A Well, the only thing I was I did have a 09:58
5	A Within the scope. I did it while I was 09:57	5	I believe my daughter was born during that time, so I 09:58
6	working at Yahoo. 09:57	6	was away for a little bit. 09:58
7	Q And you did it in exchange for whatever your 09:57	7	Q All right. 09:58
8	standard Yahoo salary or compensation is? 09:57	8	But you didn't take a leave of absence or 09:58
9	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:57	9	anything of that nature in order to allow you to 09:58
10	THE WITNESS: I'm not sure I'd say I did it 09:57	10	testify 09:58
11	in exchange for that. I did it because I felt it 09:57	11	A No. 09:58
12	important to do. 09:57	12	Q in the Bedrock case? 09:58
13	MR. BUDWIN: Q. And during the time that you 09:57	13	A No. 09:58
14	were working on the Bedrock case between December of 09:57	14	Q Okay. Now, in the Bedrock case you, in fact, 09:58
15	2010 and April 2011, you were, in fact, employed by 09:57	15	offered opinions related to noninfringement and 09:58
16	Yahoo? 09:57	16	validity; did you not? 09:59
17	A Yes, I was. 09:57	17	A Yes, I did. 09:59
18	Q You didn't take a leave of absence or 09:57	18	Q And you offered those opinions within the 09:59
19	anything of that nature? 09:58	19	scope of your work at Yahoo? 09:59
20	A No. 09:58	20	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 09:59
21	Q Now, in that Bedrock case, you testified at 09:58	21	You can answer it. 09:59
22	trial and offered opinions related to noninfringement 09:58	22	THE WITNESS: I'm not sure I understand. I 09:59
23	and invalidity; did you not? 09:58	23	offered I offered my opinions. I was employed at 09:59
24	A Actually, I'll just read you asked me 09:58	24	Yahoo at the time. 09:59
25	what was the previous question you asked me, if I had 09:58	25	MR. BUDWIN: Q. So at the time that you 09:59
	Page 52		Page 53
1	testified in the Bedrock case, at the time when you 09:59	1	Page 53 A I don't 10:00
1 2		1 2	
	testified in the Bedrock case, at the time when you 09:59		A I don't 10:00
2	testified in the Bedrock case, at the time when you 09:59 offered your opinions on validity and noninfringement, 09:59 you were employed by Yahoo? 09:59 A Yes. 09:59	2	A I don't10:00MS. DOAN: Objection; form.10:00THE WITNESS: know.10:00MR. BUDWIN: Q. More than 100 hours?10:00
2 3 4 5	testified in the Bedrock case, at the time when you 09:59 offered your opinions on validity and noninfringement, 09:59 you were employed by Yahoo? 09:59 A Yes. 09:59 Q Okay. Now, other than the Bedrock case, have 09:59	2 3	A I don't10:00MS. DOAN: Objection; form.10:00THE WITNESS: know.10:00MR. BUDWIN: Q. More than 100 hours?10:00A I don't know.10:00
2 3 4 5 6	testified in the Bedrock case, at the time when you 09:59 offered your opinions on validity and noninfringement, 09:59 you were employed by Yahoo? 09:59 A Yes. 09:59 Q Okay. Now, other than the Bedrock case, have 09:59 you been involved in any way in any other litigation 09:59	2 3 4 5 6	A I don't10:00MS. DOAN: Objection; form.10:00THE WITNESS: know.10:00MR. BUDWIN: Q. More than 100 hours?10:00A I don't know.10:00Q But you said you've attended some meetings;10:00
2 3 4 5 6 7	testified in the Bedrock case, at the time when you 09:59 offered your opinions on validity and noninfringement, 09:59 you were employed by Yahoo? 09:59 A Yes. 09:59 Q Okay. Now, other than the Bedrock case, have 09:59 you been involved in any way in any other litigation 09:59 as part of your employment at Yahoo? 09:59	2 3 4 5 6 7	A I don't10:00MS. DOAN: Objection; form.10:00THE WITNESS: know.10:00MR. BUDWIN: Q. More than 100 hours?10:00A I don't know.10:00Q But you said you've attended some meetings;10:00is that right?10:00
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	testified in the Bedrock case, at the time when you 09:59 offered your opinions on validity and noninfringement, 09:59 you were employed by Yahoo? 09:59 A Yes. 09:59 Q Okay. Now, other than the Bedrock case, have 09:59 you been involved in any way in any other litigation 09:59 as part of your employment at Yahoo? 09:59 A Yes. 09:59	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A I don't10:00MS. DOAN: Objection; form.10:00THE WITNESS: know.10:00MR. BUDWIN: Q. More than 100 hours?10:00A I don't know.10:00Q But you said you've attended some meetings;10:00is that right?10:00A Correct.10:00
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	testified in the Bedrock case, at the time when you 09:59 offered your opinions on validity and noninfringement, 09:59 you were employed by Yahoo? 09:59 A Yes. 09:59 Q Okay. Now, other than the Bedrock case, have 09:59 you been involved in any way in any other litigation 09:59 as part of your employment at Yahoo? 09:59 A Yes. 09:59 Q Okay. What litigation? 09:59	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	AI don't10:00MS. DOAN:Objection; form.10:00THE WITNESS: know.10:00MR. BUDWIN:Q. More than 100 hours?10:00AI don't know.10:00QBut you said you've attended some meetings;10:00is that right?10:00ACorrect.10:00QAt least three meetings to prepare for the10:00
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	testified in the Bedrock case, at the time when you 09:59 offered your opinions on validity and noninfringement, 09:59 you were employed by Yahoo? 09:59 A Yes. 09:59 Q Okay. Now, other than the Bedrock case, have 09:59 you been involved in any way in any other litigation 09:59 as part of your employment at Yahoo? 09:59 A Yes. 09:59 Q Okay. What litigation? 09:59 A Well, the one that I can remember is the one 09:59	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A I don't10:00MS. DOAN: Objection; form.10:00THE WITNESS: know.10:00MR. BUDWIN: Q. More than 100 hours?10:00A I don't know.10:00Q But you said you've attended some meetings;10:00is that right?10:00A Correct.10:00Q At least three meetings to prepare for the deposition today?10:00
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	testified in the Bedrock case, at the time when you 09:59 offered your opinions on validity and noninfringement, 09:59 you were employed by Yahoo? 09:59 A Yes. 09:59 Q Okay. Now, other than the Bedrock case, have 09:59 you been involved in any way in any other litigation 09:59 as part of your employment at Yahoo? 09:59 A Yes. 09:59 Q Okay. What litigation? 09:59 A Well, the one that I can remember is the one 09:59 I mentioned earlier where I was deposed. 09:59	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A I don't10:00MS. DOAN: Objection; form.10:00THE WITNESS: know.10:00MR. BUDWIN: Q. More than 100 hours?10:00A I don't know.10:00Q But you said you've attended some meetings;10:00is that right?10:00A Correct.10:00Q At least three meetings to prepare for the deposition today?10:00A Yes.10:00
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	testified in the Bedrock case, at the time when you 09:59 offered your opinions on validity and noninfringement, 09:59 you were employed by Yahoo? 09:59 A Yes. 09:59 Q Okay. Now, other than the Bedrock case, have 09:59 you been involved in any way in any other litigation 09:59 as part of your employment at Yahoo? 09:59 A Yes. 09:59 Q Okay. What litigation? 09:59 A Well, the one that I can remember is the one 09:59 I mentioned earlier where I was deposed. 09:59 Q Well, and as part of your employment at 09:59	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	AI don't10:00MS. DOAN:Objection; form.10:00THE WITNESS: know.10:00MR. BUDWIN:Q. More than 100 hours?10:00AI don't know.10:00QBut you said you've attended some meetings;10:00is that right?10:00ACorrect.10:00QAt least three meetings to prepare for the10:00deposition today?10:00AYes.10:00QAt least one meeting with Mr. Wei?10:00
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	testified in the Bedrock case, at the time when you 09:59 offered your opinions on validity and noninfringement, 09:59 you were employed by Yahoo? 09:59 A Yes. 09:59 Q Okay. Now, other than the Bedrock case, have 09:59 you been involved in any way in any other litigation 09:59 as part of your employment at Yahoo? 09:59 A Yes. 09:59 Q Okay. What litigation? 09:59 A Well, the one that I can remember is the one 09:59 I mentioned earlier where I was deposed. 09:59 Q Well, and as part of your employment at 09:59 Yahoo, you had become involved in this case, the Eolas 09:59	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	AI don't10:00MS. DOAN:Objection; form.10:00THE WITNESS: know.10:00MR. BUDWIN:Q. More than 100 hours?10:00AI don't know.10:00QBut you said you've attended some meetings;10:00aCorrect.10:00ACorrect.10:00QAt least three meetings to prepare for the 10:0010:00AYes.10:00AYes.10:00AYes.10:00AYes.10:00AYes.10:00AYes.10:00
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	testified in the Bedrock case, at the time when you 09:59 offered your opinions on validity and noninfringement, 09:59 you were employed by Yahoo? 09:59 A Yes. 09:59 Q Okay. Now, other than the Bedrock case, have 09:59 you been involved in any way in any other litigation 09:59 as part of your employment at Yahoo? 09:59 A Yes. 09:59 Q Okay. What litigation? 09:59 A Well, the one that I can remember is the one 09:59 I mentioned earlier where I was deposed. 09:59 Q Well, and as part of your employment at 09:59 Yahoo, you had become involved in this case, the Eolas 09:59 case; have you not? 09:59	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A I don't10:00MS. DOAN: Objection; form.10:00THE WITNESS: know.10:00MR. BUDWIN: Q. More than 100 hours?10:00A I don't know.10:00Q But you said you've attended some meetings;10:00is that right?10:00A Correct.10:00Q At least three meetings to prepare for the10:00deposition today?10:00A Yes.10:00Q At least one meeting with Mr. Wei?10:00A Yes.10:00Q Several phone calls with the experts in this10:00
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	testified in the Bedrock case, at the time when you 09:59 offered your opinions on validity and noninfringement, 09:59 you were employed by Yahoo? 09:59 A Yes. 09:59 Q Okay. Now, other than the Bedrock case, have 09:59 you been involved in any way in any other litigation 09:59 as part of your employment at Yahoo? 09:59 A Yes. 09:59 Q Okay. What litigation? 09:59 A Well, the one that I can remember is the one 09:59 I mentioned earlier where I was deposed. 09:59 Q Well, and as part of your employment at 09:59 Yahoo, you had become involved in this case, the Eolas 09:59 case; have you not? 09:59 A Yes. 09:59	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	AI don't10:00MS. DOAN:Objection; form.10:00THE WITNESS: know.10:00MR. BUDWIN:Q. More than 100 hours?10:00AI don't know.10:00QBut you said you've attended some meetings;10:00is that right?10:00ACorrect.10:00QAt least three meetings to prepare for the 10:0010:00deposition today?10:00AYes.10:00QAt least one meeting with Mr. Wei?10:00AYes.10:00QSeveral phone calls with the experts in this 10:0010:00case:Mr. Bakewell, Mr. Philips, Mr. Maggs?10:00
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	testified in the Bedrock case, at the time when you 09:59 offered your opinions on validity and noninfringement, 09:59 you were employed by Yahoo? 09:59 A Yes. 09:59 Q Okay. Now, other than the Bedrock case, have 09:59 you been involved in any way in any other litigation 09:59 as part of your employment at Yahoo? 09:59 A Yes. 09:59 Q Okay. What litigation? 09:59 A Well, the one that I can remember is the one 09:59 I mentioned earlier where I was deposed. 09:59 Q Well, and as part of your employment at 09:59 Yahoo, you had become involved in this case, the Eolas 09:59 case; have you not? 09:59 A Yes. 09:59 Q I'm sorry if I asked you this already, but 09:59	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	AI don't10:00MS. DOAN:Objection; form.10:00THE WITNESS: know.10:00MR. BUDWIN:Q. More than 100 hours?10:00AI don't know.10:00QBut you said you've attended some meetings;10:00is that right?10:00ACorrect.10:00QAt least three meetings to prepare for the 10:0010:00AYes.10:00QAt least one meeting with Mr. Wei?10:00AYes.10:00QSeveral phone calls with the experts in this 10:0010:00MS. DOAN:Objection; form.10:00
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	testified in the Bedrock case, at the time when you 09:59 offered your opinions on validity and noninfringement, 09:59 you were employed by Yahoo? 09:59 A Yes. 09:59 Q Okay. Now, other than the Bedrock case, have 09:59 you been involved in any way in any other litigation 09:59 as part of your employment at Yahoo? 09:59 A Yes. 09:59 Q Okay. What litigation? 09:59 A Well, the one that I can remember is the one 09:59 I mentioned earlier where I was deposed. 09:59 Q Well, and as part of your employment at 09:59 Yahoo, you had become involved in this case, the Eolas 09:59 case; have you not? 09:59 A Yes. 09:59 Q I'm sorry if I asked you this already, but 09:59 when did you first learn about this case, this Eolas 10:00	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	AI don't10:00MS. DOAN:Objection; form.10:00THE WITNESS: know.10:00MR. BUDWIN:Q. More than 100 hours?10:00AI don't know.10:00QBut you said you've attended some meetings;10:00aCorrect.10:00ACorrect.10:00QAt least three meetings to prepare for the10:00deposition today?10:00AYes.10:00QAt least one meeting with Mr. Wei?10:00AYes.10:00QSeveral phone calls with the experts in this10:00case:Mr. Bakewell, Mr. Philips, Mr. Maggs?10:00MS. DOAN:Objection; form.10:00THE WITNESS:Some of the meetings, you had10:01
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	testified in the Bedrock case, at the time when you 09:59 offered your opinions on validity and noninfringement, 09:59 you were employed by Yahoo? 09:59 A Yes. 09:59 Q Okay. Now, other than the Bedrock case, have 09:59 you been involved in any way in any other litigation 09:59 as part of your employment at Yahoo? 09:59 A Yes. 09:59 Q Okay. What litigation? 09:59 A Well, the one that I can remember is the one 09:59 I mentioned earlier where I was deposed. 09:59 Q Well, and as part of your employment at 09:59 Yahoo, you had become involved in this case, the Eolas 09:59 Case; have you not? 09:59 A Yes. 09:59 Q I'm sorry if I asked you this already, but 09:59 when did you first learn about this case, this Eolas 10:00 case? 10:00	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	AI don't10:00MS. DOAN:Objection; form.10:00THE WITNESS: know.10:00MR. BUDWIN:Q. More than 100 hours?10:00AI don't know.10:00QBut you said you've attended some meetings;10:00ACorrect.10:00ACorrect.10:00QAt least three meetings to prepare for the10:00deposition today?10:00AYes.10:00QAt least one meeting with Mr. Wei?10:00AYes.10:00QSeveral phone calls with the experts in this10:00case:Mr. Bakewell, Mr. Philips, Mr. Maggs?10:00MS. DOAN:Objection; form.10:00THE WITNESS:Some of the meetings, you had10:01mentioned meetings with counsel, and some of those10:01
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	testified in the Bedrock case, at the time when you 09:59 offered your opinions on validity and noninfringement, 09:59 you were employed by Yahoo? 09:59 A Yes. 09:59 Q Okay. Now, other than the Bedrock case, have 09:59 you been involved in any way in any other litigation 09:59 as part of your employment at Yahoo? 09:59 A Yes. 09:59 Q Okay. What litigation? 09:59 A Well, the one that I can remember is the one 09:59 I mentioned earlier where I was deposed. 09:59 Q Well, and as part of your employment at 09:59 Yahoo, you had become involved in this case, the Eolas 09:59 Case; have you not? 09:59 A Yes. 09:59 Q I'm sorry if I asked you this already, but 09:59 when did you first learn about this case, this Eolas 10:00 case? 10:00 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:00	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	AI don't10:00MS. DOAN:Objection; form.10:00THE WITNESS: know.10:00MR. BUDWIN:Q. More than 100 hours?10:00AI don't know.10:00QBut you said you've attended some meetings;10:00ACorrect.10:00QAt least three meetings to prepare for the10:00deposition today?10:00AYes.10:00QAt least one meeting with Mr. Wei?10:00AYes.10:00QSeveral phone calls with the experts in this10:00case:Mr. Bakewell, Mr. Philips, Mr. Maggs?10:00THE WITNESS:Some of the meetings, you had10:01mentioned meetings with counsel, and some of those10:01
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	testified in the Bedrock case, at the time when you 09:59 offered your opinions on validity and noninfringement, 09:59 you were employed by Yahoo? 09:59 A Yes. 09:59 Q Okay. Now, other than the Bedrock case, have 09:59 you been involved in any way in any other litigation 09:59 as part of your employment at Yahoo? 09:59 A Yes. 09:59 Q Okay. What litigation? 09:59 A Well, the one that I can remember is the one 09:59 I mentioned earlier where I was deposed. 09:59 Q Well, and as part of your employment at 09:59 Yahoo, you had become involved in this case, the Eolas 09:59 case; have you not? 09:59 Q I'm sorry if I asked you this already, but 09:59 when did you first learn about this case, this Eolas 10:00 case? 10:00 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:00 THE WITNESS: I learned about this Eolas 10:00	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	AI don't10:00MS. DOAN:Objection; form.10:00THE WITNESS: know.10:00MR. BUDWIN:Q. More than 100 hours?10:00AI don't know.10:00QBut you said you've attended some meetings;10:00aCorrect.10:00ACorrect.10:00QAt least three meetings to prepare for the10:00deposition today?10:00AYes.10:00QAt least one meeting with Mr. Wei?10:00AYes.10:00QSeveral phone calls with the experts in this10:00case:Mr. Bakewell, Mr. Philips, Mr. Maggs?10:00MS. DOAN:Objection; form.10:00THE WITNESS:Some of the meetings, you had10:01mentioned meetings with counsel, and some of those10:01mentioned, so some of those were the same.10:01
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	testified in the Bedrock case, at the time when you 09:59 offered your opinions on validity and noninfringement, 09:59 you were employed by Yahoo? 09:59 A Yes. 09:59 Q Okay. Now, other than the Bedrock case, have 09:59 you been involved in any way in any other litigation 09:59 as part of your employment at Yahoo? 09:59 A Yes. 09:59 Q Okay. What litigation? 09:59 A Well, the one that I can remember is the one 09:59 I mentioned earlier where I was deposed. 09:59 Q Well, and as part of your employment at 09:59 Yahoo, you had become involved in this case, the Eolas 09:59 Case; have you not? 09:59 Q I'm sorry if I asked you this already, but 09:59 when did you first learn about this case, this Eolas 10:00 case? 10:00 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:00 THE WITNESS: I learned about this Eolas 10:00 case, I believe it was in April of 2011. 10:00	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	AI don't10:00MS. DOAN:Objection; form.10:00THE WITNESS:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	testified in the Bedrock case, at the time when you 09:59 offered your opinions on validity and noninfringement, 09:59 ou were employed by Yahoo? 09:59 A Yes. 09:59 Q Okay. Now, other than the Bedrock case, have 09:59 you been involved in any way in any other litigation 09:59 as part of your employment at Yahoo? 09:59 A Yes. 09:59 Q Okay. What litigation? 09:59 A Yes. 09:59 Q Okay. What litigation? 09:59 I mentioned earlier where I was deposed. 09:59 I mentioned earlier where I was deposed. 09:59 Yahoo, you had become involved in this case, the Eolas 09:59 Yahoo, you had become involved in this case, the Eolas 09:59 Case; have you not? 09:59 A Yes. 09:59 Q I'm sorry if I asked you this already, but 09:59 when did you first learn about this case, this Eolas 10:00 case? 10:00 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:00 THE WITNESS: I learned about this Eolas 10:00 case, I believe it was in April of 2011. 10:00 MR. BUDWIN: Okay. 10:00	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	AI don't10:00MS. DOAN:Objection; form.10:00THE WITNESS: know.10:00MR. BUDWIN:Q. More than 100 hours?10:00AI don't know.10:00QBut you said you've attended some meetings;10:00ACorrect.10:00ACorrect.10:00QAt least three meetings to prepare for the10:00deposition today?10:00AYes.10:00QAt least one meeting with Mr. Wei?10:00AYes.10:00QSeveral phone calls with the experts in this10:00case:Mr. Bakewell, Mr. Philips, Mr. Maggs?10:00MS. DOAN:Objection; form.10:00THE WITNESS:Some of the meetings, you had10:01mentioned meetings with counsel, and some of those10:01mentioned, so some of those were the same.10:01MR. BUDWIN:Right.10:01QAnd you also spent some time studying the10:01
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	testified in the Bedrock case, at the time when you 09:59 offered your opinions on validity and noninfringement, 09:59 you were employed by Yahoo? 09:59 A Yes. 09:59 Q Okay. Now, other than the Bedrock case, have 09:59 you been involved in any way in any other litigation 09:59 as part of your employment at Yahoo? 09:59 A Yes. 09:59 Q Okay. What litigation? 09:59 A Well, the one that I can remember is the one 09:59 I mentioned earlier where I was deposed. 09:59 Q Well, and as part of your employment at 09:59 Yahoo, you had become involved in this case, the Eolas 09:59 Case; have you not? 09:59 A Yes. 09:59 Q I'm sorry if I asked you this already, but 09:59 when did you first learn about this case, this Eolas 10:00 case? 10:00 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:00 THE WITNESS: I learned about this Eolas 10:00 case, I believe it was in April of 2011. 10:00 MR. BUDWIN: Okay. 10:00 Q And between April of 2011 and today, how much 10:00	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	AI don't10:00MS. DOAN:Objection; form.10:00THE WITNESS: know.10:00MR. BUDWIN:Q. More than 100 hours?10:00AI don't know.10:00QBut you said you've attended some meetings;10:00ACorrect.10:00ACorrect.10:00QAt least three meetings to prepare for the10:00deposition today?10:00AYes.10:00QAt least one meeting with Mr. Wei?10:00AYes.10:00QSeveral phone calls with the experts in this10:00MS. DOAN:Objection; form.10:00MS. DOAN:Objection; form.10:00THE WITNESS:Some of the meetings, you had10:01mentioned meetings with counsel, and some of those10:01mentioned, so some of those were the same.10:01MR. BUDWIN:Right.10:01QAnd you also spent some time studying the10:01Viola source code and the Viola system; is that right?10:01
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	testified in the Bedrock case, at the time when you 09:59 offered your opinions on validity and noninfringement, 09:59 ou were employed by Yahoo? 09:59 A Yes. 09:59 Q Okay. Now, other than the Bedrock case, have 09:59 you been involved in any way in any other litigation 09:59 as part of your employment at Yahoo? 09:59 A Yes. 09:59 Q Okay. What litigation? 09:59 A Yes. 09:59 Q Okay. What litigation? 09:59 I mentioned earlier where I was deposed. 09:59 I mentioned earlier where I was deposed. 09:59 Yahoo, you had become involved in this case, the Eolas 09:59 Yahoo, you had become involved in this case, the Eolas 09:59 Case; have you not? 09:59 A Yes. 09:59 Q I'm sorry if I asked you this already, but 09:59 when did you first learn about this case, this Eolas 10:00 case? 10:00 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:00 THE WITNESS: I learned about this Eolas 10:00 case, I believe it was in April of 2011. 10:00 MR. BUDWIN: Okay. 10:00	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	AI don't10:00MS. DOAN:Objection; form.10:00THE WITNESS: know.10:00MR. BUDWIN:Q. More than 100 hours?10:00AI don't know.10:00QBut you said you've attended some meetings;10:00ACorrect.10:00ACorrect.10:00QAt least three meetings to prepare for the10:00deposition today?10:00AYes.10:00QAt least one meeting with Mr. Wei?10:00AYes.10:00QSeveral phone calls with the experts in this10:00case:Mr. Bakewell, Mr. Philips, Mr. Maggs?10:00MS. DOAN:Objection; form.10:00THE WITNESS:Some of the meetings, you had10:01mentioned meetings with counsel, and some of those10:01mentioned, so some of those were the same.10:01MR. BUDWIN:Right.10:01QAnd you also spent some time studying the10:01

1	Page 54		Page 55
	you having with Mr. Wei, and counsel, and the experts, 10:01	1 (didn't I wouldn't say necessarily study the code. 10:02
2	and your study of the Viola code, all that's happened 10:01	2	Q Did 10:02
3	since April of 2011; is that right? 10:01	3	A I looked at it. 10:02
4	A Yes. 10:01	4	Q Okay. Did you look at any of the accused 10:02
5	Q Okay. And how many hours would you estimate 10:01	5	Yahoo products and the way they operate? 10:02
6	that you spent between April of 2011 and today on 10:01	б	A I have looked at some of the Accused 10:02
7	issues and matters related to the Eolas case? 10:01	7]	Products, yes. 10:02
8	A I as I sit here, I can't I can't offer 10:01	8	Q So just so I can understand, so you first 10:02
9	a guess. 10:01	9	learned about this case, the Eolas case, in 10:02
10	0		April 2011; is that right? 10:02
11		11	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:02
12	would have to spend some time thinking about it, 10:01	12	THE WITNESS: I learned about the this 10:02
13		13 (case, yes, in 2011. 10:02
14		14	MR. BUDWIN: All right. 10:02
15	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:02	15	Q And since that since that time, you've had 10:02
16		16 ;	at least three meetings with counsel to prepare for 10:02
17	MR. BUDWIN: Q. How many days did you spend 10:02		depositions; is that is that fair? 10:02
18	studying the code? 10:02	18	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:02
19	A The code was relatively a short amount of 10:02	19	THE WITNESS: Yeah. 10:02
20		20	MS. DOAN: You can answer. 10:03
21	Q How much time? 10:02	21	THE WITNESS: Yes. 10:03
22	A Well, what was your your question was 10:02	22	MR. BUDWIN: Q. And you've had at least one 10:03
23	studying the code? 10:02	23 1	meeting with Mr. Wei, who is one of the alleged prior 10:03
24	Q Yeah. 10:02	24 ;	art people, to talk about issues related to Viola; is 10:03
25	A Very little. I would say I didn't and I 10:02	25 1	that right? 10:03
	Page 56		Page 57
1	A I've had one meeting with Mr. Wei. 10:03	1 1	reviewing the accused Yahoo products were all 10:04
1	Q Okay. To talk about issues related to Viola? 10:03		ferre wing are accused Farros products were and forot
2	χ Oray. TO talk about issues related to viola: 10:05		things that you had done within your employment at 10:04
	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:03	2 1	
2		2 1	things that you had done within your employment at 10:04
2 3	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:03	2 1 3 ⁻	things that you had done within your employment at 10:04 Yahoo? 10:04
2 3 4	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:03 THE WITNESS: To talk about various issues. 10:03	2 1 3 7 4	things that you had done within your employment at 10:04 Yahoo? 10:04 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:04
2 3 4 5	MS. DOAN: Objection; form.10:03THE WITNESS: To talk about various issues.10:03MR. BUDWIN: Q. Including Viola?10:03A Yes.10:03Q And you've also had telephone discussions10:03	2 1 3 7 4 5 6	things that you had done within your employment at 10:04 Yahoo? 10:04 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:04 You can answer. 10:04
2 3 4 5 6	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:03 THE WITNESS: To talk about various issues. 10:03 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Including Viola? 10:03 A Yes. 10:03	2 1 3 7 4 5 6 7]	things that you had done within your employment at 10:04 Yahoo? 10:04 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:04 You can answer. 10:04 THE WITNESS: Within my employment. Again, 10:04
2 3 4 5 6 7	MS. DOAN: Objection; form.10:03THE WITNESS: To talk about various issues.10:03MR. BUDWIN: Q. Including Viola?10:03A Yes.10:03Q And you've also had telephone discussions10:03	2 1 3 7 4 5 6 7]	things that you had done within your employment at 10:04 Yahoo? 10:04 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:04 You can answer. 10:04 THE WITNESS: Within my employment. Again, 10:04 I've done those things. I agree to that, and I've 10:04
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:03 THE WITNESS: To talk about various issues. 10:03 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Including Viola? 10:03 A Yes. 10:03 Q And you've also had telephone discussions 10:03 with experts in this case: Mr. Philips, Mr. Maggs, 10:03	2 1 3 7 4 5 6 7 1 8 1	things that you had done within your employment at 10:04 Yahoo? 10:04 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:04 You can answer. 10:04 THE WITNESS: Within my employment. Again, 10:04 I've done those things. I agree to that, and I've 10:04 been employed at Yahoo. 10:04 MR. BUDWIN: Q. During the time that 10:04 A From 10:04
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:03 THE WITNESS: To talk about various issues. 10:03 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Including Viola? 10:03 A Yes. 10:03 Q And you've also had telephone discussions 10:03 with experts in this case: Mr. Philips, Mr. Maggs, 10:03 and Mr. Bakewell? 10:03 A I have had conversations with those three 10:03 folks, yes. 10:03	2 1 3 7 4 5 6 7] 8 1 9	things that you had done within your employment at 10:04 Yahoo? 10:04 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:04 You can answer. 10:04 THE WITNESS: Within my employment. Again, 10:04 I've done those things. I agree to that, and I've 10:04 been employed at Yahoo. 10:04 MR. BUDWIN: Q. During the time that 10:04 A From 10:04 Q you did those things? 10:04
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:03 THE WITNESS: To talk about various issues. 10:03 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Including Viola? 10:03 A Yes. 10:03 Q And you've also had telephone discussions 10:03 with experts in this case: Mr. Philips, Mr. Maggs, 10:03 and Mr. Bakewell? 10:03 A I have had conversations with those three 10:03 folks, yes. 10:03 Q And you've looked at the Viola code? 10:03	2 1 3 7 4 5 6 7 1 8 1 9 10 11 12	things that you had done within your employment at 10:04 Yahoo? 10:04 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:04 You can answer. 10:04 THE WITNESS: Within my employment. Again, 10:04 I've done those things. I agree to that, and I've 10:04 been employed at Yahoo. 10:04 MR. BUDWIN: Q. During the time that 10:04 A From 10:04 Q you did those things? 10:04 A Yes. 10:04
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:03 THE WITNESS: To talk about various issues. 10:03 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Including Viola? 10:03 A Yes. 10:03 Q And you've also had telephone discussions 10:03 with experts in this case: Mr. Philips, Mr. Maggs, 10:03 and Mr. Bakewell? 10:03 A I have had conversations with those three 10:03 folks, yes. 10:03 Q And you've looked at the Viola code? 10:03 A I have looked at some of the Viola code. 10:03	2 1 3 7 4 5 6 7 1 8 1 9 9 10 11 12 13	things that you had done within your employment at 10:04 Yahoo? 10:04 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:04 You can answer. 10:04 THE WITNESS: Within my employment. Again, 10:04 I've done those things. I agree to that, and I've 10:04 been employed at Yahoo. 10:04 MR. BUDWIN: Q. During the time that 10:04 A From 10:04 Q you did those things? 10:04 A Yes. 10:04 Q Okay. Now, have you been asked to set aside 10:04
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:03 THE WITNESS: To talk about various issues. 10:03 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Including Viola? 10:03 A Yes. 10:03 Q And you've also had telephone discussions 10:03 with experts in this case: Mr. Philips, Mr. Maggs, 10:03 and Mr. Bakewell? 10:03 A I have had conversations with those three 10:03 folks, yes. 10:03 Q And you've looked at the Viola code? 10:03 A I have looked at some of the Viola code. 10:03 Q And you've looked at some of the accused 10:03	2 1 3 7 4 5 6 7 1 8 1 9 9 10 11 12 13 13 14 1	things that you had done within your employment at 10:04 Yahoo? 10:04 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:04 You can answer. 10:04 THE WITNESS: Within my employment. Again, 10:04 I've done those things. I agree to that, and I've 10:04 been employed at Yahoo. 10:04 MR. BUDWIN: Q. During the time that 10:04 A From 10:04 Q you did those things? 10:04 A Yes. 10:04 Q Okay. Now, have you been asked to set aside 10:04 time for trial in this matter? 10:04
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:03 THE WITNESS: To talk about various issues. 10:03 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Including Viola? 10:03 A Yes. 10:03 Q And you've also had telephone discussions 10:03 with experts in this case: Mr. Philips, Mr. Maggs, 10:03 and Mr. Bakewell? 10:03 A I have had conversations with those three 10:03 folks, yes. 10:03 Q And you've looked at the Viola code? 10:03 A I have looked at some of the Viola code. 10:03 Q And you've looked at some of the accused 10:03 Yahoo products? 10:03	2 1 3 7 4 5 6 7 1 8 1 9 9 10 11 12 13 14 1 15	things that you had done within your employment at 10:04 Yahoo? 10:04 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:04 You can answer. 10:04 THE WITNESS: Within my employment. Again, 10:04 I've done those things. I agree to that, and I've 10:04 been employed at Yahoo. 10:04 MR. BUDWIN: Q. During the time that 10:04 A From 10:04 Q you did those things? 10:04 A Yes. 10:04 Q Okay. Now, have you been asked to set aside 10:04 time for trial in this matter? 10:04 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:04
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. $10:03$ THE WITNESS: To talk about various issues. $10:03$ MR. BUDWIN: Q. Including Viola? $10:03$ A Yes. $10:03$ Q And you've also had telephone discussions $10:03$ with experts in this case: Mr. Philips, Mr. Maggs, $10:03$ and Mr. Bakewell? $10:03$ A I have had conversations with those three $10:03$ folks, yes. $10:03$ Q And you've looked at the Viola code? $10:03$ A I have looked at some of the Viola code. $10:03$ Q And you've looked at some of the accused $10:03$ Yahoo products? $10:03$ A Yes, I have. $10:03$	2 1 3 7 4 5 6 7 1 8 1 9 9 10 11 12 13 13 14 1	things that you had done within your employment at 10:04 Yahoo? 10:04 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:04 You can answer. 10:04 THE WITNESS: Within my employment. Again, 10:04 I've done those things. I agree to that, and I've 10:04 been employed at Yahoo. 10:04 MR. BUDWIN: Q. During the time that 10:04 A From 10:04 Q you did those things? 10:04 A Yes. 10:04 Q Okay. Now, have you been asked to set aside 10:04 time for trial in this matter? 10:04 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:04 The way you've got that phrased, Josh. 10:04
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:03 THE WITNESS: To talk about various issues. 10:03 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Including Viola? 10:03 A Yes. 10:03 Q And you've also had telephone discussions 10:03 with experts in this case: Mr. Philips, Mr. Maggs, 10:03 and Mr. Bakewell? 10:03 A I have had conversations with those three 10:03 folks, yes. 10:03 Q And you've looked at the Viola code? 10:03 A I have looked at some of the accused 10:03 Q And you've looked at some of the accused 10:03 Yahoo products? 10:03 A Yes, I have. 10:03 Q And have you looked at something called Media 10:03	2 1 3 7 4 5 6 7 1 8 1 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	things that you had done within your employment at 10:04 Yahoo? 10:04 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:04 You can answer. 10:04 THE WITNESS: Within my employment. Again, 10:04 I've done those things. I agree to that, and I've 10:04 been employed at Yahoo. 10:04 MR. BUDWIN: Q. During the time that 10:04 A From 10:04 Q you did those things? 10:04 A Yes. 10:04 Q Okay. Now, have you been asked to set aside 10:04 time for trial in this matter? 10:04 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:04 The way you've got that phrased, Josh. 10:04 MR. BUDWIN: Sure. I got I got you. 10:04
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:03 THE WITNESS: To talk about various issues. 10:03 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Including Viola? 10:03 A Yes. 10:03 Q And you've also had telephone discussions 10:03 with experts in this case: Mr. Philips, Mr. Maggs, 10:03 and Mr. Bakewell? 10:03 A I have had conversations with those three 10:03 folks, yes. 10:03 Q And you've looked at the Viola code? 10:03 A I have looked at some of the viola code. 10:03 Q And you've looked at some of the accused 10:03 Yahoo products? 10:03 A Yes, I have. 10:03 Q And have you looked at something called Media 10:03 View as well? 10:03	2 1 3 7 4 5 6 7 1 8 1 9 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	things that you had done within your employment at 10:04 Yahoo? 10:04 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:04 You can answer. 10:04 THE WITNESS: Within my employment. Again, 10:04 I've done those things. I agree to that, and I've 10:04 been employed at Yahoo. 10:04 MR. BUDWIN: Q. During the time that 10:04 A From 10:04 Q you did those things? 10:04 A Yes. 10:04 Q Okay. Now, have you been asked to set aside 10:04 time for trial in this matter? 10:04 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:04 The way you've got that phrased, Josh. 10:04 MR. BUDWIN: Sure. I got I got you. 10:04 MS. DOAN: All right. 10:04
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:03 THE WITNESS: To talk about various issues. 10:03 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Including Viola? 10:03 A Yes. 10:03 Q And you've also had telephone discussions 10:03 with experts in this case: Mr. Philips, Mr. Maggs, 10:03 and Mr. Bakewell? 10:03 A I have had conversations with those three 10:03 folks, yes. 10:03 Q And you've looked at the Viola code? 10:03 A I have looked at the Viola code? 10:03 Q And you've looked at the Viola code. 10:03 Q And you've looked at some of the accused 10:03 Yahoo products? 10:03 A Yes, I have. 10:03 Q And have you looked at something called Media 10:03 View as well? 10:03 A What do you mean "looked at"? 10:03	2 1 3 7 4 5 6 7 1 8 1 9 9 10 11 12 13 14 1 15 16 17 18 19	things that you had done within your employment at 10:04 Yahoo? 10:04 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:04 You can answer. 10:04 THE WITNESS: Within my employment. Again, 10:04 I've done those things. I agree to that, and I've 10:04 been employed at Yahoo. 10:04 MR. BUDWIN: Q. During the time that 10:04 A From 10:04 Q you did those things? 10:04 A Yes. 10:04 Q Okay. Now, have you been asked to set aside 10:04 time for trial in this matter? 10:04 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:04 The way you've got that phrased, Josh. 10:04 MR. BUDWIN: Sure. I got I got you. 10:04 MR. BUDWIN: Let me ask my question. 10:04
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:03 THE WITNESS: To talk about various issues. 10:03 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Including Viola? 10:03 A Yes. 10:03 Q And you've also had telephone discussions 10:03 with experts in this case: Mr. Philips, Mr. Maggs, 10:03 and Mr. Bakewell? 10:03 A I have had conversations with those three 10:03 folks, yes. 10:03 Q And you've looked at the Viola code? 10:03 A I have looked at some of the Viola code. 10:03 Q And you've looked at some of the accused 10:03 Yahoo products? 10:03 A Yes, I have. 10:03 Q And have you looked at something called Media 10:03 View as well? 10:03 A What do you mean "looked at"? 10:03 Q Have you heard anything about it? Read any 10:03	2 1 3 7 4 5 6 7 1 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	things that you had done within your employment at 10:04 Yahoo? 10:04 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:04 You can answer. 10:04 THE WITNESS: Within my employment. Again, 10:04 I've done those things. I agree to that, and I've 10:04 been employed at Yahoo. 10:04 MR. BUDWIN: Q. During the time that 10:04 A From 10:04 Q you did those things? 10:04 A Yes. 10:04 Q Okay. Now, have you been asked to set aside 10:04 time for trial in this matter? 10:04 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:04 The way you've got that phrased, Josh. 10:04 MR. BUDWIN: Sure. I got I got you. 10:04 MS. DOAN: All right. 10:04 MR. BUDWIN: Let me ask my question. 10:04 Q Do you have an understanding this case is set 10:04
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:03 THE WITNESS: To talk about various issues. 10:03 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Including Viola? 10:03 A Yes. 10:03 Q And you've also had telephone discussions 10:03 with experts in this case: Mr. Philips, Mr. Maggs, 10:03 and Mr. Bakewell? 10:03 A I have had conversations with those three 10:03 folks, yes. 10:03 Q And you've looked at the Viola code? 10:03 A I have looked at some of the Viola code. 10:03 Q And you've looked at some of the accused 10:03 Yahoo products? 10:03 A Yes, I have. 10:03 Q And have you looked at something called Media 10:03 View as well? 10:03 A What do you mean "looked at"? 10:03 Q Have you heard anything about it? Read any 10:03 papers? Seen any code? 10:03	2 1 3 7 4 5 6 7 1 8 1 9 10 11 12 13 14 1 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 1	things that you had done within your employment at 10:04 Yahoo? 10:04 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:04 You can answer. 10:04 THE WITNESS: Within my employment. Again, 10:04 I've done those things. I agree to that, and I've 10:04 been employed at Yahoo. 10:04 MR. BUDWIN: Q. During the time that 10:04 A From 10:04 Q you did those things? 10:04 A Yes. 10:04 Q Okay. Now, have you been asked to set aside 10:04 time for trial in this matter? 10:04 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:04 MR. BUDWIN: Sure. I got I got you. 10:04 MS. DOAN: All right. 10:04 MR. BUDWIN: Let me ask my question. 10:04 Q Do you have an understanding this case is set 10:04 to go to trial in February of 2012? 10:04
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:03 THE WITNESS: To talk about various issues. 10:03 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Including Viola? 10:03 A Yes. 10:03 Q And you've also had telephone discussions 10:03 with experts in this case: Mr. Philips, Mr. Maggs, 10:03 and Mr. Bakewell? 10:03 A I have had conversations with those three 10:03 folks, yes. 10:03 Q And you've looked at the Viola code? 10:03 A I have looked at some of the Viola code. 10:03 Q And you've looked at some of the accused 10:03 Yahoo products? 10:03 A Yes, I have. 10:03 Q And have you looked at something called Media 10:03 View as well? 10:03 A What do you mean "looked at"? 10:03 A What do you mean "looked at"? 10:03 A Yes. 10:03 A Yes. 10:03	2 1 3 7 4 5 6 7 1 8 1 9 10 11 12 13 14 1 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 1 22	things that you had done within your employment at 10:04 Yahoo? 10:04 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:04 You can answer. 10:04 THE WITNESS: Within my employment. Again, 10:04 I've done those things. I agree to that, and I've 10:04 been employed at Yahoo. 10:04 MR. BUDWIN: Q. During the time that 10:04 A From 10:04 Q you did those things? 10:04 A Yes. 10:04 Q Okay. Now, have you been asked to set aside 10:04 time for trial in this matter? 10:04 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:04 MR. BUDWIN: Sure. I got I got you. 10:04 MR. BUDWIN: Sure. I got I got you. 10:04 MR. BUDWIN: Let me ask my question. 10:04 Q Do you have an understanding this case is set 10:04 to go to trial in February of 2012? 10:04 A Yes. 10:05
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:03 THE WITNESS: To talk about various issues. 10:03 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Including Viola? 10:03 A Yes. 10:03 Q And you've also had telephone discussions 10:03 with experts in this case: Mr. Philips, Mr. Maggs, 10:03 and Mr. Bakewell? 10:03 A I have had conversations with those three 10:03 folks, yes. 10:03 Q And you've looked at the Viola code? 10:03 A I have looked at some of the Viola code. 10:03 Q And you've looked at some of the accused 10:03 Q And you've looked at some of the accused 10:03 Yahoo products? 10:03 A Yes, I have. 10:03 Q And have you looked at something called Media 10:03 View as well? 10:03 A What do you mean "looked at"? 10:03 A What do you mean "looked at"? 10:03 A Yes. 10:03 A Yes. 10:03 Q Have you heard anything about it? Read any 10:03 papers? Seen any code? 10:03 Q Okay. And all of those things that we just 10:03	2 1 3 7 4 5 6 7 1 8 1 9 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 1 22 23	things that you had done within your employment at 10:04 Yahoo? 10:04 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:04 You can answer. 10:04 THE WITNESS: Within my employment. Again, 10:04 I've done those things. I agree to that, and I've 10:04 been employed at Yahoo. 10:04 MR. BUDWIN: Q. During the time that 10:04 A From 10:04 Q you did those things? 10:04 A Yes. 10:04 Q Okay. Now, have you been asked to set aside 10:04 time for trial in this matter? 10:04 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:04 The way you've got that phrased, Josh. 10:04 MR. BUDWIN: Sure. I got I got you. 10:04 MR. BUDWIN: Let me ask my question. 10:04 Q Do you have an understanding this case is set 10:04 to go to trial in February of 2012? 10:04 A Yes. 10:05 Q And do you plan to attend trial in February 10:05
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:03 THE WITNESS: To talk about various issues. 10:03 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Including Viola? 10:03 A Yes. 10:03 Q And you've also had telephone discussions 10:03 with experts in this case: Mr. Philips, Mr. Maggs, 10:03 and Mr. Bakewell? 10:03 A I have had conversations with those three 10:03 folks, yes. 10:03 Q And you've looked at the Viola code? 10:03 A I have looked at some of the Viola code. 10:03 Q And you've looked at some of the accused 10:03 Yahoo products? 10:03 A Yes, I have. 10:03 Q And have you looked at something called Media 10:03 View as well? 10:03 A What do you mean "looked at"? 10:03 A What do you mean "looked at"? 10:03 A Yes. 10:03 A Yes. 10:03	2 1 3 7 4 5 6 7 1 8 1 9 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 1 22 23	things that you had done within your employment at 10:04 Yahoo? 10:04 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:04 You can answer. 10:04 THE WITNESS: Within my employment. Again, 10:04 I've done those things. I agree to that, and I've 10:04 been employed at Yahoo. 10:04 MR. BUDWIN: Q. During the time that 10:04 A From 10:04 Q you did those things? 10:04 A Yes. 10:04 Q Okay. Now, have you been asked to set aside 10:04 time for trial in this matter? 10:04 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:04 MR. BUDWIN: Sure. I got I got you. 10:04 MR. BUDWIN: Sure. I got I got you. 10:04 MR. BUDWIN: Let me ask my question. 10:04 Q Do you have an understanding this case is set 10:04 to go to trial in February of 2012? 10:04 A Yes. 10:05

	Page 58		Page 59
1	Q And do you plan to testify at trial in this 10:05	1	your employment at Yahoo? 10:06
2	case in February of 2012? 10:05	2	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:06
3	A Yes. 10:05	3	THE WITNESS: Assuming I'm still employed. 10:06
4	Q And between today and trial in February of 10:05	4	MR. BUDWIN: Q. Now, have you prepared any 10:06
5	2012, do you spend plan to spend additional time 10:05	5	written reports related to any opinions that you may 10:06
6	preparing for your testimony? 10:05	6	have related to this case? 10:06
7	A Yes, yes. 10:05	7	A I haven't. 10:07
8	Q And do you plan to spend additional time 10:05	8	Q Okay. Do you have any plans to prepare any 10:07
9	reviewing materials related to the accused Yahoo 10:05	9	written reports? 10:07
10	products, Viola, Media View, things of that nature? 10:05	10	A I don't have current plans to. 10:07
11	A When you say "things of that nature," sure, 10:05	11	Q Now, prior to your testimony in the Bedrock 10:07
12	it would be related to those topics. 10:05	12	case on issues related to validity and 10:07
13	Q How much additional time do you plan to spend 10:05	13	noninfringement, have you prepared any written 10:07
14	between today and the time of trial in February of 10:05	14	reports? 10:07
15	2012? 10:05	15	A No. 10:07
16	A I don't know. I think right now I have maybe 10:05	16	Q Okay. At Yahoo today, do you report to 10:07
17	one meeting planned, but that's it. 10:05	17	anyone? 10:07
18	Q So you plan to spend more time between today 10:06	18	A Yes. 10:07
19	and trial in February of 2012 working on matters 10:06	19	Q Who do you report to? 10:07
20	related to Eolas and your expected testimony? 10:06	20	A Blake Irving. 10:07
21	A Yes. 10:06	21	Q Okay. Who is that? 10:07
22	Q You're just not sure how much time? 10:06	22	A He's the chief product officer. 10:07
23	A Correct. 10:06	23	Q And does Mr. Irving know that you're spending 10:07
24	Q And the time that you spend between today and 10:06	24	time working on these litigations, the Bedrock case 10:07
25	trial in February of 2012 will be within the scope of 10:06	25	and the Eolas case? 10:07
	Page 60		Page 61
1	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:07	1	Q And has he expressed any objection? 10:08
-		1	Q And has he expressed any objection? 10.00
2	You can answer. 10:07	2	A No. 10:09
	You can answer.10:07THE WITNESS: Yes, he's yes.10:07		ANo.10:09QDo you have any employees that report to you?10:09
2 3 4	You can answer.10:07THE WITNESS: Yes, he's yes.10:07MR. BUDWIN: Q. And he has no objection to10:07	2	ANo.10:09QDo you have any employees that report to you?10:09AYes.10:09
2 3 4 5	You can answer.10:07THE WITNESS: Yes, he's yes.10:07MR. BUDWIN: Q. And he has no objection to10:07you spending time working on these cases, the Bedrock10:08	2 3 4 5	ANo.10:09QDo you have any employees that report to you?10:09AYes.10:09QHow many?10:09
2 3 4 5 6	You can answer. 10:07 THE WITNESS: Yes, he's yes. 10:07 MR. BUDWIN: Q. And he has no objection to 10:07 you spending time working on these cases, the Bedrock 10:08 and the Eolas case? 10:08	2 3 4 5 6	ANo.10:09QDo you have any employees that report to you?10:09AYes.10:09QHow many?10:09AI think four.10:09
2 3 4 5 6 7	You can answer.10:07THE WITNESS: Yes, he's yes.10:07MR. BUDWIN: Q. And he has no objection to10:07you spending time working on these cases, the Bedrock10:08and the Eolas case?10:08A He hasn't ever he's never voiced an10:08	2 3 4 5 6 7	 A No. 10:09 Q Do you have any employees that report to you? 10:09 A Yes. 10:09 Q How many? 10:09 A I think four. 10:09 Q Have any of those employees been involved in 10:09
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	You can answer.10:07THE WITNESS: Yes, he's yes.10:07MR. BUDWIN: Q. And he has no objection to10:07you spending time working on these cases, the Bedrock10:08and the Eolas case?10:08A He hasn't ever he's never voiced an10:08	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	ANo.10:09QDo you have any employees that report to you?10:09AYes.10:09QHow many?10:09AI think four.10:09QHave any of those employees been involved in 10:09assisting you in any way with the Bedrock or the Eolas 10:09
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	You can answer.10:07THE WITNESS: Yes, he's yes.10:07MR. BUDWIN: Q. And he has no objection to10:07you spending time working on these cases, the Bedrock10:08and the Eolas case?10:08A He hasn't ever he's never voiced an10:08objection to me.10:08Q Okay. And Mr. Irving, he's the chief product10:08	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	ANo.10:09QDo you have any employees that report to you?10:09AYes.10:09QHow many?10:09AI think four.10:09QHave any of those employees been involved in 10:09assisting you in any way with the Bedrock or the Eolas 10:09case?10:09
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	You can answer.10:07THE WITNESS: Yes, he's yes.10:07MR. BUDWIN: Q. And he has no objection to10:07you spending time working on these cases, the Bedrock10:08and the Eolas case?10:08A He hasn't ever he's never voiced an10:08objection to me.10:08Q Okay. And Mr. Irving, he's the chief product10:08officer at Yahoo; is that right?10:08	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	ANo.10:09QDo you have any employees that report to you?10:09AYes.10:09QHow many?10:09AI think four.10:09QHave any of those employees been involved in 10:09assisting you in any way with the Bedrock or the Eolas 10:09case?10:09AWell, you include Bedrock. I'd say in the 10:09
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	You can answer.10:07THE WITNESS: Yes, he's yes.10:07MR. BUDWIN: Q. And he has no objection to10:07you spending time working on these cases, the Bedrock10:08and the Eolas case?10:08A He hasn't ever he's never voiced an10:08objection to me.10:08Q Okay. And Mr. Irving, he's the chief product10:08officer at Yahoo; is that right?10:08A That's right.10:08	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	ANo.10:09QDo you have any employees that report to you?10:09AYes.10:09QHow many?10:09AI think four.10:09QHave any of those employees been involved in 10:09assisting you in any way with the Bedrock or the Eolas 10:09case?10:09AWell, you include Bedrock. I'd say in the 10:09Bedrock case, there might have been a conversation or 10:09
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	You can answer.10:07THE WITNESS: Yes, he's yes.10:07MR. BUDWIN: Q. And he has no objection to10:07you spending time working on these cases, the Bedrock10:08and the Eolas case?10:08A He hasn't ever he's never voiced an10:08objection to me.10:08Q Okay. And Mr. Irving, he's the chief product10:08officer at Yahoo; is that right?10:08A That's right.10:08Q And who is Mr. Irving reporting to?10:08	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	ANo.10:09QDo you have any employees that report to you?10:09AYes.10:09QHow many?10:09AI think four.10:09QHave any of those employees been involved in 10:09assisting you in any way with the Bedrock or the Eolas 10:09case?10:09AWell, you include Bedrock. I'd say in the 10:09Bedrock case, there might have been a conversation or 10:09two, but nothing significant. Nothing of 10:09
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	You can answer.10:07THE WITNESS: Yes, he's yes.10:07MR. BUDWIN: Q. And he has no objection to10:07you spending time working on these cases, the Bedrock10:08and the Eolas case?10:08A He hasn't ever he's never voiced an10:08objection to me.10:08Q Okay. And Mr. Irving, he's the chief product10:08officer at Yahoo; is that right?10:08A That's right.10:08Q And who is Mr. Irving reporting to?10:08A To the CEO.10:08	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	ANo.10:09QDo you have any employees that report to you?10:09AYes.10:09QHow many?10:09AI think four.10:09QHave any of those employees been involved in 10:09assisting you in any way with the Bedrock or the Eolas 10:09case?10:09AWell, you include Bedrock. I'd say in the 10:09Bedrock case, there might have been a conversation or 10:09two, but nothing significant. Nothing of 10:09significance.10:09
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	You can answer.10:07THE WITNESS: Yes, he's yes.10:07MR. BUDWIN: Q. And he has no objection to10:07you spending time working on these cases, the Bedrock10:08and the Eolas case?10:08A He hasn't ever he's never voiced an10:08objection to me.10:08Q Okay. And Mr. Irving, he's the chief product10:08officer at Yahoo; is that right?10:08A That's right.10:08Q And who is Mr. Irving reporting to?10:08A To the CEO.10:08Q And who is that?10:08	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	ANo.10:09QDo you have any employees that report to you?10:09AYes.10:09QHow many?10:09AI think four.10:09QHave any of those employees been involved in 10:09assisting you in any way with the Bedrock or the Eolas 10:09case?10:09AWell, you include Bedrock. I'd say in the 10:09Bedrock case, there might have been a conversation or 10:09two, but nothing significant. Nothing of 10:09gAll right.10:09
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	You can answer.10:07THE WITNESS: Yes, he's yes.10:07MR. BUDWIN: Q. And he has no objection to10:07you spending time working on these cases, the Bedrock10:08and the Eolas case?10:08A He hasn't ever he's never voiced an10:08objection to me.10:08Q Okay. And Mr. Irving, he's the chief product10:08officer at Yahoo; is that right?10:08A That's right.10:08Q And who is Mr. Irving reporting to?10:08A To the CEO.10:08Q And who is that?10:08A Tim Morris.10:08	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	ANo.10:09QDo you have any employees that report to you?10:09AYes.10:09QHow many?10:09AI think four.10:09QHave any of those employees been involved in 10:09assisting you in any way with the Bedrock or the Eolas 10:09case?10:09AWell, you include Bedrock. I'd say in the 10:09Bedrock case, there might have been a conversation or 10:09two, but nothing significant. Nothing of 10:09significance.10:09QAll right.10:09So why don't we just summarize this real10:09
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	You can answer.10:07THE WITNESS: Yes, he's yes.10:07MR. BUDWIN: Q. And he has no objection to10:07you spending time working on these cases, the Bedrock10:08and the Eolas case?10:08A He hasn't ever he's never voiced an10:08objection to me.10:08Q Okay. And Mr. Irving, he's the chief product10:08officer at Yahoo; is that right?10:08A That's right.10:08Q And who is Mr. Irving reporting to?10:08A To the CEO.10:08Q And who is that?10:08A Tim Morris.10:08Q Tim Morris?10:08	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	ANo.10:09QDo you have any employees that report to you?10:09AYes.10:09QHow many?10:09AI think four.10:09QHave any of those employees been involved in 10:09assisting you in any way with the Bedrock or the Eolas 10:09case?10:09AWell, you include Bedrock. I'd say in the 10:09Bedrock case, there might have been a conversation or 10:09two, but nothing significant. Nothing of 10:09significance.10:09QAll right.10:09So why don't we just summarize this real 10:09quick, and then maybe we can take take a break.10:09
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	You can answer.10:07THE WITNESS: Yes, he's yes.10:07MR. BUDWIN: Q. And he has no objection to10:07you spending time working on these cases, the Bedrock10:08and the Eolas case?10:08A He hasn't ever he's never voiced an10:08objection to me.10:08Q Okay. And Mr. Irving, he's the chief product10:08officer at Yahoo; is that right?10:08A That's right.10:08Q And who is Mr. Irving reporting to?10:08A To the CEO.10:08Q And who is that?10:08A Tim Morris.10:08A Tim Morris?10:08A (Witness nods head.)10:08	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	ANo.10:09QDo you have any employees that report to you?10:09AYes.10:09QHow many?10:09AI think four.10:09QHave any of those employees been involved in 10:09assisting you in any way with the Bedrock or the Eolas 10:09case?10:09AWell, you include Bedrock. I'd say in the 10:09Bedrock case, there might have been a conversation or 10:09two, but nothing significant. Nothing of 10:09significance.10:09QAll right.10:09So why don't we just summarize this real 10:09quick, and then maybe we can take take a break.10:09So you first became involved in the Bedrock 10:09
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	You can answer.10:07THE WITNESS: Yes, he's yes.10:07MR. BUDWIN: Q. And he has no objection to10:07you spending time working on these cases, the Bedrock10:08and the Eolas case?10:08AHe hasn't ever he's never voiced an10:08objection to me.10:08QOkay. And Mr. Irving, he's the chief product10:08officer at Yahoo; is that right?10:08QAnd who is Mr. Irving reporting to?10:08ATo the CEO.10:08QAnd who is that?10:08QTim Morris.10:08ATim Morris?10:08AHave you discussed your involvement in any of10:08	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	ANo.10:09QDo you have any employees that report to you?10:09AYes.10:09QHow many?10:09AI think four.10:09QHave any of those employees been involved in 10:09assisting you in any way with the Bedrock or the Eolas 10:09case?10:09AWell, you include Bedrock. I'd say in the 10:09Bedrock case, there might have been a conversation or 10:09two, but nothing significant. Nothing of 10:09significance.10:09QAll right.10:09So why don't we just summarize this real 10:09quick, and then maybe we can take take a break.10:09So you first became involved in the Bedrock 10:09case in December of 2010; is that right?10:10
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	You can answer.10:07THE WITNESS: Yes, he's yes.10:07MR. BUDWIN: Q. And he has no objection to10:07you spending time working on these cases, the Bedrock10:08and the Eolas case?10:08A He hasn't ever he's never voiced an10:08objection to me.10:08Q Okay. And Mr. Irving, he's the chief product10:08officer at Yahoo; is that right?10:08A That's right.10:08Q And who is Mr. Irving reporting to?10:08A To the CEO.10:08Q And who is that?10:08Q Tim Morris.10:08A Tim Morris?10:08A Have you discussed your involvement in any of10:08the Bedrock or the Eolas case with Mr. Morris, the10:08	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A No. 10:09 Q Do you have any employees that report to you? 10:09 A Yes. 10:09 Q How many? 10:09 A I think four. 10:09 Q Have any of those employees been involved in 10:09 assisting you in any way with the Bedrock or the Eolas 10:09 case? 10:09 A Well, you include Bedrock. I'd say in the 10:09 Bedrock case, there might have been a conversation or 10:09 two, but nothing significant. Nothing of 10:09 significance. 10:09 Q All right. 10:09 So why don't we just summarize this real 10:09 quick, and then maybe we can take take a break. 10:09 So you first became involved in the Bedrock 10:09 case in December of 2010; is that right? 10:10 A Bedrock case, 2010, yes. 10:10
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	You can answer.10:07THE WITNESS: Yes, he's yes.10:07MR. BUDWIN: Q. And he has no objection to10:07you spending time working on these cases, the Bedrock10:08and the Eolas case?10:08A He hasn't ever he's never voiced an10:08objection to me.10:08Q Okay. And Mr. Irving, he's the chief product10:08officer at Yahoo; is that right?10:08A That's right.10:08Q And who is Mr. Irving reporting to?10:08A To the CEO.10:08Q And who is that?10:08A Tim Morris.10:08Q Tim Morris?10:08A (Witness nods head.)10:08Q Have you discussed your involvement in any of10:08the Bedrock or the Eolas case with Mr. Morris, the10:08current CEO of Yahoo?10:08	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A No. 10:09 Q Do you have any employees that report to you? 10:09 A Yes. 10:09 Q How many? 10:09 A I think four. 10:09 Q Have any of those employees been involved in 10:09 assisting you in any way with the Bedrock or the Eolas 10:09 case? 10:09 A Well, you include Bedrock. I'd say in the 10:09 Bedrock case, there might have been a conversation or 10:09 two, but nothing significant. Nothing of 10:09 significance. 10:09 Q All right. 10:09 So why don't we just summarize this real 10:09 quick, and then maybe we can take take a break. 10:09 So you first became involved in the Bedrock 10:09 case in December of 2010; is that right? 10:10 A Bedrock case, 2010, yes. 10:10
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	You can answer.10:07THE WITNESS: Yes, he's yes.10:07MR. BUDWIN: Q. And he has no objection to10:07you spending time working on these cases, the Bedrock10:08and the Eolas case?10:08A He hasn't ever he's never voiced an10:08objection to me.10:08Q Okay. And Mr. Irving, he's the chief product10:08officer at Yahoo; is that right?10:08A That's right.10:08Q And who is Mr. Irving reporting to?10:08A To the CEO.10:08Q And who is that?10:08Q Tim Morris?10:08A Witness nods head.)10:08Q Have you discussed your involvement in any of10:08the Bedrock or the Eolas case with Mr. Morris, the10:08current CEO of Yahoo?10:08A Not to any not in any detail.10:08	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A No. 10:09 Q Do you have any employees that report to you? 10:09 A Yes. 10:09 Q How many? 10:09 A I think four. 10:09 Q Have any of those employees been involved in 10:09 assisting you in any way with the Bedrock or the Eolas 10:09 case? 10:09 A Well, you include Bedrock. I'd say in the 10:09 Bedrock case, there might have been a conversation or 10:09 two, but nothing significant. Nothing of 10:09 significance. 10:09 Q All right. 10:09 So why don't we just summarize this real 10:09 quick, and then maybe we can take take a break. 10:09 So you first became involved in the Bedrock 10:09 case in December of 2010; is that right? 10:10 A Bedrock case, 2010, yes. 10:10 Q And you testified at trial in the Bedrock 10:10 case in April of 2011? 10:10
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	You can answer.10:07THE WITNESS: Yes, he's yes.10:07MR. BUDWIN: Q. And he has no objection to10:07you spending time working on these cases, the Bedrock10:08and the Eolas case?10:08A He hasn't ever he's never voiced an10:08objection to me.10:08Q Okay. And Mr. Irving, he's the chief product10:08officer at Yahoo; is that right?10:08A That's right.10:08Q And who is Mr. Irving reporting to?10:08A To the CEO.10:08Q And who is that?10:08Q Tim Morris.10:08A Tim Morris?10:08A Heve you discussed your involvement in any of 10:08the Bedrock or the Eolas case with Mr. Morris, the10:08current CEO of Yahoo?10:08A Not to any not in any detail.10:08Q Does Mr. Morris know that you're spending10:08	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A No. 10:09 Q Do you have any employees that report to you? 10:09 A Yes. 10:09 Q How many? 10:09 A I think four. 10:09 Q Have any of those employees been involved in 10:09 assisting you in any way with the Bedrock or the Eolas 10:09 case? 10:09 A Well, you include Bedrock. I'd say in the 10:09 Bedrock case, there might have been a conversation or 10:09 two, but nothing significant. Nothing of 10:09 significance. 10:09 Q All right. 10:09 So why don't we just summarize this real 10:09 guick, and then maybe we can take take a break. 10:09 So you first became involved in the Bedrock 10:09 case in December of 2010; is that right? 10:10 A Bedrock case, 2010, yes. 10:10 Q And you testified at trial in the Bedrock 10:10 case in April of 2011? 10:10 A I believe that's correct. 10:10
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	You can answer.10:07THE WITNESS: Yes, he's yes.10:07MR. BUDWIN: Q. And he has no objection to10:07you spending time working on these cases, the Bedrock10:08and the Eolas case?10:08A He hasn't ever he's never voiced an10:08objection to me.10:08Q Okay. And Mr. Irving, he's the chief product10:08officer at Yahoo; is that right?10:08A That's right.10:08Q And who is Mr. Irving reporting to?10:08A To the CEO.10:08Q And who is that?10:08A Tim Morris.10:08A Tim Morris?10:08A Witness nods head.)10:08C Have you discussed your involvement in any of10:08the Bedrock or the Eolas case with Mr. Morris, the10:08current CEO of Yahoo?10:08A Not to any not in any detail.10:08Q Does Mr. Morris know that you're spending10:08time working on these cases, the Bedrock and the Eolas10:08	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A No. 10:09 Q Do you have any employees that report to you? 10:09 A Yes. 10:09 Q How many? 10:09 A I think four. 10:09 Q Have any of those employees been involved in 10:09 assisting you in any way with the Bedrock or the Eolas 10:09 case? 10:09 A Well, you include Bedrock. I'd say in the 10:09 Bedrock case, there might have been a conversation or 10:09 two, but nothing significant. Nothing of 10:09 significance. 10:09 Q All right. 10:09 So why don't we just summarize this real 10:09 quick, and then maybe we can take take a break. 10:09 So you first became involved in the Bedrock 10:09 case in December of 2010; is that right? 10:10 A Bedrock case, 2010, yes. 10:10 Q And you testified at trial in the Bedrock 10:10 case in April of 2011? 10:10 A I believe that's correct. 10:10 Q And in the Bedrock case, you came to trial, 10:10
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	You can answer.10:07THE WITNESS: Yes, he's yes.10:07MR. BUDWIN: Q. And he has no objection to10:07you spending time working on these cases, the Bedrock10:08and the Eolas case?10:08A He hasn't ever he's never voiced an10:08objection to me.10:08Q Okay. And Mr. Irving, he's the chief product10:08officer at Yahoo; is that right?10:08A That's right.10:08Q And who is Mr. Irving reporting to?10:08A To the CEO.10:08Q And who is that?10:08Q Tim Morris.10:08A Tim Morris?10:08A Heve you discussed your involvement in any of 10:08the Bedrock or the Eolas case with Mr. Morris, the10:08current CEO of Yahoo?10:08A Not to any not in any detail.10:08Q Does Mr. Morris know that you're spending10:08	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A No. 10:09 Q Do you have any employees that report to you? 10:09 A Yes. 10:09 Q How many? 10:09 A I think four. 10:09 Q Have any of those employees been involved in 10:09 assisting you in any way with the Bedrock or the Eolas 10:09 case? 10:09 A Well, you include Bedrock. I'd say in the 10:09 Bedrock case, there might have been a conversation or 10:09 two, but nothing significant. Nothing of 10:09 significance. 10:09 Q All right. 10:09 So why don't we just summarize this real 10:09 guick, and then maybe we can take take a break. 10:09 So you first became involved in the Bedrock 10:09 case in December of 2010; is that right? 10:10 A Bedrock case, 2010, yes. 10:10 Q And you testified at trial in the Bedrock 10:10 case in April of 2011? 10:10 A I believe that's correct. 10:10

	Page 62		Page 63
1	A Yes. 10:10	1	A Yes, I was. 10:11
2	Q And in order to offer those opinions in the 10:10	2	Q And your the person that you report to, 10:11
3	Bedrock case, you studied the Accused Products, the 10:10	3	Blake Irving, who is the chief product officer, he was 10:11
4	Patents-in-Suit, and the prior art? 10:10	4	aware of your involvement in the Bedrock case; is that 10:11
5	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:10	5	right? 10:11
б	You can answer. 10:10	6	A I believe. I don't remember exactly, but I 10:11
7	THE WITNESS: Sorry. Can you repeat? You 10:10	7	believe he was. 10:11
8	said the 10:10	8	Q And he never expressed any objection to you 10:11
9	MR. BUDWIN: Sure. 10:10	9	about being involved in that case? 10:11
10	Q And in order to offer those opinions in the 10:10	10	A No. 10:11
11	Bedrock case about validity and noninfringement, you 10:10	11	Q Okay. And then you testified at trial in the 10:11
12	studied the Accused Products, the Patents-In-Suit, and 10:10	12	Bedrock case in April of 2011; is that is that 10:11
13	the prior art? 10:10	13	right? 10:11
14	A Yes. 10:10	14	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:11
15	Q And you estimated that you spent 10:10	15	THE WITNESS: I testified in the Bedrock case 10:12
16 17	approximately 100 hours or more in preparing to10:10testify in the Bedrock case; is that true?10:11	16 17	in 2011. 10:12
18	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:11	18	MR. BUDWIN: Q. April 2011? 10:12 A April, yes. 10:12
19	THE WITNESS: Yes. I didn't know the number, 10:11	19	Q Okay. And also in April 2011, that's when 10:12
20	but it certainly wouldn't surprise me if it was I 10:11	20	you first became aware of the Eolas case, the case 10:12
21	think you said would it be would it be over 10:11	21	that you're here for today? 10:12
22	100 hours, and I said possibly, yeah. 10:11	22	A I became aware. I mean, I only heard about 10:12
23	MR. BUDWIN: Okay. 10:11	23	it in passing, so I didn't really know much of 10:12
24	Q And at the time that you were doing that work 10:11	24	anything about it. 10:12
25	on the Bedrock case, you were employed by Yahoo? 10:11	25	Q But you became aware of the Eolas case 10:12
	Page 64		Page 65
1	against Yahoo in April of 2011? 10:12	1	Q And you've also been provided with a copy of 10:13
2	A Again, depending on how you define "aware." 10:12	2	Judge Davis's claim construction order in this case, 10:13
3	In passing, I heard about it. Didn't know any details 10:12	3	and you've looked at that? 10:13
4	about it. But when I then learned more about it 10:12	4	A Yes. 10:13
5	later, I recall I first heard about this back in 10:12	5	Q And all of the things that you've done with 10:13
б	April. 10:12	6	respect to the Eolas case, attending the meetings with 10:14
7	Q Then in July or August of 2011, you attended 10:12	7	Mr. Wei and with counsel, looking at the Viola code, 10:14
8	a meeting with your counsel, counsel for the other 10:12	8	looking at the materials related to Media View, 10:14
9	defendants, and Mr. Wei, one of the creators of Viola? 10:12	9	studying the Court's claim construction, and looking 10:14
10	A Yes. 10:12	10	at some of the accused Yahoo products you did while 10:14
11 12	Q And since you learned about the Eolas case in 10:12	11 12	you were employed at Yahoo? 10:14
12 13		ㅗ∠	A Yes, I've been employed at Yahoo during that 10:14
14^{13}	April of 2011, you've had several meetings with 10:13	13	time 10:14
1	counsel, your counsel, to prepare for depositions or 10:13	13 14	time. 10:14 O And your supervisor Mr. Irving the chief 10:14
15	counsel, your counsel, to prepare for depositions or 10:13 things of that nature in this case; is that fair? 10:13	14	Q And your supervisor, Mr. Irving, the chief 10:14
15 16	counsel, your counsel, to prepare for depositions or 10:13 things of that nature in this case; is that fair? 10:13 A Yes. 10:13	14 15	Q And your supervisor, Mr. Irving, the chief 10:14 product officer at Yahoo, was aware you were spending 10:14
16	counsel, your counsel, to prepare for depositions or 10:13 things of that nature in this case; is that fair? 10:13 A Yes. 10:13 Q You've also spent time reviewing the code for 10:13	14 15 16	Q And your supervisor, Mr. Irving, the chief 10:14 product officer at Yahoo, was aware you were spending 10:14 time doing those things 10:14
16 17	counsel, your counsel, to prepare for depositions or 10:13 things of that nature in this case; is that fair? 10:13 A Yes. 10:13 Q You've also spent time reviewing the code for 10:13 Viola which is asserted as a prior art system? 10:13	14 15	QAnd your supervisor, Mr. Irving, the chief10:14product officer at Yahoo, was aware you were spending10:14time doing those things10:14MS. DOAN: Objection.10:14
16	counsel, your counsel, to prepare for depositions or 10:13 things of that nature in this case; is that fair? 10:13 A Yes. 10:13 Q You've also spent time reviewing the code for 10:13 Viola which is asserted as a prior art system? 10:13 A Yes. 10:13	14 15 16 17	QAnd your supervisor, Mr. Irving, the chief10:14product officer at Yahoo, was aware you were spending10:14time doing those things10:14MS. DOAN: Objection.10:14
16 17 18	counsel, your counsel, to prepare for depositions or 10:13 things of that nature in this case; is that fair? 10:13 A Yes. 10:13 Q You've also spent time reviewing the code for 10:13 Viola which is asserted as a prior art system? 10:13 A Yes. 10:13 Q And you've also looked at documentation 10:13	14 15 16 17 18	QAnd your supervisor, Mr. Irving, the chief10:14product officer at Yahoo, was aware you were spending10:14time doing those things10:14MS. DOAN: Objection.10:14MR. BUDWIN: Q related to the Eolas10:14
16 17 18 19	counsel, your counsel, to prepare for depositions or 10:13 things of that nature in this case; is that fair? 10:13 A Yes. 10:13 Q You've also spent time reviewing the code for 10:13 Viola which is asserted as a prior art system? 10:13 A Yes. 10:13 Q And you've also looked at documentation 10:13	14 15 16 17 18 19	Q And your supervisor, Mr. Irving, the chief 10:14 product officer at Yahoo, was aware you were spending 10:14 time doing those things 10:14 MS. DOAN: Objection. 10:14 MR. BUDWIN: Q related to the Eolas 10:14 case? 10:14
16 17 18 19 20	counsel, your counsel, to prepare for depositions or 10:13things of that nature in this case; is that fair?10:13A Yes.10:13Q You've also spent time reviewing the code for 10:13Viola which is asserted as a prior art system?10:13A Yes.10:13Q And you've also looked at documentation10:13related to something called Media View, which you10:13	14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q And your supervisor, Mr. Irving, the chief 10:14 product officer at Yahoo, was aware you were spending 10:14 time doing those things 10:14 MS. DOAN: Objection. 10:14 MR. BUDWIN: Q related to the Eolas 10:14 case? 10:14 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:14
16 17 18 19 20 21	counsel, your counsel, to prepare for depositions or 10:13 things of that nature in this case; is that fair? 10:13 A Yes. 10:13 Q You've also spent time reviewing the code for 10:13 Viola which is asserted as a prior art system? 10:13 A Yes. 10:13 Q And you've also looked at documentation 10:13 related to something called Media View, which you 10:13 understand is another prior art system? 10:13 A Yes. 10:13 Q And you've also spent time looking at or 10:13	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q And your supervisor, Mr. Irving, the chief 10:14 product officer at Yahoo, was aware you were spending 10:14 time doing those things 10:14 MS. DOAN: Objection. 10:14 MR. BUDWIN: Q related to the Eolas 10:14 case? 10:14 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:14 THE WITNESS: He's aware that I'm I'm not 10:14
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	counsel, your counsel, to prepare for depositions or 10:13 things of that nature in this case; is that fair? 10:13 A Yes. 10:13 Q You've also spent time reviewing the code for 10:13 Viola which is asserted as a prior art system? 10:13 A Yes. 10:13 Q And you've also looked at documentation 10:13 related to something called Media View, which you 10:13 understand is another prior art system? 10:13 A Yes. 10:13	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q And your supervisor, Mr. Irving, the chief 10:14 product officer at Yahoo, was aware you were spending 10:14 time doing those things 10:14 MS. DOAN: Objection. 10:14 MR. BUDWIN: Q related to the Eolas 10:14 case? 10:14 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:14 THE WITNESS: He's aware that I'm I'm not 10:14 sure exactly what he's aware of in terms of the 10:14

1objection?10:141prepared any written reports that express any optimions 10:152A No.10:143A No.10:153Q And you understand that tria in this case is 10:144Q Tris also me that in the Eductoc case?10:154set for February 20:2710:144Q Tris also me that in the Eductoc case?10:155A Yes.10:156Q Iris also me that you may have?10:156Q And that ndditional state optimal time 0:157A Correct.10:157Produces, additional state optimal time 0:1510NE RUDWIN: Okay. All right.10:1510Q And that additional state of 0:1510THE VIDEOGAPHER: This marks the end of 10:1510:1511meetings with coursel, additional state of 0:1510THE VIDEOGAPHER: This marks the end of 10:1512Produces, additional state of 0:1510THE VIDEOGAPHER: This marks the end of 10:1513things like that?10:1515THE VIDEOGAPHER: This marks the end of 10:1514will include:10:1515THE VIDEOGAPHER: This marks the end of 10:3215a Could in - 10:1515THE VIDEOGAPHER: This marks the end of 10:3216will include:10:151617MR. BUDWIN: Q. And you pin to estify at 10:151618Wirte network the assoer was.10:3320rink in Fribrary 20:1210:1521THE WITNESS: - and you mark that will have any any and the was the end of 10:33 <t< th=""><th></th><th>Page 66</th><th></th><th>Page 67</th></t<>		Page 66		Page 67
3QAnd you understand that trial in this case is 10:143ACorrect.10:154set for February 2012?10:145diff repeare any written reports to express any 10:156QAnd in between today's date and February 10:156optimins that you may have?10:1572012, you spend - you plan to spend additional time 10:157ACorrect.10:158preparing to estify?10:158ME. HUDWN: Okay. All right.10:159AYes.10:1510MS. DOAN: Okay.10:1510QAnd that additional study of the Accused 10:1511MS. DOAN: Okay.10:1511meetings with counsel, additional study of the Accused 10:1513THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This marks the end of 10:1512Produces, additional study of the Accused 10:1513Weil go off the record. The time is 10:1513things like that?10:1515Geses taken.)10:1614MS. DOAN: Objection; form.10:1516Wile and think may answer is 10:3215ACorrect.10:1610:3214MS. DOAN: Objection; form.10:1520wanted to correct.agesion of David Filo. 10:3215MS. DOAN: Objection; form.10:1521MS. HUDWN: O. Art, Filo. Lunderstand you10:3215MS. BOUNK: Objection; form.10:1523Sinstead of vilo. and think my answer is 10:331716would be that 1 likely - 1 don't remember exactly 10:3317	1	objection? 10:14	1	prepared any written reports that express any opinions 10:15
4set for February 2012?10:144QIts also rare that in the Bedrock case you10:155A Yes.10:156didn't pepare any written reports to express any10:1572012, you spend - you plan to spend additional time10:157A Correst.10:159preparing to testify?10:157A Correst.10:159A Yes.10:159NR. BUDWN: Okay.10:1511meetings with counsel, additional study of the Accused10:1511THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This marks the end of10:1512Products, additional study of Meida View or Viola,10:1512Disk.10:151113things like that?10:151410:16 a.m.10:1614MS. DOAN: Objection; form.10:15161610:16 a.m.10:1615THE WITNESS: I don't know what - what it10:151617FINE WIDEOGRAPHER: This marks the beginning10:3215thing that I just listed?10:1516With WIDMEN: Q. More tould it include throse 10:1517of Disc. 2. Volume I, in the deposition of David Filo.10:3216warket on correct aquestion or something.10:32Warket on correct aquestion or something.10:3217MR. BUDWIN: Q. And you plun to to scientify at 10:1521KR. BUDWIN: Q. Mr. Filo, Inuchantand you 10:3218that J print in the you have no to scientify at 10:1523something having to do with the beginning of Yahoo, or 10:3318that M	2	A No. 10:14	2	that you may have related to the Eolas case? 10:15
5A Yes.10:1556didn't prepare any written reports to express any10:156Q And in between today's date and February10:156opinions that you may have?10:1572012, you spend - you plan to spend additional time10:157A Correct.10:158Preparing to testif?10:158MR. BUDWIN: Okay. All right.10:1510Q And that additional study of the Accused 10:1510MS. DOAN: Okay.10:1511meetings with counsel, additional study of the Accused 10:1511THH: VIDEOGRAPHER: This marks the end of10:1513things like that?10:1512Use on the record. The time is10:1614MS. DOAN: Objection; form.10:1515G Eccess taken.)10:1615THE WITNESS: - sorte.10:1516THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This marks the beginning10:3216MR. BUDWIN: Q. But could it include those10:1516THE WIDEOGRAPHER: This marks the beginning10:3216MR. BUDWIN: Q. And you plan to testify at10:15101010:3210:3217MR. BUDWIN: Q. And you plan to testify at10:1520Ware on the record. The time is10:3323trial in February 2012?10:1521A Yes.10:3324A Yes.10:1522first heard of Viola, and I think my answer is10:3325Q Okay. And it's also true that you haven't10:33102310:3436tha	3	Q And you understand that trial in this case is 10:14	3	A Correct. 10:15
6QAnd in between today's date and February10:156opinions that you may have?10:1572012, you spend you plan to spend additional time10:157A Correct.10:159A Yes.10:158MR. BUDWIN: Okay.10:1510Q And that additional study of Meia View or Viola.10:151010:1511meetings with counsel, additional study of Meia View or Viola.10:1511THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This marks the end of10:1511things like that?10:1513Well go off the record. The time is10:1512Todaw S. Jobics.10:161410:161613things like that?10:151516THE WIDEOGRAPHER: This marks the beginning10:3214MS. DOAN: Objection; form.10:1517of Disc 2. Volume L in the deposition of Disvid Filo.10:3215things hat i just listed?10:1518Ween on the record. The time is 10:32 ann.10:3216things hat i just listed?10:1519WR. BUDWIN: Q. And you plan to estify at 10:1522MR. BUDWIN: Q. And you plan to estify at 10:1523something having to do with the beginning of Viano, or 10:3321would be that 1 likely - I don't remember exactly10:3310A Weich would not have been called Yahoo at 10:3422WR. BUDWIN: Q. And you plan to estify at 10:3310A Which would not have solecame thave of 10:3423would be that 1 likely - I don't remember exactly10:3310 <td< td=""><td>4</td><td>set for February 2012? 10:14</td><td>4</td><td>Q It's also true that in the Bedrock case you 10:15</td></td<>	4	set for February 2012? 10:14	4	Q It's also true that in the Bedrock case you 10:15
72012, you spend - you plan to spend additional time10:157ACorrect.10:158MR. BUDWIN: Okay. All right.10:159Why don't we take a break.10:1510QAnd that additional study of Media View or Vioha,10:1510MR. BUDWIN: Okay.10:1512Products, additional study of Media View or Vioha,10:1511THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This marks the end of10:1513things like that?10:1513Well go off the record. The time is10:1514MS, DOAN: Objection; form.10:151410:6 a m.10:1615THE WITNESS: I don't know what what it10:1516THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This marks the beginning10:3216will include.10:1516We're on the record. The time is 10:32 a m.10:3217MR. BUDWIN: Q. But could it include those10:1516We're on the record. The time is 10:32 a m.10:3218things that 1 just listed?10:1513Want of the correct a question or something.10:3220MR. BUDWIN: Q. And you plan to testify at 10:1524A Yes.10:152421THE WITNESS: - sorte.10:1524True haves was.10:3322Wand Hitz Haves of it, but it was likely in 93, 10:3324A Yes.10:1523water and of it, but it was likely in 93, 10:331A Which would not have been called Yahoo or 10:3434You on true member when you first heard of 10:3310You on't m	5	A Yes. 10:15	5	didn't prepare any written reports to express any 10:15
8preparing to testify?10:159M.R. BUDWIN: Okay. All right.10:159A Yes.10:159Why don't we take a break.10:1511meetings with counsel, additional study of the Accused 10:1511THE VIDEOGRAPHIER: This marks the end of 10:1512Products. additional study of Media View or Viola, 10:1512Dise I.10:1513things like that?10:1513Well go off the record. The time is10:1514MS. DOAN: Objection; form.10:151410:16 a.m.10:1615THE WITNESS: I off know what what it10:1515We're on the record. The time is 10:32 a.m.10:3216will include.10:1516We're on the record. The time is 10:32 a.m.10:3217MR. BUDWIN: Q. But could it include those10:1520MS. DOAN: Objection; form.10:1518things that 1 just lissed?10:1521A Yeah. You asked a question about when I10:3320MS. DOAN: Objection; form.10:1521A Yeah. You asked a question about when I10:3321THE WITNESS: - sure.10:1521First heard of Viola, and I think my answer is10:3323Q Okay. And it's also true that you haven't 10:1523something having to do with the beginning of Yahoo, or 10:3324A Yes.10:311A Which would not have been called Yahoo at10:343mosto we nake 20 time frame.10:332Deater target frame10:344<	6	Q And in between today's date and February 10:15	6	opinions that you may have? 10:15
9A Yes.10:159Why don't we take a break.10:1510Q And that additional study of the Accused 10:1510MS. DOAN: Okay.10:1512Products, additional study of Media View or Viola,10:1511THE VIDEORAPHER: This marks the end of10:1512Products, additional study of Media View or Viola,10:1512Disc 1.10:1613things like that?10:1513Well go off the record. The time is10:1614MS. DOAN: Objection: form.10:1516THE VIDEORAPHER: This marks the beginning10:3215THE WITNESS: I don't know what - what it10:1516THE VIDEORAPHER: This marks the beginning10:3216will include.10:1517of Disc, 2. Volume I, in the deposition of David Filo.10:3216will stated?10:1514We're on the record. The time is 10:32 and 10:3310:3320MS. DOAN: Objection; form.10:1521A Yeab. You asked a question or something10:3321THE WTTNESS: - sure.10:1524Firs heard of Viola, and 1 think my answer is10:3323trial in Fobraary 2012?10:1524Firs heard of Viola, and 1 think my answer is10:3323when I first heard of i. Du're member exactly10:332that remember a document of an earlier 10:3434A Yes.10:1525But anyway. I think the more correct answer 10:3335You don're member when you first heard of i. Du'ra10:34V	7	2012, you spend you plan to spend additional time 10:15	7	A Correct. 10:15
10QAnd that additional time would include10:1511MS:DOAN: Okay.10:1511meetings with counsel, additional study of Media View or Viola,10:1511THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This marks the of of10:1513things like that?10:1512Disc. 1.10:1610:1514MS:DOAN: Objection; form.10:151410:16 a.m.10:1615THE WITNESS: I don't know what - what it10:151510:16 s.m.10:1616will include.10:1516THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This marks the beginning10:3218things that J just listed?10:1519We're on the record. The time is 10:32 a.m.10:3219A Could i -10:1510:1520WR: BUDWIN: Q. Mr. Filo, I understand you10:3221THE WITNESS: - sure.10:1522First Heard O' Viola, and I hink any answer is10:3323trial in February 2012?10:1524A Yeah. You asked a question at boat when 110:3324A Yes.10:1524First heard O' Viola, and I hink any answer is10:3325Q Okay. And i's also true that you haven't10:312First any Any Any Any I any A	8	preparing to testify? 10:15	8	MR. BUDWIN: Okay. All right. 10:15
11meetings with counsel, additional study of Media View or Viola, 10:1511THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This marks the end of10:1512Products, additional study of Media View or Viola, 10:1513Well go off the record. The time is10:1514MS, DOAN: Objection; form.10:151410:16 a.m.10:1615THE WITNESS: I don't know what - what it10:1515(Recess taken.)10:1616Will include.10:1516THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This marks the beginning10:3217MR, BUDWIN: Q. But could it include those10:1517of Disc. 2, Volume I, in the deposition of David Filo. 10:3218things that 1 just listed?10:1519MR, BUDWIN: Q. Mr. Filo, I understand you10:3220MS, DOAN: Objection; form.10:1521A Yeah. You asked a question about when 110:3321THE WITNESS: - sure.10:1522first heard of Viola, and I think my answer is10:3323trial in February 2012?10:1523something having to do with the beginning of Yaboo, or 10:3324A Yes.10:1525But anyway. I think the more correct answer 10:3425Q Okay. And it's also true that you haven't 10:1525But anyway. I think the more correct answer 10:3426You odo't remember exactly 10:331A Which would not have been called Yahoo at 10:3427Q Okay. So let me make sure I understand 10:331A Which would not have been called Yahoo at 10:3428when I first heard of 1; but it was li	9	A Yes. 10:15	9	Why don't we take a break. 10:15
12Products, additional study of Media View or Viola,10:1512Disc 1.10:1513things fike that?10:1514IO:16 a m.10:1514MS. DOAN: Objection; form.10:1515IC fa am.10:1615THE WITNESS: I don't know what what it10:1516THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This marks the beginning10:3216will include.10:1517of Disc 2, Volume I, in the deposition of David Filo.10:3217MR. BUDWIN: Q. But could it include those10:1519MR. BUDWIN: Q. Mr. Filo, I understand you10:3220MS. DOAN: Objection; form.10:1520wanted to corret a question or something.10:3221THE WITNESS: sure.10:1521A Yeah. You saked a question about when I10:3322MR. BUDWIN: Q. And you plan to testify at 10:1523something having to do with the beginning of Yahoo, or 10:3323Q Okay. And it's also true that you haven't 10:1525But anyway, I thin'th emore correct answer10:3424when I first heard of it, but it was likely in '93, 10:331A Which would not have been called Yahoo at 10:3424would be that 1 likely I don't remember exactly10:331A Which would not have been called Yahoo at 10:3425You don't remember when you first heard of 10:331A Which would not have beene ralled Yahoo at 10:3435You don't remember when you first heard of 10:331A Which would not have beene ralled Yahoo at 10:3436Viola	10	Q And that additional time would include 10:15	10	MS. DOAN: Okay. 10:15
13things like that?10:1513Well go off the record. The time is10:1514MS. DOAN: Objection; form.10:151410:16 a m.10:1615THE WITNESS: I don't know what - what it10:1516THE VIDEOGRAPHEE. This marks the beginning10:3216will include.10:1516THE VIDEOGRAPHEE. This marks the beginning10:3217MR. BUDWIN: Q. But could it include those10:1518We're on the record. The time is 10:23 an . 10:3218things that I just listed?10:1519We're on the record. The time is 10:32 an . 10:3220MS. DOAN: Objection; form.10:1521A Yeah. You saked a question about when I10:3321THE WITNESS, - sure.10:1521A Yeah. You saked a question about when I10:3323trial in February 2012?10:1523something having to do with the beginning of Yahoo, or 10:3324A Yes.10:1524I'm not sure what the answer was.10:3325Q Okay. And it's also true that you haven't10:1525But anyway, I think the more correct answer10:342when I first heard of it, but it was likely in 93, 10:331A Which would not have been called Yahoo at10:343maybe even late 92 time frame.10:335that contains a reference to Viola?10:344Q Okay. So there wake sure I understand.10:335that contains a reference of Viola?10:345You don't member.10:33 <t< td=""><td>11</td><td>meetings with counsel, additional study of the Accused 10:15</td><td>11</td><td>THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This marks the end of 10:15</td></t<>	11	meetings with counsel, additional study of the Accused 10:15	11	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This marks the end of 10:15
14MS. DOAN: Objection; form.10:151410:16 a.m.10:1615THE WITNESS: I don't know what - what it10:1516(Recess taken.)10:1616will include.10:1516THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This marks the beginning10:3217MR. BUDWIN: Q. But could it include those10:1519Were on the record. The time is 10:32 at m.10:3218things that 1 just listed?10:1519Were on the record. The time is 10:32 at m.10:3220MS. DOAN: Objection; form.10:1520wanted to correct a question obsort when I10:3321THE WITNESS: sure.10:1521A Yeah. You asked a question about when I10:3323trial in February 2012?10:1523something having to do with the beginning of Yahoo, or 10:3324A Yes.10:1525But anyway, I think the mere correct answer 10:3325Q Okay. And it's also true that you haven't10:321A Which would not have been called Yahoo at 10:342would be that 1 likely I don't remember exactly10:331A Which would not have been called Yahoo at 10:343maybe even late 92 time frame.10:331A Unit on thave so to corect.10:344Q Okay. So let memake sure 1 understand.10:335that contains a reference 0'10/3710:345You don't remember when you first heard of 10:336A believe that's correct.10:346Viola or Pei Wei?10:331A belie	12	Products, additional study of Media View or Viola, 10:15	12	Disc 1. 10:15
15THE WITNESS: I don't know what what it10:1515(Recess taken.)10:1616will include.10:1516THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This marks the beginning10:3217MR. BUDWIN: Q. But could it include those10:1518We're on the record. The time is 10:32 ar.10:3218things that I just listed?10:1519MR. BUDWIN: Q. Wr. Filo, I understand you10:3220MS. DOAN: Objection; form.10:1520Warted to correct a question or something.10:3321THE WITNESS: sure.10:1521A Yeah. You asked a question about when 110:3322MR. BUDWIN: Q. And you plan to testify at10:1523something having to do with the beginning of Yahoo, or 10:3323trial in February 2012?10:1524I'm not sure what the answer was.10:3324A Yes.10:1525But anyway, I think the more correct answer10:3425Q Okay. And it's also true that you haven't10:331A Which would not have been called Yahoo at10:343maybe even late '92 time frame.10:331A Which would not have been called Yahoo at10:344Q Okay. So let me make sure I understand.10:331A Ubart was areference to Viola?10:344Werlin I first heard of it, but it was likely in '03.311A Hat corntains areference to Viola?10:345You don't member when you first heard of 10:337Q And that was otheres and ere Viola?10:34 <t< td=""><td>13</td><td>things like that? 10:15</td><td>13</td><td>We'll go off the record. The time is 10:15</td></t<>	13	things like that? 10:15	13	We'll go off the record. The time is 10:15
16will include.10:1516THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This marks the beginning10:3217MR. BUDWIN: Q. But could it include those10:1517of Disc 2, Volume 1, in the deposition of David Filo.10:3219A Could it -10:1518Were on the record. The time is 10:32 a.m.10:3220MS. DOAN: Objection; form.10:1520wanted to correct a question or something.10:3221THE WITNESS: sure.10:1521A Yeah. You asked a question about when I10:3323trial in February 2012?10:1523something having to do with the beginning of Yahoo, or 10:3324A Yes.10:1524I'm not sure what the answer was.10:3325Q Okay. And it's also true that you haven't10:1525But anyway. I think the more correct answer10:3424when I first heard of it, but it was likely in '93, 10:331A Which would not have been called Yahoo at10:343maybe even late 92 time frame.10:331A Which would correct a uncenter of no:3434Voida or Pei Wei?10:335that contains a reference to Viola?10:345You don't remember when you first heard of 10:336A Thelieve that's correct.10:346A lowit's the carliest written record or10:347A I don't. Yeah, I don't have a specific date 10:339A So far that I've seen -10:349Q And you don't any documents or anything that 10:331A '- that's correct.	14	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:15	14	10:16 a m. 10:16
17 MR. BUDWIN: Q. But could it include those 10:15 17 of Disc 2, Volume I, in the deposition of David Filo. 10:32 18 things that I just listed? 10:15 18 Were on the record. The time is 10:32 an. 10:32 20 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:15 20 Wast BUDWIN: Q. Mr. Filo, 1 understand you 10:32 21 THE WITNESS: - sure. 10:15 21 A Yeah. You aked a question obtavit when I 10:33 23 trial in February 2012? 10:15 23 something having to do with the beginning of Yaboo, or 10:33 24 A Yes. 10:15 24 I'm not sure what the answer was. 10:33 25 Q Okay. And it's also true that you haven't 10:15 25 But anyway. I think the more correct answer 10:33 2 when I first heard of it, but it was likely in '93, 10:33 1 A Which would not have been called Yahoo at 10:34 3 maybe even late '92 time frame. 10:33 1 A Which would not have been called Yahoo at 10:34 4 Q Okay. So let me make sure I understand. 10:33 4 version of what was to became 1 ahoo from May of 1994 10:34 5 You don't renember when you first heard of 10:33 7 <t< td=""><td>15</td><td>THE WITNESS: I don't know what what it 10:15</td><td>15</td><td>(Recess taken.) 10:16</td></t<>	15	THE WITNESS: I don't know what what it 10:15	15	(Recess taken.) 10:16
18things that I just listed?10:1518We're on the record. The time is $10:32$ a $10:32$ 19A Could it -10:1519MR. BUDWIN: Q. Mr. Filo, I understand you10:3221THE WITNESS: sure.10:1521A Yeah. You asked a question about when I10:3322MR. BUDWIN: Q. And you plan to testify at10:1521A Yeah. You asked a question about when I10:3323trial in February 2012?10:1524First heard of Viola, and I think my answer is10:3324A Yes.10:1524I'm not sure what the answer was.10:3325Q Okay. And it's also true that you haven't10:1525But anyway, I think the more correct answer10:332would be that I likely - I don't remember exactly10:331A Which would not have been called Yahoo at10:342were na the '2'ture frame.10:331A Which would not have been called Yahoo at10:343maybe even late '2'ture frame.10:332that point, no.10:344Q Okay. So let me make sure I understand.10:334version of what was to became Yahoo from May of 199410:345You don't remember when you first heard of 10:336A I believe that's correct.10:346A I don't. Yeah, I don't have a specific date10:339A So far that I've see10:347A I don't any documents or anything that 10:339A So far that I've see10:348that joint f	16	will include. 10:15	16	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This marks the beginning 10:32
19ACould it10:1519MR. BUDWIN: Q. Mr. Filo, 1 understand you10:3220MS. DOAN: Objection; form.10:1520wanted to correct a question or something.10:3221THE WITNESS: sure.10:1521A Yeah. You asked a question about when I10:3322MR. BUDWIN: Q. And you plan to testify at10:1522first heard of Viola, and I think my answer is10:3323trial in February 2012?10:1524Fm not sure what the answer was.10:3324A Yes.10:1524Fm not sure what the answer was.10:3325Q Okay. And it's also true that you haven't10:1525But anyway, I think the more correct answer10:342when I first heard of it, but it was likely in 92, 10:331AWhich would not have been called Yahoo at10:342when I first heard of it, but it was likely in 92, 10:331AWhich would not have been called Yahoo at10:343q Okay. So let me make sure I understand.10:334QOkay. So there's a document of an earlier10:344Q Okay. So let me make sure I understand10:334AI believe that's correct.10:345You don't remember when you first heard of 10:337QA dhat's the carliest written record or10:346Viola or Pie Wei?10:331ANe first hard's correct.10:347QAnd that's the carliest written record or10:3410:34<	17	MR. BUDWIN: Q. But could it include those 10:15	17	of Disc 2, Volume I, in the deposition of David Filo. 10:32
20MS. DOAN: Objection; form.10:1520wanted to correct a question or something.10:3221THE WITNESS: sure.10:1521A Yeh. You asked a question about when I10:3322MR. BUDWIN: Q. And you plan to testify at10:1521Something having to do with the beginning of Yahoo, or 10:3324A Yes.10:1525But anyway, I think the more correct answer10:3325Q Okay. And it's also true that you haven't10:1525But anyway, I think the more correct answer10:3325Wen I first heard of it, but it was likely in 93, 10:331A Which would not have been called Yahoo at10:343maybe even late 92 time frame.10:331A Which would not have been called Yahoo at10:343maybe even late 92 time frame.10:332that point, no.10:344Q Okay. So let me make sure I understand.10:332Wersion of what was to became Yahoo from May of 199410:345You could look at that could refresh your recollection10:33344Version of what was to became Yahoo from May of 199410:3410Q Okay.10:4110:331A So far that I've seen -10:3411as to when you first became aware of Viola or Pei Wei?10:331A So far that I've seen -10:3412Q May ou don't any documents or anything that10:331A - tha's correct.10:3413have the document - the document of the earlier10:33 <td< td=""><td>18</td><td>things that I just listed? 10:15</td><td>18</td><td>We're on the record. The time is 10:32 a m. 10:32</td></td<>	18	things that I just listed? 10:15	18	We're on the record. The time is 10:32 a m. 10:32
21THE WITNESS: sure.10:1521A Yeah. You asked a question about when I10:3322MR. BUDWIN: Q. And you plan to testify at10:1522first heard of Viola, and I think my answer is10:3323trial in February 2012?10:1523something having to do with the beginning of Yahoo, or10:3324A Yes.10:1523something having to do with the beginning of Yahoo, or10:3325Q Okay. And it's also true that you haven't10:1525But anyway, I think the more correct answer10:332when I first heard of it, but it was tikely in 93, 10:331A Which would not have been called Yahoo at10:343maybe even late '92 time frame.10:331A Which would not have been called Yahoo at10:344Q Okay. So let me make sure I understand.10:332that point, no.10:345You don't remember when you first heard of10:334A Veak. so there's a document of an earlier10:346Viola or Pie Wei?10:336A I believe that's correct.10:347A Idon't, Yeah, Idon't have a specific date10:3310Q Okay.10:349Q And you don't any documents or anything that10:3310Q Okay.10:3410you could look at that could refresh your recollection10:3310Q Okay.10:3411as to when you first became aware of Viola or Pie Wei?10:3312Q What's - what's your ownership interest10:34<	19	A Could it 10:15	19	MR. BUDWIN: Q. Mr. Filo, I understand you 10:32
22MR. BUDWIN: Q. And you plan to testify at10:1522first heard of Viola, and I think my answer is10:3323trial in February 2012?10:1523something having to do with the beginning of Yahoo, or10:3324A Yes.10:1524I'm not sure what the answer was.10:3325Q Okay. And it's also true that you haven't10:1525But anyway, I think the more correct answer10:3325Would be that I likely I don't remember exactly10:331A Which would not have been called Yahoo at10:343maybe even late '92 time frame.10:332that point, no.10:3410:344Q Okay. So let me make sure I understand.10:333Q Okay. So there's a document of an earlier10:344Q Okay. So let me make sure I understand.10:336A I believe that's correct.10:345You don't remember when you first heard of10:336A I believe that's correct.10:346Viola or Pei Wei?10:339A So far that I've seen10:3410you could look at that could refresh your recollection10:3310Q Okay.10:3411as to when you first beard of Viola?10:3310Q Okay.10:3412A Well, that's what my answer earlier was.10:3311A that's correct.10:3413have the document the document of the earlier10:3312Q What's what's your ownership interest?10:34 <td< td=""><td>20</td><td>MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:15</td><td>20</td><td>wanted to correct a question or something. 10:32</td></td<>	20	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:15	20	wanted to correct a question or something. 10:32
23trial in February 2012?10:1523something having to do with the beginning of Yahoo, or 10:3324A Yes.10:1524I'm not sure what the answer was.10:3325Q Okay. And it's also true that you haven't10:1525But anyway, I think the more correct answer10:3325Q Okay. And it's also true that you haven't10:1525But anyway, I think the more correct answer10:3326Page 69Page 691would be that I likely I don't remember exactly10:331A Which would not have been called Yahoo at10:342when I first heard of it, but it was likely in '93, 10:3310:332that point, no.10:343Q Okay. So let me make sure I understand.10:334version of what was to became Yahoo from May of 1994, 10:344Q Okay. So let me make sure I understand.10:335that contains a reference to Viola?10:346Viola or Pei Wei?10:336A I believe that's correct.10:347Q And you don't any documents or anything that 10:339A So far that I've seen10:3410you could look at that could refresh your recollection 10:3311A - that's correct.10:3413have the document - the document of the earlier 10:3312Q Mr. Filo, do you have any ownership interest?10:3414version of Yahoo. It shows Viola there, and so I know 10:3315Q What's what's your ownership interest?10:3414version of the early Ya	21	THE WITNESS: sure. 10:15	21	A Yeah. You asked a question about when I 10:33
24A Yes.10:1524I'm not sure what the answer was.10:3325Q Okay. And it's also true that you haven't10:1525But anyway, I think the more correct answer10:3325Would be that I likely I don't remember exactly10:331A Which would not have been called Yahoo at10:342when I first heard of it, but it was likely in '93, 10:331A Which would not have been called Yahoo at10:343maybe even late '92 time frame.10:331A Which would not have been called Yahoo at10:344Q Okay. So let me make sure I understand.10:332that point, no.10:345You don't remember when you first heard of10:336A I believe that's correct.10:346Viola or Pei Wei?10:337Q And that's the earliest written record or10:347A I don't, Yeah, I don't have a specific date10:339A So far that I've seen10:348anything that you have related to Viola?10:3410Q Okay.10:3410you could look at that could refresh your recollection 10:3311A - that's correct.10:3411as to when you first became aware of Viola or Pei Wei?10:3311A - that's correct.10:3412Q What's what's your ownership interest 10:3414A Yes.10:3413have the document - the document of the earlier 10:3315Q What's what's your ownership interest ?10:3414that point I	22	MR. BUDWIN: Q. And you plan to testify at 10:15	22	first heard of Viola, and I think my answer is 10:33
25QOkay. And it's also true that you haven't10:1525But anyway, I think the more correct answer10:33Page68Page691would be that I likely I don't remember exactly10:331AWhich would not have been called Yahoo at10:342when I first heard of it, but it was likely in '93, 10:331AWhich would not have been called Yahoo at10:343maybe even late '92 time frame.10:331AWhich would not have been called Yahoo at10:344QQ kay. So let me make sure I understand.10:333QQ kay. So there's a document of an earlier10:345You don't remember when you first heard of10:336AI believe that's correct.10:347A I don't. Yeah, I don't have a specific date10:337QAnd that's the earliest written record or10:349QAnd you don't any documents or anything that10:339ASo far that I've seen10:3410you could look at that could refresh your recollection10:3310QQ kay.10:3412AWell, hat's what my answer earlier was.I10:3312QMr. Filo, do you have any ownership interest10:3413have the document - the document of the earlier10:3314AYes.10:3514that point I certainly knew about it, but I also10:3315QWhat's what's your ownership interest?10:35 <td>23</td> <td>trial in February 2012? 10:15</td> <td>23</td> <td>something having to do with the beginning of Yahoo, or 10:33</td>	23	trial in February 2012? 10:15	23	something having to do with the beginning of Yahoo, or 10:33
Page 68Page 68Page 691would be that I likely I don't remember exactly10:332when I first heard of it, but it was likely in 93, 10:333maybe even late 92 time frame.10:334QOkay. So ther make sure I understand.10:334QOkay. So ther make sure I understand.10:335You don't remember when you first heard of10:336A I don't. Yeah. I don't have a specific date 10:337Q And you don't have a specific date 10:337A I don't. Yeah. I don't have a specific date 10:33109Q And you don't any documents or anything that 10:339A So far that 'Yeah.10:3410you could look at that could refresh your recollection 10:3310QOkay.10:3411as to when you first became aware of Viola OP ei Wei?10:3310QOkay.10:3410you could look at that could refresh your recollection 10:3310QAd you don't any document of the earlier 10:3310QOkay.10:3411as to when you first became aware of	24	A Yes. 10:15	24	I'm not sure what the answer was. 10:33
1would be that I likely - I don't remember exactly10:331A Which would not have been called Yahoo at10:342when I first heard of it, but it was likely in '93, 10:3310:332that point, no.10:343maybe even late '92 time frame.10:333Q Okay. So let me make sure I understand.10:334version of what was to became Yahoo from May of 1994 10:345You don't remember when you first heard of 10:335that contains a reference to Viola?10:346Viola or Pei Wei?10:336A I believe that's correct.10:347Q And you don't have a specific date10:339A So far that I've seen10:349Q And you don't any documents or anything that10:3310Q Okay.10:3410you could look at that could refresh your recollection 10:3310Q Okay.10:3411as to when you first became aware of Viola or Pei Wei? 10:3311A that's correct.10:3413have the document the document of the earlier10:3313in Yahoo?10:3414version of Yahoo. It shows Viola there, and so I know 10:3314A Yes.10:3415at that point I certainly knew about it, but I also10:3315Q What's what's your ownership interest?10:3416believe that I had heard of it and potentially used it 10:3315Q What's what's your ownership interest?10:3516A Version of the early Yahoo website.10:3316A I don'	25	Q Okay. And it's also true that you haven't 10:15	25	But anyway, I think the more correct answer 10:33
2when I first heard of it, but it was likely in '93, 10:332that point, no.10:343maybe even late '92 time frame.10:333QOkay. So there's a document of an earlier10:344QOkay. So let me make sure I understand.10:334version of what was to became Yahoo from May of 199410:345You don't remember when you first heard of 10:335that contains a reference to Viola?10:346Viola or Pei Wei?10:336A I believe that's correct.10:347A I don't. Yeah, I don't have a specific date10:337Q And that's the earliest written record or10:349Q And you don't any documents or anything that10:339A So far that I've seen10:3410you could look at that could refresh your recollection10:3310QOkay.10:3411as to when you first became aware of Viola or Pei Wei?10:3312QQ Fr. Filo, do you have any ownership interest10:3413have the document the document of the earlier10:3314A Yes.10:3414version of Yahoo.It shows Viola there, and so I know10:3315QWhat's what's your ownership interest?10:3414version of the adril of it and potentially used it10:3315QWhat's what's your ownership interest?10:3415QWhat's what's your ownership interest?10:3316A I don't know exactly. I think it's kind of10:35				
3maybe even late '92 time frame.10:333QOkay. So there's a document of an earlier10:344QOkay. So let me make sure I understand.10:334version of what was to became Yahoo from May of 199410:345You don't remember when you first heard of10:335that contains a reference to Viola?10:346Viola or Pei Wei?10:336AI believe that's correct.10:347A I don't. Yeah, I don't have a specific date10:337QAnd that's the earliest written record or10:349QAnd you don't any documents or anything that10:339ASo far that I've seen10:3410you could look at that could refresh your recollection10:3310QOkay.10:3411as to when you first became aware of Viola or Pei Wei?10:3311A that's correct.10:3412AWell, that's what my answer earlier was. I10:3312QMr. Filo, do you have any ownership interest10:3413have the document the document of the earlier10:3313in Yahoo?10:3414version of Yahoo.It shows Viola there, and so I know10:3314AYes.10:3414thet point I certainly knew about it, but I also10:3315QWhat's what's your ownership interest?10:3415gWhat document are you referring to?10:3316AI don't know exactly. I think it's		Page 68		Page 69
4QQ kay. So let me make sure I understand.10:334version of what was to became Yahoo from May of 199410:345You don't remember when you first heard of10:336A I believe that's correct.10:346Viola or Pei Wei?10:336A I believe that's correct.10:347A I don't. Yeah, I don't have a specific date10:337Q And that's the earliest written record or10:348that I remember.10:337Q And that's the earliest written record or10:349Q And you don't any documents or anything that10:339A So far that I've seen10:3410you could look at that could refresh your recollection 10:3310Q Okay.10:341111as to when you first became aware of Viola or Pei Wei?10:3312Q Mr. Filo, do you have any ownership interest10:3412A Well, that's what my answer earlier was. I10:3314A res.10:3414version of Yahoo. It shows Viola there, and so I know10:3315Q What's what's your ownership interest?10:3415at that point I certainly knew about it, but I also10:3315Q What's what's your ownership interest?10:3516A I don't know exactly. I think it's kind of10:3516A I don't know exactly. I think it's kind of10:3516believe that I had heard of it and potentially used it10:3316A I don't know exactly. I think it's kind of10:3517much e	1		1	
5You don't remember when you first heard of10:335that contains a reference to Viola?10:346Viola or Pei Wei?10:336A I believe that's correct.10:347A I don't. Yeah, I don't have a specific date10:337Q And that's the earliest written record or10:348that I remember.10:339A So far that I've seen10:349Q And you don't any documents or anything that10:339A So far that I've seen10:3410you could look at that could refresh your recollection10:3310Q Okay.10:3411as to when you first became aware of Viola or Pei Wei?10:3311A that's correct.10:3412A Well, that's what my answer earlier was. I10:3312Q Mr. Filo, do you have any ownership interest10:3413have the document the document of the earlier10:3313in Yahoo?10:3414version of Yahoo. It shows Viola there, and so I know 10:3314A Yes.10:3415at that point I certainly knew about it, but I also10:3315Q What's what's your ownership interest?10:3517much earlier than that.10:3319A Yes.10:351818Q What document are you referring to?10:3420Q So as of today, you own roughly 5 percent of10:3519A A version of the early Yahoo website.10:3421Yahoo?10:3521Yahoo website from some time in M		would be that I likely I don't remember exactly 10:33		A Which would not have been called Yahoo at 10:34
6Viola or Pei Wei?10:336A I believe that's correct.10:347A I don't. Yeah, I don't have a specific date10:337Q And that's the earliest written record or10:348that I remember.10:339A So far that I've seen10:349Q And you don't any documents or anything that10:339A So far that I've seen10:3410you could look at that could refresh your recollection10:3310Q Okay.10:3411as to when you first became aware of Viola or Pei Wei?10:3311A that's correct.10:3412A Well, that's what my answer earlier was. I10:3312Q Mr. Filo, do you have any ownership interest10:3413have the document the document of the earlier10:3313in Yahoo?10:3414version of Yahoo. It shows Viola there, and so I know10:3314A Yes.10:3415at that point I certainly knew about it, but I also10:3315Q What's what's your ownership interest?10:3517much earlier than that.10:3315Q What document are you referring to?10:3319A Yes.10:3518QWkat document are you referring to?10:3420Q So as of today, you own roughly 5 percent of10:3519A A version of the early Yahoo website.10:3421Yahoo?10:3521Yahoo website from some time in March or April of 1994 10:3421Yahoo?10:35 <td>2</td> <td>would be that I likely I don't remember exactly 10:33 when I first heard of it, but it was likely in '93, 10:33</td> <td>2</td> <td>A Which would not have been called Yahoo at 10:34 that point, no. 10:34</td>	2	would be that I likely I don't remember exactly 10:33 when I first heard of it, but it was likely in '93, 10:33	2	A Which would not have been called Yahoo at 10:34 that point, no. 10:34
7A I don't. Yeah, I don't have a specific date10:337Q And that's the earliest written record or10:348that I remember.10:338anything that you have related to Viola?10:349Q And you don't any documents or anything that10:339A So far that I've seen10:3410you could look at that could refresh your recollection10:3310Q Okay.10:3411as to when you first became aware of Viola or Pei Wei?10:3311A that's correct.10:3412A Well, that's what my answer earlier was. I10:3312Q Mr. Filo, do you have any ownership interest10:3413have the document the document of the earlier10:3313in Yahoo?10:3414version of Yahoo. It shows Viola there, and so I know10:3314A Yes.10:3415at that point I certainly knew about it, but I also10:3315Q What's what's your ownership interest?10:3517much earlier than that.10:3317roughly the 5 percent range.10:3518Q What document are you referring to?10:3420Q So as of today?10:3520Q Okay. So there's a version of the early10:3420Q So as of today?10:3521Yahoo website from some time in March or April of 199410:3421Yahoo?10:3522that has a mention of Viola?10:3422A I believe that's correct.10:3523A That's correct.	2 3	would be that I likely I don't remember exactly10:33when I first heard of it, but it was likely in '93,10:33maybe even late '92 time frame.10:33	2 3	A Which would not have been called Yahoo at10:34that point, no.10:34Q Okay. So there's a document of an earlier10:34
8that I remember.10:338anything that you have related to Viola?10:349Q And you don't any documents or anything that10:339A So far that I've seen10:3410you could look at that could refresh your recollection10:3310Q Okay.10:3411as to when you first became aware of Viola or Pei Wei?10:3311A that's correct.10:3412A Well, that's what my answer earlier was. I10:3312Q Mr. Filo, do you have any ownership interest10:3413have the document the document of the earlier10:3313in Yahoo?10:3414version of Yahoo. It shows Viola there, and so I know10:3314A Yes.10:3415at that point I certainly knew about it, but I also10:3315Q What's what's your ownership interest?10:3416believe that I had heard of it and potentially used it10:3316A I don't know exactly. I think it's kind of10:3517much earlier than that.10:3319A Yes.10:3518Q What document are you referring to?10:3319A Yes.10:3520Q Okay. So there's a version of the early10:3420Q So as of today, you own roughly 5 percent of10:3521Yahoo website from some time in March or April of 199410:3421Yahoo?10:3522that has a mention of Viola?10:3422A I believe that's correct.10:3523A That's c	2 3 4	would be that I likely I don't remember exactly10:33when I first heard of it, but it was likely in '93,10:33maybe even late '92 time frame.10:33Q Okay. So let me make sure I understand.10:33	2 3 4	A Which would not have been called Yahoo at 10:34 that point, no. 10:34 Q Okay. So there's a document of an earlier 10:34 version of what was to became Yahoo from May of 1994 10:34
9Q And you don't any documents or anything that10:339A So far that I've seen10:3410you could look at that could refresh your recollection10:3310Q Okay.10:3411as to when you first became aware of Viola or Pei Wei?10:3311A that's correct.10:3412A Well, that's what my answer earlier was. I10:3312Q Mr. Filo, do you have any ownership interest10:3413have the document the document of the earlier10:3313in Yahoo?10:3414version of Yahoo. It shows Viola there, and so I know10:3314A Yes.10:3415at that point I certainly knew about it, but I also10:3315Q What's what's your ownership interest?10:3416believe that I had heard of it and potentially used it10:3316A I don't know exactly. I think it's kind of10:3517much earlier than that.10:3318Q Okay. As of today?10:3519A A version of the early Yahoo website.10:3420Q So as of today, you own roughly 5 percent of10:3520Q Okay. So there's a version of the early10:3421Yahoo?10:3521Yahoo website from some time in March or April of 199410:3421Yahoo?10:3523A That's correct. I think it might be dated in10:3422A I believe that's correct.10:3524May of '94.10:3423Q Have you have you, at any point in time,10:35 <td>2 3 4 5</td> <td> would be that I likely I don't remember exactly 10:33 when I first heard of it, but it was likely in '93, 10:33 maybe even late '92 time frame. 10:33 Q Okay. So let me make sure I understand. 10:33 You don't remember when you first heard of 10:33 </td> <td>2 3 4 5</td> <td>A Which would not have been called Yahoo at 10:34 that point, no. 10:34 Q Okay. So there's a document of an earlier 10:34 version of what was to became Yahoo from May of 1994 10:34 that contains a reference to Viola? 10:34</td>	2 3 4 5	 would be that I likely I don't remember exactly 10:33 when I first heard of it, but it was likely in '93, 10:33 maybe even late '92 time frame. 10:33 Q Okay. So let me make sure I understand. 10:33 You don't remember when you first heard of 10:33 	2 3 4 5	A Which would not have been called Yahoo at 10:34 that point, no. 10:34 Q Okay. So there's a document of an earlier 10:34 version of what was to became Yahoo from May of 1994 10:34 that contains a reference to Viola? 10:34
10you could look at that could refresh your ecollection 10:3310QOkay.10:3411as to when you first became aware of Viola or Pei Wei? 10:3311A that's correct.10:3412AWell, that's what my answer earlier was. I10:3312QMr. Filo, do you have any ownership interest10:3413have the document the document of the earlier10:3313in Yahoo?10:3414version of Yahoo. It shows Viola there, and so I know 10:3314AYes.10:3415at that point I certainly knew about it, but I also10:3315QWhat's what's your ownership interest?10:3416believe that I had heard of it and potentially used it 10:3316AI don't know exactly. I think it's kind of10:3517much earlier than that.10:3317roughly the 5 percent range.10:3518QOkay. So there's a version of the early10:3420QSo as of today?10:3520QOkay. So there's a version of the early10:3420QSo as of today, you own roughly 5 percent of10:3521Yahoo website from some time in March or April of 199410:3421Yahoo?10:3523AThat's correct. I think it might be dated in10:3423QHave you have you, at any point in time,10:3523AThat's correct. I think it might be dated in10:3423QHave you have you, at any point in time, <td>2 3 4 5 6</td> <td> would be that I likely I don't remember exactly 10:33 when I first heard of it, but it was likely in '93, 10:33 maybe even late '92 time frame. 10:33 Q Okay. So let me make sure I understand. 10:33 You don't remember when you first heard of 10:33 Viola or Pei Wei? 10:33 </td> <td>2 3 4 5 6</td> <td>A Which would not have been called Yahoo at 10:34 that point, no. 10:34 Q Okay. So there's a document of an earlier 10:34 version of what was to became Yahoo from May of 1994 10:34 that contains a reference to Viola? 10:34 A I believe that's correct. 10:34</td>	2 3 4 5 6	 would be that I likely I don't remember exactly 10:33 when I first heard of it, but it was likely in '93, 10:33 maybe even late '92 time frame. 10:33 Q Okay. So let me make sure I understand. 10:33 You don't remember when you first heard of 10:33 Viola or Pei Wei? 10:33 	2 3 4 5 6	A Which would not have been called Yahoo at 10:34 that point, no. 10:34 Q Okay. So there's a document of an earlier 10:34 version of what was to became Yahoo from May of 1994 10:34 that contains a reference to Viola? 10:34 A I believe that's correct. 10:34
11as to when you first became aware of Viola or Pei Wei? 10:3311A that's correct.10:3412A Well, that's what my answer earlier was. I10:3312Q Mr. Filo, do you have any ownership interest10:3413have the document the document of the earlier10:3313in Yahoo?10:3414version of Yahoo. It shows Viola there, and so I know10:3314A Yes.10:3415at that point I certainly knew about it, but I also10:3315Q What's what's your ownership interest?10:3416believe that I had heard of it and potentially used it10:3316A I don't know exactly. I think it's kind of10:3517much earlier than that.10:3317roughly the 5 percent range.10:3518Q What document are you referring to?10:3319A Yes.10:3520Q Okay. So there's a version of the early10:3420Q So as of today, you own roughly 5 percent of10:3521Yahoo website from some time in March or April of 199410:3421Yahoo?10:3522that has a mention of Viola?10:3422A I believe that's correct.10:3523A That's correct. I think it might be dated in 10:3423Q Have you have you, at any point in time, 10:3524May of '94.10:3424had a greater ownership percentage in Yahoo?10:35	2 3 4 5 6 7	 would be that I likely I don't remember exactly 10:33 when I first heard of it, but it was likely in '93, 10:33 maybe even late '92 time frame. 10:33 Q Okay. So let me make sure I understand. 10:33 You don't remember when you first heard of 10:33 Viola or Pei Wei? 10:33 A I don't. Yeah, I don't have a specific date 10:33 	2 3 4 5 6 7	A Which would not have been called Yahoo at 10:34 that point, no. 10:34 Q Okay. So there's a document of an earlier 10:34 version of what was to became Yahoo from May of 1994 10:34 that contains a reference to Viola? 10:34 A I believe that's correct. 10:34 Q And that's the earliest written record or 10:34
12A Well, that's what my answer earlier was. I10:3312Q Mr. Filo, do you have any ownership interest10:3413have the document the document of the earlier10:3313in Yahoo?10:3414version of Yahoo. It shows Viola there, and so I know10:3314A Yes.10:3415at that point I certainly knew about it, but I also10:3315Q What's what's your ownership interest?10:3416believe that I had heard of it and potentially used it10:3316A I don't know exactly. I think it's kind of10:3517much earlier than that.10:3317roughly the 5 percent range.10:3518Q What document are you referring to?10:3319A Yes.10:3519A A version of the early Yahoo website.10:3420Q So as of today, you own roughly 5 percent of10:3520Q Okay. So there's a version of the early10:3421Yahoo?10:3521Yahoo website from some time in March or April of 199410:3421Yahoo?10:3523A That's correct. I think it might be dated in10:3423Q Have you have you, at any point in time,10:3524May of '94.10:3424had a greater ownership percentage in Yahoo?10:35	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 would be that I likely I don't remember exactly 10:33 when I first heard of it, but it was likely in '93, 10:33 maybe even late '92 time frame. 10:33 Q Okay. So let me make sure I understand. 10:33 You don't remember when you first heard of 10:33 Viola or Pei Wei? 10:33 A I don't. Yeah, I don't have a specific date 10:33 that I remember. 10:33 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A Which would not have been called Yahoo at 10:34 that point, no. 10:34 Q Okay. So there's a document of an earlier 10:34 version of what was to became Yahoo from May of 1994 10:34 that contains a reference to Viola? 10:34 A I believe that's correct. 10:34 Q And that's the earliest written record or 10:34 anything that you have related to Viola? 10:34
13have the document the document of the earlier10:3313in Yahoo?10:3414version of Yahoo. It shows Viola there, and so I know10:3314A Yes.10:3415at that point I certainly knew about it, but I also10:3315Q What's what's your ownership interest?10:3416believe that I had heard of it and potentially used it10:3315Q What's what's your ownership interest?10:3417much earlier than that.10:3317roughly the 5 percent range.10:3518Q What document are you referring to?10:3319A Yes.10:3520Q Okay. So there's a version of the early10:3420Q So as of today, you own roughly 5 percent of10:3521Yahoo website from some time in March or April of 199410:3421Yahoo?10:3523A That's correct. I think it might be dated in10:3423Q Have you have you, at any point in time,10:3524May of '94.10:3424had a greater ownership percentage in Yahoo?10:35	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 would be that I likely I don't remember exactly 10:33 when I first heard of it, but it was likely in '93, 10:33 maybe even late '92 time frame. 10:33 Q Okay. So let me make sure I understand. 10:33 You don't remember when you first heard of 10:33 Viola or Pei Wei? 10:33 A I don't. Yeah, I don't have a specific date 10:33 that I remember. 10:33 Q And you don't any documents or anything that 10:33 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A Which would not have been called Yahoo at 10:34 that point, no. 10:34 Q Okay. So there's a document of an earlier 10:34 version of what was to became Yahoo from May of 1994 10:34 that contains a reference to Viola? 10:34 A I believe that's correct. 10:34 Q And that's the earliest written record or 10:34 anything that you have related to Viola? 10:34 A So far that I've seen 10:34
14version of Yahoo. It shows Viola there, and so I know 10:3314A Yes.10:3415at that point I certainly knew about it, but I also10:3314A Yes.10:3416believe that I had heard of it and potentially used it 10:3316A I don't know exactly. I think it's kind of10:3517much earlier than that.10:3316A I don't know exactly. I think it's kind of10:3518Q What document are you referring to?10:3318Q Okay. As of today?10:3519A A version of the early Yahoo website.10:3319A Yes.10:3520Q Okay. So there's a version of the early10:3420Q So as of today, you own roughly 5 percent of10:3521Yahoo website from some time in March or April of 199410:3421Yahoo?10:3523A That's correct. I think it might be dated in10:3423Q Have you have you, at any point in time,10:3524May of '94.10:3424had a greater ownership percentage in Yahoo?10:35	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 would be that I likely I don't remember exactly 10:33 when I first heard of it, but it was likely in '93, 10:33 maybe even late '92 time frame. 10:33 Q Okay. So let me make sure I understand. 10:33 You don't remember when you first heard of 10:33 Viola or Pei Wei? 10:33 A I don't. Yeah, I don't have a specific date 10:33 that I remember. 10:33 Q And you don't any documents or anything that 10:33 you could look at that could refresh your recollection 10:33 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A Which would not have been called Yahoo at 10:34 that point, no. 10:34 Q Okay. So there's a document of an earlier 10:34 version of what was to became Yahoo from May of 1994 10:34 that contains a reference to Viola? 10:34 A I believe that's correct. 10:34 Q And that's the earliest written record or 10:34 anything that you have related to Viola? 10:34 A So far that I've seen 10:34 Q Okay. 10:34
15at that point I certainly knew about it, but I also10:3315Q What's what's your ownership interest?10:3416believe that I had heard of it and potentially used it10:3316A I don't know exactly. I think it's kind of10:3517much earlier than that.10:3317roughly the 5 percent range.10:3518Q What document are you referring to?10:3318Q Okay. As of today?10:3519A A version of the early Yahoo website.10:3420Q So as of today, you own roughly 5 percent of10:3520Q Okay. So there's a version of the early10:3420Q So as of today, you own roughly 5 percent of10:3521Yahoo website from some time in March or April of 199410:3421Yahoo?10:3523A That's correct. I think it might be dated in10:3423Q Have you have you, at any point in time,10:3524May of '94.10:3424had a greater ownership percentage in Yahoo?10:35	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 would be that I likely I don't remember exactly 10:33 when I first heard of it, but it was likely in '93, 10:33 maybe even late '92 time frame. 10:33 Q Okay. So let me make sure I understand. 10:33 You don't remember when you first heard of 10:33 Viola or Pei Wei? 10:33 A I don't. Yeah, I don't have a specific date 10:33 that I remember. 10:33 Q And you don't any documents or anything that 10:33 you could look at that could refresh your recollection 10:33 as to when you first became aware of Viola or Pei Wei? 10:33 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A Which would not have been called Yahoo at 10:34 that point, no. 10:34 Q Okay. So there's a document of an earlier 10:34 version of what was to became Yahoo from May of 1994 10:34 that contains a reference to Viola? 10:34 A I believe that's correct. 10:34 Q And that's the earliest written record or 10:34 anything that you have related to Viola? 10:34 A So far that I've seen 10:34 Q Okay. 10:34 A that's correct. 10:34
16believe that I had heard of it and potentially used it 10:3316A I don't know exactly. I think it's kind of10:3517much earlier than that.10:3316A I don't know exactly. I think it's kind of10:3518Q What document are you referring to?10:3318Q Okay. As of today?10:3519A A version of the early Yahoo website.10:3319A Yes.10:3520Q Okay. So there's a version of the early10:3420Q So as of today, you own roughly 5 percent of10:3521Yahoo website from some time in March or April of 199410:3421Yahoo?10:3523A That's correct. I think it might be dated in10:3423Q Have you have you, at any point in time,10:3524May of '94.10:3424had a greater ownership percentage in Yahoo?10:35	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 would be that I likely I don't remember exactly 10:33 when I first heard of it, but it was likely in '93, 10:33 maybe even late '92 time frame. 10:33 Q Okay. So let me make sure I understand. 10:33 You don't remember when you first heard of 10:33 Viola or Pei Wei? 10:33 A I don't. Yeah, I don't have a specific date 10:33 that I remember. 10:33 Q And you don't any documents or anything that 10:33 you could look at that could refresh your recollection 10:33 as to when you first became aware of Viola or Pei Wei? 10:33 A Well, that's what my answer earlier was. I 10:33 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A Which would not have been called Yahoo at 10:34 that point, no. 10:34 Q Okay. So there's a document of an earlier 10:34 version of what was to became Yahoo from May of 1994 10:34 that contains a reference to Viola? 10:34 A I believe that's correct. 10:34 Q And that's the earliest written record or 10:34 anything that you have related to Viola? 10:34 A So far that I've seen 10:34 Q Okay. 10:34 A that's correct. 10:34 Q Mr. Filo, do you have any ownership interest 10:34
17much earlier than that.10:3317roughly the 5 percent range.10:3518QWhat document are you referring to?10:3318QOkay. As of today?10:3519AA version of the early Yahoo website.10:3319AYes.10:3520QOkay. So there's a version of the early10:3420QSo as of today, you own roughly 5 percent of10:3521Yahoo website from some time in March or April of 199410:3421Yahoo?10:3522that has a mention of Viola?10:3422AI believe that's correct.10:3523AThat's correct. I think it might be dated in10:3423QHave you have you, at any point in time,10:3524May of '94.10:3424had a greater ownership percentage in Yahoo?10:35	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 would be that I likely I don't remember exactly 10:33 when I first heard of it, but it was likely in '93, 10:33 maybe even late '92 time frame. 10:33 Q Okay. So let me make sure I understand. 10:33 You don't remember when you first heard of 10:33 Viola or Pei Wei? 10:33 A I don't. Yeah, I don't have a specific date 10:33 that I remember. 10:33 Q And you don't any documents or anything that 10:33 you could look at that could refresh your recollection 10:33 as to when you first became aware of Viola or Pei Wei? 10:33 A Well, that's what my answer earlier was. I 10:33 have the document the document of the earlier 10:33 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A Which would not have been called Yahoo at 10:34 that point, no. 10:34 Q Okay. So there's a document of an earlier 10:34 version of what was to became Yahoo from May of 1994 10:34 that contains a reference to Viola? 10:34 A I believe that's correct. 10:34 Q And that's the earliest written record or 10:34 anything that you have related to Viola? 10:34 A So far that I've seen 10:34 Q Okay. 10:34 A that's correct. 10:34 Q Mr. Filo, do you have any ownership interest 10:34 in Yahoo? 10:34
18QWhat document are you referring to?10:3318QOkay. As of today?10:3519AA version of the early Yahoo website.10:3319AYes.10:3520QOkay. So there's a version of the early10:3420QSo as of today, you own roughly 5 percent of10:3521Yahoo website from some time in March or April of 199410:3421Yahoo?10:3522that has a mention of Viola?10:3422AI believe that's correct.10:3523AThat's correct. I think it might be dated in10:3423QHave you have you, at any point in time,10:3524May of '94.10:3424had a greater ownership percentage in Yahoo?10:35	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 would be that I likely I don't remember exactly 10:33 when I first heard of it, but it was likely in '93, 10:33 maybe even late '92 time frame. 10:33 Q Okay. So let me make sure I understand. 10:33 You don't remember when you first heard of 10:33 Viola or Pei Wei? 10:33 A I don't. Yeah, I don't have a specific date 10:33 that I remember. 10:33 Q And you don't any documents or anything that 10:33 you could look at that could refresh your recollection 10:33 as to when you first became aware of Viola or Pei Wei? 10:33 A Well, that's what my answer earlier was. I 10:33 have the document the document of the earlier 10:33 version of Yahoo. It shows Viola there, and so I know 10:33 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A Which would not have been called Yahoo at 10:34 that point, no. 10:34 Q Okay. So there's a document of an earlier 10:34 version of what was to became Yahoo from May of 1994 10:34 that contains a reference to Viola? 10:34 A I believe that's correct. 10:34 Q And that's the earliest written record or 10:34 anything that you have related to Viola? 10:34 A So far that I've seen 10:34 Q Okay. 10:34 A that's correct. 10:34 Q Mr. Filo, do you have any ownership interest 10:34 in Yahoo? 10:34 A Yes. 10:34
19A A version of the early Yahoo website.10:3319A Yes.10:3520Q Okay. So there's a version of the early10:3420Q So as of today, you own roughly 5 percent of10:3521Yahoo website from some time in March or April of 199410:3421Yahoo?10:3522that has a mention of Viola?10:3422A I believe that's correct.10:3523A That's correct. I think it might be dated in10:3423Q Have you have you, at any point in time,10:3524May of '94.10:3424had a greater ownership percentage in Yahoo?10:35	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 would be that I likely I don't remember exactly 10:33 when I first heard of it, but it was likely in '93, 10:33 maybe even late '92 time frame. 10:33 Q Okay. So let me make sure I understand. 10:33 You don't remember when you first heard of 10:33 Viola or Pei Wei? 10:33 A I don't. Yeah, I don't have a specific date 10:33 that I remember. 10:33 Q And you don't any documents or anything that 10:33 you could look at that could refresh your recollection 10:33 as to when you first became aware of Viola or Pei Wei? 10:33 A Well, that's what my answer earlier was. I 10:33 have the document the document of the earlier 10:33 version of Yahoo. It shows Viola there, and so I know 10:33 at that point I certainly knew about it, but I also 10:33 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A Which would not have been called Yahoo at 10:34 that point, no. 10:34 Q Okay. So there's a document of an earlier 10:34 version of what was to became Yahoo from May of 1994 10:34 that contains a reference to Viola? 10:34 A I believe that's correct. 10:34 Q And that's the earliest written record or 10:34 anything that you have related to Viola? 10:34 A So far that I've seen 10:34 Q Okay. 10:34 A that's correct. 10:34 Q Mr. Filo, do you have any ownership interest 10:34 in Yahoo? 10:34 A Yes. 10:34 Q What's what's your ownership interest? 10:34
20Q Okay. So there's a version of the early10:3420Q So as of today, you own roughly 5 percent of10:3521Yahoo website from some time in March or April of 199410:3421Yahoo?10:3522that has a mention of Viola?10:3422A I believe that's correct.10:3523A That's correct. I think it might be dated in10:3423Q Have you have you, at any point in time,10:3524May of '94.10:3424had a greater ownership percentage in Yahoo?10:35	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 would be that I likely I don't remember exactly 10:33 when I first heard of it, but it was likely in '93, 10:33 maybe even late '92 time frame. 10:33 Q Okay. So let me make sure I understand. 10:33 You don't remember when you first heard of 10:33 Viola or Pei Wei? 10:33 A I don't. Yeah, I don't have a specific date 10:33 that I remember. 10:33 Q And you don't any documents or anything that 10:33 you could look at that could refresh your recollection 10:33 as to when you first became aware of Viola or Pei Wei? 10:33 A Well, that's what my answer earlier was. I 10:33 have the document the document of the earlier 10:33 version of Yahoo. It shows Viola there, and so I know 10:33 at that point I certainly knew about it, but I also 10:33 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A Which would not have been called Yahoo at 10:34 that point, no. 10:34 Q Okay. So there's a document of an earlier 10:34 version of what was to became Yahoo from May of 1994 10:34 that contains a reference to Viola? 10:34 A I believe that's correct. 10:34 Q And that's the earliest written record or 10:34 anything that you have related to Viola? 10:34 A So far that I've seen 10:34 Q Okay. 10:34 A that's correct. 10:34 Q Mr. Filo, do you have any ownership interest 10:34 in Yahoo? 10:34 A Yes. 10:34 Q What's what's your ownership interest? 10:34 A I don't know exactly. I think it's kind of 10:35
21Yahoo website from some time in March or April of 199410:3421Yahoo?10:3522that has a mention of Viola?10:3422A I believe that's correct.10:3523A That's correct. I think it might be dated in 10:3423Q Have you have you, at any point in time, 10:3510:3524May of '94.10:3424had a greater ownership percentage in Yahoo?10:35	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 would be that I likely I don't remember exactly 10:33 when I first heard of it, but it was likely in '93, 10:33 maybe even late '92 time frame. 10:33 Q Okay. So let me make sure I understand. 10:33 You don't remember when you first heard of 10:33 Viola or Pei Wei? 10:33 A I don't. Yeah, I don't have a specific date 10:33 that I remember. 10:33 Q And you don't any documents or anything that 10:33 you could look at that could refresh your recollection 10:33 as to when you first became aware of Viola or Pei Wei? 10:33 A Well, that's what my answer earlier was. I 10:33 have the document the document of the earlier 10:33 version of Yahoo. It shows Viola there, and so I know 10:33 at that point I certainly knew about it, but I also 10:33 believe that I had heard of it and potentially used it 10:33 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A Which would not have been called Yahoo at 10:34 that point, no. 10:34 Q Okay. So there's a document of an earlier 10:34 version of what was to became Yahoo from May of 1994 10:34 that contains a reference to Viola? 10:34 A I believe that's correct. 10:34 Q And that's the earliest written record or 10:34 anything that you have related to Viola? 10:34 A So far that I've seen 10:34 Q Okay. 10:34 A that's correct. 10:34 Q Mr. Filo, do you have any ownership interest 10:34 in Yahoo? 10:34 A Yes. 10:34 Q What's what's your ownership interest? 10:34 A I don't know exactly. I think it's kind of 10:35 roughly the 5 percent range. 10:35
22that has a mention of Viola?10:3422A I believe that's correct.10:3523A That's correct. I think it might be dated in 10:3423Q Have you have you, at any point in time, 10:3524May of '94.10:3424had a greater ownership percentage in Yahoo?10:35	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 would be that I likely I don't remember exactly 10:33 when I first heard of it, but it was likely in '93, 10:33 maybe even late '92 time frame. 10:33 Q Okay. So let me make sure I understand. 10:33 You don't remember when you first heard of 10:33 Viola or Pei Wei? 10:33 A I don't. Yeah, I don't have a specific date 10:33 that I remember. 10:33 Q And you don't any documents or anything that 10:33 you could look at that could refresh your recollection 10:33 as to when you first became aware of Viola or Pei Wei? 10:33 A Well, that's what my answer earlier was. I 10:33 have the document the document of the earlier 10:33 version of Yahoo. It shows Viola there, and so I know 10:33 at that point I certainly knew about it, but I also 10:33 believe that I had heard of it and potentially used it 10:33 much earlier than that. 10:33 Q What document are you referring to? 10:33 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A Which would not have been called Yahoo at 10:34 that point, no. 10:34 Q Okay. So there's a document of an earlier 10:34 version of what was to became Yahoo from May of 1994 10:34 that contains a reference to Viola? 10:34 A I believe that's correct. 10:34 Q And that's the earliest written record or 10:34 anything that you have related to Viola? 10:34 A So far that I've seen 10:34 Q Okay. 10:34 A that's correct. 10:34 Q Mr. Filo, do you have any ownership interest 10:34 in Yahoo? 10:34 A Yes. 10:34 Q What's what's your ownership interest? 10:34 A I don't know exactly. I think it's kind of 10:35 roughly the 5 percent range. 10:35 Q Okay. As of today? 10:35
23A That's correct. I think it might be dated in 10:3423Q Have you have you, at any point in time, 10:3524May of '94.10:3424had a greater ownership percentage in Yahoo?10:35	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 would be that I likely I don't remember exactly 10:33 when I first heard of it, but it was likely in '93, 10:33 maybe even late '92 time frame. 10:33 Q Okay. So let me make sure I understand. 10:33 You don't remember when you first heard of 10:33 Viola or Pei Wei? 10:33 A I don't. Yeah, I don't have a specific date 10:33 that I remember. 10:33 Q And you don't any documents or anything that 10:33 you could look at that could refresh your recollection 10:33 as to when you first became aware of Viola or Pei Wei? 10:33 A Well, that's what my answer earlier was. I 10:33 have the document the document of the earlier 10:33 version of Yahoo. It shows Viola there, and so I know 10:33 at that point I certainly knew about it, but I also 10:33 believe that I had heard of it and potentially used it 10:33 much earlier than that. 10:33 Q What document are you referring to? 10:33 A A version of the early Yahoo website. 10:33 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A Which would not have been called Yahoo at 10:34 that point, no. 10:34 Q Okay. So there's a document of an earlier 10:34 version of what was to became Yahoo from May of 1994 10:34 that contains a reference to Viola? 10:34 A I believe that's correct. 10:34 Q And that's the earliest written record or 10:34 anything that you have related to Viola? 10:34 A So far that I've seen 10:34 Q Okay. 10:34 A that's correct. 10:34 Q Mr. Filo, do you have any ownership interest 10:34 in Yahoo? 10:34 A Yes. 10:34 Q What's what's your ownership interest? 10:34 A I don't know exactly. I think it's kind of 10:35 roughly the 5 percent range. 10:35 A Yes. 10:35
24May of '94.10:3424had a greater ownership percentage in Yahoo?10:35	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 would be that I likely I don't remember exactly 10:33 when I first heard of it, but it was likely in '93, 10:33 maybe even late '92 time frame. 10:33 Q Okay. So let me make sure I understand. 10:33 You don't remember when you first heard of 10:33 Viola or Pei Wei? 10:33 A I don't. Yeah, I don't have a specific date 10:33 that I remember. 10:33 Q And you don't any documents or anything that 10:33 you could look at that could refresh your recollection 10:33 as to when you first became aware of Viola or Pei Wei? 10:33 A Well, that's what my answer earlier was. I 10:33 have the document the document of the earlier 10:33 version of Yahoo. It shows Viola there, and so I know 10:33 at that point I certainly knew about it, but I also 10:33 much earlier than that. 10:33 Q What document are you referring to? 10:33 A version of the early Yahoo website. 10:33 Q Okay. So there's a version of the early 10:34 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A Which would not have been called Yahoo at 10:34 that point, no. 10:34 Q Okay. So there's a document of an earlier 10:34 version of what was to became Yahoo from May of 1994 10:34 that contains a reference to Viola? 10:34 A I believe that's correct. 10:34 Q And that's the earliest written record or 10:34 anything that you have related to Viola? 10:34 A So far that I've seen 10:34 Q Okay. 10:34 A that's correct. 10:34 Q Mr. Filo, do you have any ownership interest 10:34 in Yahoo? 10:34 A Yes. 10:34 Q What's what's your ownership interest? 10:34 A I don't know exactly. I think it's kind of 10:35 roughly the 5 percent range. 10:35 Q Okay. As of today? 10:35 A Yes. 10:35 Q So as of today, you own roughly 5 percent of 10:35
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 would be that I likely I don't remember exactly 10:33 when I first heard of it, but it was likely in '93, 10:33 maybe even late '92 time frame. 10:33 Q Okay. So let me make sure I understand. 10:33 You don't remember when you first heard of 10:33 Viola or Pei Wei? 10:33 A I don't. Yeah, I don't have a specific date 10:33 that I remember. 10:33 Q And you don't any documents or anything that 10:33 you could look at that could refresh your recollection 10:33 as to when you first became aware of Viola or Pei Wei? 10:33 A Well, that's what my answer earlier was. I 10:33 have the document the document of the earlier 10:33 version of Yahoo. It shows Viola there, and so I know 10:33 at that point I certainly knew about it, but I also 10:33 believe that I had heard of it and potentially used it 10:33 much earlier than that. 10:33 Q What document are you referring to? 10:33 A A version of the early Yahoo website. 10:33 Q Okay. So there's a version of the early 10:34 Yahoo website from some time in March or April of 1994 10:34 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A Which would not have been called Yahoo at 10:34 that point, no. 10:34 Q Okay. So there's a document of an earlier 10:34 version of what was to became Yahoo from May of 1994 10:34 that contains a reference to Viola? 10:34 A I believe that's correct. 10:34 Q And that's the earliest written record or 10:34 anything that you have related to Viola? 10:34 A So far that I've seen 10:34 Q Okay. 10:34 A that's correct. 10:34 Q Mr. Filo, do you have any ownership interest 10:34 in Yahoo? 10:34 A Yes. 10:34 Q What's what's your ownership interest? 10:34 A I don't know exactly. I think it's kind of 10:35 roughly the 5 percent range. 10:35 Q Okay. As of today? 10:35 A Yes. 10:35 Yahoo? 10:35
25 Q Okay. 10:34 25 a Yes. 10:35	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 would be that I likely I don't remember exactly 10:33 when I first heard of it, but it was likely in '93, 10:33 maybe even late '92 time frame. 10:33 Q Okay. So let me make sure I understand. 10:33 You don't remember when you first heard of 10:33 Viola or Pei Wei? 10:33 A I don't. Yeah, I don't have a specific date 10:33 that I remember. 10:33 Q And you don't any documents or anything that 10:33 you could look at that could refresh your recollection 10:33 as to when you first became aware of Viola or Pei Wei? 10:33 A Well, that's what my answer earlier was. I 10:33 have the document the document of the earlier 10:33 version of Yahoo. It shows Viola there, and so I know 10:33 at that point I certainly knew about it, but I also 10:33 believe that I had heard of it and potentially used it 10:33 much earlier than that. 10:33 Q What document are you referring to? 10:33 A A version of the early Yahoo website. 10:33 Q Okay. So there's a version of the early 10:34 Yahoo website from some time in March or April of 1994 10:34 that has a mention of Viola? 10:34 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A Which would not have been called Yahoo at 10:34 that point, no. 10:34 Q Okay. So there's a document of an earlier 10:34 version of what was to became Yahoo from May of 1994 10:34 that contains a reference to Viola? 10:34 A I believe that's correct. 10:34 Q And that's the earliest written record or 10:34 anything that you have related to Viola? 10:34 A So far that I've seen 10:34 Q Okay. 10:34 A that's correct. 10:34 Q Mr. Filo, do you have any ownership interest 10:34 in Yahoo? 10:34 A Yes. 10:34 Q What's what's your ownership interest? 10:34 A I don't know exactly. I think it's kind of 10:35 roughly the 5 percent range. 10:35 Q Okay. As of today? 10:35 A Yes. 10:35 A Yes. 10:35 A I believe that's correct. 10:35
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 would be that I likely I don't remember exactly 10:33 when I first heard of it, but it was likely in '93, 10:33 maybe even late '92 time frame. 10:33 Q Okay. So let me make sure I understand. 10:33 You don't remember when you first heard of 10:33 Viola or Pei Wei? 10:33 A I don't. Yeah, I don't have a specific date 10:33 that I remember. 10:33 Q And you don't any documents or anything that 10:33 you could look at that could refresh your recollection 10:33 as to when you first became aware of Viola or Pei Wei? 10:33 A Well, that's what my answer earlier was. I 10:33 have the document the document of the earlier 10:33 version of Yahoo. It shows Viola there, and so I know 10:33 at that point I certainly knew about it, but I also 10:33 believe that I had heard of it and potentially used it 10:33 much earlier than that. 10:33 Q Okay. So there's a version of the early 10:34 Yahoo website from some time in March or April of 1994 10:34 that has a mention of Viola? 10:34 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A Which would not have been called Yahoo at 10:34 that point, no. 10:34 Q Okay. So there's a document of an earlier 10:34 version of what was to became Yahoo from May of 1994 10:34 that contains a reference to Viola? 10:34 A I believe that's correct. 10:34 Q And that's the earliest written record or 10:34 anything that you have related to Viola? 10:34 A So far that I've seen 10:34 Q Okay. 10:34 A that's correct. 10:34 Q Mr. Filo, do you have any ownership interest 10:34 in Yahoo? 10:34 A Yes. 10:34 Q What's what's your ownership interest? 10:34 A I don't know exactly. I think it's kind of 10:35 roughly the 5 percent range. 10:35 Q Okay. As of today? 10:35 A Yes. 10:35 A Yes. 10:35 A I believe that's correct. 10:35 Q Have you have you, at any point in time, 10:35

	Page 70	Page 71
1	Q Okay. What's the largest percentage of Yahoo 10:35	1 A I I don't know. 10:36
2	that you've owned? 10:35	2 Q Is it more than \$100 million? 10:36
3	A Largest percent would have been 50 percent. 10:35	3 A Yes. 10:36
4	Q Shortly after it was founded? 10:35	4 Q Is it worth more than \$500 million? 10:36
5	A Yes. 10:35	5 A I believe it's I believe it's worth more 10:36
6	Q What percentage of Yahoo did you own at the 10:35	6 than a billion. 10:36
7	time of its IPO? 10:35	7 Q Okay. Have you been selling some of your 10:36
8	A I don't remember. 10:35	8 ownership or some of your shares in Yahoo? 10:36
9	Q Was it more than 5 percent? 10:35	9 A Yes, I have sold shares. 10:36
10	A Yes. 10:35	10 Q Do you have a plan for selling or disposing 10:36
11	Q More than 10 percent? 10:35	11your shares in Yahoo?10:36
12	A Yes. 10:35	12 A Yes. 10:36
13	Q Okay. More than 10:35	13QOkay. What's the plan?10:36
14	A Well 10:35	14AWhat's what's your specific question?10:36
15	Q 20 percent? 10:35	15 Q So are you selling a certain predetermined 10:36
16	A I no, I don't think it was more than 10:35	16number of shares in a given time interval?10:36
17	20 percent. 10:35	17 A Yes. 10:36
18	Q Okay. So between 10 and 20 percent of Yahoo 10:35	
19	at the time of its IPO? 10:35	19 A I don't know the details. I think it's a 10:36
20	A That would be my best guess. Might have 10:35	20 public filing. I can tell you that the days are 10:37
21	been I would guess closer to 20 percent. 10:35	21 picked randomly ahead of time. In terms of the 10:37
22	Q Okay. What's your current 5 percent 10:35	22 numbers, I'm not sure about it. 10:37
23	ownership stake in Yahoo worth today? 10:36	23 Q Do you plan to divest all of your ownership 10:37
24	A I don't know. 10:36	24 in Yahoo, all of your shares? 10:37
25	Q Give me give me your best estimate. 10:36	A I guess over the course of time, I guess 10:37
	Page 72	Page 73
1	that's kind of inevitable. 10:37	Page 73 1 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Can you give me give me 10:38
2	that's kind of inevitable.10:37QDo you do you not manage your own money?10:37	1MR. BUDWIN: Q. Can you give me give me10:382your best estimate?10:38
2 3	that's kind of inevitable.10:37QDo you do you not manage your own money?10:37AI don't.10:37	1MR. BUDWIN: Q. Can you give me give me10:382your best estimate?10:383MS. DOAN: Objection; form.10:38
2 3 4	that's kind of inevitable.10:37QDo you do you not manage your own money?10:37AI don't.10:37QOkay. Do you have people that manage your10:37	1MR. BUDWIN: Q. Can you give me give me10:382your best estimate?10:383MS. DOAN: Objection; form.10:384THE WITNESS: I would say net worth would be10:38
2 3 4 5	that's kind of inevitable.10:37QDo you do you not manage your own money?10:37AI don't.10:37QOkay. Do you have people that manage your10:37money for you?10:37	1MR. BUDWIN: Q. Can you give me give me10:382your best estimate?10:383MS. DOAN: Objection; form.10:384THE WITNESS: I would say net worth would be10:385between 1- and \$2 billion.10:38
2 3 4 5 6	that's kind of inevitable.10:37QDo you do you not manage your own money?10:37AI don't.10:37QOkay. Do you have people that manage your10:37money for you?10:37AYes.10:37	1MR. BUDWIN: Q. Can you give me give me10:382your best estimate?10:383MS. DOAN: Objection; form.10:384THE WITNESS: I would say net worth would be10:385between 1- and \$2 billion.10:386MR. BUDWIN: Okay.10:38
2 3 4 5 6 7	that's kind of inevitable.10:37QDo you do you not manage your own money?10:37AI don't.10:37QOkay. Do you have people that manage your10:37money for you?10:37AYes.10:37QOkay. How many people?10:37	1MR. BUDWIN: Q. Can you give me give me10:382your best estimate?10:383MS. DOAN: Objection; form.10:384THE WITNESS: I would say net worth would be10:385between 1- and \$2 billion.10:386MR. BUDWIN: Okay.10:387QOther than your ownership stake in Yahoo10:38
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that's kind of inevitable.10:37QDo you do you not manage your own money?10:37AI don't.10:37QOkay. Do you have people that manage your10:37money for you?10:37AYes.10:37QOkay. How many people?10:37AI don't know.10:37	1MR. BUDWIN: Q. Can you give me give me10:382your best estimate?10:383MS. DOAN: Objection; form.10:384THE WITNESS: I would say net worth would be10:385between 1- and \$2 billion.10:386MR. BUDWIN: Okay.10:387Q Other than your ownership stake in Yahoo10:388or strike that.10:38
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that's kind of inevitable.10:37QDo you do you not manage your own money?10:37AI don't.10:37QOkay. Do you have people that manage your10:37money for you?10:37AYes.10:37QOkay. How many people?10:37AI don't know.10:37QIs it more than one person?10:37	1MR. BUDWIN: Q. Can you give me give me10:382your best estimate?10:383MS. DOAN: Objection; form.10:384THE WITNESS: I would say net worth would be10:385between 1- and \$2 billion.10:386MR. BUDWIN: Okay.10:387Q Other than your ownership stake in Yahoo10:388or strike that.10:389Do you do you have any investments or10:38
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	that's kind of inevitable.10:37QDo you do you not manage your own money?10:37AI don't.10:37QOkay. Do you have people that manage your10:37money for you?10:37AYes.10:37QOkay. How many people?10:37AI don't know.10:37QIs it more than one person?10:37MS. DOAN: Objection; form.10:37	1MR. BUDWIN: Q. Can you give me give me10:382your best estimate?10:383MS. DOAN: Objection; form.10:384THE WITNESS: I would say net worth would be10:385between 1- and \$2 billion.10:386MR. BUDWIN: Okay.10:387Q Other than your ownership stake in Yahoo10:388or strike that.10:389Do you do you have any investments or10:3810ownership in any other companies outside of Yahoo?10:38
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	that's kind of inevitable.10:37QDo you do you not manage your own money?10:37AI don't.10:37QOkay. Do you have people that manage your10:37money for you?10:37AYes.10:37QOkay. How many people?10:37AI don't know.10:37QIs it more than one person?10:37MS. DOAN: Objection; form.10:37THE WITNESS: I interact with one person for10:37	1MR. BUDWIN: Q. Can you give me give me10:382your best estimate?10:383MS. DOAN: Objection; form.10:384THE WITNESS: I would say net worth would be10:385between 1- and \$2 billion.10:386MR. BUDWIN: Okay.10:387QOther than your ownership stake in Yahoo10:388or strike that.10:389Do you do you have any investments or10:3810ownership in any other companies outside of Yahoo?10:3811Let me let me ask you a better question.10:38
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	that's kind of inevitable.10:37QDo you do you not manage your own money?10:37AI don't.10:37QOkay. Do you have people that manage your10:37money for you?10:37AYes.10:37QOkay. How many people?10:37AI don't know.10:37QIs it more than one person?10:37MS. DOAN: Objection; form.10:37THE WITNESS: I interact with one person for10:37most issues, but in terms of people that actually10:37	1MR. BUDWIN: Q. Can you give me give me10:382your best estimate?10:383MS. DOAN: Objection; form.10:384THE WITNESS: I would say net worth would be10:385between 1- and \$2 billion.10:386MR. BUDWIN: Okay.10:387QOther than your ownership stake in Yahoo10:388or strike that.10:389Do you do you have any investments or10:3810ownership in any other companies outside of Yahoo?10:3811Let me let me ask you a better question.10:3812Is Yahoo your singus single largest source10:38
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that's kind of inevitable.10:37QDo you do you not manage your own money?10:37AI don't.10:37QOkay. Do you have people that manage your10:37money for you?10:37AYes.10:37QOkay. How many people?10:37AI don't know.10:37QIs it more than one person?10:37MS. DOAN: Objection; form.10:37THE WITNESS: I interact with one person for 10:37most issues, but in terms of people that actually10:37manage and make decisions, it would certainly be more10:37	1MR. BUDWIN: Q. Can you give me give me10:382your best estimate?10:383MS. DOAN: Objection; form.10:384THE WITNESS: I would say net worth would be10:385between 1- and \$2 billion.10:386MR. BUDWIN: Okay.10:387Q Other than your ownership stake in Yahoo10:388or strike that.10:389Do you do you have any investments or10:3810ownership in any other companies outside of Yahoo?10:3811Let me let me ask you a better question.10:3812Is Yahoo your singus single largest source10:3813of your net worth today, Yahoo stock?10:38
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that's kind of inevitable.10:37QDo you do you not manage your own money?10:37AI don't.10:37QOkay. Do you have people that manage your10:37money for you?10:37AYes.10:37QOkay. How many people?10:37AI don't know.10:37QIs it more than one person?10:37MS. DOAN: Objection; form.10:37THE WITNESS: I interact with one person for 10:37most issues, but in terms of people that actually10:37manage and make decisions, it would certainly be more10:37than one.10:37	1MR. BUDWIN: Q. Can you give me give me10:382your best estimate?10:383MS. DOAN: Objection; form.10:384THE WITNESS: I would say net worth would be10:385between 1- and \$2 billion.10:386MR. BUDWIN: Okay.10:387Q Other than your ownership stake in Yahoo10:388or strike that.10:389Do you do you have any investments or10:3810ownership in any other companies outside of Yahoo?10:3811Let me let me ask you a better question.10:3812Is Yahoo your singus single largest source10:3813of your net worth today, Yahoo stock?10:3814A Yes.10:38
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that's kind of inevitable.10:37QDo you do you not manage your own money?10:37AI don't.10:37QOkay. Do you have people that manage your10:37money for you?10:37AYes.10:37QOkay. How many people?10:37AI don't know.10:37QIs it more than one person?10:37MS. DOAN: Objection; form.10:37THE WITNESS: I interact with one person for 10:37most issues, but in terms of people that actually10:37manage and make decisions, it would certainly be more10:37MR. BUDWIN: Okay.10:37	1MR. BUDWIN: Q. Can you give me give me10:382your best estimate?10:383MS. DOAN: Objection; form.10:384THE WITNESS: I would say net worth would be10:385between 1- and \$2 billion.10:386MR. BUDWIN: Okay.10:387QOther than your ownership stake in Yahoo10:388or strike that.10:389Do you do you have any investments or10:3810ownership in any other companies outside of Yahoo?10:3811Let me let me ask you a better question.10:3812Is Yahoo your singus single largest source10:3813of your net worth today, Yahoo stock?10:3814AYes.10:3815QOkay. After your Yahoo stock, what's next?10:38
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that's kind of inevitable.10:37QDo you do you not manage your own money?10:37AI don't.10:37QOkay. Do you have people that manage your10:37money for you?10:37AYes.10:37QOkay. How many people?10:37AI don't know.10:37QIs it more than one person?10:37MS. DOAN: Objection; form.10:37THE WITNESS: I interact with one person for10:37most issues, but in terms of people that actually10:37manage and make decisions, it would certainly be more10:37MR. BUDWIN: Okay.10:37QAnd is your money managed in some form of a10:37	1MR. BUDWIN: Q. Can you give me give me10:382your best estimate?10:383MS. DOAN: Objection; form.10:384THE WITNESS: I would say net worth would be10:385between 1- and \$2 billion.10:386MR. BUDWIN: Okay.10:387QOther than your ownership stake in Yahoo10:389Do you do you have any investments or10:3810ownership in any other companies outside of Yahoo?10:3811Let me let me ask you a better question.10:3812Is Yahoo your singus single largest source10:3813of your net worth today, Yahoo stock?10:3814AYes.10:3815QOkay. After your Yahoo stock, what's next?10:3816AI don't know.10:38
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that's kind of inevitable.10:37QDo you do you not manage your own money?10:37AI don't.10:37QOkay. Do you have people that manage your10:37money for you?10:37AYes.10:37QOkay. How many people?10:37AI don't know.10:37QIs it more than one person?10:37MS. DOAN: Objection; form.10:37THE WITNESS: I interact with one person for 10:37monage and make decisions, it would certainly be more 10:37than one.10:37MR. BUDWIN: Okay.10:37QAnd is your money managed in some form of a 10:37blind trust? Or do you have visibility to it?10:37	1MR. BUDWIN: Q. Can you give me give me10:382your best estimate?10:383MS. DOAN: Objection; form.10:384THE WITNESS: I would say net worth would be10:385between 1- and \$2 billion.10:386MR. BUDWIN: Okay.10:387QOther than your ownership stake in Yahoo10:388or strike that.10:389Do you do you have any investments or10:3810ownership in any other companies outside of Yahoo?10:3811Let me let me ask you a better question.10:3812Is Yahoo your singus single largest source10:3813of your net worth today, Yahoo stock?10:3814A Yes.10:3815QOkay. After your Yahoo stock, what's next?10:3816A I don't know.10:3817Q So you don't know what your second largest10:38
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that's kind of inevitable.10:37QDo you do you not manage your own money?10:37AI don't.10:37QOkay. Do you have people that manage your10:37money for you?10:37AYes.10:37QOkay. How many people?10:37AI don't know.10:37QIs it more than one person?10:37MS. DOAN: Objection; form.10:37THE WITNESS: I interact with one person for10:37monage and make decisions, it would certainly be more10:37MR. BUDWIN: Okay.10:37QAnd is your money managed in some form of a10:37blind trust? Or do you have visibility to it?10:37AIt's not a blind trust.10:37	1MR. BUDWIN: Q. Can you give me give me10:382your best estimate?10:383MS. DOAN: Objection; form.10:384THE WITNESS: I would say net worth would be10:385between 1- and \$2 billion.10:386MR. BUDWIN: Okay.10:387Q Other than your ownership stake in Yahoo10:388or strike that.10:389Do you do you have any investments or10:3810ownership in any other companies outside of Yahoo?10:3811Let me let me ask you a better question.10:3812Is Yahoo your singus single largest source10:3813of your net worth today, Yahoo stock?10:3814A Yes.10:3815Q Okay. After your Yahoo stock, what's next?10:3816A I don't know.10:3817Q So you don't know what your second largest10:3818stockholding is?10:39
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	that's kind of inevitable.10:37QDo you do you not manage your own money?10:37AI don't.10:37QOkay. Do you have people that manage your10:37money for you?10:37AYes.10:37QOkay. How many people?10:37AI don't know.10:37QIs it more than one person?10:37MS. DOAN: Objection; form.10:37THE WITNESS: I interact with one person for10:37monage and make decisions, it would certainly be more10:37than one.10:37MR. BUDWIN: Okay.10:37QAnd is your money managed in some form of a10:37blind trust? Or do you have visibility to it?10:37QOkay. Are you involved in making decisions10:37	1MR. BUDWIN: Q. Can you give me give me10:382your best estimate?10:383MS. DOAN: Objection; form.10:384THE WITNESS: I would say net worth would be10:385between 1- and \$2 billion.10:386MR. BUDWIN: Okay.10:387Q Other than your ownership stake in Yahoo10:388or strike that.10:389Do you do you have any investments or10:3810ownership in any other companies outside of Yahoo?10:3811Let me let me ask you a better question.10:3812Is Yahoo your singus single largest source10:3813of your net worth today, Yahoo stock?10:3814A Yes.10:3815Q Okay. After your Yahoo stock, what's next?10:3816A I don't know.10:3817Q So you don't know what your second largest10:3818stockholding is?10:3919A I don't know.10:39
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that's kind of inevitable.10:37QDo you do you not manage your own money?10:37AI don't.10:37QOkay. Do you have people that manage your10:37money for you?10:37AYes.10:37QOkay. How many people?10:37AI don't know.10:37QIs it more than one person?10:37MS. DOAN: Objection; form.10:37THE WITNESS: I interact with one person for10:37most issues, but in terms of people that actually10:37manage and make decisions, it would certainly be more10:37than one.10:37QAnd is your money managed in some form of a10:37blind trust? Or do you have visibility to it?10:37QOkay. Are you involved in making decisions10:37with respect to the management of your money?10:38	1MR. BUDWIN: Q. Can you give me give me10:382your best estimate?10:383MS. DOAN: Objection; form.10:384THE WITNESS: I would say net worth would be10:385between 1- and \$2 billion.10:386MR. BUDWIN: Okay.10:387Q Other than your ownership stake in Yahoo10:388or strike that.10:389Do you do you have any investments or10:3810ownership in any other companies outside of Yahoo?10:3811Let me let me ask you a better question.10:3812Is Yahoo your singus single largest source10:3813of your net worth today, Yahoo stock?10:3814A Yes.10:3815Q Okay. After your Yahoo stock, what's next?10:3816A I don't know.10:3817Q So you don't know what your second largest10:3818stockholding is?10:3919A I don't know.10:3920Q You don't know what your second largest10:39
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	that's kind of inevitable.10:37QDo you do you not manage your own money?10:37AI don't.10:37QOkay. Do you have people that manage your10:37money for you?10:37AYes.10:37QOkay. How many people?10:37AI don't know.10:37QIs it more than one person?10:37MS. DOAN: Objection; form.10:37THE WITNESS: I interact with one person for10:37money and make decisions, it would certainly be more10:37MR. BUDWIN: Okay.10:37QAnd is your money managed in some form of a10:37blind trust? Or do you have visibility to it?10:37QOkay. Are you involved in making decisions10:37with respect to the management of your money?10:38AA tthe highest level, yes.10:38	1MR. BUDWIN: Q. Can you give me give me10:382your best estimate?10:383MS. DOAN: Objection; form.10:384THE WITNESS: I would say net worth would be10:385between 1- and \$2 billion.10:386MR. BUDWIN: Okay.10:387Q Other than your ownership stake in Yahoo10:388or strike that.10:389Do you do you have any investments or10:3810ownership in any other companies outside of Yahoo?10:3811Let me let me ask you a better question.10:3812Is Yahoo your singus single largest source10:3813of your net worth today, Yahoo stock?10:3814A Yes.10:3815Q Okay. After your Yahoo stock, what's next?10:3816A I don't know.10:3919A I don't know.10:3920Q You don't know what your second largest10:3921investment of any source is?10:39
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that's kind of inevitable.10:37QDo you do you not manage your own money?10:37AI don't.10:37QOkay. Do you have people that manage your10:37money for you?10:37AYes.10:37QOkay. How many people?10:37AI don't know.10:37QIs it more than one person?10:37MS. DOAN: Objection; form.10:37THE WITNESS: I interact with one person for 10:37mones, but in terms of people that actually10:37manage and make decisions, it would certainly be more10:37than one.10:37QAnd is your money managed in some form of a10:37blind trust? Or do you have visibility to it?10:37QOkay. Are you involved in making decisions10:37with respect to the management of your money?10:38QWhat's your current estimated net worth10:38	1MR. BUDWIN: Q. Can you give me give me10:382your best estimate?10:383MS. DOAN: Objection; form.10:384THE WITNESS: I would say net worth would be10:385between 1- and \$2 billion.10:386MR. BUDWIN: Okay.10:387Q Other than your ownership stake in Yahoo10:388or strike that.10:389Do you do you have any investments or10:3810ownership in any other companies outside of Yahoo?10:3811Let me let me ask you a better question.10:3812Is Yahoo your singus single largest source10:3813of your net worth today, Yahoo stock?10:3814A Yes.10:3815Q Okay. After your Yahoo stock, what's next?10:3816A I don't know.10:3919A I don't know.10:3920Q You don't know what your second largest10:3921investment of any source is?10:3922A No.10:39
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that's kind of inevitable.10:37QDo you do you not manage your own money?10:37AI don't.10:37QOkay. Do you have people that manage your10:37money for you?10:37AYes.10:37QOkay. How many people?10:37AI don't know.10:37QIs it more than one person?10:37MS. DOAN: Objection; form.10:37THE WITNESS: I interact with one person for 10:37mones, but in terms of people that actually10:37manage and make decisions, it would certainly be more10:37MR. BUDWIN: Okay.10:37QAnd is your money managed in some form of a10:37blind trust? Or do you have visibility to it?10:37QOkay. Are you involved in making decisions10:37with respect to the management of your money?10:38QWhat's your current estimated net worth10:38	1MR. BUDWIN: Q. Can you give me give me10:382your best estimate?10:383MS. DOAN: Objection; form.10:384THE WITNESS: I would say net worth would be10:385between 1- and \$2 billion.10:386MR. BUDWIN: Okay.10:387Q Other than your ownership stake in Yahoo10:388or strike that.10:389Do you do you have any investments or10:3810ownership in any other companies outside of Yahoo?10:3811Let me let me ask you a better question.10:3812Is Yahoo your singus single largest source10:3813of your net worth today, Yahoo stock?10:3814A Yes.10:3815Q Okay. After your Yahoo stock, what's next?10:3816A I don't know.10:3919A I don't know.10:3920Q You don't know what your second largest10:3921investment of any source is?10:3922A No.10:39
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that's kind of inevitable.10:37QDo you do you not manage your own money?10:37AI don't.10:37QOkay. Do you have people that manage your10:37money for you?10:37AYes.10:37QOkay. How many people?10:37AI don't know.10:37QIs it more than one person?10:37MS. DOAN: Objection; form.10:37THE WITNESS: I interact with one person for10:37manage and make decisions, it would certainly be more10:37MR. BUDWIN: Okay.10:37QAnd is your money managed in some form of a10:37blind trust? Or do you have visibility to it?10:37QOkay. Are you involved in making decisions10:37with respect to the management of your money?10:38QWhat's your current estimated net worth10:38today?10:3810:38	1MR. BUDWIN: Q. Can you give me give me10:382your best estimate?10:383MS. DOAN: Objection; form.10:384THE WITNESS: I would say net worth would be10:385between 1- and \$2 billion.10:386MR. BUDWIN: Okay.10:387Q Other than your ownership stake in Yahoo10:388or strike that.10:389Do you do you have any investments or10:3810ownership in any other companies outside of Yahoo?10:3811Let me let me ask you a better question.10:3812Is Yahoo your singus single largest source10:3813of your net worth today, Yahoo stock?10:3814A Yes.10:3815Q Okay. After your Yahoo stock, what's next?10:3816A I don't know.10:3817Q So you don't know what your second largest10:3919A I don't know.10:3920Q You don't know what your second largest10:3921investment of any source is?10:3922A No.10:3923Q Do you own any cars?10:39

	Page 74		Page 75
1	A Two. 10:39	1	use, like, prepay for airplanes? 10:40
2	Q What kind of cars? 10:39	2	A No. 10:40
3	A A Prius, and an Audi A4. 10:39	3	Q Okay. Do you have a boat? 10:40
4	Q Do you own any houses? 10:39	4	A No. 10:40
5	A Yes. 10:39	5	Q Is it fair to say that your involvement with 10:40
6	Q How many houses? 10:39	6	Yahoo is responsible for the vast majority of your net 10:40
7	A I have one primary residence. 10:39	7	worth? 10:41
8	Q Okay. No houses beyond that primary 10:39	8	A Yes. 10:41
9	residence? 10:39	9	Q Why did and you Mr. Yang decide to found 10:41
10	A Not that I personally use or own, but I do 10:39	10	Yahoo in March or April, or start what would become 10:41
11	have part ownership in some other houses. 10:39	11	Yahoo in March or April of 1994? 10:41
12	Q Is it like a vacation club or something that 10:39	12	A Why did we started what became Yahoo, 10:41
13	you no? 10:39	13	again, as a tool for our own use. 10:41
14	A No, just 10:39	14	Q When did you realize that that you could 10:41
15	Q How many houses do you have part ownership 10:39	15	make money from it? 10:41
16	of? 10:39	16	A Well, we didn't make I don't think we 10:41
17	A I think maybe four. 10:40	17	really had our our first source of revenue, I don't 10:41
18	Q And where are those houses located? 10:40	18	think, was until probably the fall of 1995. 10:41
19	A Let's see. California and Louisiana. 10:40	19	Q Okay. When you started Yahoo or what would 10:41
20	Q Any others? 10:40	20	become Yahoo, did you do it with an eye toward a 10:41
21	A No. 10:40	21	business, something that you could go on to as a 10:41
22	Q Okay. Do you have an airplane or share of 10:40	22	career, or was it more just a student project? 10:42
23	ownership in any airplanes? 10:40	23	A At the very beginning, it was just a 10:42
24	A No. 10:40	24	part-time hobby/project. 10:42
25	Q Do you have one of those cards that you can 10:40	25	Q Did you and Mr. Yang put together a business 10:42
	Page 76		Page 77
1	Page 76 plan, go get venture capital funding, anything of that 10:42	1	Page 77 Yahoo's early business plan from March or April 1995, 10:43
1 2	plan, go get venture capital funding, anything of that 10:42 nature? 10:42	1 2	Yahoo's early business plan from March or April 1995, 10:43 and I'll be happy to send you a letter about it. 10:43
	plan, go get venture capital funding, anything of that 10:42nature?10:42A We didn't get venture capital funding.10:42		Yahoo's early business plan from March or April 1995, 10:43and I'll be happy to send you a letter about it.10:43MS. DOAN: Thank you.10:43
2 3 4	plan, go get venture capital funding, anything of that 10:42nature?10:42A We didn't get venture capital funding.10:42Q Did you put together a business plan?10:42	2 3 4	Yahoo's early business plan from March or April 1995, 10:43 and I'll be happy to send you a letter about it. 10:43 MS. DOAN: Thank you. 10:43 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Do you recall if there were 10:43
2 3 4 5	plan, go get venture capital funding, anything of that 10:42nature?10:42A We didn't get venture capital funding.10:42Q Did you put together a business plan?10:42A We did not.10:42	2 3 4 5	Yahoo's early business plan from March or April 1995, 10:43 and I'll be happy to send you a letter about it. 10:43 MS. DOAN: Thank you. 10:43 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Do you recall if there were 10:43 any other versions of the business plan as you got 10:43
2 3 4 5 6	 plan, go get venture capital funding, anything of that 10:42 nature? 10:42 A We didn't get venture capital funding. 10:42 Q Did you put together a business plan? 10:42 A We did not. 10:42 Q So you got VC, venture capital funding, with 10:42 	2 3 4 5 6	Yahoo's early business plan from March or April 1995, 10:43 and I'll be happy to send you a letter about it. 10:43 MS. DOAN: Thank you. 10:43 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Do you recall if there were 10:43 any other versions of the business plan as you got 10:43 additional venture capital funding for Yahoo? 10:43
2 3 4 5 6 7	plan, go get venture capital funding, anything of that 10:42nature?10:42A We didn't get venture capital funding.10:42Q Did you put together a business plan?10:42A We did not.10:42Q So you got VC, venture capital funding, with 10:42no business plan or prospective or any written10:42	2 3 4 5	Yahoo's early business plan from March or April 1995, 10:43 and I'll be happy to send you a letter about it. 10:43 MS. DOAN: Thank you. 10:43 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Do you recall if there were 10:43 any other versions of the business plan as you got 10:43
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	plan, go get venture capital funding, anything of that 10:42nature?10:42A We didn't get venture capital funding.10:42Q Did you put together a business plan?10:42A We did not.10:42Q So you got VC, venture capital funding, with10:42no business plan or prospective or any written10:42documentation?10:42	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Yahoo's early business plan from March or April 1995, 10:43 and I'll be happy to send you a letter about it. 10:43 MS. DOAN: Thank you. 10:43 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Do you recall if there were 10:43 any other versions of the business plan as you got 10:43 additional venture capital funding for Yahoo? 10:43 A I don't know. We didn't really get much more 10:43 funding but 10:43
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	plan, go get venture capital funding, anything of that 10:42 nature?10:42A We didn't get venture capital funding.10:42Q Did you put together a business plan?10:42A We did not.10:42Q So you got VC, venture capital funding, with 10:42 no business plan or prospective or any written10:42documentation?10:42A Well, you said that we create.10:42	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Yahoo's early business plan from March or April 1995, 10:43 and I'll be happy to send you a letter about it. 10:43 MS. DOAN: Thank you. 10:43 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Do you recall if there were 10:43 any other versions of the business plan as you got 10:43 additional venture capital funding for Yahoo? 10:43 A I don't know. We didn't really get much more 10:43 funding but 10:43 Q How much was the initial venture capital 10:43
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	plan, go get venture capital funding, anything of that 10:42 nature?10:42A We didn't get venture capital funding.10:42Q Did you put together a business plan?10:42A We did not.10:42Q So you got VC, venture capital funding, with 10:42no business plan or prospective or any written10:42documentation?10:42A Well, you said that we create.10:42I the I don't know if we had any10:42	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Yahoo's early business plan from March or April 1995, 10:43 and I'll be happy to send you a letter about it. 10:43 MS. DOAN: Thank you. 10:43 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Do you recall if there were 10:43 any other versions of the business plan as you got 10:43 additional venture capital funding for Yahoo? 10:43 A I don't know. We didn't really get much more 10:43 funding but 10:43 Q How much was the initial venture capital 10:43 investment in Yahoo? 10:44
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	plan, go get venture capital funding, anything of that 10:42nature?10:42A We didn't get venture capital funding.10:42Q Did you put together a business plan?10:42A We did not.10:42Q So you got VC, venture capital funding, with 10:42no business plan or prospective or any written10:42A Well, you said that we create.10:42I the I don't know if we had any10:42written documentation.We might have had something.10:42	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 2	Yahoo's early business plan from March or April 1995, 10:43 and I'll be happy to send you a letter about it. 10:43 MS. DOAN: Thank you. 10:43 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Do you recall if there were 10:43 any other versions of the business plan as you got 10:43 additional venture capital funding for Yahoo? 10:43 A I don't know. We didn't really get much more 10:43 funding but 10:43 Q How much was the initial venture capital 10:43 investment in Yahoo? 10:44 A A million dollars. 10:44
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	plan, go get venture capital funding, anything of that 10:42nature?10:42A We didn't get venture capital funding.10:42Q Did you put together a business plan?10:42A We did not.10:42Q So you got VC, venture capital funding, with 10:42no business plan or prospective or any written10:42A Well, you said that we create.10:42A Well, you said that we create.10:42Written documentation. We might have had something.10:42There was a business plan created not by either one of 10:42	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 2 11 12	Yahoo's early business plan from March or April 1995, 10:43 and I'll be happy to send you a letter about it. 10:43 MS. DOAN: Thank you. 10:43 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Do you recall if there were 10:43 any other versions of the business plan as you got 10:43 additional venture capital funding for Yahoo? 10:43 A I don't know. We didn't really get much more 10:43 funding but 10:43 Q How much was the initial venture capital 10:43 investment in Yahoo? 10:44 A A million dollars. 10:44 Q And who was it from? 10:44
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	plan, go get venture capital funding, anything of that 10:42nature?10:42A We didn't get venture capital funding.10:42Q Did you put together a business plan?10:42A We did not.10:42Q So you got VC, venture capital funding, with 10:42no business plan or prospective or any written10:42A Well, you said that we create.10:42I the I don't know if we had any10:42written documentation. We might have had something.10:42us, and I'm not sure if that was it might have10:42	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 2 11 12 13	Yahoo's early business plan from March or April 1995, 10:43 and I'll be happy to send you a letter about it. 10:43 MS. DOAN: Thank you. 10:43 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Do you recall if there were 10:43 any other versions of the business plan as you got 10:43 additional venture capital funding for Yahoo? 10:43 A I don't know. We didn't really get much more 10:43 funding but 10:43 Q How much was the initial venture capital 10:43 investment in Yahoo? 10:44 A A million dollars. 10:44 Q And who was it from? 10:44 A Sequoia Capital. 10:44
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	plan, go get venture capital funding, anything of that 10:42 nature?10:42A We didn't get venture capital funding.10:42Q Did you put together a business plan?10:42A We did not.10:42Q So you got VC, venture capital funding, with 10:42no business plan or prospective or any written10:42documentation?10:42A Well, you said that we create.10:42I the I don't know if we had any10:42written documentation. We might have had something.10:42There was a business plan created not by either one of 10:42us, and I'm not sure if that was it might have10:42been it probably actually was after the VC funding.10:42	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 2 11 12 13 14	Yahoo's early business plan from March or April 1995, 10:43 and I'll be happy to send you a letter about it. 10:43 MS. DOAN: Thank you. 10:43 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Do you recall if there were 10:43 any other versions of the business plan as you got 10:43 additional venture capital funding for Yahoo? 10:43 A I don't know. We didn't really get much more 10:43 funding but 10:43 Q How much was the initial venture capital 10:43 investment in Yahoo? 10:44 A A million dollars. 10:44 Q And who was it from? 10:44 A Sequoia Capital. 10:44 Q And there was no other venture capital 10:44
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	plan, go get venture capital funding, anything of that 10:42nature?10:42A We didn't get venture capital funding.10:42Q Did you put together a business plan?10:42A We did not.10:42Q So you got VC, venture capital funding, with 10:42no business plan or prospective or any written10:42documentation?10:42A Well, you said that we create.10:42I the I don't know if we had any10:42written documentation. We might have had something.10:42Us, and I'm not sure if that was it might have10:42Us, and I'm not sure if that was after the VC funding.10:42Q Okay. So there was a version of a Yahoo10:42	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 2 11 12 13 14 15	Yahoo's early business plan from March or April 1995, 10:43 and I'll be happy to send you a letter about it. 10:43 MS. DOAN: Thank you. 10:43 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Do you recall if there were 10:43 any other versions of the business plan as you got 10:43 additional venture capital funding for Yahoo? 10:43 A I don't know. We didn't really get much more 10:43 funding but 10:43 Q How much was the initial venture capital 10:43 investment in Yahoo? 10:44 A A million dollars. 10:44 Q And who was it from? 10:44 A Sequoia Capital. 10:44 Q And there was no other venture capital 10:44 funding besides the 1 million from Sequoia? 10:44
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	plan, go get venture capital funding, anything of that 10:42nature?10:42A We didn't get venture capital funding.10:42Q Did you put together a business plan?10:42A We did not.10:42Q So you got VC, venture capital funding, with 10:42no business plan or prospective or any written10:42documentation?10:42A Well, you said that we create.10:42I the I don't know if we had any10:42written documentation. We might have had something.10:42Us, and I'm not sure if that was it might have10:42been it probably actually was after the VC funding.10:42U Okay. So there was a version of a Yahoo10:42business plan that was created approximately when?10:42	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 2 11 12 13 14 15 16	Yahoo's early business plan from March or April 1995, 10:43 and I'll be happy to send you a letter about it. 10:43 MS. DOAN: Thank you. 10:43 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Do you recall if there were 10:43 any other versions of the business plan as you got 10:43 additional venture capital funding for Yahoo? 10:43 A I don't know. We didn't really get much more 10:43 funding but 10:43 Q How much was the initial venture capital 10:43 investment in Yahoo? 10:44 A A million dollars. 10:44 Q And who was it from? 10:44 A Sequoia Capital. 10:44 Q And there was no other venture capital 10:44 funding besides the 1 million from Sequoia? 10:44 A There was another there was another round 10:44
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	plan, go get venture capital funding, anything of that 10:42nature?10:42A We didn't get venture capital funding.10:42Q Did you put together a business plan?10:42A We did not.10:42Q So you got VC, venture capital funding, with 10:42no business plan or prospective or any written10:42documentation?10:42A Well, you said that we create.10:42I the I don't know if we had any10:42written documentation. We might have had something.10:42Us, and I'm not sure if that was it might have10:42been it probably actually was after the VC funding.10:42U Okay. So there was a version of a Yahoo10:42A Approximately April/May of '95.10:42	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 2 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Yahoo's early business plan from March or April 1995, 10:43 and I'll be happy to send you a letter about it. 10:43 MS. DOAN: Thank you. 10:43 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Do you recall if there were 10:43 any other versions of the business plan as you got 10:43 additional venture capital funding for Yahoo? 10:43 A I don't know. We didn't really get much more 10:43 funding but 10:43 Q How much was the initial venture capital 10:43 investment in Yahoo? 10:44 A A million dollars. 10:44 Q And who was it from? 10:44 A Sequoia Capital. 10:44 funding besides the 1 million from Sequoia? 10:44 A There was another there was another round 10:44 of funding, and I can't not I can't remember if 10:44
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	plan, go get venture capital funding, anything of that 10:42 nature?10:42A We didn't get venture capital funding.10:42Q Did you put together a business plan?10:42A We did not.10:42Q So you got VC, venture capital funding, with 10:42no business plan or prospective or any written10:42documentation?10:42A Well, you said that we create.10:42uritten documentation. We might have had something.10:42written documentation. We might have had something.10:42us, and I'm not sure if that was it might have10:42been it probably actually was after the VC funding.10:42U Okay. So there was a version of a Yahoo10:42A Approximately April/May of '95.10:42Q And do you still have that?10:42	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 2 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Yahoo's early business plan from March or April 1995, 10:43 and I'll be happy to send you a letter about it. 10:43 MS. DOAN: Thank you. 10:43 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Do you recall if there were 10:43 any other versions of the business plan as you got 10:43 additional venture capital funding for Yahoo? 10:43 A I don't know. We didn't really get much more 10:43 funding but 10:43 Q How much was the initial venture capital 10:43 investment in Yahoo? 10:44 A A million dollars. 10:44 Q And who was it from? 10:44 A Sequoia Capital. 10:44 funding besides the 1 million from Sequoia? 10:44 A There was another there was another round 10:44 of funding, and I can't not I can't remember if 10:44 Sequoia put more money in or not. 10:44
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	plan, go get venture capital funding, anything of that 10:42 nature?10:42A We didn't get venture capital funding.10:42Q Did you put together a business plan?10:42A We did not.10:42Q So you got VC, venture capital funding, with 10:42no business plan or prospective or any written10:42documentation?10:42A Well, you said that we create.10:42I the I don't know if we had any10:42written documentation. We might have had something.10:42us, and I'm not sure if that was it might have10:42been it probably actually was after the VC funding.10:42Q Okay. So there was a version of a Yahoo10:42A Approximately April/May of '95.10:42A I don't know.10:43	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 2 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Yahoo's early business plan from March or April 1995, 10:43 and I'll be happy to send you a letter about it. 10:43 MS. DOAN: Thank you. 10:43 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Do you recall if there were 10:43 any other versions of the business plan as you got 10:43 additional venture capital funding for Yahoo? 10:43 A I don't know. We didn't really get much more 10:43 funding but 10:43 Q How much was the initial venture capital 10:43 investment in Yahoo? 10:44 A A million dollars. 10:44 Q And who was it from? 10:44 A Sequoia Capital. 10:44 G And there was no other venture capital 10:44 funding besides the 1 million from Sequoia? 10:44 A There was another there was another round 10:44 of funding, and I can't not I can't remember if 10:44 Q Okay. Who was involved in the second round 10:44
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	plan, go get venture capital funding, anything of that 10:42 nature?10:42A We didn't get venture capital funding.10:42Q Did you put together a business plan?10:42A We did not.10:42Q So you got VC, venture capital funding, with 10:42no business plan or prospective or any written10:42documentation?10:42A Well, you said that we create.10:42I the I don't know if we had any10:42written documentation. We might have had something.10:42Us, and I'm not sure if that was it might have10:42been it probably actually was after the VC funding.10:42Q Okay. So there was a version of a Yahoo10:42A Approximately April/May of '95.10:42A I don't know.10:43Q Could you get it if you wanted to?10:43	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Yahoo's early business plan from March or April 1995, 10:43 and I'll be happy to send you a letter about it. 10:43 MS. DOAN: Thank you. 10:43 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Do you recall if there were 10:43 any other versions of the business plan as you got 10:43 additional venture capital funding for Yahoo? 10:43 A I don't know. We didn't really get much more 10:43 funding but 10:43 Q How much was the initial venture capital 10:43 investment in Yahoo? 10:44 A A million dollars. 10:44 Q And who was it from? 10:44 A Sequoia Capital. 10:44 G And there was no other venture capital 10:44 funding besides the 1 million from Sequoia? 10:44 A There was another there was another round 10:44 of funding, and I can't not I can't remember if 10:44 Q Okay. Who was involved in the second round 10:44 of funding? 10:44
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	plan, go get venture capital funding, anything of that 10:42nature?10:42A We didn't get venture capital funding.10:42Q Did you put together a business plan?10:42A We did not.10:42Q So you got VC, venture capital funding, with 10:42no business plan or prospective or any written10:42documentation?10:42A Well, you said that we create.10:42I the I don't know if we had any10:42written documentation. We might have had something.10:42Us, and I'm not sure if that was it might have10:42been it probably actually was after the VC funding.10:42Q Okay. So there was a version of a Yahoo10:42A Approximately April/May of '95.10:42A I don't know.10:43Q Could you get it if you wanted to?10:43A I don't I don't know where it would be, so10:43	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Yahoo's early business plan from March or April 1995, 10:43 and I'll be happy to send you a letter about it. 10:43 MS. DOAN: Thank you. 10:43 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Do you recall if there were 10:43 any other versions of the business plan as you got 10:43 additional venture capital funding for Yahoo? 10:43 A I don't know. We didn't really get much more 10:43 funding but 10:43 Q How much was the initial venture capital 10:43 investment in Yahoo? 10:44 A A million dollars. 10:44 Q And who was it from? 10:44 A Sequoia Capital. 10:44 G And there was no other venture capital 10:44 funding besides the 1 million from Sequoia? 10:44 A There was another there was another round 10:44 of funding, and I can't not I can't remember if 10:44 Q Okay. Who was involved in the second round 10:44 of funding? 10:44 A I know Reuters was. 10:44
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	plan, go get venture capital funding, anything of that 10:42nature?10:42A We didn't get venture capital funding.10:42Q Did you put together a business plan?10:42A We did not.10:42Q So you got VC, venture capital funding, with 10:42no business plan or prospective or any written10:42documentation?10:42A Well, you said that we create.10:42I the I don't know if we had any10:42written documentation. We might have had something.10:42Us, and I'm not sure if that was it might have10:42been it probably actually was after the VC funding.10:42U Okay. So there was a version of a Yahoo10:42A Approximately April/May of '95.10:42A I don't know.10:43Q Could you get it if you wanted to?10:43A I don't I don't know where it would be, so10:43it might exist somewhere, but I'm not sure if I could 10:43	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Yahoo's early business plan from March or April 1995, 10:43 and I'll be happy to send you a letter about it. 10:43 MS. DOAN: Thank you. 10:43 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Do you recall if there were 10:43 any other versions of the business plan as you got 10:43 additional venture capital funding for Yahoo? 10:43 A I don't know. We didn't really get much more 10:43 funding but 10:43 Q How much was the initial venture capital 10:43 investment in Yahoo? 10:44 A A million dollars. 10:44 Q And who was it from? 10:44 A Sequoia Capital. 10:44 G And there was no other venture capital 10:44 funding besides the 1 million from Sequoia? 10:44 A There was another there was another round 10:44 of funding, and I can't not I can't remember if 10:44 Q Okay. Who was involved in the second round 10:44 of funding? 10:44 A I know Reuters was. 10:44 Q Do you know how much they invested? 10:44
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	plan, go get venture capital funding, anything of that 10:42 nature? 10:42 A We didn't get venture capital funding. 10:42 Q Did you put together a business plan? 10:42 A We did not. 10:42 Q So you got VC, venture capital funding, with 10:42 no business plan or prospective or any written 10:42 documentation? 10:42 A Well, you said that we create. 10:42 I the I don't know if we had any 10:42 written documentation. We might have had something. 10:42 There was a business plan created not by either one of 10:42 us, and I'm not sure if that was it might have 10:42 been it probably actually was after the VC funding. 10:42 Q Okay. So there was a version of a Yahoo 10:42 business plan that was created approximately when? 10:42 A Approximately April/May of '95. 10:42 A I don't know. 10:43 Q Could you get it if you wanted to? 10:43 A I don't I don't know where it would be, so 10:43 it might exist somewhere, but I'm not sure if I could 10:43 find it. 10:43	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 2 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Yahoo's early business plan from March or April 1995, 10:43 and I'll be happy to send you a letter about it. 10:43 MS. DOAN: Thank you. 10:43 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Do you recall if there were 10:43 any other versions of the business plan as you got 10:43 additional venture capital funding for Yahoo? 10:43 A I don't know. We didn't really get much more 10:43 funding but 10:43 Q How much was the initial venture capital 10:43 investment in Yahoo? 10:44 A A million dollars. 10:44 Q And who was it from? 10:44 A Sequoia Capital. 10:44 G And there was no other venture capital 10:44 funding besides the 1 million from Sequoia? 10:44 A There was another there was another round 10:44 of funding, and I can't not I can't remember if 10:44 Sequoia put more money in or not. 10:44 Q Okay. Who was involved in the second round 10:44 of funding? 10:44 A I know Reuters was. 10:44 Q Do you know how much they invested? 10:44 A I don't know. 10:44
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	plan, go get venture capital funding, anything of that 10:42nature?10:42A We didn't get venture capital funding.10:42Q Did you put together a business plan?10:42A We did not.10:42Q So you got VC, venture capital funding, with 10:42no business plan or prospective or any written10:42documentation?10:42A Well, you said that we create.10:42I the I don't know if we had any10:42written documentation. We might have had something.10:42Us, and I'm not sure if that was it might have10:42been it probably actually was after the VC funding.10:42U Okay. So there was a version of a Yahoo10:42A Approximately April/May of '95.10:42A I don't know.10:43Q Could you get it if you wanted to?10:43A I don't I don't know where it would be, so10:43it might exist somewhere, but I'm not sure if I could 10:43	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Yahoo's early business plan from March or April 1995, 10:43 and I'll be happy to send you a letter about it. 10:43 MS. DOAN: Thank you. 10:43 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Do you recall if there were 10:43 any other versions of the business plan as you got 10:43 additional venture capital funding for Yahoo? 10:43 A I don't know. We didn't really get much more 10:43 funding but 10:43 Q How much was the initial venture capital 10:43 investment in Yahoo? 10:44 A A million dollars. 10:44 Q And who was it from? 10:44 A Sequoia Capital. 10:44 G And there was no other venture capital 10:44 funding besides the 1 million from Sequoia? 10:44 A There was another there was another round 10:44 of funding, and I can't not I can't remember if 10:44 Q Okay. Who was involved in the second round 10:44 of funding? 10:44 A I know Reuters was. 10:44 Q Do you know how much they invested? 10:44

	Page 78		Page 79
1	investments in Yahoo? 10:44	1	Q Is it fair to say that that 25 percent 10:45
2	A There certainly were documents. I have no 10:44	2	ownership interest would be worth a lot more than a 10:45
3	idea if they still exist today. 10:44	3	million dollars today? 10:45
4	Q Do you know what ownership interest Sequoia 10:44	4	A Yes. 10:45
5	received in exchange for its million dollar 10:45	5	Q It would be worth more than a billion dollars 10:45
6	investment? 10:45	6	today; wouldn't it? 10:45
7	A 25 percent. 10:45	7	A Yes. 10:45
8	Q And you may have already told me this, but do 10:45	8	MR. BUDWIN: All right. 10:46
9	you recall when Sequoia made their million dollar 10:45	9	We'd request copies of documents showing 10:46
10	investment? 10:45	10	Sequoia and Reuters investments in Yahoo and the 10:46
11	A April of '95. 10:45	11	percent ownership that they received in exchange for 10:46
12	Q Okay. Do you know what percentage ownership 10:45	12	those investments, and I'll be happy to send it to you 10:46
13	Reuters received? 10:45	13	in a letter. 10:46
14	A I don't know. 10:45	14	MS. DOAN: Okay. 10:46
15	Q So it's your understanding that in April of 10:45	15	MR. BUDWIN: Q. Mr Mr. Filo, do you 10:46
16	1995, Sequoia invested a million dollars in Yahoo; is 10:45	16	believe in entrepreneurship? 10:46
17	that right? 10:45	17	A Yes. 10:46
18	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:45	18	Q Why? 10:46
19	THE WITNESS: April of '95, Sequoia invested 10:45	19	A I'm not sure what you mean by "believe in"; I 10:46
20	a million dollars, yes. 10:45	20	mean, it certainly exists, and more specifically, if 10:46
21	MR. BUDWIN: Q. And in exchange for the 10:45	21	you could ask the question. 10:46
22	million dollars that Sequoia invested in Yahoo in 10:45	22	Q Do you do you like entrepreneurial people, 10:46
23	April of 1995, they received a 25 percent ownership 10:45	23	people who take risks to start new companies or found 10:46
24	interest? 10:45	24	new ideas? 10:47
25	A That's correct. 10:45	25	A Do I like you're asking me if I like the 10:47
	Page 80		Page 81
1	people? 10:47	1	Page 81 certainly value it and think it's important. 10:48
1 2		1 2	
	people? 10:47		certainly value it and think it's important. 10:48
2	people? 10:47 Q Let me ask let me ask you a better 10:47	2	certainly value it and think it's important. 10:48 Q You don't have any of your own patents; do 10:48
2 3	people?10:47QLet me ask let me ask you a better10:47question.10:47	2 3	certainly value it and think it's important. 10:48 Q You don't have any of your own patents; do 10:48 you? 10:48
2 3 4	people?10:47QLet me ask let me ask you a better10:47question.10:47Have you heard the term "entrepreneur"?10:47	2 3 4	certainly value it and think it's important. 10:48 Q You don't have any of your own patents; do 10:48 you? 10:48 A I don't. 10:48
2 3 4 5	people?10:47QLet me ask let me ask you a better10:47question.10:47Have you heard the term "entrepreneur"?10:47AYes.10:47	2 3 4 5	certainly value it and think it's important.10:48QYou don't have any of your own patents; do10:48you?10:48AI don't.10:48QDo you have any negative beliefs or thoughts10:48related to the U.S. patent system?10:48MS. DOAN:Objection; form.10:48
2 3 4 5 6	people?10:47QLet me ask let me ask you a better10:47question.10:47Have you heard the term "entrepreneur"?10:47AYes.10:47QOkay. What does it mean to you?10:47	2 3 4 5 6	certainly value it and think it's important.10:48QYou don't have any of your own patents; do10:48you?10:48AI don't.10:48QDo you have any negative beliefs or thoughts10:48related to the U.S. patent system?10:48
2 3 4 5 6 7	people?10:47QLet me ask let me ask you a better10:47question.10:47Have you heard the term "entrepreneur"?10:47AYes.10:47QOkay. What does it mean to you?10:47AI think it's the idea of starting the10:47company.10:47QOkay. Do you do you believe in10:47	2 3 4 5 6 7	certainly value it and think it's important. 10:48 Q You don't have any of your own patents; do 10:48 you? 10:48 A I don't. 10:48 Q Do you have any negative beliefs or thoughts 10:48 related to the U.S. patent system? 10:48 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:48 THE WITNESS: Negative thoughts or beliefs in 10:48 the U.S. patent system. 10:48
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	people?10:47QLet me ask let me ask you a better10:47question.10:47Have you heard the term "entrepreneur"?10:47AYes.10:47QOkay. What does it mean to you?10:47AI think it's the idea of starting the10:47company.10:47QOkay. Do you do you believe in10:47entrepreneurs and entrepreneurship?10:47	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	certainly value it and think it's important. 10:48 Q You don't have any of your own patents; do 10:48 you? 10:48 A I don't. 10:48 Q Do you have any negative beliefs or thoughts 10:48 related to the U.S. patent system? 10:48 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:48 THE WITNESS: Negative thoughts or beliefs in 10:48 the U.S. patent system. 10:48 No, I'd say with with pretty much 10:48
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	people?10:47QLet me ask let me ask you a better10:47question.10:47Have you heard the term "entrepreneur"?10:47AYes.10:47QOkay. What does it mean to you?10:47AI think it's the idea of starting the10:47company.10:47QOkay. Do you do you believe in10:47	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	certainly value it and think it's important. 10:48 Q You don't have any of your own patents; do 10:48 you? 10:48 A I don't. 10:48 Q Do you have any negative beliefs or thoughts 10:48 related to the U.S. patent system? 10:48 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:48 THE WITNESS: Negative thoughts or beliefs in 10:48 the U.S. patent system. 10:48 No, I'd say with with pretty much 10:48 anything, there's always ways to improve the system. 10:49
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	people?10:47QLet me ask let me ask you a better10:47question.10:47Have you heard the term "entrepreneur"?10:47AYes.10:47QOkay. What does it mean to you?10:47AI think it's the idea of starting the10:47company.10:47QOkay. Do you do you believe in10:47entrepreneurs and entrepreneurship?10:47AI'm not sure what you mean by believe. They10:47exist.10:47	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	certainly value it and think it's important. 10:48 Q You don't have any of your own patents; do 10:48 you? 10:48 A I don't. 10:48 Q Do you have any negative beliefs or thoughts 10:48 related to the U.S. patent system? 10:48 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:48 THE WITNESS: Negative thoughts or beliefs in 10:48 the U.S. patent system. 10:48 No, I'd say with with pretty much 10:48 anything, there's always ways to improve the system. 10:49
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	people?10:47QLet me ask let me ask you a better10:47question.10:47Have you heard the term "entrepreneur"?10:47AYes.10:47QOkay. What does it mean to you?10:47AI think it's the idea of starting the10:47company.10:47QOkay. Do you do you believe in10:47entrepreneurs and entrepreneurship?10:47AI'm not sure what you mean by believe. They10:47exist.10:47QI'm not asking if you believe in the sense10:47	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	certainly value it and think it's important. 10:48 Q You don't have any of your own patents; do 10:48 you? 10:48 A I don't. 10:48 Q Do you have any negative beliefs or thoughts 10:48 related to the U.S. patent system? 10:48 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:48 THE WITNESS: Negative thoughts or beliefs in 10:48 the U.S. patent system. 10:48 No, I'd say with with pretty much 10:48 anything, there's always ways to improve the system. 10:49 I'm sure there are ways to improve it, but I guess if 10:49 you have more specific questions. 10:49
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	people?10:47QLet me ask let me ask you a better10:47question.10:47Have you heard the term "entrepreneur"?10:47AYes.10:47QOkay. What does it mean to you?10:47AI think it's the idea of starting the10:47company.10:47QOkay. Do you do you believe in10:47entrepreneurs and entrepreneurship?10:47AI'm not sure what you mean by believe. They10:47exist.10:47QI'm not asking if you believe in the sense10:47does Santa Clause exist.10:47	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	certainly value it and think it's important. 10:48 Q You don't have any of your own patents; do 10:48 you? 10:48 A I don't. 10:48 Q Do you have any negative beliefs or thoughts 10:48 related to the U.S. patent system? 10:48 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:48 THE WITNESS: Negative thoughts or beliefs in 10:48 the U.S. patent system. 10:48 No, I'd say with with pretty much 10:48 anything, there's always ways to improve the system. 10:49 I'm sure there are ways to improve it, but I guess if 10:49 you have more specific questions. 10:49 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Do you think that patents 10:49
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	people?10:47QLet me ask let me ask you a better10:47question.10:47Have you heard the term "entrepreneur"?10:47AYes.10:47QOkay. What does it mean to you?10:47AI think it's the idea of starting the10:47company.10:47QOkay. Do you do you believe in10:47entrepreneurs and entrepreneurship?10:47AI'm not sure what you mean by believe. They10:47exist.10:47QI'm not asking if you believe in the sense10:47does Santa Clause exist.10:47I'm asking you, do you think it's a good10:47	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	certainly value it and think it's important. 10:48 Q You don't have any of your own patents; do 10:48 you? 10:48 A I don't. 10:48 Q Do you have any negative beliefs or thoughts 10:48 related to the U.S. patent system? 10:48 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:48 THE WITNESS: Negative thoughts or beliefs in 10:48 the U.S. patent system. 10:48 No, I'd say with with pretty much 10:48 anything, there's always ways to improve the system. 10:49 I'm sure there are ways to improve it, but I guess if 10:49 you have more specific questions. 10:49 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Do you think that patents 10:49 are bad for the United States? 10:49
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	people?10:47QLet me ask let me ask you a better10:47question.10:47Have you heard the term "entrepreneur"?10:47AYes.10:47QOkay. What does it mean to you?10:47AI think it's the idea of starting the10:47company.10:47QOkay. Do you do you believe in10:47entrepreneurs and entrepreneurship?10:47AI'm not sure what you mean by believe. They10:47exist.10:47QI'm not asking if you believe in the sense10:47does Santa Clause exist.10:47I'm asking you, do you think it's a good10:47thing to encourage entrepreneurs and entrepreneurship?10:47	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	certainly value it and think it's important. 10:48 Q You don't have any of your own patents; do 10:48 you? 10:48 A I don't. 10:48 Q Do you have any negative beliefs or thoughts 10:48 related to the U.S. patent system? 10:48 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:48 THE WITNESS: Negative thoughts or beliefs in 10:48 the U.S. patent system. 10:48 No, I'd say with with pretty much 10:48 anything, there's always ways to improve the system. 10:49 I'm sure there are ways to improve it, but I guess if 10:49 you have more specific questions. 10:49 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Do you think that patents 10:49 are bad for the United States? 10:49 A In general, no. 10:49
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	people?10:47QLet me ask let me ask you a better10:47question.10:47Have you heard the term "entrepreneur"?10:47AYes.10:47QOkay. What does it mean to you?10:47AI think it's the idea of starting the10:47company.10:47QOkay. Do you do you believe in10:47entrepreneurs and entrepreneurship?10:47AI'm not sure what you mean by believe. They10:47exist.10:47QI'm not asking if you believe in the sense10:47does Santa Clause exist.10:47I'm asking you, do you think it's a good10:47thing to encourage entrepreneurs and entrepreneurship?10:47MS. DOAN: Objection; form.10:47	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	certainly value it and think it's important. 10:48 Q You don't have any of your own patents; do 10:48 you? 10:48 A I don't. 10:48 Q Do you have any negative beliefs or thoughts 10:48 related to the U.S. patent system? 10:48 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:48 THE WITNESS: Negative thoughts or beliefs in 10:48 the U.S. patent system. 10:48 No, I'd say with with pretty much 10:48 anything, there's always ways to improve the system. 10:49 I'm sure there are ways to improve it, but I guess if 10:49 you have more specific questions. 10:49 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Do you think that patents 10:49 are bad for the United States? 10:49 Q Do you think that there are bad patents? 10:49
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	people?10:47QLet me ask let me ask you a better10:47question.10:47Have you heard the term "entrepreneur"?10:47AYes.10:47QOkay. What does it mean to you?10:47AI think it's the idea of starting the10:47company.10:47QOkay. Do you do you believe in10:47entrepreneurs and entrepreneurship?10:47AI'm not sure what you mean by believe. They10:47exist.10:47QI'm not asking if you believe in the sense10:47does Santa Clause exist.10:47I'm asking you, do you think it's a good10:47thing to encourage entrepreneurs and entrepreneurship?10:47MS. DOAN: Objection; form.10:47THE WITNESS: Yes, I mean, I think I think 10:47	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	certainly value it and think it's important. 10:48 Q You don't have any of your own patents; do 10:48 you? 10:48 A I don't. 10:48 Q Do you have any negative beliefs or thoughts 10:48 related to the U.S. patent system? 10:48 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:48 THE WITNESS: Negative thoughts or beliefs in 10:48 the U.S. patent system. 10:48 No, I'd say with with pretty much 10:48 anything, there's always ways to improve the system. 10:49 I'm sure there are ways to improve the system. 10:49 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Do you think that patents 10:49 are bad for the United States? 10:49 Q Do you think that there are bad patents? 10:49 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:49
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	people?10:47QLet me ask let me ask you a better10:47question.10:47Have you heard the term "entrepreneur"?10:47AYes.10:47QOkay. What does it mean to you?10:47AI think it's the idea of starting the10:47company.10:47QOkay. Do you do you believe in10:47entrepreneurs and entrepreneurship?10:47AI'm not sure what you mean by believe. They10:47exist.10:47QI'm not asking if you believe in the sense10:47does Santa Clause exist.10:47I'm asking you, do you think it's a good10:47thing to encourage entrepreneurs and entrepreneurship?10:47MS. DOAN: Objection; form.10:47THE WITNESS: Yes, I mean, I think I think 10:47it's good for people to start companies, so yes.10:47	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	certainly value it and think it's important. 10:48 Q You don't have any of your own patents; do 10:48 you? 10:48 A I don't. 10:48 Q Do you have any negative beliefs or thoughts 10:48 related to the U.S. patent system? 10:48 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:48 THE WITNESS: Negative thoughts or beliefs in 10:48 the U.S. patent system. 10:48 No, I'd say with with pretty much 10:48 anything, there's always ways to improve the system. 10:49 I'm sure there are ways to improve it, but I guess if 10:49 you have more specific questions. 10:49 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Do you think that patents 10:49 are bad for the United States? 10:49 A In general, no. 10:49 Q Do you think that there are bad patents? 10:49 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:49 THE WITNESS: I'm not sure what you mean by 10:49
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	people?10:47QLet me ask let me ask you a better10:47question.10:47Have you heard the term "entrepreneur"?10:47AYes.10:47QOkay. What does it mean to you?10:47AI think it's the idea of starting the10:47company.10:47QOkay. Do you do you believe in10:47entrepreneurs and entrepreneurship?10:47AI'm not sure what you mean by believe. They10:47exist.10:47QI'm not asking if you believe in the sense10:47does Santa Clause exist.10:47I'm asking you, do you think it's a good10:47thing to encourage entrepreneurs and entrepreneurship?10:47MS. DOAN: Objection; form.10:47THE WITNESS: Yes, I mean, I think I think10:47it's good for people to start companies, so yes.10:47MR. BUDWIN: Q. How do you feel about10:47	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	certainly value it and think it's important. 10:48 Q You don't have any of your own patents; do 10:48 you? 10:48 A I don't. 10:48 Q Do you have any negative beliefs or thoughts 10:48 related to the U.S. patent system? 10:48 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:48 THE WITNESS: Negative thoughts or beliefs in 10:48 the U.S. patent system. 10:48 No, I'd say with with pretty much 10:48 anything, there's always ways to improve the system. 10:49 I'm sure there are ways to improve it, but I guess if 10:49 you have more specific questions. 10:49 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Do you think that patents 10:49 are bad for the United States? 10:49 A In general, no. 10:49 Q Do you think that there are bad patents? 10:49 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:49 THE WITNESS: I'm not sure what you mean by 10:49 that. 10:49
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	people?10:47QLet me ask let me ask you a better10:47question.10:47Have you heard the term "entrepreneur"?10:47AYes.10:47QOkay. What does it mean to you?10:47AI think it's the idea of starting the10:47company.10:47QOkay. Do you do you believe in10:47entrepreneurs and entrepreneurship?10:47exist.10:47QI'm not sure what you mean by believe. They10:47exist.10:47QI'm not asking if you believe in the sense10:47does Santa Clause exist.10:47I'm asking you, do you think it's a good10:47thing to encourage entrepreneurs and entrepreneurship? 10:47MS. DOAN: Objection; form.10:47THE WITNESS: Yes, I mean, I think I think 10:47it's good for people to start companies, so yes.10:47MR. BUDWIN: Q. How do you feel about10:47patents?10:48	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	certainly value it and think it's important. 10:48 Q You don't have any of your own patents; do 10:48 you? 10:48 A I don't. 10:48 Q Do you have any negative beliefs or thoughts 10:48 related to the U.S. patent system? 10:48 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:48 THE WITNESS: Negative thoughts or beliefs in 10:48 the U.S. patent system. 10:48 No, I'd say with with pretty much 10:48 anything, there's always ways to improve the system. 10:49 I'm sure there are ways to improve it, but I guess if 10:49 you have more specific questions. 10:49 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Do you think that patents 10:49 are bad for the United States? 10:49 Q Do you think that there are bad patents? 10:49 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:49 THE WITNESS: I'm not sure what you mean by 10:49 that. 10:49 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Do you think that the patent 10:49 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Do you think that the patent 10:49
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	people?10:47QLet me ask let me ask you a better10:47question.10:47Have you heard the term "entrepreneur"?10:47AYes.10:47QOkay. What does it mean to you?10:47AI think it's the idea of starting the10:47company.10:47QOkay. Do you do you believe in10:47entrepreneurs and entrepreneurship?10:47AI'm not sure what you mean by believe. They10:47exist.10:47QI'm not asking if you believe in the sense10:47does Santa Clause exist.10:47I'm asking you, do you think it's a good10:47thing to encourage entrepreneurs and entrepreneurship?10:47MS. DOAN: Objection; form.10:47THE WITNESS: Yes, I mean, I think I think 10:47it's good for people to start companies, so yes.10:47MR. BUDWIN: Q. How do you feel about10:47patents?10:48AI think patents are I think, in general, 10:48	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	certainly value it and think it's important. 10:48 Q You don't have any of your own patents; do 10:48 you? 10:48 A I don't. 10:48 Q Do you have any negative beliefs or thoughts 10:48 related to the U.S. patent system? 10:48 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:48 THE WITNESS: Negative thoughts or beliefs in 10:48 the U.S. patent system. 10:48 No, I'd say with with pretty much 10:48 anything, there's always ways to improve the system. 10:49 I'm sure there are ways to improve it, but I guess if 10:49 you have more specific questions. 10:49 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Do you think that patents 10:49 are bad for the United States? 10:49 Q Do you think that there are bad patents? 10:49 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:49 THE WITNESS: I'm not sure what you mean by 10:49 that. 10:49 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Do you think that the patent 10:49 office does a good job or a bad job? 10:49
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	people?10:47QLet me ask let me ask you a better10:47question.10:47Have you heard the term "entrepreneur"?10:47AYes.10:47QOkay. What does it mean to you?10:47AI think it's the idea of starting the10:47QOkay. What does it mean to you?10:47AI think it's the idea of starting the10:47company.10:47QOkay. Do you do you believe in10:47entrepreneurs and entrepreneurship?10:47AI'm not sure what you mean by believe. They10:47exist.10:47QI'm not asking if you believe in the sense10:47does Santa Clause exist.10:47I'm asking you, do you think it's a good10:47thing to encourage entrepreneurs and entrepreneurship?10:47MS. DOAN: Objection; form.10:47THE WITNESS: Yes, I mean, I think I think 10:47it's good for people to start companies, so yes.10:47MR. BUDWIN: Q. How do you feel about10:47patents?10:48AI think patents are I think, in general, 10:48IP is an important issue in I think certainly at10:48	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	certainly value it and think it's important. 10:48 Q You don't have any of your own patents; do 10:48 you? 10:48 A I don't. 10:48 Q Do you have any negative beliefs or thoughts 10:48 related to the U.S. patent system? 10:48 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:48 THE WITNESS: Negative thoughts or beliefs in 10:48 the U.S. patent system. 10:48 No, I'd say with with pretty much 10:48 anything, there's always ways to improve the system. 10:49 I'm sure there are ways to improve the system. 10:49 WR. BUDWIN: Q. Do you think that patents 10:49 are bad for the United States? 10:49 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:49 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Do you think that the patent 10:49 office does a good job or a bad job? 10:49 A Again, that's a very general statement, so 10:49
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	people?10:47QLet me ask let me ask you a better10:47question.10:47Have you heard the term "entrepreneur"?10:47AYes.10:47QOkay. What does it mean to you?10:47AI think it's the idea of starting the10:47company.10:47QOkay. Do you do you believe in10:47entrepreneurs and entrepreneurship?10:47AI'm not sure what you mean by believe. They10:47exist.10:47QI'm not asking if you believe in the sense10:47does Santa Clause exist.10:47I'm asking you, do you think it's a good10:47thing to encourage entrepreneurs and entrepreneurship?10:47MS. DOAN: Objection; form.10:47THE WITNESS: Yes, I mean, I think I think 10:47it's good for people to start companies, so yes.10:47MR. BUDWIN: Q. How do you feel about10:47patents?10:48AI think patents are I think, in general, 10:48	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	certainly value it and think it's important. 10:48 Q You don't have any of your own patents; do 10:48 you? 10:48 A I don't. 10:48 Q Do you have any negative beliefs or thoughts 10:48 related to the U.S. patent system? 10:48 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:48 THE WITNESS: Negative thoughts or beliefs in 10:48 the U.S. patent system. 10:48 No, I'd say with with pretty much 10:48 anything, there's always ways to improve the system. 10:49 I'm sure there are ways to improve it, but I guess if 10:49 you have more specific questions. 10:49 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Do you think that patents 10:49 are bad for the United States? 10:49 Q Do you think that there are bad patents? 10:49 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:49 THE WITNESS: I'm not sure what you mean by 10:49 that. 10:49 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Do you think that the patent 10:49 office does a good job or a bad job? 10:49

Г

	Page 82		Page 83
1	THE WITNESS: I don't know. I mean, it's 10:49	1	Q In the interim between when Ms. Bartz left 10:50
2	if you want to ask me about a specific question, I'm 10:49	2	and the new CEO came in, was there any interim group 10:50
3	happy to answer that, but to make such 10:49	3	that was running the company? 10:50
4	generalizations 10:49	4	A Well, the new CEO was named immediately. 10:51
5	MR. BUDWIN: Q. You don't have you don't 10:49	5	Q So you never heard the term "interim counsel" 10:51
6	have a general opinion on the United States Patent 10:49	6	or "governing counsel" used with respect to Yahoo? 10:51
7	Office one way or the other? 10:50	7	A I'm not sure. There was some term. There is 10:51
8	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:50	8	a there is/was, actually, mostly was, a group of 10:51
9	THE WITNESS: No. I think that, again, with 10:50	9	the CEO staff essentially that was named by the board 10:51
10	any organization, you can always look at some 10:50	10	to come and be the interface between the company and 10:51
11	organization and think of look at ways that it can 10:50	11	the board, along but really being led by the CEO. 10:51
12	be improved, but I'm not really prepared to make a 10:50	12	Q I'm going to hand you some documents which 10:51
13	general statement about it. 10:50	13	I'm sure you've seen before and recently, and we 10:51
14	MR. BUDWIN: Q. Now, Yahoo just formed 10:50	14	can just go ahead and mark them. 10:51
15	something called an interim counsel; is that right? 10:50	15	(Document marked Exhibit 2 10:51
16	A Interim counsel. I'm not 10:50	16	for identification.) 10:51
17	Q Okay. Yahoo just had its CEO leave; right? 10:50	17	MR. BUDWIN: So I've just handed you a 10:51
18	A Correct. 10:50	18	document which has been marked Exhibit No. 2 10:52
19	Q What was what was her name? 10:50	19	production No. Yahoo-E02290323. It's an e-mail dated 10:52
20	A Carol Bartz. 10:50	20	August 21st, 1995. 10:52
21	Q Okay. And after Ms. Bartz left, did you form 10:50	21	Q Mr. Filo, have you seen the document in 10:52
22	any type of leadership committee to run the company in 10:50	22	Exhibit 2 before today? 10:52
23	her absence? 10:50	23	A I believe so. 10:52
24	A Yes; the board well, the board. I mean, 10:50	24	Q Okay. And did you see it preparing for your 10:52
25	there's there's a new CEO in place today. 10:50	25	deposition? 10:52
	Page 84		-
			Page 00
1	A Yes. 10:52	1	Page 85 O Mr. Filo, have you seen the document 10:53
1 2		1	Q Mr. Filo, have you seen the document 10:53
	Q Now, you, in fact, subscribed to the e-mail 10:52	2	QMr. Filo, have you seen the document10:53Exhibit 3 before?10:53
2 3	QNow, you, in fact, subscribed to the e-mail10:52address listed here grand-unification-theory in10:52		QMr. Filo, have you seen the document10:53Exhibit 3 before?10:53AI believe so.10:53
2	QNow, you, in fact, subscribed to the e-mail10:52address listed here grand-unification-theory in10:52August of 1995; did you not?10:52	2 3	QMr. Filo, have you seen the document10:53Exhibit 3 before?10:53AI believe so.10:53QDid you see it preparing for the deposition10:53
2 3 4	QNow, you, in fact, subscribed to the e-mail10:52address listed here grand-unification-theory in10:52August of 1995; did you not?10:52AI believe I was, yes.10:52	2 3 4	QMr. Filo, have you seen the document10:53Exhibit 3 before?10:53AI believe so.10:53QDid you see it preparing for the deposition10:53
2 3 4 5	QNow, you, in fact, subscribed to the e-mail10:52address listed here grand-unification-theory in10:52August of 1995; did you not?10:52AI believe I was, yes.10:52QAnd you don't dispute that you, in fact,10:52	2 3 4 5	QMr. Filo, have you seen the document10:53Exhibit 3 before?10:53AI believe so.10:53QDid you see it preparing for the deposition10:53today?10:54AYes.10:54
2 3 4 5 6	QNow, you, in fact, subscribed to the e-mail10:52address listed here grand-unification-theory in10:52August of 1995; did you not?10:52AI believe I was, yes.10:52QAnd you don't dispute that you, in fact,10:52	2 3 4 5 6	QMr. Filo, have you seen the document10:53Exhibit 3 before?10:53AI believe so.10:53QDid you see it preparing for the deposition10:53today?10:54AYes.10:54QOkay. And as was true with Exhibit 2, you10:54
2 3 4 5 6 7	QNow, you, in fact, subscribed to the e-mail10:52address listed here grand-unification-theory in10:52August of 1995; did you not?10:52AI believe I was, yes.10:52QAnd you don't dispute that you, in fact,10:52received the document in Exhibit 2 on August 21st,10:52	2 3 4 5 6 7	QMr. Filo, have you seen the document10:53Exhibit 3 before?10:53AI believe so.10:53QDid you see it preparing for the deposition10:53today?10:54AYes.10:54QOkay. And as was true with Exhibit 2, you10:54don't dispute that you were, in fact, subscribed to10:54
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	QNow, you, in fact, subscribed to the e-mail10:52address listed here grand-unification-theory in10:52August of 1995; did you not?10:52AI believe I was, yes.10:52QAnd you don't dispute that you, in fact,10:52received the document in Exhibit 2 on August 21st,10:521995; do you?10:52	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	QMr. Filo, have you seen the document10:53Exhibit 3 before?10:53AI believe so.10:53QDid you see it preparing for the deposition10:53today?10:54AYes.10:54QOkay. And as was true with Exhibit 2, you10:54don't dispute that you were, in fact, subscribed to10:54
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	QNow, you, in fact, subscribed to the e-mail10:52address listed here grand-unification-theory in10:52August of 1995; did you not?10:52AI believe I was, yes.10:52QAnd you don't dispute that you, in fact,10:52received the document in Exhibit 2 on August 21st,10:521995; do you?10:52AI believe it was in my in box in terms of you10:52said did I receive it. I mean, it was in my in box.10:52	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	QMr. Filo, have you seen the document10:53Exhibit 3 before?10:53AI believe so.10:53QDid you see it preparing for the deposition10:53today?10:54AYes.10:54QOkay. And as was true with Exhibit 2, you10:54don't dispute that you were, in fact, subscribed to10:54the grand-unification-theory e-mail list in August10:54
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	QNow, you, in fact, subscribed to the e-mail10:52address listed here grand-unification-theory in10:52August of 1995; did you not?10:52AI believe I was, yes.10:52QAnd you don't dispute that you, in fact,10:52received the document in Exhibit 2 on August 21st,10:521995; do you?10:52AI believe it was in my in box in terms of you10:52said did I receive it. I mean, it was in my in box.10:52QOkay. So you don't dispute that the document10:52	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	QMr. Filo, have you seen the document10:53Exhibit 3 before?10:53AI believe so.10:53QDid you see it preparing for the deposition10:53today?10:54AYes.10:54QOkay. And as was true with Exhibit 2, you10:54don't dispute that you were, in fact, subscribed to10:54the grand-unification-theory e-mail list in August10:541995?10:54
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q Now, you, in fact, subscribed to the e-mail 10:52 address listed here grand-unification-theory in 10:52 August of 1995; did you not? 10:52 A I believe I was, yes. 10:52 Q And you don't dispute that you, in fact, 10:52 received the document in Exhibit 2 on August 21st, 10:52 1995; do you? 10:52 A I believe it was in my in box in terms of you 10:52 said did I receive it. I mean, it was in my in box. 10:52 Q Okay. So you don't dispute that the document 10:52	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	QMr. Filo, have you seen the document10:53Exhibit 3 before?10:53AI believe so.10:53QDid you see it preparing for the deposition10:53today?10:54AYes.10:54QOkay. And as was true with Exhibit 2, you10:54don't dispute that you were, in fact, subscribed to10:54the grand-unification-theory e-mail list in August10:541995?10:54ANo.10:54
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q Now, you, in fact, subscribed to the e-mail 10:52 address listed here grand-unification-theory in 10:52 August of 1995; did you not? 10:52 A I believe I was, yes. 10:52 Q And you don't dispute that you, in fact, 10:52 received the document in Exhibit 2 on August 21st, 10:52 1995; do you? 10:52 A I believe it was in my in box in terms of you 10:52 said did I receive it. I mean, it was in my in box. 10:52 Q Okay. So you don't dispute that the document 10:52 in Exhibit 2, the e-mail from Wendell Craig Baker, was 10:53	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	QMr. Filo, have you seen the document10:53Exhibit 3 before?10:53AI believe so.10:53QDid you see it preparing for the deposition10:53today?10:54AYes.10:54QOkay. And as was true with Exhibit 2, you10:54don't dispute that you were, in fact, subscribed to10:54the grand-unification-theory e-mail list in August10:541995?10:54ANo.10:54QAnd you don't dispute the document in10:54
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q Now, you, in fact, subscribed to the e-mail 10:52 address listed here grand-unification-theory in 10:52 August of 1995; did you not? 10:52 A I believe I was, yes. 10:52 Q And you don't dispute that you, in fact, 10:52 received the document in Exhibit 2 on August 21st, 10:52 1995; do you? 10:52 A I believe it was in my in box in terms of you 10:52 said did I receive it. I mean, it was in my in box. 10:52 Q Okay. So you don't dispute that the document 10:52 in Exhibit 2, the e-mail from Wendell Craig Baker, was 10:53 in your e-mail in box on August 21st of 1995? 10:53	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	QMr. Filo, have you seen the document10:53Exhibit 3 before?10:53AI believe so.10:53QDid you see it preparing for the deposition10:53today?10:54AYes.10:54QOkay. And as was true with Exhibit 2, you10:54don't dispute that you were, in fact, subscribed to10:54the grand-unification-theory e-mail list in August10:541995?10:54ANo.10:54QAnd you don't dispute the document in10:54Exhibit 3 was, in fact, received by you on August 21st 10:54
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q Now, you, in fact, subscribed to the e-mail 10:52 address listed here grand-unification-theory in 10:52 August of 1995; did you not? 10:52 A I believe I was, yes. 10:52 Q And you don't dispute that you, in fact, 10:52 received the document in Exhibit 2 on August 21st, 10:52 1995; do you? 10:52 A I believe it was in my in box in terms of you 10:52 said did I receive it. I mean, it was in my in box. 10:52 Q Okay. So you don't dispute that the document 10:52 in Exhibit 2, the e-mail from Wendell Craig Baker, was 10:53 in your e-mail in box on August 21st of 1995? 10:53 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:53	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	QMr. Filo, have you seen the document10:53Exhibit 3 before?10:53AI believe so.10:53QDid you see it preparing for the deposition10:53today?10:54AYes.10:54QOkay. And as was true with Exhibit 2, you10:54don't dispute that you were, in fact, subscribed to10:54the grand-unification-theory e-mail list in August10:541995?10:54ANo.10:54QAnd you don't dispute the document in10:54Exhibit 3 was, in fact, received by you on August 21st 10:5410:54of 1995?10:5410:54
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q Now, you, in fact, subscribed to the e-mail 10:52 address listed here grand-unification-theory in 10:52 August of 1995; did you not? 10:52 A I believe I was, yes. 10:52 Q And you don't dispute that you, in fact, 10:52 received the document in Exhibit 2 on August 21st, 10:52 1995; do you? 10:52 A I believe it was in my in box in terms of you 10:52 said did I receive it. I mean, it was in my in box. 10:52 Q Okay. So you don't dispute that the document 10:52 in Exhibit 2, the e-mail from Wendell Craig Baker, was 10:53 in your e-mail in box on August 21st of 1995? 10:53 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:53 THE WITNESS: I I don't dispute that it 10:53	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	QMr. Filo, have you seen the document10:53Exhibit 3 before?10:53AI believe so.10:53QDid you see it preparing for the deposition10:53today?10:54AYes.10:54QOkay. And as was true with Exhibit 2, you10:54don't dispute that you were, in fact, subscribed to10:54the grand-unification-theory e-mail list in August10:541995?10:54ANo.10:54QAnd you don't dispute the document in10:54Exhibit 3 was, in fact, received by you on August 21st 10:54AI don't dispute that it was in my in box.10:54
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q Now, you, in fact, subscribed to the e-mail 10:52 address listed here grand-unification-theory in 10:52 August of 1995; did you not? 10:52 A I believe I was, yes. 10:52 Q And you don't dispute that you, in fact, 10:52 received the document in Exhibit 2 on August 21st, 10:52 1995; do you? 10:52 A I believe it was in my in box in terms of you 10:52 said did I receive it. I mean, it was in my in box. 10:52 Q Okay. So you don't dispute that the document 10:52 in Exhibit 2, the e-mail from Wendell Craig Baker, was 10:53 in your e-mail in box on August 21st of 1995? 10:53 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:53 THE WITNESS: I I don't dispute that it 10:53 was in my in box. 10:53	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	QMr. Filo, have you seen the document10:53Exhibit 3 before?10:53AI believe so.10:53QDid you see it preparing for the deposition10:53today?10:54AYes.10:54QOkay. And as was true with Exhibit 2, you10:54don't dispute that you were, in fact, subscribed to10:54the grand-unification-theory e-mail list in August10:541995?10:54QAnd you don't dispute the document in10:54Exhibit 3 was, in fact, received by you on August 21st 10:54of 1995?10:54AI don't dispute that it was in my in box.10:54QAll right.10:54
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q Now, you, in fact, subscribed to the e-mail 10:52 address listed here grand-unification-theory in 10:52 August of 1995; did you not? 10:52 A I believe I was, yes. 10:52 Q And you don't dispute that you, in fact, 10:52 received the document in Exhibit 2 on August 21st, 10:52 1995; do you? 10:52 A I believe it was in my in box in terms of you 10:52 said did I receive it. I mean, it was in my in box. 10:52 Q Okay. So you don't dispute that the document 10:52 in Exhibit 2, the e-mail from Wendell Craig Baker, was 10:53 in your e-mail in box on August 21st of 1995? 10:53 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:53 THE WITNESS: I I don't dispute that it 10:53 was in my in box. 10:53 MR. BUDWIN: Okay. You can set that aside. 10:53	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	QMr. Filo, have you seen the document10:53Exhibit 3 before?10:53AI believe so.10:53QDid you see it preparing for the deposition10:53today?10:54AYes.10:54QOkay. And as was true with Exhibit 2, you10:54don't dispute that you were, in fact, subscribed to10:54the grand-unification-theory e-mail list in August10:541995?10:54QAnd you don't dispute the document in10:54Exhibit 3 was, in fact, received by you on August 21st10:54of 1995?10:54AI don't dispute that it was in my in box.10:54QAll right.10:54So you don't dispute that the document in10:54
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q Now, you, in fact, subscribed to the e-mail 10:52 address listed here grand-unification-theory in 10:52 August of 1995; did you not? 10:52 A I believe I was, yes. 10:52 Q And you don't dispute that you, in fact, 10:52 received the document in Exhibit 2 on August 21st, 10:52 1995; do you? 10:52 A I believe it was in my in box in terms of you 10:52 said did I receive it. I mean, it was in my in box. 10:52 Q Okay. So you don't dispute that the document 10:52 in Exhibit 2, the e-mail from Wendell Craig Baker, was 10:53 in your e-mail in box on August 21st of 1995? 10:53 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:53 THE WITNESS: I I don't dispute that it 10:53 was in my in box. 10:53 I'm handing you a document which will be 10:53	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	QMr. Filo, have you seen the document10:53Exhibit 3 before?10:53AI believe so.10:53QDid you see it preparing for the deposition10:53today?10:54AYes.10:54QOkay. And as was true with Exhibit 2, you10:54don't dispute that you were, in fact, subscribed to10:54the grand-unification-theory e-mail list in August10:541995?10:54QAnd you don't dispute the document in10:54Exhibit 3 was, in fact, received by you on August 21st10:54of 1995?10:54AI don't dispute that it was in my in box.10:54QAll right.10:54So you don't dispute that the document in10:54Exhibit 3 was in your e-mail in box on August 21st of10:54
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q Now, you, in fact, subscribed to the e-mail 10:52 address listed here grand-unification-theory in 10:52 August of 1995; did you not? 10:52 A I believe I was, yes. 10:52 Q And you don't dispute that you, in fact, 10:52 received the document in Exhibit 2 on August 21st, 10:52 1995; do you? 10:52 A I believe it was in my in box in terms of you 10:52 said did I receive it. I mean, it was in my in box. 10:52 Q Okay. So you don't dispute that the document 10:52 in Exhibit 2, the e-mail from Wendell Craig Baker, was 10:53 in your e-mail in box on August 21st of 1995? 10:53 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:53 THE WITNESS: I I don't dispute that it 10:53 was in my in box. 10:53 MR. BUDWIN: Okay. You can set that aside. 10:53 I'm handing you a document which will be 10:53 marked as Exhibit 3. 10:53	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	QMr. Filo, have you seen the document10:53Exhibit 3 before?10:53AI believe so.10:53QDid you see it preparing for the deposition10:53today?10:54AYes.10:54QOkay. And as was true with Exhibit 2, you10:54don't dispute that you were, in fact, subscribed to10:54the grand-unification-theory e-mail list in August10:541995?10:54QAnd you don't dispute the document in10:54Exhibit 3 was, in fact, received by you on August 21st10:54of 1995?10:54AI don't dispute that it was in my in box.10:54QAll right.10:54So you don't dispute that the document in10:54Exhibit 3 was in your e-mail lin box on August 21st of 10:5410:54Exhibit 3 was in your e-mail in box on August 21st of 10:5410:54Exhibit 3 was in your e-mail in box on August 21st of 10:5410:54Exhibit 3 was in your e-mail in box on August 21st of 10:5410:54Exhibit 3 was in your e-mail in box on August 21st of 10:5410:54Exhibit 3 was in your e-mail in box on August 21st of 10:5410:54Exhibit 3 was in your e-mail in box on August 21st of 10:5410:54Exhibit 3 was in your e-mail in box on August 21st of 10:5410:54Exhibit 3 was in your e-mail in box on August 21st of 10:5410:54Exhibit 3 was in your e-mail in box on August 21st of 10:5410:54Exhibit 3 was in your e-mail in box on August 21st of 10:541
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q Now, you, in fact, subscribed to the e-mail 10:52 address listed here grand-unification-theory in 10:52 August of 1995; did you not? 10:52 A I believe I was, yes. 10:52 Q And you don't dispute that you, in fact, 10:52 received the document in Exhibit 2 on August 21st, 10:52 1995; do you? 10:52 A I believe it was in my in box in terms of you 10:52 said did I receive it. I mean, it was in my in box. 10:52 Q Okay. So you don't dispute that the document 10:52 in Exhibit 2, the e-mail from Wendell Craig Baker, was 10:53 in your e-mail in box on August 21st of 1995? 10:53 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:53 THE WITNESS: I I don't dispute that it 10:53 was in my in box. 10:53 MR. BUDWIN: Okay. You can set that aside. 10:53 I'm handing you a document which will be 10:53 marked as Exhibit 3. 10:53	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	QMr. Filo, have you seen the document10:53Exhibit 3 before?10:53AI believe so.10:53QDid you see it preparing for the deposition10:53today?10:54AYes.10:54QOkay. And as was true with Exhibit 2, you10:54don't dispute that you were, in fact, subscribed to10:54the grand-unification-theory e-mail list in August10:541995?10:54ANo.10:54QAnd you don't dispute the document in10:54Exhibit 3 was, in fact, received by you on August 21st 10:54of 1995?10:54AI don't dispute that it was in my in box.10:54QAll right.10:54So you don't dispute that the document in10:54Exhibit 3 was in your e-mail in box on August 21st of10:54ANo.10:54ANo.10:54
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q Now, you, in fact, subscribed to the e-mail 10:52 address listed here grand-unification-theory in 10:52 August of 1995; did you not? 10:52 A I believe I was, yes. 10:52 Q And you don't dispute that you, in fact, 10:52 received the document in Exhibit 2 on August 21st, 10:52 1995; do you? 10:52 A I believe it was in my in box in terms of you 10:52 said did I receive it. I mean, it was in my in box. 10:52 Q Okay. So you don't dispute that the document 10:52 in Exhibit 2, the e-mail from Wendell Craig Baker, was 10:53 in your e-mail in box on August 21st of 1995? 10:53 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:53 THE WITNESS: I I don't dispute that it 10:53 was in my in box. 10:53 MR. BUDWIN: Okay. You can set that aside. 10:53 I'm handing you a document which will be 10:53 marked as Exhibit 3. 10:53 (Document marked Exhibit 3 10:53 for identification.) 10:53	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	QMr. Filo, have you seen the document10:53Exhibit 3 before?10:53AI believe so.10:53QDid you see it preparing for the deposition10:53today?10:54AYes.10:54QOkay. And as was true with Exhibit 2, you10:54don't dispute that you were, in fact, subscribed to10:54the grand-unification-theory e-mail list in August10:541995?10:54ANo.10:54QAnd you don't dispute the document in10:54exhibit 3 was, in fact, received by you on August 21st 10:54of 1995?10:54AI don't dispute that it was in my in box.10:54QAll right.10:54So you don't dispute that the document in10:54Exhibit 3 was in your e-mail in box on August 21st of10:54ANo.10:54QAll right.10:54QYou can set that aside.10:54
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q Now, you, in fact, subscribed to the e-mail 10:52 address listed here grand-unification-theory in 10:52 August of 1995; did you not? 10:52 A I believe I was, yes. 10:52 Q And you don't dispute that you, in fact, 10:52 received the document in Exhibit 2 on August 21st, 10:52 1995; do you? 10:52 A I believe it was in my in box in terms of you 10:52 said did I receive it. I mean, it was in my in box. 10:52 Q Okay. So you don't dispute that the document 10:52 in Exhibit 2, the e-mail from Wendell Craig Baker, was 10:53 in your e-mail in box on August 21st of 1995? 10:53 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:53 THE WITNESS: I I don't dispute that it 10:53 was in my in box. 10:53 MR. BUDWIN: Okay. You can set that aside. 10:53 I'm handing you a document which will be 10:53 marked as Exhibit 3. 10:53 for identification.) 10:53 MR. BUDWIN: All right. 10:53	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	QMr. Filo, have you seen the document10:53Exhibit 3 before?10:53AI believe so.10:53QDid you see it preparing for the deposition10:53today?10:54AYes.10:54QOkay. And as was true with Exhibit 2, you10:54don't dispute that you were, in fact, subscribed to10:54the grand-unification-theory e-mail list in August10:541995?10:54ANo.10:54QAnd you don't dispute the document in10:54exhibit 3 was, in fact, received by you on August 21st 10:54of 1995?10:54AI don't dispute that it was in my in box.10:54QAll right.10:54So you don't dispute that the document in10:54Exhibit 3 was in your e-mail in box on August 21st of 10:54PNo.10:54QYou can set that aside.10:54I'm going to hand you a document which will10:54
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q Now, you, in fact, subscribed to the e-mail 10:52 address listed here grand-unification-theory in 10:52 August of 1995; did you not? 10:52 A I believe I was, yes. 10:52 Q And you don't dispute that you, in fact, 10:52 received the document in Exhibit 2 on August 21st, 10:52 1995; do you? 10:52 A I believe it was in my in box in terms of you 10:52 said did I receive it. I mean, it was in my in box. 10:52 Q Okay. So you don't dispute that the document 10:52 in Exhibit 2, the e-mail from Wendell Craig Baker, was 10:53 in your e-mail in box on August 21st of 1995? 10:53 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:53 THE WITNESS: I I don't dispute that it 10:53 was in my in box. 10:53 MR. BUDWIN: Okay. You can set that aside. 10:53 imarked as Exhibit 3. 10:53 (Document marked Exhibit 3 10:53 MR. BUDWIN: All right. 10:53 Exhibit 3 is a copy of a document 10:53	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	QMr. Filo, have you seen the document10:53Exhibit 3 before?10:53AI believe so.10:53QDid you see it preparing for the deposition10:53today?10:54AYes.10:54QOkay. And as was true with Exhibit 2, you10:54don't dispute that you were, in fact, subscribed to10:54the grand-unification-theory e-mail list in August10:541995?10:54QAnd you don't dispute the document in10:54Exhibit 3 was, in fact, received by you on August 21st 10:54of 1995?10:54AI don't dispute that it was in my in box.10:54QAll right.10:54So you don't dispute that the document in10:54Exhibit 3 was in your e-mail in box on August 21st of 10:54QYou can set that aside.10:54QYou can set that aside.10:54I'm going to hand you a document which will10:54be marked as Exhibit 4.10:54

	Page 86		Page 87
1	MR. BUDWIN: Okay. Exhibit 4 is a copy of a 10:54	1	A I couldn't list them all. 10:56
2	document YAHOO-E02290338. It's an e-mail dated 10:55	2	Q Okay. Which ones are you aware of? 10:56
3	September 18, 1995. 10:55	3	A There's certainly some ones that all 10:56
4	Q Mr. Filo, have you seen Exhibit 4 before 10:55	4	employees are start with one that every employee in 10:56
5	today? 10:55	5	Yahoo is on. Then there are ones for more specific 10:56
6	A I believe I have. 10:55	6	locations. So there's probably one for everyone that 10:56
7	Q And you saw it in preparing for your 10:55	7	works in Sunnyvale. 10:56
8	deposition? 10:55	8	Q Okay. 10:56
9	A Yes. I've seen it, yes. 10:55	9	A There's probably one that works anyone 10:56
10	Q Okay. And you were, in fact, subscribed to 10:55	10	that works in the building that I work in. 10:56
11	the grand-unification-theory e-mail address list in 10:55	11	Q Let me ask you some specific questions. 10:56
12	September 1995; were you not? 10:55	12	Do you do you subscribe to an e-mail 10:56
13	A I believe so. 10:55	13	address list called devel-dhtml@yahoo-inc.com? 10:56
14	Q And you don't dispute that the document in 10:55	14	A I don't believe I do. 10:56
15	Exhibit 4 was in your e-mail in box on September 18th, 10:55	15	Q Okay. And have you ever subscribed to that 10:57
16	1995; do you? 10:55	16	list? 10:57
17	A I do not. 10:55	17	A I don't believe I have. 10:57
18	Q Okay. You can set that aside. 10:55	18	Q When did you first become aware of Eolas's 10:57
19	Now, Yahoo has several internal distribution 10:55	19	litigation with Microsoft? 10:57
20	lists or e-mail address groups; are you aware of that? 10:56	20	A It would have been in, I think, the 2003 time 10:57
20 21	A Yes. 10:56	21	frame. 10:57
22	Q Do you subscribe to any of those internal 10:56	22	Q And do you recall receiving some e-mails 10:57
23	e-mail distribution lists? 10:56	23	related to Eolas and its litigation with Microsoft in 10:57
23	A Yes. 10:56	24	the 2003 time frame? 10:57
25	Q Okay. Which ones? 10:56	25	A Yeah. It's a little hard for me to remember 10:57
		2.5	
-	Page 88		Page 89
1	exactly what I remember prior to looking at all of the 10:57	1	proposing changes that would be coming out in their 10:59
2	stuff, but I I think I do remember knowing some 10:57	2	new version of the browser. 10:59
3	issues surrounding the Eolas Microsoft case back in 10:58	3	MR. BUDWIN: Okay. 10:59
4	that time frame. 10:58	4	Q So you knew that in the 2003 time frame, 10:59
5	Q And do you recall internal discussions at 10:58	5	Microsoft was proposing to make some changes to its 10:59
6	Yahoo related to Eolas and the Microsoft case in the 10:58	6	Internet Explorer browser? 10:59
7	2003 time frame? 10:58	7	A I don't remember if it was 2003 or later. I 10:59
8	A Yeah, vaguely speaking. 10:58	8	don't remember exactly when they first announced their 10:59
9	Q What do you recall about those discussions? 10:58	9	changes. 10:59
10	A I'd say the the most the most prominent 10:58	10	Q Okay. All right. 10:59
11	thing would be that we had become aware that Microsoft 10:58	11	So you you know at some point in the early 10:59
12	was making changes to their browser, and that was 10:58	12	to mid 2000s Microsoft proposed to make some changes 10:59
13	going to affect the way we publish our pages to our 10:58	13	to its Internet Explorer browser? 10:59
14	users. And so based on changes Microsoft was 10:58	14	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:59
15	proposing making, we would have to make changes to our 10:58	1	THE WITNESS: I do. Yes, in their between 10:59
16	web pages. 10:58	16	2000, 2005, I do understand or I was aware that 10:59
17	Q Okay. So you understood that as a result of 10:58	17	Microsoft was proposing changes to the way IE would 10:59
18	its litigation with Eolas, Microsoft was make going 10:58	18	work. 10:59
19	to make some changes to its Internet Explorer browser? 10:58	19	MR. BUDWIN: Q. And you were also aware that 10:59
20	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 10:58	20	the changes that Microsoft was proposing would impact 10:59
		01	10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 -
21	THE WITNESS: I'd say we didn't necessarily 10:58	21	the way that Yahoo's pages certain Yahoo pages were 10:59
21 22	THE WITNESS: I'd say we didn't necessarily10:58know exactly why they were doing it. I think there10:58	22	displayed in Internet Explorer? 11:00
21 22 23	THE WITNESS: I'd say we didn't necessarily10:58know exactly why they were doing it. I think there10:58was some understanding that it was tied to some legal10:58	22 23	displayed in Internet Explorer? 11:00 A Potentially. I mean, some pages many 11:00
21 22	THE WITNESS: I'd say we didn't necessarily10:58know exactly why they were doing it. I think there10:58	22	displayed in Internet Explorer? 11:00

1	Page 90		Page 91
	MR. BUDWIN: Okay. 11:00	1	saying we need to follow with we needed to follow 11:01
2	Q And you're aware, aren't you, that Yahoo was 11:00	2	Microsoft's recommendations. 11:01
3	trying to figure out a way to make it so that none of 11:00	3	Q Did you have an understanding one way or the 11:01
4	its pages would be impacted adversely by the changes 11:00	4	other as to whether the changes that Microsoft was 11:01
5	Microsoft was proposing? 11:00	5	proposing making to its browser would impact any Yahoo 11:01
6	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 11:00	6	pages in any way? 11:01
7	THE WITNESS: I think we were concerned with 11:00	7	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 11:01
8	Microsoft was proposing changes and they were they 11:00	8	THE WITNESS: Can you ask the question again? 11:01
9	were recommending to web publishers how they should 11:00	9	MR. BUDWIN: Okay. 11:01
10	implement certain things, and we were like with all 11:00	10	Q You understood, did you not, that Microsoft 11:01
11	guidelines for Microsoft, we tend to look at them and 11:00	11	was proposing to make some changes to its Internet 11:01
12	take their advice, given that they're the ones 11:00	12	Explorer browser; right? 11:01
13	creating the browser. 11:00	13	A Yes. 11:01
14	MR. BUDWIN: Okay. 11:00	14	Q Okay. And then you also understood, did you 11:01
15	Q So it was your understanding that Microsoft 11:00	15	not, that as a result of the changes that Microsoft 11:01
16	was proposing some changes to its Internet explorer 11:00	16	was proposing making, it was also recommending some 11:01
17	browser; right? 11:00	17	coding changes that website publishers or authors like 11:02
18	A Yes. 11:01	18	Yahoo could make to its site; right? 11:02
19	Q And that the changes that Microsoft was 11:01	19	A Yes. 11:02
20	proposing to its Internet Explorer browser could 11:01	20	Q And it was Yahoo's intent to follow those 11:02
21	impact the way some Yahoo pages were displayed or used 11:01	21	recommendations from Microsoft? 11:02
22	by users? 11:01	22	A Generally speaking, we follow the 11:02
23	A Again, it's it's more that Microsoft was 11:01	23	recommendations. I wouldn't suggest that we follow it 11:02
24 25	telling web publishers this is what you should do 11:01	24 25	100 percent, but I think in general we whether it's 11:02
25	going forward, and we were looking at that advice 11:01	25	Microsoft or some other browser manufacturer, we tend 11:02
	Page 92		Page 93
1	to look at their recommendations and follow the 11:02	1	
1		1	A I don't believe we did. 11:03
2	guidelines. 11:02	2	Q Okay. On or before October of 2009 11:03
2 3	Q And in this specific case, with respect to 11:02	2 3	QOkay. On or before October of 200911:03AI guess you keep saying "on."11:03
2 3 4	Q And in this specific case, with respect to 11:02 the changes that Microsoft was making to Internet 11:02	2 3 4	QOkay. On or before October of 200911:03AI guess you keep saying "on."11:03QSorry.11:03
2 3 4 5	Q And in this specific case, with respect to 11:02 the changes that Microsoft was making to Internet 11:02 Explorer, Yahoo was following the recommendations that 11:02	2 3 4 5	QOkay. On or before October of 200911:03AI guess you keep saying "on."11:03QSorry.11:03Before October of 2009, did Yahoo hire11:03
2 3 4 5 6	QAnd in this specific case, with respect to11:02the changes that Microsoft was making to Internet11:02Explorer, Yahoo was following the recommendations that11:02Microsoft was making?11:02	2 3 4 5 6	QOkay. On or before October of 200911:03AI guess you keep saying "on."11:03QSorry.11:03Before October of 2009, did Yahoo hire11:03attorneys to dis to review the changes that11:03
2 3 4 5 6 7	QAnd in this specific case, with respect to11:02the changes that Microsoft was making to Internet11:02Explorer, Yahoo was following the recommendations that11:02Microsoft was making?11:02AI believe we were.11:02	2 3 4 5 6 7	QOkay. On or before October of 200911:03AI guess you keep saying "on."11:03QSorry.11:03Before October of 2009, did Yahoo hire11:03attorneys to dis to review the changes that11:03Microsoft was proposing, the recommendations that11:03
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	QAnd in this specific case, with respect to11:02the changes that Microsoft was making to Internet11:02Explorer, Yahoo was following the recommendations that11:02Microsoft was making?11:02AI believe we were.11:02QOkay. Now, to your knowledge, has Yahoo ever11:02	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	QOkay. On or before October of 200911:03AI guess you keep saying "on."11:03QSorry.11:03Before October of 2009, did Yahoo hire11:03attorneys to dis to review the changes that11:03Microsoft was proposing, the recommendations that11:03Microsoft was proposing, and to determine whether or11:04
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	QAnd in this specific case, with respect to11:02the changes that Microsoft was making to Internet11:02Explorer, Yahoo was following the recommendations that11:02Microsoft was making?11:02AI believe we were.11:02QOkay. Now, to your knowledge, has Yahoo ever11:02engaged legal counsel to study and provide any11:02	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	QOkay. On or before October of 200911:03AI guess you keep saying "on."11:03QSorry.11:03Before October of 2009, did Yahoo hire11:03attorneys to dis to review the changes that11:03Microsoft was proposing, the recommendations that11:03Microsoft was proposing, and to determine whether or11:04not those changes or recommendations would or would11:04
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q And in this specific case, with respect to 11:02 the changes that Microsoft was making to Internet 11:02 Explorer, Yahoo was following the recommendations that 11:02 Microsoft was making? 11:02 A I believe we were. 11:02 Q Okay. Now, to your knowledge, has Yahoo ever 11:02 engaged legal counsel to study and provide any 11:02 opinions on noninfringement or validity of Eolas's 11:02	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	QOkay. On or before October of 200911:03AI guess you keep saying "on."11:03QSorry.11:03Before October of 2009, did Yahoo hire11:03attorneys to dis to review the changes that11:03Microsoft was proposing, the recommendations that11:03Microsoft was proposing, and to determine whether or11:04not those changes or recommendations would or would11:04
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q And in this specific case, with respect to 11:02 the changes that Microsoft was making to Internet 11:02 Explorer, Yahoo was following the recommendations that 11:02 Microsoft was making? 11:02 A I believe we were. 11:02 Q Okay. Now, to your knowledge, has Yahoo ever 11:02 engaged legal counsel to study and provide any 11:02 opinions on noninfringement or validity of Eolas's 11:02 patents, apart from litigation counsel? 11:02	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	QOkay. On or before October of 200911:03AI guess you keep saying "on."11:03QSorry.11:03Before October of 2009, did Yahoo hire11:03attorneys to dis to review the changes that11:03Microsoft was proposing, the recommendations that11:03Microsoft was proposing, and to determine whether or11:04not those changes or recommendations would or would11:04AI don't believe so.11:04
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	QAnd in this specific case, with respect to11:02the changes that Microsoft was making to Internet11:02Explorer, Yahoo was following the recommendations that11:02Microsoft was making?11:02AI believe we were.11:02QOkay. Now, to your knowledge, has Yahoo ever11:02engaged legal counsel to study and provide any11:02opinions on noninfringement or validity of Eolas's11:02patents, apart from litigation counsel?11:02MS. DOAN:Objection; form.11:03	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	QOkay. On or before October of 200911:03AI guess you keep saying "on."11:03QSorry.11:03Before October of 2009, did Yahoo hire11:03attorneys to dis to review the changes that11:03Microsoft was proposing, the recommendations that11:03Microsoft was proposing, and to determine whether or11:04not those changes or recommendations would or would11:04AI don't believe so.11:04QOkay. Do you subscribe to a a Yahoo11:04
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	QAnd in this specific case, with respect to11:02the changes that Microsoft was making to Internet11:02Explorer, Yahoo was following the recommendations that11:02Microsoft was making?11:02AI believe we were.11:02QQOkay. Now, to your knowledge, has Yahoo ever11:02engaged legal counsel to study and provide any11:02patents, apart from litigation counsel?11:03THE WITNESS: What do you mean "apart from11:03	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	QOkay. On or before October of 200911:03AI guess you keep saying "on."11:03QSorry.11:03Before October of 2009, did Yahoo hire11:03attorneys to dis to review the changes that11:03Microsoft was proposing, the recommendations that11:03Microsoft was proposing, and to determine whether or11:04not those changes or recommendations would or would11:04AI don't believe so.11:04QOkay. Do you subscribe to a a Yahoo11:04e-mail address devel-frontend@yahooinc.com?11:04
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q And in this specific case, with respect to 11:02 the changes that Microsoft was making to Internet 11:02 Explorer, Yahoo was following the recommendations that 11:02 Microsoft was making? 11:02 A I believe we were. 11:02 Q Okay. Now, to your knowledge, has Yahoo ever 11:02 engaged legal counsel to study and provide any 11:02 opinions on noninfringement or validity of Eolas's 11:02 patents, apart from litigation counsel? 11:03 THE WITNESS: What do you mean "apart from 11:03 litigation counsel"? 11:03	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	QOkay. On or before October of 200911:03AI guess you keep saying "on."11:03QSorry.11:03Before October of 2009, did Yahoo hire11:03attorneys to dis to review the changes that11:03Microsoft was proposing, the recommendations that11:03Microsoft was proposing, and to determine whether or11:04not those changes or recommendations would or would11:04AI don't believe so.11:04QOkay. Do you subscribe to a a Yahoo11:04AI don't know. I don't think I mean,11:04
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	QAnd in this specific case, with respect to11:02the changes that Microsoft was making to Internet11:02Explorer, Yahoo was following the recommendations that11:02Microsoft was making?11:02AI believe we were.11:02QQOkay. Now, to your knowledge, has Yahoo ever11:02engaged legal counsel to study and provide any11:02patents, apart from litigation counsel?11:03THE WITNESS: What do you mean "apart from11:03	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	QOkay. On or before October of 200911:03AI guess you keep saying "on."11:03QSorry.11:03Before October of 2009, did Yahoo hire11:03attorneys to dis to review the changes that11:03Microsoft was proposing, the recommendations that11:03Microsoft was proposing, and to determine whether or11:04not those changes or recommendations would or would11:04AI don't believe so.11:04QOkay. Do you subscribe to a a Yahoo11:04AI don't know. I don't think I mean,11:04
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q And in this specific case, with respect to 11:02 the changes that Microsoft was making to Internet 11:02 Explorer, Yahoo was following the recommendations that 11:02 Microsoft was making? 11:02 A I believe we were. 11:02 Q Okay. Now, to your knowledge, has Yahoo ever 11:02 engaged legal counsel to study and provide any 11:02 opinions on noninfringement or validity of Eolas's 11:02 patents, apart from litigation counsel? 11:02 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 11:03 THE WITNESS: What do you mean "apart from 11:03 litigation counsel"? 11:03 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Has Yahoo hired attorneys to 11:03	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	QOkay. On or before October of 200911:03AI guess you keep saying "on."11:03QSorry.11:03Before October of 2009, did Yahoo hire11:03attorneys to dis to review the changes that11:03Microsoft was proposing, the recommendations that11:03Microsoft was proposing, and to determine whether or11:04not those changes or recommendations would or would11:04not infringe Eolas's patents?11:04QOkay. Do you subscribe to a a Yahoo11:04e-mail address devel-frontend@yahooinc.com?11:04AI don't know. I don't think I mean,11:04currently I'm pretty sure that I don't, and I don't11:04
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q And in this specific case, with respect to 11:02 the changes that Microsoft was making to Internet 11:02 Explorer, Yahoo was following the recommendations that 11:02 Microsoft was making? 11:02 A I believe we were. 11:02 Q Okay. Now, to your knowledge, has Yahoo ever 11:02 engaged legal counsel to study and provide any 11:02 opinions on noninfringement or validity of Eolas's 11:02 patents, apart from litigation counsel? 11:02 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 11:03 THE WITNESS: What do you mean "apart from 11:03 litigation counsel"? 11:03 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Has Yahoo hired attorneys to 11:03 form any opinions related to the validity or 11:03	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	QOkay. On or before October of 200911:03AI guess you keep saying "on."11:03QSorry.11:03Before October of 2009, did Yahoo hire11:03attorneys to dis to review the changes that11:03Microsoft was proposing, the recommendations that11:03Microsoft was proposing, and to determine whether or11:04not those changes or recommendations would or would11:04AI don't believe so.11:04QOkay. Do you subscribe to a a Yahoo11:04AI don't know. I don't think I mean,11:04currently I'm pretty sure that I don't, and I don't11:04know that I ever have.11:04
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q And in this specific case, with respect to 11:02 the changes that Microsoft was making to Internet 11:02 Explorer, Yahoo was following the recommendations that 11:02 Microsoft was making? 11:02 A I believe we were. 11:02 Q Okay. Now, to your knowledge, has Yahoo ever 11:02 engaged legal counsel to study and provide any 11:02 opinions on noninfringement or validity of Eolas's 11:02 patents, apart from litigation counsel? 11:02 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 11:03 THE WITNESS: What do you mean "apart from 11:03 litigation counsel"? 11:03 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Has Yahoo hired attorneys to 11:03 form any opinions related to the validity or 11:03 noninfringement of Eolas's patents on or before the 11:03	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	QOkay. On or before October of 200911:03AI guess you keep saying "on."11:03QSorry.11:03Before October of 2009, did Yahoo hire11:03attorneys to dis to review the changes that11:03Microsoft was proposing, the recommendations that11:03Microsoft was proposing, and to determine whether or11:04not those changes or recommendations would or would11:04not infringe Eolas's patents?11:04QOkay. Do you subscribe to a a Yahoo11:04e-mail address devel-frontend@yahooinc.com?11:04AI don't know. I don't think I mean,11:04know that I ever have.11:04QHave you ever subscribed to any Flash related11:04
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q And in this specific case, with respect to 11:02 the changes that Microsoft was making to Internet 11:02 Explorer, Yahoo was following the recommendations that 11:02 Microsoft was making? 11:02 A I believe we were. 11:02 Q Okay. Now, to your knowledge, has Yahoo ever 11:02 engaged legal counsel to study and provide any 11:02 opinions on noninfringement or validity of Eolas's 11:02 patents, apart from litigation counsel? 11:03 THE WITNESS: What do you mean "apart from 11:03 litigation counsel"? 11:03 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Has Yahoo hired attorneys to 11:03 form any opinions related to the validity or 11:03 noninfringement of Eolas's patents on or before the 11:03 date that Eolas filed suit against Yahoo in October of 11:03	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	QOkay. On or before October of 200911:03AI guess you keep saying "on."11:03QSorry.11:03Before October of 2009, did Yahoo hire11:03attorneys to dis to review the changes that11:03Microsoft was proposing, the recommendations that11:03Microsoft was proposing, and to determine whether or11:04not those changes or recommendations would or would11:04not infringe Eolas's patents?11:04QOkay. Do you subscribe to a a Yahoo11:04AI don't believe so.11:04AI don't know. I don't think I mean,11:04know that I ever have.11:04QHave you ever subscribed to any Flash related11:04e-mail address at Yahoo?11:04
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q And in this specific case, with respect to 11:02 the changes that Microsoft was making to Internet 11:02 Explorer, Yahoo was following the recommendations that 11:02 Microsoft was making? 11:02 A I believe we were. 11:02 Q Okay. Now, to your knowledge, has Yahoo ever 11:02 engaged legal counsel to study and provide any 11:02 opinions on noninfringement or validity of Eolas's 11:02 patents, apart from litigation counsel? 11:03 THE WITNESS: What do you mean "apart from 11:03 litigation counsel"? 11:03 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Has Yahoo hired attorneys to 11:03 form any opinions related to the validity or 11:03 noninfringement of Eolas's patents on or before the 11:03 date that Eolas filed suit against Yahoo in October of 11:03 2009? 11:03	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	QOkay. On or before October of 200911:03AI guess you keep saying "on."11:03QSorry.11:03Before October of 2009, did Yahoo hire11:03attorneys to dis to review the changes that11:03Microsoft was proposing, the recommendations that11:03Microsoft was proposing, and to determine whether or11:04not those changes or recommendations would or would11:04not infringe Eolas's patents?11:04QOkay. Do you subscribe to a a Yahoo11:04e-mail address devel-frontend@yahooinc.com?11:04AI don't know. I don't think I mean,11:04know that I ever have.11:04QHave you ever subscribed to any Flash related11:04AI don't believe so.11:04
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q And in this specific case, with respect to 11:02 the changes that Microsoft was making to Internet 11:02 Explorer, Yahoo was following the recommendations that 11:02 Microsoft was making? 11:02 A I believe we were. 11:02 Q Okay. Now, to your knowledge, has Yahoo ever 11:02 engaged legal counsel to study and provide any 11:02 opinions on noninfringement or validity of Eolas's 11:02 patents, apart from litigation counsel? 11:03 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 11:03 THE WITNESS: What do you mean "apart from 11:03 litigation counsel"? 11:03 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Has Yahoo hired attorneys to 11:03 form any opinions related to the validity or 11:03 noninfringement of Eolas's patents on or before the 11:03 date that Eolas filed suit against Yahoo in October of 11:03 2009? 11:03 A On or before; so you're asking before? 11:03	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	QOkay. On or before October of 200911:03AI guess you keep saying "on."11:03QSorry.11:03Before October of 2009, did Yahoo hire11:03attorneys to dis to review the changes that11:03Microsoft was proposing, the recommendations that11:03Microsoft was proposing, and to determine whether or11:04not those changes or recommendations would or would11:04not infringe Eolas's patents?11:04QOkay. Do you subscribe to a a Yahoo11:04e-mail address devel-frontend@yahooinc.com?11:04AI don't know. I don't think I mean,11:04currently I'm pretty sure that I don't, and I don't11:04know that I ever have.11:04QHave you ever subscribed to any Flash related11:04AI don't believe so.11:04QHave you ever subscribed to any Flash related11:04AI don't believe so.11:05QYou're aware, aren't you, that Yahoo has11:05
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q And in this specific case, with respect to 11:02 the changes that Microsoft was making to Internet 11:02 Explorer, Yahoo was following the recommendations that 11:02 Microsoft was making? 11:02 A I believe we were. 11:02 Q Okay. Now, to your knowledge, has Yahoo ever 11:02 engaged legal counsel to study and provide any 11:02 opinions on noninfringement or validity of Eolas's 11:02 patents, apart from litigation counsel? 11:03 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 11:03 THE WITNESS: What do you mean "apart from 11:03 litigation counsel"? 11:03 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Has Yahoo hired attorneys to 11:03 form any opinions related to the validity or 11:03 noninfringement of Eolas's patents on or before the 11:03 date that Eolas filed suit against Yahoo in October of 11:03 2009? 11:03 A On or before; so you're asking before? 11:03 Q Yeah. 11:03	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	QOkay. On or before October of 200911:03AI guess you keep saying "on."11:03QSorry.11:03Before October of 2009, did Yahoo hire11:03attorneys to dis to review the changes that11:03Microsoft was proposing, the recommendations that11:03Microsoft was proposing, and to determine whether or11:04not those changes or recommendations would or would11:04not those changes or recommendations would or would11:04AI don't believe so.11:04QOkay. Do you subscribe to a a Yahoo11:04e-mail address devel-frontend@yahooinc.com?11:04AI don't know. I don't think I mean,11:04know that I ever have.11:04QHave you ever subscribed to any Flash related11:04e-mail address at Yahoo?11:04AI don't believe so.11:05QYou're aware, aren't you, that Yahoo has11:05
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q And in this specific case, with respect to 11:02 the changes that Microsoft was making to Internet 11:02 Explorer, Yahoo was following the recommendations that 11:02 Microsoft was making? 11:02 A I believe we were. 11:02 Q Okay. Now, to your knowledge, has Yahoo ever 11:02 engaged legal counsel to study and provide any 11:02 opinions on noninfringement or validity of Eolas's 11:02 patents, apart from litigation counsel? 11:02 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 11:03 THE WITNESS: What do you mean "apart from 11:03 litigation counsel"? 11:03 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Has Yahoo hired attorneys to 11:03 form any opinions related to the validity or 11:03 noninfringement of Eolas's patents on or before the 11:03 date that Eolas filed suit against Yahoo in October of 11:03 2009? 11:03 A On or before; so you're asking before? 11:03 Q Yeah. 11:03 So before October of 2009, did Yahoo hire any 11:03	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	QOkay. On or before October of 200911:03AI guess you keep saying "on."11:03QSorry.11:03Before October of 2009, did Yahoo hire11:03attorneys to dis to review the changes that11:03Microsoft was proposing, the recommendations that11:03Microsoft was proposing, and to determine whether or11:04not those changes or recommendations would or would11:04not those changes or recommendations would or would11:04AI don't believe so.11:04QOkay. Do you subscribe to a a Yahoo11:04e-mail address devel-frontend@yahooinc.com?11:04AI don't know. I don't think I mean,11:04know that I ever have.11:04QHave you ever subscribed to any Flash related11:04AI don't believe so.11:05QYou're aware, aren't you, that Yahoo has11:05ACould you be more specific?11:05

	Page 94		Page 95
1	I don't know of any cases where we've gone 11:05	1	A Yes, it does. 11:06
2	out and initiated litigation over a patent. 11:05	2	Q And you understand that one of the things 11:06
3	Q You can't think of any sitting here today? 11:05	3	that the intellectual property group within Yahoo's 11:06
4	A No. 11:05	4	legal department handles are things related to the 11:06
5	Q Can you think of any situations where Yahoo 11:05	5	enforcement of Yahoo's intellectual property? 11:06
6	has enforced any of its intellectual property, 11:05	6	A Yes. 11:06
7	copyrights, patents, trademarks, things of that 11:05	7	Q Now, are you involved with any of Yahoo's 11:06
8	nature? 11:05	8	lobbying efforts at all? 11:07
9	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 11:05	9	A No. 11:07
10	THE WITNESS: What do you mean "enforced"? 11:05		Q Are you aware of them? 11:07
11	MR. BUDWIN: Q. Filed lawsuits, sent notice 11:05	11	A Vaguely. 11:07
12	letters to potential infringers or violators, things 11:06	12	Q Do you know that Yahoo spends money on 11:07
13	of that nature. 11:06	13	lobbying Congress? 11:07
14	A I'm sure we've sent letters of notice. 11:06	14	A Yahoo spends I don't I I suppose. I 11:07
15	Q Can you think of any any lawsuits that 11:06	15	mean, we I know there's a pack associated with 11:07
16	Yahoo has filed to enforce its intellectual property? 11:06	16	Yahoo. I'm not sure what it means. Yahoo has some 11:07
17	A Again, not where we initiated it, I can't 11:06	17	employees that, I guess, work on potentially lobbying 11:07
18	think of any cases where we initiated litigation based 11:06	18	type issues, but I don't know any details. 11:07
19	on patents. 11:06	19	Q Do you know if Yahoo has ever lobbied 11:07
20	Q What about other types of intellectual 11:06	20	Congress with respect to patent rights or intellectual 11:07
21	property? Trademarks? Copyrights? Things of that 11:06	21	property? 11:07
22	nature? 11:06	22	A I don't know. I mean, I would guess that 11:07
23	A I don't know. 11:06	23	we I'm pretty sure that we've had some 11:07
24	Q Yahoo has an intellectual property group 11:06	24	conversations about IP issues with Congress, but I 11:07
25	within its legal department; does it not? 11:06	25	don't know any of the details. 11:08
	Page 96		Page 97
1	Q How would you describe Yahoo's corporate 11:08	1	of companies would have in their value statements. 11:09
2	values? 11:08	2	Q To your knowledge, has Yahoo always met those 11:09
3	A How would I describe them? 11:08	3	values? 11:09
4	I know over time we've had various value 11:08	4	A Yeah, as far as I know. I mean, I think to 11:09
5	statements. I don't know what our current statement 11:08	5	say all our values have always been met over the last 11:09
б	is, if we have it. At one point we had something that 11:08	6	15 years, I'm sure there are some instances where 11:09
7	was I'm trying to remember exactly what it was, but 11:08	7	employees have done things that wouldn't well, in 11:09
8	it was you know, it was a statement with maybe 11:08	8	fact, I know things have happened where employees 11:09
9	it was ten words or so, that kind of described what 11:08	9	haven't lived up to those values, but it's certainly 11:09
10	our values were. 11:08	10	not the company's intent. And when we find those 11:09
11	Q So other than the Yahoo value statements, is 11:08	11	things, we like to correct them. 11:09
12	there any other way that you would describe Yahoo's 11:08		Q With respect to the the value related 11:09
13	corporate values? 11:08	13	to with respect to intellectual property, can you 11:09
14	A I mean, I can just generally I don't know 11:08	14	think of any instances where a Yahoo employee hasn't 11:10
15	what the actual statement was word for word. 11:08	15	respected that value? 11:10
16	Q Okay. 11:08	16	A I mean, I can't think of any instances here. 11:10
17	A But, you know, we certainly I know there's 11:08	17	But again, I can't make a blanket statement for all 11:10
18	things like respect, integrity, and we try to create a 11:08	18	employees over the last 15 years. 11:10
1		110	Q Okay. Now, Yahoo is a publicly traded 11:10
19		19	Q Okay. Now, Tanoo is a publicity traded 11.10
19 20		19 20	company today? 11:10
20 21	workplace that's fun and enjoyable by the employees. 11:09 We certainly respect intellectual property, 11:09 amongst amongst other things, but we work with a 11:09		company today?11:10A Yes, it is.11:10
20 21 22	 workplace that's fun and enjoyable by the employees. 11:09 We certainly respect intellectual property, 11:09 amongst amongst other things, but we work with a 11:09 lot of partners. So I think we value partnerships, 11:09 	20 21 22	company today?11:10A Yes, it is.11:10Q And it's in the business of making money?11:10
20 21 22 23	 workplace that's fun and enjoyable by the employees. 11:09 We certainly respect intellectual property, 11:09 amongst amongst other things, but we work with a 11:09 lot of partners. So I think we value partnerships, 11:09 and we want to treat our partners with respect, 11:09 	20 21 22 23	company today?11:10A Yes, it is.11:10Q And it's in the business of making money?11:10A Yes.11:10
20 21 22	 workplace that's fun and enjoyable by the employees. 11:09 We certainly respect intellectual property, 11:09 amongst amongst other things, but we work with a 11:09 lot of partners. So I think we value partnerships, 11:09 	20 21 22	company today?11:10A Yes, it is.11:10Q And it's in the business of making money?11:10

	Page 98		Page 99
1	is our primary source of revenue. 11:10	1	Q Does Yahoo charge for any of its services, 11:12
2	Q So the primary source of Yahoo's revenue 11:10	2	charge users for any of its services? 11:12
3	today is advertising? 11:10	3	A Yes. 11:12
4	A Yes. 11:10	4	Q Okay. What services are users charged for? 11:12
5	Q And so that would be showing advertisements 11:10	5	A I probably won't list them all, but we have 11:12
6	on various Yahoo web pages? 11:10	6	premium versions of things like Flickr, a photo 11:12
7	A Correct. 11:10	7	sharing site. A premium version of e-mail that you 11:12
8	Q And in order to show ads on web pages and 11:10	8	sign up for. I think there might be a premium version 11:12
9	earn revenue, Yahoo wants to attract people to its 11:11	9	of games. I think there's Fantasy Sports might 11:12
10	website? 11:11	10	have a premium version. 11:12
11	A Yes. 11:11	11	Q Okay. 11:12
12	Q How does Yahoo attract people to its website? 11:11	12	A I think a lot of our properties, there's 11:12
13	A We by creating products that have value to 11:11	13	mostly it's used most of the usage comes from 11:12
14	users. 11:11	14	people that are using kind of the basic service or 11:12
15	Q Can you give me some examples? 11:11	15	whatever it is that are free. But many of the 11:12
16	A Sure. 11:11	16	properties then have a premium component where users 11:13
17	So whether it's if you look at the Yahoo 11:11	17	can pay money for additional services. 11:13
18	properties, Yahoo Search, helping people find 11:11	18	Q So most Yahoo properties have free or basic 11:13
19	websites, find what they're looking for, e-mail 11:11	19	versions, and some of them have a premium version as 11:13
20	services, keeping people's e-mails, having them 11:11	20	well? 11:13
21	send/compose/receive e-mails. 11:11	21	A I think that's fair. 11:13
22	Q Maps? 11:11	22	Q Okay. For the free versions of the Yahoo 11:13
23	A Yeah. Media properties like sports, finance, 11:11	23	properties, that includes things like Yahoo Media, 11:13
24	getting people their information about the sports 11:11	24	Sports, Maps, Mail, Search, things like that? 11:13
25	scores or stock quotes. Those types of things. 11:11	25	A Yes. 11:13
	Page 100		Page 101
1	Q And the way that Yahoo earns revenue from 11:13	1	A Yes, it does. 11:14
2	those free or basic services or properties is through 11:13	2	Q And there's a free version of Yahoo e-mail? 11:14
3	advertising? 11:13	3	A Yes. 11:14
4	A Primarily. 11:13	4	Q And that's advertising supported? 11:14
5	Q And then Yahoo also has premium versions of 11:13	5	A Yes. 11:14
6	some of its sites. You mentioned Flickr, e-mail, 11:13	6	Q Okay. There's Yahoo Search; is that right? 11:14
7	games, Fantasy Sports, things like that. 11:13	7	A Yes. 11:14
8	A Yes. 11:13	8	Q And that's free to users? 11:14
9	Q Do those premium sites also include some form 11:13	9	A Yes. 11:14
10	of advertising? 11:13	10	Q But that's also advertising supported? 11:14
11	A Sometimes. 11:13	11	A Yes. 11:14
12		12	Q And there's what you referred to as Yahoo 11:14
13 14		13	Media? 11:14
14 15		14 15	A Yes. 11:14 Q And that includes things like the Yahoo 11:14
15 16	•	15 16	sports, or movies, or things of that nature? 11:15
17		17	A Yes. 11:15
18	•	18	Q And there's free versions of Yahoo sports in 11:15
± 0	· ·	19	movies? 11:15
19	$\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{U} \mathbf{K} \mathbf{a} \mathbf{V} + \mathbf{W} \mathbf{n} \mathbf{e} \mathbf{n}$ Voli sav inar inev re for tree in the	<u> ا</u>	11.1.1.
19 20		20	A Yes 11.15
20	you mean advertising supported? 11:14	20 21	A Yes. 11:15 O And those are advertising supported also? 11:15
20 21	you mean advertising supported?11:14A Well, yeah. Most most of them are11:14	21	Q And those are advertising supported also? 11:15
20 21 22	you mean advertising supported?11:14A Well, yeah. Most most of them are11:14advertising supported. There are some things that11:14	21 22	QAnd those are advertising supported also?11:15AThose two are, yes.11:15
20 21 22 23	you mean advertising supported?11:14A Well, yeah. Most most of them are11:14advertising supported. There are some things that11:14don't have ads probably, so there's11:14	21 22 23	QAnd those are advertising supported also?11:15AThose two are, yes.11:15QSo so those products and services are free11:15
20 21 22	you mean advertising supported?11:14A Well, yeah. Most most of them are11:14advertising supported. There are some things that11:14don't have ads probably, so there's11:14Q Let's take some examples.11:14	21 22	QAnd those are advertising supported also?11:15AThose two are, yes.11:15

	Page 102		Page 103
1	A In those examples, yes. 11:15	1	advertising on it, but there have been times and I 11:17
2	Q Okay. Can you think of any products or 11:15	2	can't state definitively today whether it does or it 11:17
3	services Yahoo has that it doesn't try to earn revenue 11:15	3	does not but there have been some times when some 11:17
4	from? 11:15	4	properties like that have not had advertising, and 11:17
5	A There are probably a few. I think in terms 11:15	5	some of those are very large properties. 11:17
6	of direct revenue, there's something called Yahoo 11:15	6	Q What's the number one Yahoo property today? 11:17
7	Pipes, something called YQL that I don't think it has 11:16	7	A In terms of? 11:17
8	any revenue associated with it. 11:16	8	Q Number of users. 11:17
9	Q Y 11:16	9	-
9 10	A YQL. 11:16		A Number of users. Depends on how you define 11:17
	-	10	"Yahoo property." 11:17
11		11	Q Okay. How do you define a Yahoo property? 11:17
12	A There are certain mobile apps, for instance. 11:16	12	A I mean, internally we might call the front 11:17
13	I think our messenger app on mobile doesn't have any. 11:16	13	page a property, and that probably has the most number 11:17
14	I know at times it hasn't had any advertising, so I'm 11:16	14	of users on it. 11:17
15	not sure if it does today or not. 11:16	15	Q Does the front page have advertisements on 11:17
16	Q Anything else? 11:16	16	it? 11:17
17	A It might be some more mobile apps that we 11:16	17	A Yes, it does. 11:17
18	have. Actually, I know there's SMS mobile apps for 11:16	18	Q Okay. What's the the number two Yahoo 11:17
19	instance that don't really have any advertising 11:16	19	property today? 11:17
20	associated with them. 11:16	20	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 11:17
21	Q Those things that you mentioned, YQL, Pipes, 11:16	21	THE WITNESS: Again, based on number of 11:17
22	the mobile apps, those are responsible for a very 11:16	22	users, I'm assuming that's what you mean 11:17
23	small number of users on Yahoo's various properties? 11:16	23	MR. BUDWIN: Yes. 11:17
24	A Not necessarily. I mean Messenger, for 11:16	24	THE WITNESS: the second most 11:17
25	instance, even on the PC Messenger, today probably has 11:16	25	frequented frequented property would probably be 11:17
	Page 104		Page 105
1	mail. 11:18	1	out of the top ten Yahoo properties, setting aside 11:18
2	MR. BUDWIN: Okay. 11:18	2	Manager, are advertising supported? 11:19
3	Q Is mail advertising supported? 11:18	3	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 11:19
4	A It is. 11:18	4	THE WITNESS: Nine out of the top ten 11:19
5	Q Okay. What's the number three Yahoo property 11:18		
6		5	properties based on number of users are in some way 11:19
0	today in terms of number of users? 11:18	6	
7	5		advertising supported. I think that's probably 11:19
	A Might be Search. I'm not sure. 11:18	6	advertising supported. I think that's probably 11:19 accurate, but without looking at the list, I can't say 11:19
7 8	AMight be Search. I'm not sure.11:18QOkay. Yahoo Search is also advertising11:18	6 7	advertising supported. I think that's probably 11:19 accurate, but without looking at the list, I can't say 11:19 for sure. 11:19
7	AMight be Search. I'm not sure.11:18QOkay. Yahoo Search is also advertising11:18supported?11:18	6 7 8	advertising supported. I think that's probably 11:19 accurate, but without looking at the list, I can't say 11:19
7 8 9	AMight be Search. I'm not sure.11:18QOkay. Yahoo Search is also advertising11:18supported?11:18ASearch is, yes.11:18	6 7 8 9	advertising supported. I think that's probably 11:19 accurate, but without looking at the list, I can't say 11:19 for sure. 11:19 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Now, does Yahoo develop any 11:19
7 8 9 10	AMight be Search. I'm not sure.11:18QOkay. Yahoo Search is also advertising11:18supported?11:18ASearch is, yes.11:18QCan you think of any Yahoo properties in the11:18	6 7 8 9 10	advertising supported. I think that's probably 11:19 accurate, but without looking at the list, I can't say 11:19 for sure. 11:19 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Now, does Yahoo develop any 11:19 software that it would call "open source" software? 11:19
7 8 9 10 11	AMight be Search. I'm not sure.11:18QOkay. Yahoo Search is also advertising11:18supported?11:18ASearch is, yes.11:18QCan you think of any Yahoo properties in the11:18top ten of Yahoo properties in terms of number of11:18	6 7 8 9 10 11	advertising supported. I think that's probably 11:19 accurate, but without looking at the list, I can't say 11:19 for sure. 11:19 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Now, does Yahoo develop any 11:19 software that it would call "open source" software? 11:19 A Yes. 11:19 Q Okay. What software does Yahoo develop that 11:19
7 8 9 10 11 12	AMight be Search. I'm not sure.11:18QOkay. Yahoo Search is also advertising11:18supported?11:18ASearch is, yes.11:18QCan you think of any Yahoo properties in the11:18top ten of Yahoo properties in terms of number of11:18users that aren't advertising supported?11:18	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	advertising supported. I think that's probably 11:19 accurate, but without looking at the list, I can't say 11:19 for sure. 11:19 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Now, does Yahoo develop any 11:19 software that it would call "open source" software? 11:19 A Yes. 11:19 Q Okay. What software does Yahoo develop that 11:19
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	AMight be Search. I'm not sure.11:18QOkay. Yahoo Search is also advertising11:18supported?11:18ASearch is, yes.11:18QCan you think of any Yahoo properties in the11:18top ten of Yahoo properties in terms of number of11:18users that aren't advertising supported?11:18AAgain, today, Messenger is probably the one11:18	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	advertising supported. I think that's probably 11:19 accurate, but without looking at the list, I can't say 11:19 for sure. 11:19 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Now, does Yahoo develop any 11:19 software that it would call "open source" software? 11:19 A Yes. 11:19 Q Okay. What software does Yahoo develop that 11:19 it would call "open source" software? 11:19
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	AMight be Search. I'm not sure.11:18QOkay. Yahoo Search is also advertising11:18supported?11:18ASearch is, yes.11:18QCan you think of any Yahoo properties in the11:18top ten of Yahoo properties in terms of number of11:18users that aren't advertising supported?11:18AAgain, today, Messenger is probably the one11:18that, at times, has not been has not had11:18	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	advertising supported. I think that's probably 11:19 accurate, but without looking at the list, I can't say 11:19 for sure. 11:19 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Now, does Yahoo develop any 11:19 software that it would call "open source" software? 11:19 A Yes. 11:19 Q Okay. What software does Yahoo develop that 11:19 it would call "open source" software? 11:19 A Well, it's I mean, it's you are you 11:19 asking which open source? Because, by definition, 11:19
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	AMight be Search. I'm not sure.11:18QOkay. Yahoo Search is also advertising11:18supported?11:18ASearch is, yes.11:18QCan you think of any Yahoo properties in the11:18top ten of Yahoo properties in terms of number of11:18users that aren't advertising supported?11:18AAgain, today, Messenger is probably the one11:18that, at times, has not been has not had11:18advertising advertisements, and that is probably a11:18	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	advertising supported. I think that's probably 11:19 accurate, but without looking at the list, I can't say 11:19 for sure. 11:19 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Now, does Yahoo develop any 11:19 software that it would call "open source" software? 11:19 A Yes. 11:19 Q Okay. What software does Yahoo develop that 11:19 it would call "open source" software? 11:19 A Well, it's I mean, it's you are you 11:19 asking which open source? Because, by definition, 11:19 open source tends to involve other parties outside of 11:19
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A Might be Search. I'm not sure. 11:18 Q Okay. Yahoo Search is also advertising 11:18 supported? 11:18 A Search is, yes. 11:18 Q Can you think of any Yahoo properties in the 11:18 top ten of Yahoo properties in terms of number of 11:18 users that aren't advertising supported? 11:18 A Again, today, Messenger is probably the one 11:18 that, at times, has not been has not had 11:18 advertising advertisements, and that is probably a 11:18 top ten or at least was a top ten property at some 11:18	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	advertising supported. I think that's probably 11:19 accurate, but without looking at the list, I can't say 11:19 for sure. 11:19 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Now, does Yahoo develop any 11:19 software that it would call "open source" software? 11:19 A Yes. 11:19 Q Okay. What software does Yahoo develop that 11:19 it would call "open source" software? 11:19 A Well, it's I mean, it's you are you 11:19 asking which open source? Because, by definition, 11:19 open source tends to involve other parties outside of 11:19 Yahoo. So I'm not sure. Are you asking 11:19
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A Might be Search. I'm not sure. 11:18 Q Okay. Yahoo Search is also advertising 11:18 supported? 11:18 A Search is, yes. 11:18 Q Can you think of any Yahoo properties in the 11:18 top ten of Yahoo properties in terms of number of 11:18 users that aren't advertising supported? 11:18 A Again, today, Messenger is probably the one 11:18 that, at times, has not been has not had 11:18 advertising advertisements, and that is probably a 11:18 top ten or at least was a top ten property at some 11:18 point. 11:18	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	advertising supported. I think that's probably 11:19 accurate, but without looking at the list, I can't say 11:19 for sure. 11:19 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Now, does Yahoo develop any 11:19 software that it would call "open source" software? 11:19 A Yes. 11:19 Q Okay. What software does Yahoo develop that 11:19 it would call "open source" software? 11:19 A Well, it's I mean, it's you are you 11:19 asking which open source? Because, by definition, 11:19 open source tends to involve other parties outside of 11:19 Yahoo. So I'm not sure. Are you asking 11:19 Q Let me ask a different question. 11:20
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A Might be Search. I'm not sure. 11:18 Q Okay. Yahoo Search is also advertising 11:18 supported? 11:18 A Search is, yes. 11:18 Q Can you think of any Yahoo properties in the 11:18 top ten of Yahoo properties in terms of number of 11:18 users that aren't advertising supported? 11:18 A Again, today, Messenger is probably the one 11:18 that, at times, has not been has not had 11:18 advertising advertisements, and that is probably a 11:18 top ten or at least was a top ten property at some 11:18 point. 11:18 Q But Messenger has advertisements on it today? 11:18	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	advertising supported. I think that's probably 11:19 accurate, but without looking at the list, I can't say 11:19 for sure. 11:19 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Now, does Yahoo develop any 11:19 software that it would call "open source" software? 11:19 A Yes. 11:19 Q Okay. What software does Yahoo develop that 11:19 it would call "open source" software? 11:19 A Well, it's I mean, it's you are you 11:19 asking which open source? Because, by definition, 11:19 open source tends to involve other parties outside of 11:19 Yahoo. So I'm not sure. Are you asking 11:19 Q Let me ask a different question. 11:20 A what open source projects Yahoo 11:20
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A Might be Search. I'm not sure. 11:18 Q Okay. Yahoo Search is also advertising 11:18 supported? 11:18 A Search is, yes. 11:18 Q Can you think of any Yahoo properties in the 11:18 top ten of Yahoo properties in terms of number of 11:18 users that aren't advertising supported? 11:18 A Again, today, Messenger is probably the one 11:18 that, at times, has not been has not had 11:18 advertising advertisements, and that is probably a 11:18 top ten or at least was a top ten property at some 11:18 point. 11:18 Q But Messenger has advertisements on it today? 11:18 A I don't know if it does. I think it does, 11:18	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	advertising supported. I think that's probably 11:19 accurate, but without looking at the list, I can't say 11:19 for sure. 11:19 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Now, does Yahoo develop any 11:19 software that it would call "open source" software? 11:19 A Yes. 11:19 Q Okay. What software does Yahoo develop that 11:19 it would call "open source" software? 11:19 A Well, it's I mean, it's you are you 11:19 asking which open source? Because, by definition, 11:19 open source tends to involve other parties outside of 11:19 Yahoo. So I'm not sure. Are you asking 11:19 Q Let me ask a different question. 11:20 A what open source projects Yahoo 11:20 contributes to? 11:20
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A Might be Search. I'm not sure. 11:18 Q Okay. Yahoo Search is also advertising 11:18 supported? 11:18 A Search is, yes. 11:18 Q Can you think of any Yahoo properties in the 11:18 top ten of Yahoo properties in terms of number of 11:18 users that aren't advertising supported? 11:18 A Again, today, Messenger is probably the one 11:18 that, at times, has not been has not had 11:18 advertising advertisements, and that is probably a 11:18 top ten or at least was a top ten property at some 11:18 point. 11:18 Q But Messenger has advertisements on it today? 11:18 A I don't know if it does. I think it does, 11:18 but I'm not I can't say that definitively. 11:18	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	advertising supported. I think that's probably 11:19 accurate, but without looking at the list, I can't say 11:19 for sure. 11:19 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Now, does Yahoo develop any 11:19 software that it would call "open source" software? 11:19 A Yes. 11:19 Q Okay. What software does Yahoo develop that 11:19 it would call "open source" software? 11:19 A Well, it's I mean, it's you are you 11:19 asking which open source? Because, by definition, 11:19 open source tends to involve other parties outside of 11:19 Yahoo. So I'm not sure. Are you asking 11:19 Q Let me ask a different question. 11:20 A what open source projects Yahoo 11:20 Q What open source projects does Yahoo 11:20
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	AMight be Search. I'm not sure.11:18QOkay. Yahoo Search is also advertising11:18supported?11:18ASearch is, yes.11:18QCan you think of any Yahoo properties in the11:18top ten of Yahoo properties in terms of number of11:18users that aren't advertising supported?11:18AAgain, today, Messenger is probably the one11:18that, at times, has not been has not had11:18advertising advertisements, and that is probably a11:18top ten or at least was a top ten property at some11:18point.11:18QBut Messenger has advertisements on it today?11:18but I'm not I can't say that definitively.11:18QAll right.11:18	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	advertising supported. I think that's probably 11:19 accurate, but without looking at the list, I can't say 11:19 for sure. 11:19 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Now, does Yahoo develop any 11:19 software that it would call "open source" software? 11:19 A Yes. 11:19 Q Okay. What software does Yahoo develop that 11:19 it would call "open source" software? 11:19 A Well, it's I mean, it's you are you 11:19 asking which open source? Because, by definition, 11:19 open source tends to involve other parties outside of 11:19 Yahoo. So I'm not sure. Are you asking 11:19 Q Let me ask a different question. 11:20 A what open source projects Yahoo 11:20 contributes to? 11:20
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A Might be Search. I'm not sure. 11:18 Q Okay. Yahoo Search is also advertising 11:18 supported? 11:18 A Search is, yes. 11:18 Q Can you think of any Yahoo properties in the 11:18 top ten of Yahoo properties in terms of number of 11:18 users that aren't advertising supported? 11:18 A Again, today, Messenger is probably the one 11:18 that, at times, has not been has not had 11:18 advertising advertisements, and that is probably a 11:18 top ten or at least was a top ten property at some 11:18 point. 11:18 Q But Messenger has advertisements on it today? 11:18 A I don't know if it does. I think it does, 11:18 but I'm not I can't say that definitively. 11:18 A And there's some versions that I know of that 11:18	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	advertising supported. I think that's probably 11:19 accurate, but without looking at the list, I can't say 11:19 for sure. 11:19 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Now, does Yahoo develop any 11:19 software that it would call "open source" software? 11:19 A Yes. 11:19 Q Okay. What software does Yahoo develop that 11:19 it would call "open source" software? 11:19 A Well, it's I mean, it's you are you 11:19 asking which open source? Because, by definition, 11:19 open source tends to involve other parties outside of 11:19 Yahoo. So I'm not sure. Are you asking 11:19 Q Let me ask a different question. 11:20 A what open source projects Yahoo 11:20 contributes to? 11:20 A So I can't name them all, but 11:20
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	AMight be Search. I'm not sure.11:18QOkay. Yahoo Search is also advertising11:18supported?11:18ASearch is, yes.11:18QCan you think of any Yahoo properties in the11:18top ten of Yahoo properties in terms of number of11:18users that aren't advertising supported?11:18AAgain, today, Messenger is probably the one11:18that, at times, has not been has not had11:18advertising advertisements, and that is probably a11:18top ten or at least was a top ten property at some11:18point.11:18QBut Messenger has advertisements on it today?11:18but I'm not I can't say that definitively.11:18QAll right.11:18	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	advertising supported. I think that's probably11:19accurate, but without looking at the list, I can't say11:19for sure.11:19MR. BUDWIN: Q. Now, does Yahoo develop any11:19software that it would call "open source" software?11:19A Yes.11:19Q Okay. What software does Yahoo develop that11:19it would call "open source" software?11:19A Yes.11:19A Well, it's I mean, it's you are you11:19asking which open source? Because, by definition,11:19open source tends to involve other parties outside of11:19Yahoo. So I'm not sure. Are you asking11:19Q Let me ask a different question.11:20A what open source projects Yahoo11:20Q What open source projects does Yahoo11:20contributes to?11:20It is to?11:20

	Page 106		Page 107
1	aware of, but some that I can think of right here 11:20	1	some of which have advertisements. 11:21
2	would be AdUp, YUI, FreeBSD. 11:20	2	Q Okay. And you also mentioned PHP and Perl as 11:21
3	Q Apache? 11:20	3	open source projects that Yahoo has contributed to? 11:21
4	A Apache. Yahoo or Apache Traffic Server or 11:20	4	A Yes. 11:21
5	whatever it's called now. I think it's called Apache 11:20	5	Q Okay. And those are programming languages? 11:21
6	Server. 11:20	6	A Yes. 11:21
7	Q What about My SQL or SQL? Anything like 11:20	7	Q And does Yahoo code some of its pages or web 11:22
8	that? 11:20	8	applications using PHP and Perl? 11:22
9	A I don't know that we've ever contributed 11:20	9	A Some of our pages and web applications. 11:22
10	anything to my SQL. PHP, I think, we contributed to. 11:20	10	I mean, it's a very vague question again, but 11:22
11	I think, probably Perl we contributed to. Linux we 11:20	11	we do use Perl in various ways, and we use PHP. 11:22
12	contributed to. 11:21	12	Q What what Yahoo properties use PHP? 11:22
13	Q Okay. So let's just some examples of open 11:21	13	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 11:22
14	1 5	14	THE WITNESS: Which properties? I can't I 11:22
15	Linux, Perl, PHP Apache and FreeBSD? 11:21	15	wouldn't be able to list all the properties. 11:22
16		16	MR. BUDWIN: Q. So let me ask let me 11:22
17		17	does Yahoo Search use PHP?11:22
18	them, and again, there're probably many more. 11:21	18	A I believe the current version of Yahoo uses 11:22
19		19	РНР. 11:22
20	web pages; does it not? 11:21	20	Q Does Yahoo Search use Perl? 11:22
21	A Yahoo uses Apache to serve some web pages. 11:21	21	A Does Yahoo Search use Perl again, a very 11:22
22	Q And Yahoo uses its Apache web servers to 11:21	22	vague Yahoo Search is a very large and 11:22
23	serve web pages with advertisements on them; does it 11:21	23	encompassing, complex system. 11:22
24 25	not? 11:21	24	Are you asking is 11:22
20	A Yahoo uses Apache to serve some web pages and 11:21	20	Q Are you aware 11:22
	Page 108		Page 109
1	A anywhere within Yahoo Search is there any 11:22		then show it on this page; right? 11:23
2	Perl code? 11:22	2	A That wouldn't be in PHP. 11:24
3 4	Q Are aware of any Perl code within Yahoo 11:22 Search? 11:23	3	Q Okay. So so we talked about open source 11:24
4 5		45	projects that Yahoo is involved with include Apache 11:24 and Linux. Those are two; right? 11:24
6	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 11:23 Today? 11:23	6	A Yes. 11:24
7	MR. BUDWIN: Today. 11:23		Q And FreeBSD is the third? 11:24
8	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I mean, today, I 11:23	8	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 11:24
9	don't Search has gone through a lot of changes 11:23	9	THE WITNESS: The third? What do you mean? 11:24
10	recently. I would be un so I don't have specific 11:23	10	MR. BUDWIN: Q. Is a third open source 11:24
11		11	project that Yahoo is involved with? 11:24
12		12	A We have we are involved in FreeBSD, that's 11:24
13		13	correct. 11:24
14		14	Q And Apache is a type of web server? 11:24
15	MR. BUDWIN: Q. Does Yahoo Mail use PHP? 11:23	15	A Apache is a type of web server, yes. 11:24
16	A Yahoo Mail, in some cases, does use PHP. 11:23	16	Q Okay. And FreeBSD also runs on at least some 11:24
17	Q Does Yahoo Mail use Perl? 11:23	17	Yahoo servers? 11:24
18	A I believe there is Perl in parts of Yahoo 11:23	18	A FreeBSD does, yes. 11:24
19	Mail. 11:23	19	Q And Yahoo's web servers use Linux as the 11:24
20	Q Does Yahoo use PHP to serve any 11:23	20	operating system? 11:24
		21	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 11:24
21	advertisements? 11:23		
22	A I'm not sure what you mean by serve 11:23	22	THE WITNESS: Say that again. 11:24
22 23	AI'm not sure what you mean by serve11:23advertisements.11:23	22 23	THE WITNESS: Say that again.11:24MR. BUDWIN: Q. Yahoo's web servers use11:24
22	A I'm not sure what you mean by serve 11:23	22	THE WITNESS: Say that again. 11:24

	Page 110		Page 111
1	Q Okay. 11:24	1	like Apache, FreeBSD, and Linux out of some, you know, 11:26
2	A use Linux. 11:24	2	sense of fairness or just wanting to give things away 11:26
3	Q So some Yahoo web servers use Linux, some 11:24	3	for free; is it? 11:26
4	Yahoo web servers use Apache, and some Yahoo web 11:25	4	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 11:26
5	servers use FreeSB FreeBSD; fair? 11:25	5	THE WITNESS: Yeah, what's I'm not 11:26
6	A Fair. 11:25	6	MR. BUDWIN: Okay. 11:26
7	Q And Yahoo uses its web servers to serve pages 11:25	7	THE WITNESS: What's the question? 11:26
8	to users? 11:25	8	MR. BUDWIN: Q. Yahoo contributes time and 11:26
9	A And Yahoo uses its web servers. 11:25	9	manpower to open source projects like Apache, FreeBSD 11:26
10	In some cases, yes. 11:25	10	and Linux; right? 11:26
11	Q Okay. And Yahoo has properties and web pages 11:25	11	A We have contributed to those projects 11:26
12	that are supported using advertisements that are also 11:25	12	Q Okay. 11:26
13	served from Yahoo web servers? 11:25	13	A correct. 11:26
14	A Yahoo has properties sorry. What was the 11:25	14	Q And then Yahoo takes those technologies, 11:26
15	question? 11:25	15	Apache, FreeBSD, and Linux, and uses those to help it 11:26
16	Q Okay. And Yahoo has properties and web 11:25	16	serve its web pages and help Yahoo generate revenue; 11:26
17	servers that are supported using advertisements, and 11:25	17	right? 11:26
18	those are served from Yahoo's web servers? 11:25	18	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 11:26
19	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 11:25	19	You can answer. 11:26
20	THE WITNESS: I mean, I'm not it's a 11:26	20	
20		20	THE WITNESS: I mean, we use depending on 11:26 what technology you're talking about, it's used for 11:26
21	little unclear what the question is, but we do have 11:26 Yahoo properties that are served from our web servers. 11:26	22	different purposes within Yahoo. 11:26
22	MR. BUDWIN: Okay. Let me let me ask you 11:26	23	MR. BUDWIN: Q. Do all of Yahoo's web 11:26
23	a question. 11:26	24	servers run FreeBSD? 11:26
24 25	Q Yahoo is not involved in open source projects 11:26	24	A No. 11:27
25		25	A NO. 11.27
			- 110
1	Page 112		Page 113
1	Q Okay. How many? 11:27	1	Linux are all things that are used on at least some of 11:27
2	QOkay. How many?11:27AHow many what?11:27	2	Linux are all things that are used on at least some of 11:27 Yahoo's web servers? 11:27
2 3	QOkay. How many?11:27AHow many what?11:27QHow many Yahoo web servers run FreeBSD?11:27	2 3	Linux are all things that are used on at least some of 11:27 Yahoo's web servers? 11:27 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 11:27
2 3 4	QOkay. How many?11:27AHow many what?11:27QHow many Yahoo web servers run FreeBSD?11:27AHow many Yahoo web servers?11:27	2 3 4	Linux are all things that are used on at least some of 11:27 Yahoo's web servers? 11:27 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 11:27 You can answer. 11:27
2 3 4 5	QOkay. How many?11:27AHow many what?11:27QHow many Yahoo web servers run FreeBSD?11:27AHow many Yahoo web servers?11:27Well, I guess I should back up.11:27	2 3 4 5	Linux are all things that are used on at least some of 11:27 Yahoo's web servers? 11:27 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 11:27 You can answer. 11:27 THE WITNESS: We use Apache on some of our 11:27
2 3 4 5 6	QOkay. How many?11:27AHow many what?11:27QHow many Yahoo web servers run FreeBSD?11:27AHow many Yahoo web servers?11:27Well, I guess I should back up.11:27What's your definition of a "web server"?11:27	2 3 4 5 6	Linux are all things that are used on at least some of 11:27 Yahoo's web servers? 11:27 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 11:27 You can answer. 11:27 THE WITNESS: We use Apache on some of our 11:27 web servers. We use Linux on some of our web servers. 11:27
2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q Okay. How many? 11:27 A How many what? 11:27 Q How many Yahoo web servers run FreeBSD? 11:27 A How many Yahoo web servers? 11:27 Well, I guess I should back up. 11:27 What's your definition of a "web server"? 11:27 Q Are you serious? You don't you don't know 11:27 	2 3 4 5 6 7	Linux are all things that are used on at least some of 11:27 Yahoo's web servers? 11:27 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 11:27 You can answer. 11:27 THE WITNESS: We use Apache on some of our 11:27 web servers. We use Linux on some of our web servers. 11:27 MR. BUDWIN: Q. And you use those 11:28
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	QOkay. How many?11:27AHow many what?11:27QHow many Yahoo web servers run FreeBSD?11:27AHow many Yahoo web servers?11:27Well, I guess I should back up.11:27What's your definition of a "web server"?11:27QAre you serious? You don't you don't know11:27what a web server is?11:27	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Linux are all things that are used on at least some of 11:27 Yahoo's web servers? 11:27 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 11:27 You can answer. 11:27 THE WITNESS: We use Apache on some of our 11:27 web servers. We use Linux on some of our web servers. 11:27 MR. BUDWIN: Q. And you use those 11:28 technologies Apache, FreeBSD, and Linux to serve 11:28
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	QOkay. How many?11:27AHow many what?11:27QHow many Yahoo web servers run FreeBSD?11:27AHow many Yahoo web servers?11:27Well, I guess I should back up.11:27What's your definition of a "web server"?11:27QAre you serious? You don't you don't know11:27what a web server is?11:27AWell, it's we have many servers.11:27	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Linux are all things that are used on at least some of 11:27 Yahoo's web servers? 11:27 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 11:27 You can answer. 11:27 THE WITNESS: We use Apache on some of our 11:27 web servers. We use Linux on some of our web servers. 11:27 MR. BUDWIN: Q. And you use those 11:28 technologies Apache, FreeBSD, and Linux to serve 11:28 some Yahoo properties that are involved in generating 11:28
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	QOkay. How many?11:27AHow many what?11:27QHow many Yahoo web servers run FreeBSD?11:27AHow many Yahoo web servers?11:27Well, I guess I should back up.11:27What's your definition of a "web server"?11:27QAre you serious? You don't you don't know 11:27what a web server is?11:27AWell, it's we have many servers.11:27QOkay.11:27	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Linux are all things that are used on at least some of 11:27 Yahoo's web servers? 11:27 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 11:27 You can answer. 11:27 THE WITNESS: We use Apache on some of our 11:27 web servers. We use Linux on some of our web servers. 11:27 MR. BUDWIN: Q. And you use those 11:28 technologies Apache, FreeBSD, and Linux to serve 11:28 some Yahoo properties that are involved in generating 11:28 revenue for Yahoo? 11:28
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	QOkay. How many?11:27AHow many what?11:27QHow many Yahoo web servers run FreeBSD?11:27AHow many Yahoo web servers?11:27Well, I guess I should back up.11:27What's your definition of a "web server"?11:27QAre you serious? You don't you don't know 11:27what a web server is?11:27AWell, it's we have many servers.11:27QOkay.11:27AAnd we have many different tiers in our11:27	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Linux are all things that are used on at least some of 11:27 Yahoo's web servers? 11:27 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 11:27 You can answer. 11:27 THE WITNESS: We use Apache on some of our 11:27 web servers. We use Linux on some of our web servers. 11:27 MR. BUDWIN: Q. And you use those 11:28 technologies Apache, FreeBSD, and Linux to serve 11:28 some Yahoo properties that are involved in generating 11:28 revenue for Yahoo? 11:28 A So we use 11:28
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	QOkay. How many?11:27AHow many what?11:27QHow many Yahoo web servers run FreeBSD?11:27AHow many Yahoo web servers?11:27Well, I guess I should back up.11:27What's your definition of a "web server"?11:27QAre you serious? You don't you don't know11:27what a web server is?11:27AWell, it's we have many servers.11:27QOkay.11:27AAnd we have many different tiers in our11:27QLet's just let's just drop this line of11:27	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Linux are all things that are used on at least some of 11:27 Yahoo's web servers? 11:27 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 11:27 You can answer. 11:27 THE WITNESS: We use Apache on some of our 11:27 web servers. We use Linux on some of our web servers. 11:27 MR. BUDWIN: Q. And you use those 11:28 technologies Apache, FreeBSD, and Linux to serve 11:28 some Yahoo properties that are involved in generating 11:28 revenue for Yahoo? 11:28 A So we use 11:28 MS. DOAN: Objection; form, but you can 11:28
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	QOkay. How many?11:27AHow many what?11:27QHow many Yahoo web servers run FreeBSD?11:27AHow many Yahoo web servers?11:27Well, I guess I should back up.11:27What's your definition of a "web server"?11:27QAre you serious? You don't you don't know 11:27what a web server is?11:27AWell, it's we have many servers.11:27QOkay.11:27QOkay.11:27QLet's just let's just drop this line of11:27questioning. Let me come back to it.11:27	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Linux are all things that are used on at least some of 11:27 Yahoo's web servers? 11:27 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 11:27 You can answer. 11:27 THE WITNESS: We use Apache on some of our 11:27 web servers. We use Linux on some of our web servers. 11:27 MR. BUDWIN: Q. And you use those 11:28 technologies Apache, FreeBSD, and Linux to serve 11:28 some Yahoo properties that are involved in generating 11:28 revenue for Yahoo? 11:28 A So we use 11:28 MS. DOAN: Objection; form, but you can 11:28 answer. 11:28
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	QOkay. How many?11:27AHow many what?11:27QHow many Yahoo web servers run FreeBSD?11:27AHow many Yahoo web servers?11:27Well, I guess I should back up.11:27What's your definition of a "web server"?11:27QAre you serious? You don't you don't know 11:27what a web server is?11:27QOkay.11:27QOkay.11:27QOkay.11:27QLet's just let's just drop this line of11:27Questioning. Let me come back to it.11:27Okay. Yahoo contributes to open source11:27	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Linux are all things that are used on at least some of 11:27 Yahoo's web servers? 11:27 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 11:27 You can answer. 11:27 THE WITNESS: We use Apache on some of our 11:27 web servers. We use Linux on some of our web servers. 11:27 MR. BUDWIN: Q. And you use those 11:28 technologies Apache, FreeBSD, and Linux to serve 11:28 some Yahoo properties that are involved in generating 11:28 revenue for Yahoo? 11:28 A So we use 11:28 MS. DOAN: Objection; form, but you can 11:28 answer. 11:28 THE WITNESS: Again, more specifically, we 11:28
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	QOkay. How many?11:27AHow many what?11:27QHow many Yahoo web servers run FreeBSD?11:27AHow many Yahoo web servers?11:27Well, I guess I should back up.11:27What's your definition of a "web server"?11:27QAre you serious? You don't you don't know 11:27what a web server is?11:27QOkay.11:27QOkay.11:27AMell, it's we have many servers.11:27QOkay.11:27AAnd we have many different tiers in our11:27QLet's just let's just drop this line of11:27questioning. Let me come back to it.11:27Okay. Yahoo contributes to open source11:27projects; does it not?11:27	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Linux are all things that are used on at least some of 11:27 Yahoo's web servers? 11:27 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 11:27 You can answer. 11:27 THE WITNESS: We use Apache on some of our 11:27 web servers. We use Linux on some of our web servers. 11:27 MR. BUDWIN: Q. And you use those 11:28 technologies Apache, FreeBSD, and Linux to serve 11:28 some Yahoo properties that are involved in generating 11:28 revenue for Yahoo? 11:28 A So we use 11:28 MS. DOAN: Objection; form, but you can 11:28 answer. 11:28 THE WITNESS: Again, more specifically, we 11:28 use Linux on some of our web servers, and some of 11:28
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	QOkay. How many?11:27AHow many what?11:27QHow many Yahoo web servers run FreeBSD?11:27AHow many Yahoo web servers?11:27Well, I guess I should back up.11:27What's your definition of a "web server"?11:27QAre you serious? You don't you don't know 11:27what a web server is?11:27AWell, it's we have many servers.11:27QOkay.11:27AAnd we have many different tiers in our11:27QLet's just let's just drop this line of11:27questioning. Let me come back to it.11:27Okay. Yahoo contributes to open source11:27AYes, we do.11:27	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Linux are all things that are used on at least some of 11:27 Yahoo's web servers? 11:27 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 11:27 You can answer. 11:27 THE WITNESS: We use Apache on some of our 11:27 web servers. We use Linux on some of our web servers. 11:27 MR. BUDWIN: Q. And you use those 11:28 technologies Apache, FreeBSD, and Linux to serve 11:28 some Yahoo properties that are involved in generating 11:28 revenue for Yahoo? 11:28 A So we use 11:28 MS. DOAN: Objection; form, but you can 11:28 answer. 11:28 THE WITNESS: Again, more specifically, we 11:28 use Linux on some of our web servers, and some of 11:28 those web servers are used to serve properties like 11:28
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	QOkay. How many?11:27AHow many what?11:27QHow many Yahoo web servers run FreeBSD?11:27AHow many Yahoo web servers?11:27Well, I guess I should back up.11:27What's your definition of a "web server"?11:27QAre you serious? You don't you don't know 11:27what a web server is?11:27AWell, it's we have many servers.11:27QOkay.11:27AAnd we have many different tiers in our11:27QLet's just let's just drop this line of11:27questioning. Let me come back to it.11:27Okay. Yahoo contributes to open source11:27AYes, we do.11:27QOkay. And examples of open source projects11:27	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Linux are all things that are used on at least some of 11:27 Yahoo's web servers? 11:27 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 11:27 You can answer. 11:27 THE WITNESS: We use Apache on some of our 11:27 web servers. We use Linux on some of our web servers. 11:27 MR. BUDWIN: Q. And you use those 11:28 technologies Apache, FreeBSD, and Linux to serve 11:28 some Yahoo properties that are involved in generating 11:28 revenue for Yahoo? 11:28 A So we use 11:28 MS. DOAN: Objection; form, but you can 11:28 answer. 11:28 THE WITNESS: Again, more specifically, we 11:28 use Linux on some of our web servers, and some of 11:28 those web servers are used to serve properties like 11:28 Yahoo Mail, and some of those properties have 11:28
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	QOkay. How many?11:27AHow many what?11:27QHow many Yahoo web servers run FreeBSD?11:27AHow many Yahoo web servers?11:27Well, I guess I should back up.11:27What's your definition of a "web server"?11:27QAre you serious? You don't you don't know11:27what a web server is?11:27AWell, it's we have many servers.11:27QOkay.11:27QOkay.11:27QLet's just let's just drop this line of11:27questioning. Let me come back to it.11:27projects; does it not?11:27AYes, we do.11:27QOkay. And examples of open source projects11:27that Yahoo contributes people and time and effort to11:27	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Linux are all things that are used on at least some of 11:27 Yahoo's web servers? 11:27 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 11:27 You can answer. 11:27 THE WITNESS: We use Apache on some of our 11:27 web servers. We use Linux on some of our web servers. 11:27 MR. BUDWIN: Q. And you use those 11:28 technologies Apache, FreeBSD, and Linux to serve 11:28 some Yahoo properties that are involved in generating 11:28 revenue for Yahoo? 11:28 A So we use 11:28 MS. DOAN: Objection; form, but you can 11:28 answer. 11:28 THE WITNESS: Again, more specifically, we 11:28 use Linux on some of our web servers, and some of 11:28 those web servers are used to serve properties like 11:28 Yahoo Mail, and some of those properties have 11:28 advertisements on them. 11:28
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	QOkay. How many?11:27AHow many what?11:27QHow many Yahoo web servers run FreeBSD?11:27AHow many Yahoo web servers?11:27Well, I guess I should back up.11:27What's your definition of a "web server"?11:27QAre you serious? You don't you don't know 11:27what a web server is?11:27AWell, it's we have many servers.11:27QOkay.11:27QOkay.11:27QLet's just let's just drop this line of11:27questioning. Let me come back to it.11:27Okay. Yahoo contributes to open source11:27AYes, we do.11:27QOkay. And examples of open source projects11:27that Yahoo contributes people and time and effort to11:27are Apache, FreeBSD, and Linux; right?11:27	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Linux are all things that are used on at least some of 11:27 Yahoo's web servers? 11:27 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 11:27 You can answer. 11:27 THE WITNESS: We use Apache on some of our 11:27 web servers. We use Linux on some of our web servers. 11:27 MR. BUDWIN: Q. And you use those 11:28 technologies Apache, FreeBSD, and Linux to serve 11:28 some Yahoo properties that are involved in generating 11:28 revenue for Yahoo? 11:28 A So we use 11:28 MS. DOAN: Objection; form, but you can 11:28 answer. 11:28 THE WITNESS: Again, more specifically, we 11:28 use Linux on some of our web servers, and some of 11:28 those web servers are used to serve properties like 11:28 Yahoo Mail, and some of those properties have 11:28 MR. BUDWIN: Okay. Let's talk about specific 11:28
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	QOkay. How many?11:27AHow many what?11:27QHow many Yahoo web servers run FreeBSD?11:27AHow many Yahoo web servers?11:27Well, I guess I should back up.11:27What's your definition of a "web server"?11:27QAre you serious? You don't you don't know 11:27what a web server is?11:27AWell, it's we have many servers.11:27QOkay.11:27AAnd we have many different tiers in our11:27QLet's just let's just drop this line of11:27questioning. Let me come back to it.11:27Okay. Yahoo contributes to open source11:27Projects; does it not?11:27QOkay. And examples of open source projects11:27that Yahoo contributes people and time and effort to11:27MS. DOAN: Objection; form.11:27	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Linux are all things that are used on at least some of 11:27 Yahoo's web servers? 11:27 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 11:27 You can answer. 11:27 THE WITNESS: We use Apache on some of our 11:27 web servers. We use Linux on some of our web servers. 11:27 MR. BUDWIN: Q. And you use those 11:28 technologies Apache, FreeBSD, and Linux to serve 11:28 some Yahoo properties that are involved in generating 11:28 revenue for Yahoo? 11:28 A So we use 11:28 MS. DOAN: Objection; form, but you can 11:28 answer. 11:28 THE WITNESS: Again, more specifically, we 11:28 use Linux on some of our web servers, and some of 11:28 those web servers are used to serve properties like 11:28 Yahoo Mail, and some of those properties have 11:28 advertisements on them. 11:28 MR. BUDWIN: Okay. Let's talk about specific 11:28 properties. 11:28
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	QOkay. How many?11:27AHow many what?11:27QHow many Yahoo web servers run FreeBSD?11:27AHow many Yahoo web servers?11:27Well, I guess I should back up.11:27What's your definition of a "web server"?11:27QAre you serious? You don't you don't know 11:27what a web server is?11:27AWell, it's we have many servers.11:27QOkay.11:27AAnd we have many different tiers in our11:27QLet's just let's just drop this line of11:27questioning. Let me come back to it.11:27Okay. Yahoo contributes to open source11:27Projects; does it not?11:27QOkay. And examples of open source projects11:27that Yahoo contributes people and time and effort to11:27MS. DOAN: Objection; form.11:27You can answer.11:27	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Linux are all things that are used on at least some of 11:27 Yahoo's web servers? 11:27 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 11:27 You can answer. 11:27 THE WITNESS: We use Apache on some of our 11:27 web servers. We use Linux on some of our web servers. 11:27 MR. BUDWIN: Q. And you use those 11:28 technologies Apache, FreeBSD, and Linux to serve 11:28 some Yahoo properties that are involved in generating 11:28 revenue for Yahoo? 11:28 A So we use 11:28 MS. DOAN: Objection; form, but you can 11:28 answer. 11:28 THE WITNESS: Again, more specifically, we 11:28 use Linux on some of our web servers, and some of 11:28 those web servers are used to serve properties like 11:28 Yahoo Mail, and some of those properties have 11:28 advertisements on them. 11:28 MR. BUDWIN: Okay. Let's talk about specific 11:28 properties. 11:28 Q Do you know the technologies that underlie 11:28
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	QOkay. How many?11:27AHow many what?11:27QHow many Yahoo web servers run FreeBSD?11:27AHow many Yahoo web servers?11:27Well, I guess I should back up.11:27What's your definition of a "web server"?11:27QAre you serious? You don't you don't know 11:27what a web server is?11:27AWell, it's we have many servers.11:27QOkay.11:27AAnd we have many different tiers in our11:27QLet's just let's just drop this line of11:27questioning. Let me come back to it.11:27Okay. Yahoo contributes to open source11:27projects; does it not?11:27QOkay. And examples of open source projects11:27that Yahoo contributes people and time and effort to11:27MS. DOAN: Objection; form.11:27You can answer.11:27THE WITNESS: We contribute to we've11:27	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Linux are all things that are used on at least some of 11:27 Yahoo's web servers? 11:27 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 11:27 You can answer. 11:27 THE WITNESS: We use Apache on some of our 11:27 web servers. We use Linux on some of our web servers. 11:27 MR. BUDWIN: Q. And you use those 11:28 technologies Apache, FreeBSD, and Linux to serve 11:28 some Yahoo properties that are involved in generating 11:28 revenue for Yahoo? 11:28 A So we use 11:28 MS. DOAN: Objection; form, but you can 11:28 answer. 11:28 THE WITNESS: Again, more specifically, we 11:28 those web servers are used to serve properties like 11:28 those web servers are used to serve properties like 11:28 Yahoo Mail, and some of those properties have 11:28 MR. BUDWIN: Okay. Let's talk about specific 11:28 properties. 11:28 Q Do you know the technologies that underlie 11:28 the Yahoo Mail servers? 11:28
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	QOkay. How many?11:27AHow many what?11:27QHow many Yahoo web servers run FreeBSD?11:27AHow many Yahoo web servers?11:27Well, I guess I should back up.11:27What's your definition of a "web server"?11:27QAre you serious? You don't you don't know11:27what a web server is?11:27AWell, it's we have many servers.11:27QOkay.11:27QOkay.11:27QOkay.11:27QLet's just let's just drop this line of11:27questioning. Let me come back to it.11:27Okay. Yahoo contributes to open source11:27Projects; does it not?11:27QOkay. And examples of open source projects11:27that Yahoo contributes people and time and effort to11:27You can answer.11:27You can answer.11:27THE WITNESS: We contribute to we've11:27contributed to FreeBSD, Apache, and Linux to some11:27	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Linux are all things that are used on at least some of 11:27 Yahoo's web servers? 11:27 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 11:27 You can answer. 11:27 THE WITNESS: We use Apache on some of our 11:27 web servers. We use Linux on some of our web servers. 11:27 MR. BUDWIN: Q. And you use those 11:28 technologies Apache, FreeBSD, and Linux to serve 11:28 some Yahoo properties that are involved in generating 11:28 revenue for Yahoo? 11:28 A So we use 11:28 MS. DOAN: Objection; form, but you can 11:28 answer. 11:28 THE WITNESS: Again, more specifically, we 11:28 use Linux on some of our web servers, and some of 11:28 those web servers are used to serve properties like 11:28 Yahoo Mail, and some of those properties have 11:28 MR. BUDWIN: Okay. Let's talk about specific 11:28 properties. 11:28 Q Do you know the technologies that underlie 11:28 the Yahoo Mail servers? 11:28 A The technologies? 11:28
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	QOkay. How many?11:27AHow many what?11:27QHow many Yahoo web servers run FreeBSD?11:27AHow many Yahoo web servers?11:27Well, I guess I should back up.11:27What's your definition of a "web server"?11:27QAre you serious? You don't you don't know 11:27what a web server is?11:27AWell, it's we have many servers.11:27QOkay.11:27AAnd we have many different tiers in our11:27QLet's just let's just drop this line of11:27questioning. Let me come back to it.11:27Okay. Yahoo contributes to open source11:27projects; does it not?11:27QOkay. And examples of open source projects11:27that Yahoo contributes people and time and effort to11:27MS. DOAN: Objection; form.11:27You can answer.11:27THE WITNESS: We contribute to we've11:27	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Linux are all things that are used on at least some of 11:27 Yahoo's web servers? 11:27 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 11:27 You can answer. 11:27 THE WITNESS: We use Apache on some of our 11:27 web servers. We use Linux on some of our web servers. 11:27 MR. BUDWIN: Q. And you use those 11:28 technologies Apache, FreeBSD, and Linux to serve 11:28 some Yahoo properties that are involved in generating 11:28 revenue for Yahoo? 11:28 A So we use 11:28 MS. DOAN: Objection; form, but you can 11:28 answer. 11:28 THE WITNESS: Again, more specifically, we 11:28 those web servers are used to serve properties like 11:28 those web servers are used to serve properties like 11:28 Yahoo Mail, and some of those properties have 11:28 MR. BUDWIN: Okay. Let's talk about specific 11:28 properties. 11:28 Q Do you know the technologies that underlie 11:28 the Yahoo Mail servers? 11:28

	Page 114		Page 115
1	you know generally what they are? 11:28	1	Search system that use Linux. 11:29
2	A Yes. 11:28	2	Q Okay. And within Yahoo Search, are there 11:29
3	Q Okay. Does Yahoo Mail use FreeBSD? 11:28	3	servers that run Apache? 11:29
4	A Yahoo Mail, yes, it does. 11:28	4	A Within Yahoo Search, yes, there are. 11:29
5	Q Okay. Does Yahoo Mail use Linux? 11:28	5	Q Okay. And Yahoo makes revenue from search by 11:29
6	A Yes, it does. 11:28	6	showing advertisements? 11:29
7	Q Does Yahoo Mail use Apache? 11:28	7	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 11:29
8	A Yes. 11:29	8	You may answer. 11:29
9	Q Okay. And Yahoo makes revenue from Yahoo 11:29	9	THE WITNESS: I think prime in part, 11:29
10	Mail by showing advertisements? 11:29	10	our we make I'm going to have to think for 11:30
11	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 11:29	11	Search. 11:30
12	You can answer. 11:29	12	For Search, it's primarily the primary 11:30
13	THE WITNESS: We make money by, yeah, 11:29	13	source of revenue on Search is from advertisements. 11:30
14	roughly, I mean 11:29	14	MR. BUDWIN: Okay. 11:30
15	MR. BUDWIN: Okay. 11:29	15	Q So you would agree with me that Yahoo 11:30
16	THE WITNESS: not quite precisely, yeah. 11:29	16	contributes people to open source projects like 11:30
17	MR. BUDWIN: Q. Do the servers that run 11:29	17	Apache, FreeBSD, and Linux, and then Yahoo itself 11:30
18	Yahoo Search use FreeBSD? 11:29	18	makes use of those those technologies in its 11:30
19	A Search. 11:29	19	business? 11:30
20	Again, within Yahoo Search, which is a very 11:29	20	A I don't know. When you say "contribute 11:30
21	complex system, there are probably some FreeBSD 11:29	21	people," we have contributed code 11:30
22	servers within that mix. 11:29	22	Q Okay. 11:30
23	Q All right. 11:29	23	A to those projects, and we do use those 11:30
24	Do Yahoo's servers that run Search use Linux? 11:29	24	technologies within Yahoo. 11:30
25	A There are some servers within the Yahoo 11:29	25	Q Okay. Yahoo has employees who are involved 11:30
	Page 116		Page 117
1	with open source projects like Apache, FreeBSD, and 11:30	1	business; right? 11:31
2	Linux? 11:30	2	A Yes, we do use those technologies. 11:31
3	A Yes. 11:30	3	Q And as we've talked about earlier, Yahoo is 11:31
4	Q Okay. And Yahoo has contributed code to open 11:30	4	in the business of making money and generating 11:31
5	source projects like Apache, FreeBSD, and Linux; 11:30	5	revenue? 11:31
б	right? 11:30	6	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 11:31
7	A Yes. 11:30	7	THE WITNESS: Well, we're in the business of 11:31
8	Q And then Yahoo makes use of those open source 11:30	8	providing web services to our consumers. 11:31
9	technologies like Apache, FreeBSD, and Linux in its 11:31	9	MR. BUDWIN: Q. And making revenue and money 11:32
10	normal business operations; does it not? 11:31	10	as a result of that? 11:32
11	A We do. I mean, I would just say that you've 11:31	11	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 11:32
12	been using Apache, Linux, and FreeBSD, and of those 11:31		THE WITNESS: And we make money to operate 11:32
13		13	the business, and as we've talked about, there's 11:32
14	Apache where again, over 15 years, we've contributed 11:31	14	different ways we generate revenue. 11:32
15	some. But I wouldn't necessarily use those three as 11:31	15	MR. BUDWIN: Q. One of the ways that Yahoo 11:32
16 17	the kind of primary examples 11:31	16	generates money the primary way that Yahoo 11:32
17	Q Okay. 11:31	17	generates money is by showing advertisements on its 11:32
18	A versus something like AdUp, FreeBSD, which 11:31	18	properties? 11:32
19	we're much more involved with. 11:31	19	A That's correct. 11:32
20	Q Let me ask you a more general question. 11:31	20	Q Okay. And the various open source 11:32
20		101	
21	Yahoo is involved in various open source 11:31	21	technologies that we've talked about help Yahoo 11:32
21 22	Yahoo is involved in various open source11:31projects that we've talked about today; right?11:31	22	accomplish its normal day-to-day business of serving 11:32
21 22 23	Yahoo is involved in various open source11:31projects that we've talked about today; right?11:31A Yes.11:31	22 23	accomplish its normal day-to-day business of serving 11:32 web pages, and advertisements, and all of those types 11:32
21 22	Yahoo is involved in various open source11:31projects that we've talked about today; right?11:31	22	accomplish its normal day-to-day business of serving 11:32

1very general statements, but we do use open source11:321QWP - when was the Spirit project11:342and services, II:321AI dorit have the exact date. In guessing - 11:344QAd Yahoo makes money in revenue from those11:325users?11:33AI dorit have the exact date. II:346users?II:33Frame, but 1 dorit have the exact date. II:347MS, DOAN: Objection fromII:33Predesign we've done many redesigns over the 11:348THE WTTNESS: Our primary source of revenueII:33N10on many of dones properties is advertisements.II:33N11QAre son finange value (all of Yahoo' from tage) and we nove veloc queueII:3412Spirit?II:33INovel constant many redesigns over the 11:3413A Yes.II:33INovel constant ming changes to the page14QWhat's Spirit?II:33I15A Spirit vas a name of a project that was -II:33I16had to do whit dwesign in down of the II:33II17QWere you involved in that project?II:3318A To some degree, yes, yes.II:3319QWhat was - what was your involvement in the II:3319QWhat was - what was your involvement in the II:3310QWere you involvement in the II:3412Spirit rocksign. W to make time or engaging II:3513ANo to one		Page 118	Page 119
21:34a and services.11:322andertaker?11:343and services.11:323A I don't have the exact that.Tustate.5properties and services by showing advertisements to11:321Ivould imagine it's kind of in the 2007-sh time11:347MS. DOAN: Objection; form.11:337N. Horn how that vanis (2007). But I think, 11:349on many of those properties is advertisements.11:331N. Horn how that vanis (2007). But I think, 11:3410Q. Kry torn formiany source of Valuo 11:331No state in 1994.11:3411Q. Are you familiar with something called Valuo 11:331No state in 1994.11:3412Spirit?11:3311No sow - we're constanty making charges eatier of what Yahoo 11:3413A. Yes.11:3311No sow - we're constanty making charges eatier of what Yahoo 11:3414Q. What Spirit?11:3311No sow - we're constanty making charges eatier of what Yahoo 11:3415A. Spirit?11:3311No sow - we're constanty making charges eatier of 11:3515A. Well, that was - I did most of the11:331116had to do with redesigning the front page11:33117Q. What was - what wa sour involvement in the11:33118A. Well, that was - I did most of the11:33119Q. What was - what was sould involvement in the11:3310Q.	1	very general statements, but we do use open source 11:32	1 O Why when was the Spirit project 11:34
3and services.11:323A I don't have the cauct due. IF musseling - II:344Q And Yahoo makes money in revenue from those 11:325France, but Jon't know the exact due. IF musseling - II:346users?II:3317MS, DOAN: Objection; form.II:3368THE WTTNESS: Our primary source of revenueII:3379on many of those properties is advertisements.II:33710Q Are yon familiar with something called YahooII:331011Q Are yon familiar with something called YahooII:331112Spirit?II:3312Sow on exer constally making changes to the page.13A Yes.II:3313a bit since then, and I d say very couple of years or II:3414to with redesigning the from page.II:331515A Spirit vas a name of a project that was -II:331616hal to do with redesigning the from page.II:331217Q Wear was -Hait muss -II:3318A To some degree, yes, yes.II:331219Q What was -Hait muss -II:3320Spirit redesign of Yahoo's from page?II:3321A Algain, this is just genetic for any property.II:3522spirit project, and so I was sell with was an II:341123spirit redesign?II:3524a Well, that was -Hait muss of our II:3525spirit project, and so I was sell with was an II:34 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>			
$ \begin{array}{ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3		
	4	Q And Yahoo makes money in revenue from those 11:32	
	5	-	e e
aTHE WITNESS: Our primary source of revenue11:33aAI don't know that it was in 2007, but 1 think11:349on many of those properties is advertisements.11:33with any redesign. we've dome many redesigns over the11:3411Q. Are you familiar with something called Yahoo11:3310so you can see that things have evolved quite11:3412Spirit?11:3312So you can see that things have evolved quite11:3413A Yes.11:3313a bit since then, and 1'd say every couple of years or 11:3414Q What's Spirit?11:3314so we - we're constantly making changes to the page, 11:3415hat to do with redesigning the front page.11:3316take a deeper look at things and name more substantial15A To some degree, yes, yes.11:3317changes to not just front page but to many our11:3519Q What was what was your involvement in the11:3317changes to not just front page11:3521A Well, that was I did most of the11:3421A I mean, I think the primary driver behind any 11:3522engineering of the front page will the that may11:3421A I mean, I think the primary driver behind any 11:3523spirit project, and so I was underway.11:3421A I mean, I think the primary driver behind any 11:3524engineering of the front page will the attriase the end to the Spirit 11:3511:3625for existing users to engage will the particular11:36<	6		6 Q Why did Yahoo feel the need to undertake the 11:34
9on many of those properties is advertisements.11:3310with any redesign, we've dome many redesigns over the11:3410Q. Are you familiar with something called Yahoo11:3310years that you showed me a page earlier of what Yahoo11:3412Spirit?11:331110looked like in 1994.11:3413A Yes.11:3313a bit since then, and Id say every couple of years or 11:34a bit since then, and Id say every couple of years or 11:3414Q What's Spirit?11:3314so we - we're constantly making changes to the page, 11:3315had to with redesigning the front page.11:3316take a depeer look at things and make more substantial 11:3517Q Ware you involved in that project?11:3317changes to not just front page but to many of our11:3518A To some degree, yes, yes.11:3313a degree look at things and make more substantial 11:3511:3520Spirit redesign of Yahoo's front page?11:3321A Hange, To thing any Li:351321A Well, that was did most of the11:3322redesign fu har well on any property 11:351323Spirit project, and so I was still involved with the 11:3424Q What yeelfic improvement was Yahoo trying to 11:3524A Again, this is just generic for any property, 11:3514the page more engaging, attractive, and relevant - 11:3635for to stim gueset to engage with the particular11:3511:361436know, more a	7	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 11:33	
10MR. BUDWIN: Okay.11:3310years that you showed me a page earlier of what Yahoo11:3411Q Are you familiar with something called Yahoo11:3311looked like in 1994.11:3413A Yes.11:3312So you can see that things have evolve quite 11:34a bit since then, and I'd say every couple of years or 11:3413A Yes.11:3314so we - we're constantly making changes to the page.11:3415A Spirit was a name of a project that was -11:3315but every couple of years I'd say we take a more we 11:3416had to do with redesigning the front page.11:3316take a deeper look at things and make more substantial16P Were you involved in that project?11:3318properties.11:3517O Were you involved mit the 11:3319Q What was the primary driver behind the Spirit 11:3518A To some degree, yes, yes.11:3321A I mean. I think the primary driver behind any 11:3521A Well, that was I did most of the11:3322redesign of Yahoo's front page?11:3523Spirit project, and so I was still involved with the 11:342314I mean. I think the primary driver behind any 11:3524engineering of the front page with the was 11:371344Q What yas pecific improvement was Yahoo trying to 11:3524transitioned or while that project was underway.11:341441635for existing users to engaging it 11:351the page m	8	THE WITNESS: Our primary source of revenue 11:33	8 A I don't know that it was in 2007, but I think 11:34
11QAre you familiar with something called Yahoo11:3311looked like in 1994.11:3412Spirit?11:3313ab is ince then, am I d say every couple of years or 11:3413AYes.11:3314so we - we're constantly making changes to the page, 11:3414QWhar's Spirit?11:3314so we - we're constantly making changes to the page, 11:3415ASpirit was a name of a project that was 11:3315take a deeper loof years I'd say we take a more - we thi.3415had to do with redesigning the front page.11:3316take a deeper loof years I'd say we take a more - we thi.3416had to do with redesigning the front page.11:3318properties.17QWhat was what was your involvement in the11:3319Q18A To some degree, yes, yes.11:3320Spirit redesign of Yahoo's front page with the page.21AWell, that was 16 did most of the11:3321redesign of Yahoo's front page whith that was11:3423spirit project, and so I was still involved with the 11:3321AI mean, this is just generic for any property.11:3524engineering of the front page whith that was11:3424QWat specific improvement was Yahoo trying to 11:3525transitioned or white that project was underway.11:351the page nore engaging, attractive, and relevant 11:3635for existing users to engage with the particular11:351 </td <td>9</td> <td>on many of those properties is advertisements. 11:33</td> <td>9 with any redesign, we've done many redesigns over the 11:34</td>	9	on many of those properties is advertisements. 11:33	9 with any redesign, we've done many redesigns over the 11:34
12Spirit?11:3312So you can see that things have evolved quite11:3413A Yes.11:3313abit since then, and I'd say very couple of years or 11:3414Q What's Spirit?11:3314so we - w're constandly making changes to the page.11:3415A Spirit was a name of a project that was -11:3315but every couple of years I'd say we take a more - we 11:3416had to do with redesigning the front page.11:3316take a deeper look at things and make more substantial 11:3516A To some degree, yes, yes.11:3319Q What was -+ that was your involvement in the 11:3319Q What was -+ did most of the11:3521A Well, that was 1 did most of the11:3321A I mean, I think the primary driver behind any 11:3512:3522engineering for the front page with that was11:342324Q What specific improvement was Yahoo trying to 11:3524engineering of the front page with that was11:3424Q What specific improvement was Yahoo trying to 11:3525transitioned or while that project was underway.11:351the page more engaging, attractive, and relevant -25to the site, and we also try to make things, you11:351the design of Yahoo's page?11:362but when we do redesigns, we try to make things, you11:351the design of Yaho's page?11:363know, more endersite, to transitioned or while that project was not engaging 11:351the design of Yaho's p	10	MR. BUDWIN: Okay. 11:33	10 years that you showed me a page earlier of what Yahoo 11:34
13A Yes.11:3313a bit since then, and Id say every couple of years or 11:3414Q What's Spirit?11:3314a bit since then, and Id say every couple of years or 11:3415M Spirit was a name of a project H was11:331516had to do with redesigning the front page.11:331617Q Were you involved in that project?11:331718A To some degree, yes, yes.11:331719Q What was what was you involvement in the11:331720Spirit redesign of Yahoo's front page?11:3521A Well, that was I did most of the11:3322engineering for the front page while that was11:3423Spirit project. and so I was still involved with the11:3424engineering for the front page while that was11:3425transitioned or while that project was underway.11:3426to the sis just generic for any property.11:3527for existing users to engage with the particular11:3528to the is is just generic for any property.11:3529the was thi more relevant.11:363for existing users to engage with the particular11:363for existing users to engage with the particular11:363for existing users to engage with the particular11:363for existing users to engage with the particular11:364the page more engaging, attractive, enging of aloo of goals 11:37	11	Q Are you familiar with something called Yahoo 11:33	11 looked like in 1994. 11:34
14QWhat's Spirit?11:3314so wewe're constantly making changes to the page, 11:3415A Spirit was a name of a project that was -11:3315but every couple of years IG say we take a morewe 11:3415had to do with redesigning the front page.11:3316but every couple of years IG say we take a morewe 11:3517QWere you involved in that project?11:331719QWhat was we have may of our11:3520Spirit redesign of Yahoo's front page?11:332021aWell, that wasu Idi most of the11:3322engineering for the front page kild of up unit the11:3423redesign that we do on any property of Yahoo is tory 11:3523Spirit project, and so I was still involved with the11:3423redesign that we do on any property of Yahoo is tory 11:3524engineering of the front page kild up unit the11:3424QWhat specific improvement was Yahoo trying to 11:3525transitioned or while that project was underway.11:3425redesign of Yahoo's fromt.11:3525to the site, and we also try to make ti more engaging 11:351the page more engaging, attractive, and relevant11:362personal to the individual. We try to add features11:361MS. DOAN:Objection; form.11:3636property more.11:3511MA but I would say I think it's fair to say 11:371137So we try to make it more relevant, more <t< td=""><td>12</td><td>Spirit? 11:33</td><td>12 So you can see that things have evolved quite 11:34</td></t<>	12	Spirit? 11:33	12 So you can see that things have evolved quite 11:34
15A Spirit was a name of a project that was 11:3315but every couple of years I'd say we take a more we 11:3416had to do with redesigning the front page.11:3315take a deeper look at things and make more substantial 11:3517Q Were you involvement in the 11:3317C What was what was your involvement in the 11:3319Q What was what was your involvement in the 11:3319Q What was hid most of the 11:3319Q What was hid most of the 11:3319Q What was the primary driver behind any 11:3521A Well, that was I did most of the 11:3321A Imean, I think the primary driver behind any 11:3511:3522engineering for the front page kind of up unil the 11:3421A Imean, I think the primary driver behind any 11:3511:3524engineering of the front page while that was 11:3411:3424Q What specific improvement was Yahoo trying to 11:3525transitioned or while that project was underway.11:3425make behind its Spirit redesign?11:353know, more attractive, to attract more users to come 11:351the page more engaging, attractive, and relevant11:363know, more attractive, to attract more users to come 11:3513redesign of Yahoo's page?11:374to the skie, and we also try to make it more relevant, more 11:3514MS. DOAN: Objection; form.11:363for the vising users would like, et cetera.11:3611:3611:3711:374to the shive dual.Wrub cod features 11:36	13	A Yes. 11:33	a bit since then, and I'd say every couple of years or 11:34
16had to do with redesigning the front page.11:3316take a deeper look at things and make more substantial 11:3517QWere you involved in that project?11:3317changes to not just from page but to many of our11:3518A To some degree, yes, yes.11:3317changes to not just from page but to many of our11:3519QWhat was	14		so we we're constantly making changes to the page, 11:34
17QWere you involved in that project?11:3317changes to not just front page but to many of our11:3518A To some degree, yes, yes.11:3318properties.11:3519QWhat was what was you involvement in the11:3319QWhat was the primary driver behind the Spirit20Spirit redesign of Yahoo's front page?11:3320redesign of Yahoo's front page?11:3521A Well, that was -1 did most of the11:3321A T mean, I think the primary driver behind any 11:3511:3521a Well, that was -1 did most of the11:3421a T mean, T think the primary driver behind any 11:3511:3522engineering for the front page while that was11:3422redesign that we do on any property of Yahoo is to try 11:3523transitioned or while that project was underway.11:3424QWhat specific improvement was Yahoo trying to 11:3524engineering of the front page while that was11:3425make behind its Spirit redesign?11:3525transitioned or while that project was underway.11:351the page more engaging, attractive, and relevant	15	A Spirit was a name of a project that was 11:33	but every couple of years I'd say we take a more we 11:34
18A To some degree, yes, yes.11:3318properties.11:3519Q What was - what was your involvement in the 11:3319Q What was the primary driver behind the Spirit 11:3520Spirit redesign of Yahoo's front page ?11:332021A Well, that was - 1 did most of the11:3321A I mean, I think the primary driver behind the Spirit 11:3522engineering of the front page kind of up until the11:3421A I mean, I think the primary driver behind the Spirit 11:3523spirit project, and so I was still involved with the11:3423improve it.24engineering of the front page kind ic that was11:3424Q What specific improvement was Yahoo trying to11:3525transitioned or while that project was underway.11:3425make behind its Spirit redesign?11:3525to the site, and we also try to make timore engaging11:351the page more engaging, attractive, and relevant11:362but when we do redesigns, we try to make it more engaging11:351the page more engaging, attractive, and relevant11:363k rowe and to the individual. We try to add features11:351the page more engaging, attractive, and relevant11:364that we think users would like, et cetera.11:361that those three that you just mentioned were probably 11:3711undertakes to redesign its pages, including its11:361Mk. BUDWIN: Okay.11:3712hoomepage, front page? <t< td=""><td>16</td><td></td><td></td></t<>	16		
19QWhat was - what was your involvement in the11:3319QWhat was the primary driver behind the Spirit11:3520Spirit redesign of Yahoo's front page?11:3320redesign of Yahoo's front page?11:3521AWell, that was -1 did most of the11:3321AImage. I think the primary driver behind any 11:3522engineering of the front page kind of up until the11:3323is improve it.11:3523Spirit project, and so I was still involved with the11:3423is improve it.11:3524engineering of the front page while that was11:3424QWhat specific improvement was Yahoo trying to11:3525transitioned or while that project was underway.11:3425make behind its Spirit redesign?11:3625but when we do redesigns, we try to make it more engaging11:351the page more engaging, attractive, and relevant -11:363know, more attractive, to attract more users to come11:351the page more engaging or Yahoo's page?11:363redesign of Yahoo's page?11:365THE WITNESS: I'd say there are a lot of11:374A Yea.11:3611QAnd how did Yahoo hope to accomplish the11:3719undertakes to redesign its pages, including its11:3611QAnd how did Yahoo hope to accomplish the11:3713A Yes.11:3611QAnd how did Yahoo hope to accomplish the11:37<			
20Spirit redesign of Yahoo's front page?11:3320redesign of Yahoo's front page?11:3521A Well, that was -1 did most of the11:3321A Imean, I think the primary driver behind any11:3522engineering for the front page while that was11:3421a Iman, I think the primary driver behind any11:3524engineering of the front page while that was11:3424Q What specific improvement was Yahoo trying to11:3525transitioned or while that project was underway.11:3425make behind its Spirit redesign?11:3525but when we do redesigns, we try to make things, you11:351the page more engaging, attractive, and relevant -11:363know, more attractive, to attract more users to come11:351the page more engaging of Yahoo's page?11:363know, more attractive, to attract more users to come11:351the page more engaging of Yahoo's page?11:365for existing users to engage with the particular11:351the page more engaging at tractive, and relevant -11:367So we try to make it more relevant, more11:351the symetroe engaging at tractive, and relevant -11:367So we try to make it more relevant, more11:361MS, DOAN: Objection; form.11:378personal to the individual. We try to add features11:361MR, BUDWIN: Okay.11:3710Q So there are various reasons why Yahoo11:361Q And how did Yahoo			
21A Well, that was I did most of the11:3321A I mean, I think the primary driver behind any11:3522engineering for the front page kind of up until the11:3321A I mean, I think the primary driver behind any11:3523Spirit project, and so I was still involved with the11:3421redesign that we do on any property of Yahoo is to try11:3524engineering of the front page while that was11:3423to improve it.11:3525transitioned or while that project was underway.11:3525make behind its Spirit redesign?11:3525but when we do redesigns, we try to make things, you11:351the page more engaging, attractive, and relevant11:363know, more attractive, to attract more users to come11:351the page more engaging of Yahoo's page?11:363to the site, and we also try to make it more engaging11:355THE WITNESS: I'd say there are a lot of goals11:374to the site more engage, including its11:361MR. BUDWIN: Okay.11:371undertakes to redesign its pages, including its11:361MR. BUDWIN: Okay.11:3713A Yes.11:361QAnd how did Yahoo hope to accomplish the11:3714Q And the leive you mentioned a couple of those11:361MR. BUDWIN: Okay.11:3715reasons. One is to make the page or the website more11:361What specific things were going to be all: 137 <td< td=""><td></td><td>· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·</td><td></td></td<>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
22 engineering for the front page kind of up until the 11:33 22 redesign that we do on any property of Yahoo is to try 11:35 23 Spirit project, and so I was still involved with the 11:34 22 to improve it. 11:35 24 engineering of the front page while that was 11:34 24 Q What specific improvement was Yahoo trying to 11:35 25 transitioned or while that project was underway. 11:34 25 make behind its Spirit redesign? 11:35 26 Page 120 Page 121 Page 1220 Page 122 1 A Again, this is just generic for any property. 11:35 2 but when we do redesigns, we try to make ti more users to come 11:35 1 the page more engaging, attractive, and relevant - 11:36 11:36 3 for crisiting users to engage with the particular 11:35 11:35 factors that underlined the the need for the Spirit 11:36 11:36 4 to the siting users would like, et cetera. 11:35 11:36 reasons that went into that redesign or a lot of goals 11:37 7 So we try to make it more relevant. more 11:36 11:36 11:37 that we think users would like, et cetera. 11:36 10 Q So there are various reasons why Yahoo 11:36 11 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>			
23 Spirit project, and so I was still involved with the 11:34 23 to improve it. 11:35 24 engineering of the front page while that was 11:34 24 Q What specific improvement was Yahoo trying to 11:35 25 transitioned or while that project was underway. 11:34 25 make behind its Spirit redesign? 11:35 26 Page 120 Page 121 Page 121 1 the page more engaging, attractive, ond relevant - 11:36 2 but when we do redesigns, we try to make things, you 11:35 1 the page more engaging, attractive, and relevant - 11:36 3 know, more attractive, to attract more users to come 11:35 4 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 11:36 5 for existing users to engage with the particular 11:35 5 THE WITNESS: Id say there are alot of 11:36 6 property more. 11:35 7 that it had, but I would say I think it's fair to say 11:37 7 so there are various reasons why Yahoo 11:36 11 Q And Now did Yahoo hope to accomplish the 9 part of the overall Spirit redesign ? 11:37 11:37 and relevant as part of its Spirit redesign? 11:37 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>			
24engineering of the front page while that was11:3424QWhat specific improvement was Yahoo trying to11:3525transitioned or while that project was underway.11:3425make behind its Spirit redesign?11:3526Page 120Page 1211A Again, this is just generic for any property, 11:351the page more engaging, attractive, and relevant			
25 transitioned or while that project was underway. 11:34 25 make behind its Spirit redesign? 11:35 Page 120 Page 121 1 A Again, this is just generic for any property, 11:35 1 the page more engaging, attractive, and relevant			1
Page 120Page 1211A Again, this is just generic for any property, 11:351the page more engaging, attractive, and relevant 11:362but when we do redesigns, we try to make things, you 11:351the page more engaging, attractive, and relevant 11:363know, more attractive, to attract more users to come 11:351the page more engaging of Yahoo's page?11:365for existing users to engage with the particular 11:3511:35MS. DOAN: Objection; form.11:366property more.11:355THE WITNESS: I'd say there are a lot of goals 11:377So we try to make it more relevant, more11:3668personal to the individual. We try to add features11:3679that we think users would like, et cetera.11:361010Q So there are various reasons why Yahoo11:361011Q And I believe you mentioned a couple of those11:361112homepage, front page?11:361213A Yes.11:361314Q And I believe you mentioned a couple of those11:361415reasons. One is to make the page or the website more11:3616engaging to users?11:361417A Yes.11:361518Q And third one is to make the the web page or 11:361819the front page more attractive to users?11:3620A Sure.11:361321Q And a third one is to make the informati			
1A Again, this is just generic for any property, 11:351the page more engaging, attractive, and relevant 11:362but when we do redesigns, we try to make things, you 11:351the page more engaging, attractive, and relevant 11:363know, more attractive, to attract more users to come 11:35attractive, to attract more users to come 11:35attractive, to attract more users to come 11:354to the site, and we also try to make it more engaging 11:354MS. DOAN: Objection; form.11:365for existing users to engage with the particular11:355THE WITNESS: If asy there are a lot of goals 11:376property more.11:356reasons that went into that redesign or a lot of goals 11:377So we try to make it more relevant, more 11:369part of the overall Spirit goals to some degree.11:379that we think users would like, et cetera.11:3610MR. BUDWIN: Okay.11:3710Q So there are various reasons why Yahoo11:3610MR. BUDWIN: Okay.11:3711undertakes to redesign its pages, including its11:3611Q And how did Yahoo hope to accomplish the11:3713A Yes.11:3612changed?11:3714Q And I believe you mentioned a couple of those 11:3614What specific things were going to be alte 11:3715reasons. One is to make the the web page or 11:3615changed?11:3716engaging to users?11:3619to recite all of the specific goals and11:37	25	transitioned or while that project was underway. 11:34	25 make behind its Spirit redesign? 11:35
2but when we do redesigns, we try to make things, you 11:352factors that underlined the the need for the Spirit 11:363know, more attractive, to attract more users to come 11:353redesign of Yahoo's page?11:364to the site, and we also try to make it more engaging 11:354MS. DOAN: Objection; form.11:365for existing users to engage with the particular 11:3511:355THE WITNESS: I'd say there are a lot of 11:366property more.11:356reasons that went into that redesign or a lot of goals 11:377So we try to make it more relevant, more 11:367that it had, but I would say I think it's fair to say 11:378personal to the individual. We try to add features 11:369part of the overall Spirit goals to some degree.10Q So there are various reasons why Yahoo11:3610MR. BUDWIN: Okay.11:3711undertakes to redesign its pages, including its11:3611Q And how did Yahoo hope to accomplish the11:3712homepage, front page?11:3612goals of making the page more engaging, attractive,11:3713A Yes.11:3613and relevant as part of its Spirit redesign?11:3714Q And I believe you mentioned a couple of those 11:3614What specific things were going to be able 11:3715reasons. One is to make the the web page or 11:3615changed?11:3716engaging to users?11:3614What specific things were going to be able 11:37 <td< td=""><td></td><td>Page 120</td><td>Page 121</td></td<>		Page 120	Page 121
3know, more attractive, to attract more users to come11:353redesign of Yahoo's page?11:364to the site, and we also try to make it more engaging11:354MS. DOAN: Objection; form.11:365for existing users to engage with the particular11:355THE WITNESS: I'd say there are a lot of11:366property more.11:355THE WITNESS: I'd say there are a lot of11:367So we try to make it more relevant, more11:365reasons that went into that redesign or a lot of goals11:378personal to the individual. We try to add features11:367that those three that you just mentioned were probably11:3710Q So there are various reasons why Yahoo11:3610MR. BUDWIN: Okay.11:3711undertakes to redesign its pages, including its11:3611Q And how did Yahoo hope to accomplish the11:3712homepage, front page?11:3613and relevant as part of its Spirit redesign?11:3713A Yes.11:3614What specific things were going to be11:3714Q And believe you mentioned a couple of those11:3614What specific goals and11:3715reasons. One is to make the page or the web page or11:3615changed?11:3715q Another one is to make the the web page or11:3614Not now, I don't we've done a lot of11:3719the front page more attractive to users?11:3619<	1		
4to the site, and we also try to make it more engaging 11:354MS. DOAN: Objection; form.11:365for existing users to engage with the particular11:355THE WITNESS: I'd say there are a lot of11:366property more.11:356reasons that went into that redesign or a lot of goals11:377So we try to make it more relevant, more11:357that it had, but I would say I think it's fair to say11:378personal to the individual. We try to add features11:369part of the overall Spirit goals to some degree.11:379that we think users would like, et cetera.11:369part of the overall Spirit goals to some degree.11:3710Q So there are various reasons why Yahoo11:3610MR. BUDWIN: Okay.11:3711undertakes to redesign its pages, including its11:3611Q And how did Yahoo hope to accomplish the11:3712homepage, front page?11:3612goals of making the page more engaging, attractive,11:3713A Yes.11:3614What specific things were going to be11:3714Q And I believe you mentioned a couple of those11:3615changed?11:3715reasons. One is to make the page or the website more11:3614What specific things were going to be able11:3715reasons of a to make the the web page or11:3616A You know, I don't we've done a lot of11:3716A Sure.11:36 <t< td=""><td>2</td><td>but when we do redesigns, we try to make things, you 11:35</td><td></td></t<>	2	but when we do redesigns, we try to make things, you 11:35	
5for existing users to engage with the particular11:355THE WITNESS: I'd say there are a lot of11:366property more.11:356reasons that went into that redesign or a lot of goals11:377So we try to make it more relevant, more11:357that it had, but I would say I think it's fair to say11:378personal to the individual. We try to add features11:369that it had, but I would say I think it's fair to say11:379that we think users would like, et cetera.11:369part of the overall Spirit goals to some degree.11:3710Q So there are various reasons why Yahoo11:3610MR. BUDWIN: Okay.11:3711undertakes to redesign its pages, including its11:3611Q And how did Yahoo hope to accomplish the11:3712homepage, front page?11:3612goals of making the page more engaging, attractive,11:3713A Yes.11:3614What specific things were going to be11:3714Q And I believe you mentioned a couple of those11:3614What specific things were going to be11:3715reasons. One is to make the page or the website more11:3616A You know, I don't we've done a lot of11:3715reasons dut a third one is to make the the web page or11:3617redesigns over a lot of properties, and so that was a11:3716engaging to users?11:3612QWhat do you remember?11:37			-
6property more.11:356reasons that went into that redesign or a lot of goals 11:377So we try to make it more relevant, more11:357that it had, but I would say I think it's fair to say11:378personal to the individual. We try to add features11:367that it had, but I would say I think it's fair to say11:379that we think users would like, et cetera.11:369part of the overall Spirit goals to some degree.11:3710Q So there are various reasons why Yahoo11:3610MR. BUDWIN: Okay.11:3711undertakes to redesign its pages, including its11:3611Q And how did Yahoo hope to accomplish the11:3712homepage, front page?11:3612goals of making the page more engaging, attractive,11:3713A Yes.11:3613and relevant as part of its Spirit redesign?11:3714Q And I believe you mentioned a couple of those11:3614What specific things were going to be11:3715reasons. One is to make the page or the website more11:3615changed?11:3715engaging to users?11:3616A You know, I don't we've done a lot of11:3716engage more attractive to users?11:3618number of years ago, and so I'm not going to be able11:3717A Yes.11:3619to recite all of the specific goals and11:3718Q Another one is to make the information11:3620Q	3		3 redesign of Yahoo's page? 11:36
7So we try to make it more relevant, more11:357that it had, but I would say I think it's fair to say11:378personal to the individual. We try to add features11:368that those three that you just mentioned were probably11:379that we think users would like, et cetera.11:369part of the overall Spirit goals to some degree.11:3710Q So there are various reasons why Yahoo11:3610MR. BUDWIN: Okay.11:3711undertakes to redesign its pages, including its11:3611Q And how did Yahoo hope to accomplish the11:3712homepage, front page?11:3612goals of making the page more engaging, attractive,11:3713A Yes.11:3614What specific things were going to be11:3714Q And I believe you mentioned a couple of those11:3614What specific things were going to be11:3715reasons. One is to make the page or the website more11:3614What specific things were going to be11:3715reasons. One is to make the the web page or11:3617redesigns over a lot of properties, and so that was a11:3714Q Another one is to make the the web page or11:3618number of years ago, and so I'm not going to be able11:3715reasons. One is to make the information11:3620QWhat do you remember?11:3715q Another one is to make the information11:3621A I think there were things like mak	3 4	to the site, and we also try to make it more engaging 11:35	3redesign of Yahoo's page?11:364MS. DOAN: Objection; form.11:36
8personal to the individual. We try to add features11:368that those three that you just mentioned were probably11:379that we think users would like, et cetera.11:369part of the overall Spirit goals to some degree.11:3710Q So there are various reasons why Yahoo11:3610MR. BUDWIN: Okay.11:3711undertakes to redesign its pages, including its11:3611Q And how did Yahoo hope to accomplish the11:3712homepage, front page?11:3612goals of making the page more engaging, attractive,11:3713A Yes.11:3614What specific things were going to be11:3714Q And I believe you mentioned a couple of those 11:3614What specific things were going to be11:3715reasons. One is to make the page or the website more11:3615changed?11:3716engaging to users?11:3616A You know, I don't we've done a lot of11:3717A Yes.11:3618number of years ago, and so I'm not going to be able11:3718Q Another one is to make the the web page or 11:3619to recite all of the specific goals and11:3720A Sure.11:3620Q What do you remember?11:3721Q And a third one is to make the information11:3621A I think there were things like making news11:3722shown on the web page or the Yahoo page more relevant11:3621A I think there were things	3 4 5	to the site, and we also try to make it more engaging 11:35 for existing users to engage with the particular 11:35	3redesign of Yahoo's page?11:364MS. DOAN: Objection; form.11:365THE WITNESS: I'd say there are a lot of11:36
9that we think users would like, et cetera.11:369part of the overall Spirit goals to some degree.11:3710Q So there are various reasons why Yahoo11:3610MR. BUDWIN: Okay.11:3711undertakes to redesign its pages, including its11:3611Q And how did Yahoo hope to accomplish the11:3712homepage, front page?11:3612goals of making the page more engaging, attractive,11:3713A Yes.11:3613and relevant as part of its Spirit redesign?11:3714Q And I believe you mentioned a couple of those11:3614What specific things were going to be11:3715reasons. One is to make the page or the website more11:3615changed?11:3716engaging to users?11:3616A You know, I don't we've done a lot of11:3718Q Another one is to make the the web page or11:3619to recite all of the specific goals and11:3719the front page more attractive to users?11:3620Q What do you remember?11:3720A Sure.11:3621A I think there were things like making news11:3721Q And a third one is to make the information11:3622content more kind of more front and center to kind11:3721A Yeah, that's three of many factors, but11:3624engage with news content more.11:3723to users?11:3624engage with news content more.11	3 4 5 6	to the site, and we also try to make it more engaging11:35for existing users to engage with the particular11:35property more.11:35	 redesign of Yahoo's page? 11:36 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 11:36 THE WITNESS: I'd say there are a lot of 11:36 reasons that went into that redesign or a lot of goals 11:37
10QSo there are various reasons why Yahoo11:3610MR. BUDWIN: Okay.11:3711undertakes to redesign its pages, including its11:3611QAnd how did Yahoo hope to accomplish the11:3712homepage, front page?11:3612goals of making the page more engaging, attractive,11:3713AYes.11:3613and relevant as part of its Spirit redesign?11:3714QAnd I believe you mentioned a couple of those11:3614What specific things were going to be11:3715reasons. One is to make the page or the website more11:3615changed?11:3716engaging to users?11:3616AYou know, I don't we've done a lot of11:3717AYes.11:3617redesigns over a lot of properties, and so that was a11:3718QAnother one is to make the the web page or11:3619to recite all of the specific goals and11:3719the front page more attractive to users?11:3620QWhat do you remember?11:3720ASure.11:3621AI think there were things like making news11:3721QAnd a third one is to make the information11:3622content more kind of more front and center to kind11:3723to users?11:3623of elevate the exposure of the news to get people to11:3724AYeah, that's three of many f	3 4 5 6 7	to the site, and we also try to make it more engaging11:35for existing users to engage with the particular11:35property more.11:35So we try to make it more relevant, more11:35	 redesign of Yahoo's page? 11:36 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 11:36 THE WITNESS: I'd say there are a lot of 11:36 reasons that went into that redesign or a lot of goals 11:37 that it had, but I would say I think it's fair to say 11:37
11undertakes to redesign its pages, including its11:3611QAnd how did Yahoo hope to accomplish the11:3712homepage, front page?11:3612goals of making the page more engaging, attractive,11:3713A Yes.11:3613and relevant as part of its Spirit redesign?11:3714Q And I believe you mentioned a couple of those11:3614What specific things were going to be11:3715reasons. One is to make the page or the website more11:3615changed?11:3716engaging to users?11:3616A You know, I don't we've done a lot of11:3717A Yes.11:3617redesigns over a lot of properties, and so that was a11:3718Q And a third one is to make the the web page or11:3618number of years ago, and so I'm not going to be able11:3720A Sure.11:3620Q What do you remember?11:3721Q And a third one is to make the information11:3621A I think there were things like making news11:3723to users?11:3622content more kind of more front and center to kind11:3724A Yeah, that's three of many factors, but11:3624engage with news content more.11:37	3 4 5 6 7 8	to the site, and we also try to make it more engaging11:35for existing users to engage with the particular11:35property more.11:35So we try to make it more relevant, more11:35personal to the individual. We try to add features11:36	 redesign of Yahoo's page? 11:36 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 11:36 THE WITNESS: I'd say there are a lot of 11:36 reasons that went into that redesign or a lot of goals 11:37 that it had, but I would say I think it's fair to say 11:37 that those three that you just mentioned were probably 11:37
12homepage, front page?11:3612goals of making the page more engaging, attractive,11:3713A Yes.11:3613and relevant as part of its Spirit redesign?11:3714Q And I believe you mentioned a couple of those11:3614What specific things were going to be11:3715reasons. One is to make the page or the website more11:3615changed?11:3716engaging to users?11:3616A You know, I don't we've done a lot of11:3717A Yes.11:3616A You know, I don't we've done a lot of11:3718Q Another one is to make the the web page or11:3618number of years ago, and so I'm not going to be able11:3719the front page more attractive to users?11:3619to recite all of the specific goals and11:3720A Sure.11:3620Q What do you remember?11:3721Q And a third one is to make the information11:3621A I think there were things like making news11:3723to users?11:3623of elevate the exposure of the news to get people to11:3724A Yeah, that's three of many factors, but11:3624engage with news content more.11:37	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	to the site, and we also try to make it more engaging 11:35 for existing users to engage with the particular 11:35 property more. 11:35 So we try to make it more relevant, more 11:35 personal to the individual. We try to add features 11:36 that we think users would like, et cetera. 11:36	 redesign of Yahoo's page? 11:36 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 11:36 THE WITNESS: I'd say there are a lot of 11:36 reasons that went into that redesign or a lot of goals 11:37 that it had, but I would say I think it's fair to say 11:37 that those three that you just mentioned were probably 11:37 part of the overall Spirit goals to some degree. 11:37
13AYes.11:3613and relevant as part of its Spirit redesign?11:3714QAnd I believe you mentioned a couple of those11:3614What specific things were going to be11:3715reasons. One is to make the page or the website more11:3615changed?11:3716engaging to users?11:3616AYou know, I don't we've done a lot of11:3717AYes.11:3617redesigns over a lot of properties, and so that was a11:3718QAnother one is to make the the web page or11:3618number of years ago, and so I'm not going to be able11:3719the front page more attractive to users?11:3619to recite all of the specific goals and11:3720ASure.11:3620QWhat do you remember?11:3721QAnd a third one is to make the information11:3621AI think there were things like making news11:3723to users?11:3623of elevate the exposure of the news to get people to11:3724AYeah, that's three of many factors, but11:3624engage with news content more.11:37	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	to the site, and we also try to make it more engaging 11:35 for existing users to engage with the particular 11:35 property more. 11:35 So we try to make it more relevant, more 11:35 personal to the individual. We try to add features 11:36 that we think users would like, et cetera. 11:36 Q So there are various reasons why Yahoo 11:36	 redesign of Yahoo's page? 11:36 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 11:36 THE WITNESS: I'd say there are a lot of 11:36 reasons that went into that redesign or a lot of goals 11:37 that it had, but I would say I think it's fair to say 11:37 that those three that you just mentioned were probably 11:37 part of the overall Spirit goals to some degree. 11:37 MR. BUDWIN: Okay. 11:37
14Q And I believe you mentioned a couple of those 11:3614What specific things were going to be11:3715reasons. One is to make the page or the website more 11:3615changed?11:3716engaging to users?11:3616A You know, I don't we've done a lot of11:3717A Yes.11:3616A You know, I don't we've done a lot of11:3718Q Another one is to make the the web page or 11:3618number of years ago, and so I'm not going to be able11:3719the front page more attractive to users?11:3619to recite all of the specific goals and11:3720A Sure.11:3620Q What do you remember?11:3721Q And a third one is to make the information11:3621A I think there were things like making news11:3723to users?11:3623of elevate the exposure of the news to get people to11:3724A Yeah, that's three of many factors, but11:3624engage with news content more.11:37	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	to the site, and we also try to make it more engaging 11:35 for existing users to engage with the particular 11:35 property more. 11:35 So we try to make it more relevant, more 11:35 personal to the individual. We try to add features 11:36 that we think users would like, et cetera. 11:36 Q So there are various reasons why Yahoo 11:36 undertakes to redesign its pages, including its 11:36	3redesign of Yahoo's page?11:364MS. DOAN: Objection; form.11:365THE WITNESS: I'd say there are a lot of11:366reasons that went into that redesign or a lot of goals11:377that it had, but I would say I think it's fair to say11:378that those three that you just mentioned were probably11:379part of the overall Spirit goals to some degree.11:3710MR. BUDWIN: Okay.11:3711Q And how did Yahoo hope to accomplish the11:37
15reasons. One is to make the page or the website more11:3615changed?11:3716engaging to users?11:3616A You know, I don't we've done a lot of11:3717A Yes.11:3617redesigns over a lot of properties, and so that was a 11:3718Q Another one is to make the the web page or11:3618number of years ago, and so I'm not going to be able11:3719the front page more attractive to users?11:3619to recite all of the specific goals and11:3720A Sure.11:3620Q What do you remember?11:3721Q And a third one is to make the information11:3621A I think there were things like making news11:3723to users?11:3623of elevate the exposure of the news to get people to11:3724A Yeah, that's three of many factors, but11:3624engage with news content more.11:37	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	to the site, and we also try to make it more engaging 11:35 for existing users to engage with the particular 11:35 property more. 11:35 So we try to make it more relevant, more 11:35 personal to the individual. We try to add features 11:36 that we think users would like, et cetera. 11:36 Q So there are various reasons why Yahoo 11:36 undertakes to redesign its pages, including its 11:36 homepage, front page? 11:36	3redesign of Yahoo's page?11:364MS. DOAN: Objection; form.11:365THE WITNESS: I'd say there are a lot of11:366reasons that went into that redesign or a lot of goals11:377that it had, but I would say I think it's fair to say11:378that those three that you just mentioned were probably11:379part of the overall Spirit goals to some degree.11:3710MR. BUDWIN: Okay.11:3711Q And how did Yahoo hope to accomplish the11:3712goals of making the page more engaging, attractive,11:37
16engaging to users?11:3616A You know, I don't we've done a lot of11:3717A Yes.11:3617redesigns over a lot of properties, and so that was a 11:3718Q Another one is to make the the web page or11:3618number of years ago, and so I'm not going to be able19the front page more attractive to users?11:3619to recite all of the specific goals and11:3720A Sure.11:3620Q What do you remember?11:3721Q And a third one is to make the information11:3621A I think there were things like making news11:3723to users?11:3623of elevate the exposure of the news to get people to11:3724A Yeah, that's three of many factors, but11:3624engage with news content more.11:37	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	to the site, and we also try to make it more engaging 11:35 for existing users to engage with the particular 11:35 property more. 11:35 So we try to make it more relevant, more 11:35 personal to the individual. We try to add features 11:36 that we think users would like, et cetera. 11:36 Q So there are various reasons why Yahoo 11:36 undertakes to redesign its pages, including its 11:36 homepage, front page? 11:36 A Yes. 11:36	3redesign of Yahoo's page?11:364MS. DOAN: Objection; form.11:365THE WITNESS: I'd say there are a lot of11:366reasons that went into that redesign or a lot of goals11:377that it had, but I would say I think it's fair to say11:378that those three that you just mentioned were probably11:379part of the overall Spirit goals to some degree.11:3710MR. BUDWIN: Okay.11:3711Q And how did Yahoo hope to accomplish the11:3712goals of making the page more engaging, attractive,11:3713and relevant as part of its Spirit redesign?11:37
17AYes.11:3617redesigns over a lot of properties, and so that was a11:3718QAnother one is to make the the web page or11:3618number of years ago, and so I'm not going to be able11:3719the front page more attractive to users?11:3619to recite all of the specific goals and11:3720ASure.11:3620QWhat do you remember?11:3721QAnd a third one is to make the information11:3621AI think there were things like making news11:3722shown on the web page or the Yahoo page more relevant11:3622content more kind of more front and center to kind11:3723to users?11:3623of elevate the exposure of the news to get people to11:3724AYeah, that's three of many factors, but11:3624engage with news content more.11:37	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	to the site, and we also try to make it more engaging 11:35 for existing users to engage with the particular 11:35 property more. 11:35 So we try to make it more relevant, more 11:35 personal to the individual. We try to add features 11:36 that we think users would like, et cetera. 11:36 Q So there are various reasons why Yahoo 11:36 undertakes to redesign its pages, including its 11:36 homepage, front page? 11:36 A Yes. 11:36 Q And I believe you mentioned a couple of those 11:36	3redesign of Yahoo's page?11:364MS. DOAN: Objection; form.11:365THE WITNESS: I'd say there are a lot of11:366reasons that went into that redesign or a lot of goals11:377that it had, but I would say I think it's fair to say11:378that those three that you just mentioned were probably11:379part of the overall Spirit goals to some degree.11:3710MR. BUDWIN: Okay.11:3711Q And how did Yahoo hope to accomplish the11:3712goals of making the page more engaging, attractive,11:3713and relevant as part of its Spirit redesign?11:3714What specific things were going to be11:37
18Q Another one is to make the the web page or 11:3618number of years ago, and so I'm not going to be able11:3719the front page more attractive to users?11:3619to recite all of the specific goals and11:3720A Sure.11:3620Q What do you remember?11:3721Q And a third one is to make the information11:3621A I think there were things like making news11:3723to users?11:3623of elevate the exposure of the news to get people to11:3724A Yeah, that's three of many factors, but11:3624engage with news content more.11:37	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	to the site, and we also try to make it more engaging 11:35 for existing users to engage with the particular 11:35 property more. 11:35 So we try to make it more relevant, more 11:35 personal to the individual. We try to add features 11:36 that we think users would like, et cetera. 11:36 Q So there are various reasons why Yahoo 11:36 undertakes to redesign its pages, including its 11:36 homepage, front page? 11:36 A Yes. 11:36 Q And I believe you mentioned a couple of those 11:36 reasons. One is to make the page or the website more 11:36	3redesign of Yahoo's page?11:364MS. DOAN: Objection; form.11:365THE WITNESS: I'd say there are a lot of11:366reasons that went into that redesign or a lot of goals11:377that it had, but I would say I think it's fair to say11:378that those three that you just mentioned were probably11:379part of the overall Spirit goals to some degree.11:3710MR. BUDWIN: Okay.11:3711Q And how did Yahoo hope to accomplish the11:3712goals of making the page more engaging, attractive,11:3713and relevant as part of its Spirit redesign?11:3714What specific things were going to be11:3715changed?11:37
19the front page more attractive to users?11:3619to recite all of the specific goals and11:3720A Sure.11:3620Q What do you remember?11:3721Q And a third one is to make the information11:3621A I think there were things like making news11:3722shown on the web page or the Yahoo page more relevant11:3621A I think there were things like making news11:3723to users?11:3623of elevate the exposure of the news to get people to11:3724A Yeah, that's three of many factors, but11:3624engage with news content more.11:37	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	to the site, and we also try to make it more engaging 11:35 for existing users to engage with the particular 11:35 property more. 11:35 So we try to make it more relevant, more 11:35 personal to the individual. We try to add features 11:36 that we think users would like, et cetera. 11:36 Q So there are various reasons why Yahoo 11:36 undertakes to redesign its pages, including its 11:36 homepage, front page? 11:36 A Yes. 11:36 Q And I believe you mentioned a couple of those 11:36 reasons. One is to make the page or the website more 11:36 engaging to users? 11:36	3redesign of Yahoo's page?11:364MS. DOAN: Objection; form.11:365THE WITNESS: I'd say there are a lot of11:366reasons that went into that redesign or a lot of goals11:377that it had, but I would say I think it's fair to say11:378that those three that you just mentioned were probably11:379part of the overall Spirit goals to some degree.11:3710MR. BUDWIN: Okay.11:3711Q And how did Yahoo hope to accomplish the11:3712goals of making the page more engaging, attractive,11:3713and relevant as part of its Spirit redesign?11:3714What specific things were going to be11:3715changed?11:3716A You know, I don't we've done a lot of11:37
20A Sure.11:3620Q What do you remember?11:3721Q And a third one is to make the information11:3621A I think there were things like making news11:3722shown on the web page or the Yahoo page more relevant11:3622content more kind of more front and center to kind11:3723to users?11:3623of elevate the exposure of the news to get people to11:3724A Yeah, that's three of many factors, but11:3624engage with news content more.11:37	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	to the site, and we also try to make it more engaging 11:35 for existing users to engage with the particular 11:35 property more. 11:35 So we try to make it more relevant, more 11:35 personal to the individual. We try to add features 11:36 that we think users would like, et cetera. 11:36 Q So there are various reasons why Yahoo 11:36 undertakes to redesign its pages, including its 11:36 homepage, front page? 11:36 A Yes. 11:36 Q And I believe you mentioned a couple of those 11:36 reasons. One is to make the page or the website more 11:36 engaging to users? 11:36 A Yes. 11:36	3redesign of Yahoo's page?11:364MS. DOAN: Objection; form.11:365THE WITNESS: I'd say there are a lot of11:366reasons that went into that redesign or a lot of goals11:377that it had, but I would say I think it's fair to say11:378that those three that you just mentioned were probably11:379part of the overall Spirit goals to some degree.11:3710MR. BUDWIN: Okay.11:3711Q And how did Yahoo hope to accomplish the11:3712goals of making the page more engaging, attractive,11:3713and relevant as part of its Spirit redesign?11:3714What specific things were going to be11:3715changed?11:3716A You know, I don't we've done a lot of11:3717redesigns over a lot of properties, and so that was a11:37
21Q And a third one is to make the information11:3621A I think there were things like making news11:3722shown on the web page or the Yahoo page more relevant11:3622content more kind of more front and center to kind11:3723to users?11:3623of elevate the exposure of the news to get people to11:3724A Yeah, that's three of many factors, but11:3624engage with news content more.11:37	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	to the site, and we also try to make it more engaging 11:35 for existing users to engage with the particular 11:35 property more. 11:35 So we try to make it more relevant, more 11:35 personal to the individual. We try to add features 11:36 that we think users would like, et cetera. 11:36 Q So there are various reasons why Yahoo 11:36 undertakes to redesign its pages, including its 11:36 homepage, front page? 11:36 A Yes. 11:36 Q And I believe you mentioned a couple of those 11:36 reasons. One is to make the page or the website more 11:36 a Yes. 11:36 A Yes. 11:36 Q Another one is to make the the web page or 11:36	3redesign of Yahoo's page?11:364MS. DOAN: Objection; form.11:365THE WITNESS: I'd say there are a lot of11:366reasons that went into that redesign or a lot of goals11:377that it had, but I would say I think it's fair to say11:378that those three that you just mentioned were probably11:379part of the overall Spirit goals to some degree.11:3710MR. BUDWIN: Okay.11:3711Q And how did Yahoo hope to accomplish the11:3712goals of making the page more engaging, attractive,11:3713and relevant as part of its Spirit redesign?11:3714What specific things were going to be11:3715changed?11:3716A You know, I don't we've done a lot of11:3718number of years ago, and so I'm not going to be able11:37
22shown on the web page or the Yahoo page more relevant11:3622content more kind of more front and center to kind11:3723to users?11:3623of elevate the exposure of the news to get people to11:3724AYeah, that's three of many factors, but11:3624engage with news content more.11:37	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	to the site, and we also try to make it more engaging 11:35 for existing users to engage with the particular 11:35 property more. 11:35 So we try to make it more relevant, more 11:35 personal to the individual. We try to add features 11:36 that we think users would like, et cetera. 11:36 Q So there are various reasons why Yahoo 11:36 undertakes to redesign its pages, including its 11:36 homepage, front page? 11:36 A Yes. 11:36 Q And I believe you mentioned a couple of those 11:36 engaging to users? 11:36 A Yes. 11:36 A Yes. 11:36 A Yes. 11:36 the front page more attractive to users? 11:36	3redesign of Yahoo's page?11:364MS. DOAN: Objection; form.11:365THE WITNESS: I'd say there are a lot of11:366reasons that went into that redesign or a lot of goals11:377that it had, but I would say I think it's fair to say11:378that those three that you just mentioned were probably11:379part of the overall Spirit goals to some degree.11:3710MR. BUDWIN: Okay.11:3711Q And how did Yahoo hope to accomplish the11:3712goals of making the page more engaging, attractive,11:3713and relevant as part of its Spirit redesign?11:3714What specific things were going to be11:3715changed?11:3716A You know, I don't we've done a lot of11:3717redesigns over a lot of properties, and so that was a11:3718number of years ago, and so I'm not going to be able11:3719to recite all of the specific goals and11:37
23to users?11:3623of elevate the exposure of the news to get people to11:3724AYeah, that's three of many factors, but11:3624engage with news content more.11:37	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	to the site, and we also try to make it more engaging 11:35 for existing users to engage with the particular 11:35 property more. 11:35 So we try to make it more relevant, more 11:35 personal to the individual. We try to add features 11:36 that we think users would like, et cetera. 11:36 Q So there are various reasons why Yahoo 11:36 undertakes to redesign its pages, including its 11:36 homepage, front page? 11:36 A Yes. 11:36 Q And I believe you mentioned a couple of those 11:36 engaging to users? 11:36 A Yes. 11:36 A Yes. 11:36 A Yes. 11:36 A Yes. 11:36 A Sure. 11:36	3redesign of Yahoo's page?11:364MS. DOAN: Objection; form.11:365THE WITNESS: I'd say there are a lot of11:366reasons that went into that redesign or a lot of goals11:377that it had, but I would say I think it's fair to say11:378that those three that you just mentioned were probably11:379part of the overall Spirit goals to some degree.11:3710MR. BUDWIN: Okay.11:3711Q And how did Yahoo hope to accomplish the11:3712goals of making the page more engaging, attractive,11:3713and relevant as part of its Spirit redesign?11:3714What specific things were going to be11:3715changed?11:3716A You know, I don't we've done a lot of11:3717redesigns over a lot of properties, and so that was a11:3718number of years ago, and so I'm not going to be able11:3720Q What do you remember?11:37
A Yeah, that's three of many factors, but 11:36 24 engage with news content more. 11:37	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	to the site, and we also try to make it more engaging 11:35 for existing users to engage with the particular 11:35 property more. 11:35 So we try to make it more relevant, more 11:35 personal to the individual. We try to add features 11:36 that we think users would like, et cetera. 11:36 Q So there are various reasons why Yahoo 11:36 undertakes to redesign its pages, including its 11:36 homepage, front page? 11:36 A Yes. 11:36 Q And I believe you mentioned a couple of those 11:36 engaging to users? 11:36 A Yes. 11:36 A Yes. 11:36 Q Another one is to make the the web page or 11:36 the front page more attractive to users? 11:36 A Sure. 11:36 Q And a third one is to make the information 11:36	3redesign of Yahoo's page?11:364MS. DOAN: Objection; form.11:365THE WITNESS: I'd say there are a lot of11:366reasons that went into that redesign or a lot of goals11:377that it had, but I would say I think it's fair to say11:378that those three that you just mentioned were probably11:379part of the overall Spirit goals to some degree.11:3710MR. BUDWIN: Okay.11:3711Q And how did Yahoo hope to accomplish the11:3712goals of making the page more engaging, attractive,11:3713and relevant as part of its Spirit redesign?11:3714What specific things were going to be11:3715changed?11:3716A You know, I don't we've done a lot of11:3717redesigns over a lot of properties, and so that was a11:3718number of years ago, and so I'm not going to be able11:3719to recite all of the specific goals and11:3720Q What do you remember?11:3721A I think there were things like making news11:37
	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	to the site, and we also try to make it more engaging 11:35 for existing users to engage with the particular 11:35 property more. 11:35 So we try to make it more relevant, more 11:35 personal to the individual. We try to add features 11:36 that we think users would like, et cetera. 11:36 Q So there are various reasons why Yahoo 11:36 undertakes to redesign its pages, including its 11:36 homepage, front page? 11:36 Q And I believe you mentioned a couple of those 11:36 reasons. One is to make the page or the website more 11:36 engaging to users? 11:36 A Yes. 11:36 Q Another one is to make the the web page or 11:36 the front page more attractive to users? 11:36 A Sure. 11:36 Q And a third one is to make the information 11:36 shown on the web page or the Yahoo page more relevant 11:36	3redesign of Yahoo's page?11:364MS. DOAN: Objection; form.11:365THE WITNESS: I'd say there are a lot of11:366reasons that went into that redesign or a lot of goals11:377that it had, but I would say I think it's fair to say11:378that those three that you just mentioned were probably11:379part of the overall Spirit goals to some degree.11:3710MR. BUDWIN: Okay.11:3711Q And how did Yahoo hope to accomplish the11:3712goals of making the page more engaging, attractive,11:3713and relevant as part of its Spirit redesign?11:3714What specific things were going to be11:3715changed?11:3716A You know, I don't we've done a lot of11:3717redesigns over a lot of properties, and so that was a11:3718number of years ago, and so I'm not going to be able11:3719to recite all of the specific goals and11:3720Q What do you remember?11:3721A I think there were things like making news11:3722content more kind of more front and center to kind11:37
	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	to the site, and we also try to make it more engaging 11:35 for existing users to engage with the particular 11:35 property more. 11:35 So we try to make it more relevant, more 11:35 personal to the individual. We try to add features 11:36 that we think users would like, et cetera. 11:36 Q So there are various reasons why Yahoo 11:36 undertakes to redesign its pages, including its 11:36 homepage, front page? 11:36 Q And I believe you mentioned a couple of those 11:36 reasons. One is to make the page or the website more 11:36 engaging to users? 11:36 A Yes. 11:36 Q Another one is to make the the web page or 11:36 the front page more attractive to users? 11:36 A Sure. 11:36 Q And a third one is to make the information 11:36 shown on the web page or the Yahoo page more relevant 11:36 to users? 11:36	3redesign of Yahoo's page?11:364MS. DOAN: Objection; form.11:365THE WITNESS: I'd say there are a lot of11:366reasons that went into that redesign or a lot of goals11:377that it had, but I would say I think it's fair to say11:378that those three that you just mentioned were probably11:379part of the overall Spirit goals to some degree.11:3710MR. BUDWIN: Okay.11:3711Q And how did Yahoo hope to accomplish the11:3712goals of making the page more engaging, attractive,11:3713and relevant as part of its Spirit redesign?11:3714What specific things were going to be11:3715changed?11:3716A You know, I don't we've done a lot of11:3717redesigns over a lot of properties, and so that was a11:3718number of years ago, and so I'm not going to be able11:3719to recite all of the specific goals and11:3720Q What do you remember?11:3721A I think there were things like making news11:3722content more kind of more front and center to kind11:3723of elevate the exposure of the news to get people to11:37

1to introduce AJAX technologies to the Yahoo front11:381QDo you remember appearing or writing any11:392gage?11:381:381or the Yahoo sine of the Yahoo sine?11:394said somewhere, but I don't trink introducing AJAX was 11:38a said somewhere, but I don't trink introducing AJAX was 11:38a Kathere Kather		Page 122		Page 123
2page?11:382guest posts or holg posts related to the Spirit11:393A I don't Hume, I think introducing AJAX was 11:38I don't remember.11:3911:395necessarily a goal. I think the goals would have11:38A I don't remember.11:397engagement. trying to increase the number of users, 11:38attractive, and relevant to user AIAX11:398trying to increase thing site the use of Search or 11:38attractive, and relevant to user AIAX11:399the use of our media properties.11:38attractive, and relevant to user AIAX11:3910The actual underlying technologies, generally 11:38ask more specifics, I think you sain ore relevant.11:3912the other. We have goals of again, trying to satisfy 11:3811for instance, and I certainly would'n't say yes to that 11:3912w don't have to los - give me 11:3814Q You're aware that Yahoo Mail uses AIAX11:3913the use's face.11:3814Q You're aware that Yahoo Mail uses AIAX11:3914Q And by employing or using AIAX technologies.11:3816A I would asy current - some versions of Yahoo11:4014for the Spirit relation of the arg match whether 11:3911:4011:4011:4015relation?11:3911:4011:4016relation?11:3911:4011:4017A I fhave to - you're have the due this this as appetifies?11:4011:4018a poor uncent alterdon the more.11	1	to introduce AJAX technologies to the Yahoo front 11:38	1	O Do you remember appearing or writing any 11:39
3AI don't - I mean, I don't know if that's11:38is redesign of the Yahoo site?11:394said somewhere, but I don't think introducing AIAX was 11:38AI don't remember.11:395necessarily a goal. I think the goals would have11:38CO Do you know if Yahoo is able to use AIAX11:396necase, mrund rying to increase the number of users.11:381CO Do you know if Yahoo.11:398rrying to increase the number of users.11:381necase and underlying technologies.11:3919the dore.necase and underlying technologies.11:381ask more specifics.11:39110The actual underlying technologies.11:38111ask more specifics.11:39111:39111W don't have goals about using one technology.11:38111111:391111:39112the other.W have to spain, trying to insig AIAX technologies.11:301111:391113the user's necks.11:3811:39111:4011:4011:4011:4014o A bia ve to - you'd have to look - give me 11:3816A loud all user AIAX technologies to day.11:4014o A bia ve to - you'd have to look - give me 11:3811:4011:4011:4011:4015o and ou set of AIAX technologies on of n.11:3911:4011:4016redesign?11:3911:40 <td></td> <td></td> <td>2</td> <td></td>			2	
5QDo you know if Yahos is able to use AIAX11:396been, again, more around trying to increase11:386technologies to make its web page more engaging.11:397attractive, and relevant to users?11:397attractive, and relevant to users?11:398trying to increase things like the use of Scarch, or 11:387MS. DOAN: Objection: form.11:3910The actual underlying technologies, graenelly 11:388MS. DOAN: Objection: form.11:3911we don't have goals about using one technology versus 11:3811ask more specifies, I think you sain tor site you shall to the ser's needs.11:3812the other. We have goals of, again, trying to satisfy 11:3812general question.11:3913the user's needs.11:3814Q You're aware that Alhoo Mali uses AJAX11:3914Q Yand by employing or using AJAX technologies.11:3815AI would asy current some versions of Yahoo11:4016redesign?11:3911:3914Q You're aware that Alhoo Mali uses AJAX11:3915was Yahoo able to make those goals or its 11:3816A I would asy current some versions of Yahoo11:4016for the Spirit redesign?11:3911:4011:4017for the Spirit redesign?11:392111:4018a specific example of a particular feature and whether 11:381211:4019thether on of those goals of ont.11:392120 <td< td=""><td>3</td><td></td><td>3</td><td></td></td<>	3		3	
6been, again, more around trying to increase11:386increase (ins) again more againg.11:397engagement, trying to increase the number of users, 11:38inso and relevant to users?11:399the use of our media properties.11:38inso 2000 (inso 2000)	4	said somewhere, but I don't think introducing AJAX was 11:38	4	A I don't remember. 11:39
7engagement, trying to increase the number of users, 11:387attractive, and relevant to users' 11:398trying to increase things like the use of Scarch, or 11:387attractive, and relevant to users' 11:399the of our media properties. 11:381110The actual underlying technologies, generally 11:381111we don't have goals about using one technology versus 11:381112general question. 11:3913the user's needs. 11:3811:3814Q. And by employing or using AIAX technologies. 11:381115was Yahoo able to make those goals of its Spirit11:3816redsign?11:3817A I' have to -you'd have to look - give me 11:381318aspecific example of a particular feature and whether 11:3911:4016redsign?11:3917A I' have to -you'd have to look - give me 11:381218aspecific example of a particular feature and whether 11:3911:4019that met one of those goals or not. 11:3811:4010Q Do you recall attending any launch parties 11:381211ther ther. I choin' remembers. 11:3911:4012A No not al's lift here. I choin remembers. 11:3911:4013A I hunovation dinner; no. 11:3911:4014technologies. 11:4211:4015a do use the AJAX, certain type of AJAX technologies on 11:4216a do use the AJAX, certain type of AJAX technologies on 11:4216a I hunovation dinne	5	necessarily a goal. I think the goals would have 11:38	5	Q Do you know if Yahoo is able to use AJAX 11:39
8Hyper trying to increase things like the use of Search, or 11:389MS DOAN: Objection; form.11:399the use of our media properties.11:3810as more specifics. I think you said more relevant.11:3910the dust i and the goals about using one technology events11:381111:3911:3911the user's needs.11:3811:3912general question.11:3912the user's needs.11:3811:3912general question.11:3913the user's needs.11:381314Q. You're aware that Yahoo Mali uses AJAX11:3914Q. And by employing or using AJAX technologies, rifts11:3814Q. You're aware that Yahoo Mali uses AJAX11:4016redesign?11:3815technologies: right?11:4011:4017A. I'd have to - you'd have to look - give me11:3816A lwould say current - some vrsions of Yahoo 11:4018a specific example of a particular feature and whether 11:3816MS DOAN. Objection; form.11:4019duat net one of floxe goals or not.11:3911:3912MS DOAN. Objection; form.11:4020Q. Doy our result better and whether 11:3920MS DOAN. Objection; form.11:4021for the Spirit redesign?11:3911:391211:4022A. No, not as 1 sit here.11:3911:391211:4023A. Inovation dinner related to the Spirit redesign?11:3911:40 <td>6</td> <td>been, again, more around trying to increase 11:38</td> <td>6</td> <td>technologies to make its web page more engaging, 11:39</td>	6	been, again, more around trying to increase 11:38	6	technologies to make its web page more engaging, 11:39
9the use of our media properties.11:389THE WITNESS: Again, that's if you want to11:3910The actual underlying technologies, generally 11:3811on instance, and 1 certainly wouldn't say yes to that11:3912the other. We have goals of, again, trying to sairsly 11:3811on instance, and 1 certainly wouldn't say yes to that11:3913the user's needs.11:3811:3812general question.11:3914QAnd by employing or using AJAX technologies, 11:3814QVou're aware that Yahoo Mail uses AJAX11:3915was Yahoo able to make those goals of its Spirit11:3815the low old its ago to its say.11:4016redesign?11:3816AI would asy current - some versions of Yahoo11:4016not as is if the wood flow goals or not.11:3912MR. BUDWIN: Okay.11:4021G vo you recall attending any launch parties11:3912MR. BuDWIN: Okay.11:4022A N, not as is if the wood flow goals or not.11:3912MR. BUDWIN: Okay.11:4023A Linnovation dinner related to the Spirit redesign?11:3912MR. BUDWIN: Okay.11:4024innovation dinner related to the Spirit redesign?11:3912141425and thick ther I think the use of AJAX technologies on11:40141426A Innovation dinner, no.11:3912141427Q Why do some versions of Yah	7	engagement, trying to increase the number of users, 11:38	7	attractive, and relevant to users? 11:39
	8	trying to increase things like the use of Search, or 11:38	8	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 11:39
11we don't have goals about using on technology versus11:3811for instance, and I certainly wouldn't say yes to that11:3912the other. We have goals of, again, trying to satisfy 11:3811for instance, and I certainly wouldn't say yes to that11:3914Q And by employing or using AJAX technologies, 11:3814Q You're aware that Yahoo Mail uses AJAX11:3915was Yahoo able to make those goals of its Spirit11:3815technologies; right?11:4017A I dhave to - you'd have to look give me11:3816A I would say qurrent - some versions of Yahoo11:4018a specific example of a particular feature and whether11:3816A I would say qurrent - some versions of Yahoo11:4018q Do you recall attending any launch parties11:3921The WTNESS: I don't kow if you'd word -11:4021A No, not as I sit here. I don't remember.11:3922Tho sure if the word 'engaging' is usages?11:4023Q Do you remember something called a Yahoo11:3924A But again, some versions of Yahoo Mail does11:4024A Innovation dinner; no.11:3925and do use the AJAX; certain type of AJAX11:4024a Innovation dinner; no.11:3925and do use the AJAX; certain type of AJAX11:4225A Innovation dinner; no.11:3924and use the AJAX; certain type of AJAX11:4226Yahog back a number of years, and I think that11:403A Any benefit, sure. Yeas, I	9	the use of our media properties. 11:38	9	THE WITNESS: Again, that's if you want to 11:39
12the other. We have goals of, again, trying to satisfy 11:3812general question.11:3913the user's needs.11:3813MR. BUDWIN: Okay.11:3914Q And by employing or using JAX technologies, 11:3814Q You're aware that Yahoo Mall uses AIAX11:3915was Yahoo able to make those goals of its Spirit11:3815technologies: right?11:4016redesign?11:3816A I would say current - some versions of Yahoo11:4018a specific example of a particular feature and whether11:3818Q Does the use of AIAX technologies to Yahoo11:4019that met one of those goals or not.11:3921MR BUDWIN: Q. Well11:4020Q Doy our recall attending any launch parties11:3921THE WITNESS: 1 don't know if you'd word11:4021for the Spirit redesign?11:3921THE WITNESS: 1 don't know if you'd word11:4024innovation dinner related to the Spirit redesign?11:3924A But again, some versions of Yahoo Mail does11:4025A Intink ther I think the use of AIAX in 11:4011:40A way benefit, sure, Yes, I think we have some 11:4211:4025a A so whether I mean, for example, the reason Th11:41144benefit, sure, Yes, I think we have some 11:423A three might say AJAX can be used to make something11:4114144goals around speed or other things that werent11:411414<	10	The actual underlying technologies, generally 11:38	10	ask more specifics, I think you said more relevant, 11:39
13the user's needs.11:3813MR. BUDWIN: Okay.11:3914Q And by employing or using AJAX technologies, 11:3814Q You're aware that Yahoo Mail uses AJAX11:3915was Yahoo able to make those goals of its Spirit11:3816A I would say current some versions of Yahoo11:4016redesign?11:3816A I would say current some versions of Yahoo11:4017A fd have to you'd have to look give me11:3816A I would say current some versions of Yahoo11:4018a specific example of a particular feature and whether 11:3817Mail use AJAX-type technologies today.11:4019that met one of those goals or not.11:3810Mail belp make Yahoo Mail more engaging to its users?11:4020Do your cenal attending any launch parties11:3922MS. DOAN: Objection; form.11:4021for the Spirit redsign?11:3923MR. BUDWIN: Ok Well11:4023A Innovation dinner; no.11:3923A But again, some versions of Yahoo Mail does 11:4024mail goes back a number of years, and I think that11:403A any benefit, sure. Yes, I think we have some 11:4224mail goes back a number of years ago 11:411414143for the Showr than the non-AJAX version.11:424mail goes back a number of years ago 11:4114145no transce, that we launched a number of years ago 11:4114146	11	we don't have goals about using one technology versus 11:38	11	for instance, and I certainly wouldn't say yes to that 11:39
14Q And by employing or using AJAX technologies.11:3814Q You're aware that Yahoo Mail uses AJAX11:3915was Yahoo able to make those goals of its Spirit11:3815technologies; right?11:4017A I d have to - you'd have to look - give me11:3816A I would say current - some versions of Yahoo11:4018a specific example of a particular feature and whether11:3817Mail use AJAX type technologies today.11:4019that met one of those goals or not.11:3819Mail help make Yahoo Mail more engaging to its users?11:4020Q Do you recall attending any launch parties11:3921The WITNESS: I don't know if you'd word11:4021for the Spirit redesign?11:3922The WITNESS: I don't know if you'd word11:4023Q Do you remember something called at Yahoo11:3924A But again, some versions of Yahoo Mail does11:4024innovation dinner; no.11:3925and do use the AJAX, certain type of AJAX11:4024technologies.11:4011:403A any benefit, sure. Yes, I think we have some 11:423a think there I think the use of AJAX in11:403A any benefit, sure. Yes, I think we have some 11:424mail goes back a number of years, and I think that11:4011:425Q Now, Yahoo also makes use of Til:424there I mean, for example, the reason I'm 11:41146Q Does Yahoo derive any benefit from its use of 11:42<	12	the other. We have goals of, again, trying to satisfy 11:38	12	general question. 11:39
15was Yahoo able to make those goals of its Spirit11:3815technologies: right?11:4016redesign?11:3816A I would say current some versions of Yahoo11:4017A I dhave to - you'd have to look - give me11:3816Mail use AJAX-type technologies today.11:4018a specific example of a particular feature and whether11:3818Q Does the use of AJAX technologies today.11:4019that met one of those goals or not.11:3819Mail help make Yahoo Mail more engaging to its users?11:4020Q Do you reenal attending any launch parties11:3921THE WTNESS: I don't know if you'd word11:4021for the Spirit redesign?11:3923MR. BUDWIN: Q. Well11:4022A No, not as I sit here. I don't remember.11:3924A But again, some versions of Yahoo Mail does11:4023A Innovation dinner related to the Spirit redesign?11:3925and do use the AJAX, certain type of AJAX11:4024A But again, some versions of Yahoo Mail use AJAX?11:401benefit at all from its use of AJAX technologies on11:422Q Why do some versions of Yahoo Mail use AJAX?11:403A Any benefit, sure. Yes, I think we have some 11:423A I think there I think the use of AJAX in 11:4014benefit.11:424mail goes back a number of years ago 11:4117A We do use Flash.11:425some of it was based on an acq	13		13	-
16redesign?11:3816A I would say current some versions of Yahoo11:4017A I d have to you'd have to look give me11:3817Mail use AJAX-type technologies today.11:4018a specific example of a particular feature and whether11:3818Q Does the use of AJAX technologies today.11:4018mail use AJAX-type technologies today.11:4011:40Mail help make Yahoo Mail more engaging to its users?11:4020Q Do you recall attending any launch parties11:3921THE WITNESS: I don't know if you'd word11:4021for the Spirit redesign?11:3922Tru not sure if the word "engaging" is the right word.11:4023Q Do you remember something called a Yahoo11:3923MR. BUDWIN: Q. Well11:4024innovation dinner related to the Spirit redesign?11:3924A But again, some versions of Yahoo Mail does11:4024and ouse the AJAX, cartain type of AJAX11:40and do use the AJAX, cartain type of AJAX11:4025A Innovation dinner, no.11:3924benefit at all from its use of AJAX technologies on11:422Q Why do some versions of Yahoo Mail use AJAX?11:403A Any benefit, sure. Yes, I think we have some II:423and so whether I think the use of AJAX in 11:40145Q Now, Yahoo also makes use of Flash; does it11:423and so whether I mean, for example, the reason I'm11:41146not?11:42 <t< td=""><td>14</td><td></td><td>14</td><td>-</td></t<>	14		14	-
17A Td have to you'd have to look give me 11:38 a specific example of a particular feature and whether 11:38 a specific example of a particular feature and whether 11:38 1917Mail use AJAX-type technologies today.11:40 140 11:4018a specific example of a particular feature and whether 11:38 011:30 20Q Do you recall attending any launch parties 11:3911:30 2111:40 21Mail help make Yahoo Mail more engaging to its users? 11:4021for the Spirit redesign? 22 311:39 2111:40 21MR. BUDWIN: Q. Well 2411:40 2423Q Do you remember something called a Yahoo 2511:40 24MR. BUDWIN: Q. Well 2411:40 2425A Innovation dinner; no.11:39 2525MR. BUDWIN: Q. Well 2411:40 2524Page 124 26Page 1251 26benefit at all from its use of AJAX technologies on 11:42 2 311:4026My do some versions of Yahoo Mail see AJAX? 11:4011:40 3A Any benefit, sure. Yes, I think we have some 11:42 4 311:42 4 311:4227A We do use Flash. 11:4211:4211:4238people might say AJAX can be used to make something 11:4111:4149faster. But, in reality, the AJAX version of Mail, 11:41 4 511:421449faster. But, in reality, the AJAX version of Mail, 11:41 4141440ot be slower than the non-AJAX wersion. 11:4111:4241for instance, that we launched a number of year		o i	15	
18a specific example of a particular feature and whether 11:3818Q Does the use of AJAX technologies on Yahoo11:4019that met one of those goals or not.11:3819Mail help make Yahoo Mail more engaging to its users?11:4020Q Do your ceall attending any launch parties11:3820Mail help make Yahoo Mail more engaging to its users?11:4021for the Spirit redesign?11:3921THE WITNESS: I don't know if you'd word -11:4023Q Do you remember something called a Yahoo11:3923MR. BUDWIN: Q. Well11:4024innovation dinner; no.11:3924A But again, some versions of Yahoo Mail does11:4025A Innovation dinner; no.11:3925and do use the AJAX, certain type of AJAX technologies on11:422Q Why do some versions of Yahoo Mail use AJAX?11:401benefit at all from its use of AJAX technologies on11:423A I think ther I think the use of AJAX in11:401benefit, sure. Yes, I think we have some11:425some of it was based on an acquisition that we made,11:415Q Now, Yahoo also makes use of Flash; does it11:426for instance, that we launched a number of years ago11:4110A I would say yes, we have some benefit.11:429faster. But, in reality, the AJAX version11:4110A I would say yes, we have some benefit.11:4219for instance, that we launched a number of years ago.11:411111:42 <t< td=""><td></td><td>-</td><td></td><td></td></t<>		-		
19that met one of those goals or not.11:3819Mail help make Yahoo Mail more engaging to its users?11:4020Q Do you recall attending any launch parties11:3820MS. DOAN: Objection; form.11:4021for the Spirit redesign?11:3921THE WITNESS: I don't know if you'd word -11:4023Q Do you remember something called a Yahoo11:3923MR. BUDWIN: Q. Well11:4024innovation dinner related to the Spirit redesign?11:3924A But again, some versions of Yahoo Mail does11:4025A Innovation dinner; no.11:3925and do use the AJAX, certain type of AJAX11:4025A Innovation dinner; no.11:401benefit at all from its use of AJAX technologies on11:422Q Why do some versions of Yahoo Mail use AJAX?11:4014benefit, stre.11:423A I think there I think that11:4014benefit, stre.11:424mail goes back a number of years, and I think that11:405Q Now, Yahoo also makes use of Flash; does it11:425some of it was based on an acquisition that we made, 11:417A We do use Flash.11:426not?11:427A We do use Flash.11:429faster. But, in reality, the AJAX version of Mail, 11:417A We do use Flash.11:429faster, But, in reality, the AJAX version of Mail, 11:4110A I would say yes, we have some benefit.11:42 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>				
20QDo you recall attending any launch parties11:3820MS. DOAN: Objection; form.11:4021for the Spirit redesign?11:3921THE WITNESS: I don't know if you'd word11:4022A No, not as I sit here. I don't remember.11:3922Im not sure if the word "engaging" is the right word.11:4022A Innovation dinner related to the Spirit redesign?11:3924A But again, some versions of Yahoo Mail does11:4024A Innovation dinner; no.11:3924A But again, some versions of Yahoo Mail does11:4025A Innovation dinner; no.11:3924A But again, some versions of Yahoo Mail does11:4026Q Why do some versions of Yahoo Mail use AJAX?11:401benefit at all from its use of AJAX technologies on11:423A I think ther I think the use of AJAX in 11:401benefit, sure. Yes, I think we have some11:424mail goes back a number of years, and I think that11:403A Any benefit, sure. Yes, I think we have some11:424mail goes back a number of years ago11:414benefit.11:425some of it was based on an acquisition that we made, 11:416No, Yahoo also makes use of Flash; does it11:426and so whether I member of years ago11:4114benefit.11:427A We do use Flash.11:42114No Not suce of Hash; does it11:429for instance, that we launched a				
21for the Spirit redesign?11:3921THE WITNESS: I don't know if you'd word -11:4022A No, not as I sit here. I don't remember.11:3922I'm not sure if the word "engaging" is the right word.11:4023Q Do you remember something called a Yahoo11:3923MR. BUUNN: Q. Well -11:4024A Innovation dinner; no.11:3924A But again, some versions of Yahoo Mail does11:4025A Innovation dinner; no.11:3925and do use the AJAX, certain type of AJAX11:402Q Why do some versions of Yahoo Mail use AJAX?11:403A Any benefit, sure. Yes, I think we have some11:423A I think there I think the use of AJAX in 11:403A Any benefit, sure. Yes, I think we have some11:424mail goes back a number of years, and I think that 11:403A Any benefit, sure. Yes, I think we have some11:424mail goes back a number of years ago II:415Q Now, Yahoo also makes use of Flash; does it11:426and so whether I mean, for example, the reason I'm 11:417A We do use Flash.11:429for instance, that we launched a number of years ago II:417A We do use Flash.11:4210for instance, that we launched a number of years ago II:4110A I would say yes, we have some benefit.11:4211turned out to be slower than the non-AJAX version.11:4112Properties?11:4212Q Well, let me11:4112Q If Yahoo had				
22ANo, not as I sithere. I don't remember.11:3922I'm not sure if the word "engaging" is the right word.11:4023QDo you remember something called a Yahoo11:3923MR. BUDWIN: Q. Well11:4024innovation dinner related to the Spirit redesign?11:3924A But again, some versions of Yahoo Mail does11:4025AInnovation dinner; no.11:3925and do use the AJAX, certain type of AJAX11:4025QWhy do some versions of Yahoo Mail use AJAX?11:401Page 1251technologies.11:4011any of its properties?11:423AI think there I think the use of AJAX in 11:4014A Any benefit, sure. Yes, I think we some 11:424mail goes back a number of years, and I think that11:403A Any benefit, sure. Yes, I think we some 11:425some of it was based on an acquisition that we made, 11:415Q Now, Yahoo also makes use of Flash; does it11:426and so whether Imean, for example, the reason I'm 11:417A We do use Flash.11:429faster. But, in reality, the AJAX version of Mail, 11:419Flash on its properties?11:4210for instance, that we launched a number of years ago11:411A I would say yes, we have some benefit.11:4211turned out to be slower than the non-AJAX version.11:4114MS. DOAN: Objection; vague.11:4212Q Well, let me11:41				-
23Q Do you remember something called a Yahoo11:3923MR. BUDWIN: Q. Well11:4024innovation dinner related to the Spirit redesign?11:3924A But again, some versions of Yahoo Mail does11:4025A Innovation dinner; no.11:3925and do use the AJAX, certain type of AJAX11:4025Page 124Page 1251technologies.11:401benefit at all from its use of AJAX technologies on11:423A I think there I think the use of AJAX in11:403A Any benefit, sure. Yes, I think we have some 11:424mail goes back a number of years, and I think that11:403A Any benefit, sure. Yes, I think we have some 11:425some of it was based on an acquisition that we made,11:415Q Now, Yahoo also makes use of Flash; does it11:426not?11:427A We do use Flash.11:4211:427kind of hesitating here a little bit is that some 11:417A We do use Flash.11:429faster. But, in reality, the AJAX version of Mail, 11:4110A I would say yes, we have some benefit.11:4210for instance, that we launched a number of years ago11:4110A I would say yes, we have some benefit.11:4211Q Well, let me -11:4111QIf Yahoo had to remove Flash from all of its11:4214goals around speed or other things that weren'11:4112Properties, would that have a beneficial or11:4215 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>				
24innovation dinner related to the Spirit redesign?11:3924A But again, some versions of Yahoo Mail does11:4025A Innovation dinner, no.11:3925and do use the AJAX, certain type of AJAX11:4025A Innovation dinner, no.11:3925and do use the AJAX, certain type of AJAX11:402Q Why do some versions of Yahoo Mail use AJAX?11:401benefit at all from its use of AJAX technologies on11:423A I think there I think the use of AJAX in11:403A Any benefit, sure. Yes, I think we have some11:424mail goes back a number of years, and I think that11:413A Any benefit, sure. Yes, I think we have some11:425some of it was based on an acquisition that we made,11:415Q Now, Yahoo also makes use of Flash; does it11:426and so whether I mean, for example, the reason I'm11:417A We do use Flash.11:427kind of hesitating here a little bit is that some11:417A We do use Flash.11:429faster. But, in reality, the AJAX version11:4110A I would say yes, we have some benefit.11:4210for instance, that we launched a number of years ago11:4112Properties?11:4212Q Well, let me11:4112properties, would that have a beneficial or11:4213A There might have been some intention and some 11:4113detrimental effect on Yahoo's business?11:4214goals				
25A Innovation dinner; no.11:3925and do use the AJAX, certain type of AJAX11:40Page 124Page 1251technologies.11:401benefit at all from its use of AJAX technologies on11:422Q Why do some versions of Yahoo Mail use AJAX?11:401benefit at all from its use of AJAX technologies on11:423A I think ther 1 think the use of AJAX in11:403A Any benefit, sure. Yes, I think we have some11:424mail goes back a number of years, and I think that11:404benefit.11:425some of it was based on an acquisition that we made,11:415Q Now, Yahoo also makes use of Flash; does it11:426and so whether I mean, for example, the reason Tm11:416not?11:429faster. But, in reality, the AJAX version of Mail,11:417A We do use Flash.11:429faster. But, in reality, the AJAX version of Mail,11:418Q Does Yahoo derive any benefit.11:4210for instance, that we launched a number of years ago11:4110A I would say yes, we have some benefit.11:4211turned out to be slower than the non-AJAX version.11:4111Q If Yahoo had to remove Flash from all of its11:4212Q Well, let me -11:4112properties, would that have a beneficial or11:4213A There might have been some intention and some 11:4113detrimental effect on Yahoo's business? <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>-</td></t<>				-
Page 124Page 1251technologies.11:4012Q Why do some versions of Yahoo Mail use AJAX?11:4013A I think thereI think the use of AJAX in 11:4014mail goes back a number of years, and I think that11:405some of it was based on an acquisition that we made, 11:4136and so whetherI mean, for example, the reason 1m11:417kind of hesitating here a little bit is that some 11:4158people might say AJAX can be used to make something11:419faster. But, in reality, the AJAX version of Mail, 11:41710for instance, that we launched a number of years ago11:4111turned out to be slower than the non-AJAX version.11:4112Q Well, let me -11:4113A There might have been some intention and some 11:411214goals around speed or other things that werent11:4114goals around speed or other things that werent11:4114MS. DOAN: Mr. Budwin, do you think you can 11:411619let him finish his answer, before you cut him off.11:4119let him finish his answer, before you cut him off.11:4120MR. BUDWIN: Okay.11:4221Q You mentioned an acquisition. What11:4122Q You mentioned an acquisition. What11:4123A There positives are I'd say the positives11:4224MR. BUDWIN: Okay.11:4225MR. B				
1technologies.11:401benefit at all from its use of AJAX technologies on11:422QWhy do some versions of Yahoo Mail use AJAX?11:401any of its properties?11:423AI think there I think the use of AJAX in11:403AA Any benefit, sure. Yes, I think we have some11:424mail goes back a number of years, and I think that11:404benefit.11:4255some of it was based on an acquisition that we made,11:415QNow, Yahoo also makes use of Flash; does it11:426and so whether I mean, for example, the reason I'm11:415QNow, Yahoo also makes use of Flash; does it11:427kind of hesitating here a little bit is that some11:416not?11:4211:428people might say AJAX can be used to make something11:417AWe do use Flash.11:429faster. But, in reality, the AJAX version of Mail,11:419Flash on its properties?11:4210for instance, that we launched a number of years ago11:4110AI would say yes, we have some benefit.11:4212QWell, let me -11:4112properties?11:4211:4213A There might have been some intention and some11:4113detrimental effect on Yahoo's busines?11:4214goals around speed or other things that weren't11:4114MS. DOAN:Mc. BudWin, do you think you can </td <td>25</td> <td>A Innovation dinner; no. 11:39</td> <td>25</td> <td>and do use the AJAX, certain type of AJAX 11:40</td>	25	A Innovation dinner; no. 11:39	25	and do use the AJAX, certain type of AJAX 11:40
2QWhy do some versions of Yahoo Mail use AJAX?11:403AI think there I think the use of AJAX in11:404mail goes back a number of years, and I think that11:405some of it was based on an acquisition that we made,11:416and so whether I mean, for example, the reason I'm11:417kind of hesitating here a little bit is that some11:418people might say AJAX can be used to make something11:419faster. But, in reality, the AJAX version of Mail,11:4110for instance, that we launched a number of years ago11:4111QIf Yahoo had to remove Flash from all of its11:4212QWell, let me11:4113AThere might have been some intention and some11:4114MS. DOAN:Mr. Budwin, do you think you can11:4115THE WITNESS:It would have both.11:4216So when you say11:411417QLet's talk in terms of11:4118MS. DOAN:Mr. Budwin, do you think you can11:4119let him finish his answer, before you cut him off.11:4119let him finish his answer, before you cut him off.11:4119let him finish his answer, before you cut him off.11:4120QYou mentioned an acquisition.11:4121QLet's talk in terms of Flash, dou's11:4222QYou mentioned an acquisition.<				Page 125
3AI think there I think the use of AJAX in 11:403AA ny benefit, sure. Yes, I think we have some 11:424mail goes back a number of years, and I think that 11:404benefit.11:425some of it was based on an acquisition that we made, 11:415QNow, Yahoo also makes use of Flash; does it 11:426and so whether I mean, for example, the reason I'm 11:415QNow, Yahoo also makes use of Flash; does it 11:427kind of hesitating here a little bit is that some 11:416not?11:428people might say AJAX can be used to make something 11:417AWe do use Flash.11:429faster. But, in reality, the AJAX version of Mail, 11:419Flash on its properties?11:4210for instance, that we launched a number of years ago 11:4110AI would say yes, we have some benefit.11:4212QWell, let me11:4112properties, would that have a beneficial or11:4213AThere might have been some intention and some 11:4113detrimental effect on Yahoo's business?11:4214goals around speed or other things that weren't11:4115THE WITNESS: It would have both.11:4215necessarily realized.11:4115THE WITNESS: It would have both.11:4216So when you say11:4116MR. BUDWIN: Okay.11:4217QLet's talk in terms of11:4117QHow would it have both?11:42 </td <td></td> <td>-</td> <td>1</td> <td>-</td>		-	1	-
4mail goes back a number of years, and I think that11:404benefit.11:425some of it was based on an acquisition that we made,11:415Q Now, Yahoo also makes use of Flash; does it11:426and so whether I mean, for example, the reason I'm11:417A We do use Flash.11:427kind of hesitating here a little bit is that some11:417A We do use Flash.11:428people might say AJAX can be used to make something11:417A We do use Flash.11:429faster. But, in reality, the AJAX version of Mail,11:419Flash on its properties?11:4210for instance, that we launched a number of years ago11:4110A I would say yes, we have some benefit.11:4212Q Well, let me11:4111Q If Yahoo had to remove Flash from all of its11:4213A There might have been some intention and some11:4112properties, would that have a beneficial or11:4214goals around speed or other things that weren't11:4113detrimental effect on Yahoo's business?11:4214goals around speed or other things that you can11:4115THE WITNESS: It would have both.11:4215necessarily realized.11:4117Q How would it have both?11:4214MS. DOAN: Mr. Budwin, do you think you can11:4118A Flash can be positive, and Flash can also be11:4215MR. BUDWIN: Okay.11:4211:42				
5some of it was based on an acquisition that we made, 11:415QNow, Yahoo also makes use of Flash; does it11:426and so whether I mean, for example, the reason I'm11:416not?11:427kind of hesitating here a little bit is that some11:416not?11:428people might say AJAX can be used to make something11:417AWe do use Flash.11:429faster. But, in reality, the AJAX version of Mail,11:419Flash on its properties?11:4210for instance, that we launched a number of years ago11:4110AI would say yes, we have some benefit.11:4212QWell, let me11:4111QIf Yahoo had to remove Flash from all of its11:4213AThere might have been some intention and some11:4112properties, would that have a beneficial or11:4214goals around speed or other things that weren't11:4114MS. DOAN: Objection; vague.11:4214goals around speed or other things that weren't11:4115THE WITNESS: It would have both.11:4215necessarily realized.11:4117QHow would it have both?11:4216So when you say11:4115THE WITNESS: It would have both.11:4217QLet's talk in terms of11:4116MR. BUDWIN: Okay.11:4218MS. DOAN: Mr. Budwin, do you think you can11:4119a negati				
6and so whether I mean, for example, the reason I'm 11:416not?11:427kind of hesitating here a little bit is that some 11:417A We do use Flash.11:428people might say AJAX can be used to make something 11:418Q Does Yahoo derive any benefit from its use of 11:429faster. But, in reality, the AJAX version of Mail, 11:419Flash on its properties?11:4210for instance, that we launched a number of years ago 11:4110A I would say yes, we have some benefit.11:4211turned out to be slower than the non-AJAX version.11:4111Q If Yahoo had to remove Flash from all of its 11:4212Q Well, let me11:4112properties, would that have a beneficial or11:4213A There might have been some intention and some 11:4113detrimental effect on Yahoo's business?11:4214goals around speed or other things that weren't11:4114MS. DOAN: Objection; vague.11:4216So when you say11:4115THE WITNESS: It would have both.11:4217Q Let's talk in terms of11:4117Q How would it have both?11:4219let him finish his answer, before you cut him off.11:4119a negative.11:4220MR. BUDWIN: Okay. Let's let's talk about 11:4120Q What are the positives of Flash in Yahoo's 11:4221in terms of specifics.11:4121use of Flash?11:4222Q You mentioned an acquisition. What <td></td> <td>•</td> <td></td> <td></td>		•		
7kind of hesitating here a little bit is that some11:417AWe do use Flash.11:428people might say AJAX can be used to make something11:418QDoes Yahoo derive any benefit from its use of11:429faster. But, in reality, the AJAX version of Mail,11:419Flash on its properties?11:4210for instance, that we launched a number of years ago11:4110AI would say yes, we have some benefit.11:4211turned out to be slower than the non-AJAX version.11:4111QIf Yahoo had to remove Flash from all of its11:4212QWell, let me11:4112properties, would that have a beneficial or11:4213AThere might have been some intention and some11:4114MS. DOAN: Objection; vague.11:4214goals around speed or other things that weren't11:4115THE WITNESS: It would have both.11:4214So when you say11:4116MR. BUDWIN: Okay.11:4215necessarily realized.11:4117QHow would it have both?11:4216So when you say11:4117QHow would it have both?11:4217QLet's talk in terms of11:4117QHow would it have both?11:4218MS. DOAN: Mr. Budwin, do you think you can11:4118AFlash can be positive, and Flash can also be11:4219let him finish his answer, befor		-		-
8people might say AJAX can be used to make something11:418QDoes Yahoo derive any benefit from its use of11:429faster. But, in reality, the AJAX version of Mail,11:419Flash on its properties?11:4210for instance, that we launched a number of years ago11:4110AI would say yes, we have some benefit.11:4211turned out to be slower than the non-AJAX version.11:4111QIf Yahoo had to remove Flash from all of its11:4212QWell, let me11:4112properties, would that have a beneficial or11:4213AThere might have been some intention and some11:4113detrimental effect on Yahoo's business?11:4214goals around speed or other things that weren't11:4114MS. DOAN: Objection; vague.11:4216So when you say11:4115THE WITNESS: It would have both.11:4217QLet's talk in terms of11:4117QHow would it have both?11:4218MS. DOAN: Mr. Budwin, do you think you can11:4117QHow would it have both?11:4219let him finish his answer, before you cut him off.11:4119a negative.11:4220MR. BUDWIN: Okay. Let's let's talk about11:4120QWhat are the positives of Flash in Yahoo's11:4221in terms of specifics.11:4121use of Flash?11:4222QYou ment				
9faster. But, in reality, the AJAX version of Mail, 11:419Flash on its properties?11:4210for instance, that we launched a number of years ago 11:4110A I would say yes, we have some benefit.11:4211turned out to be slower than the non-AJAX version.11:4111Q If Yahoo had to remove Flash from all of its11:4212Q Well, let me11:4112properties, would that have a beneficial or11:4213A There might have been some intention and some 11:4113detrimental effect on Yahoo's business?11:4214goals around speed or other things that weren't11:4114MS. DOAN: Objection; vague.11:4216So when you say11:4115THE WITNESS: It would have both.11:4217Q Let's talk in terms of11:4117Q How would it have both?11:4218MS. DOAN: Mr. Budwin, do you think you can 11:4118A Flash can be positive, and Flash can also be 11:4219let him finish his answer, before you cut him off.11:4119a negative.11:4220MR. BUDWIN: Okay. Let's let's talk about 11:4120Q What are the positives of Flash in Yahoo's 11:4221in terms of specifics.11:4121use of Flash?11:4222Q You mentioned an acquisition. What11:4122A The positives are I'd say the positives 11:42				
10for instance, that we launched a number of years ago11:4110A I would say yes, we have some benefit.11:4211turned out to be slower than the non-AJAX version.11:4111Q If Yahoo had to remove Flash from all of its11:4212Q Well, let me11:4112properties, would that have a beneficial or11:4213A There might have been some intention and some11:4113detrimental effect on Yahoo's business?11:4214goals around speed or other things that weren't11:4114MS. DOAN: Objection; vague.11:4215necessarily realized.11:4115THE WITNESS: It would have both.11:4216So when you say11:4116MR. BUDWIN: Okay.11:4217Q Let's talk in terms of11:4117Q How would it have both?11:4218MS. DOAN: Mr. Budwin, do you think you can11:4118A Flash can be positive, and Flash can also be11:4219let him finish his answer, before you cut him off.11:4119a negative.11:4220MR. BUDWIN: Okay. Let's let's talk about11:4120Q What are the positives of Flash in Yahoo's11:4221in terms of specifics.11:4121use of Flash?11:4222Q You mentioned an acquisition. What11:4122A The positives are I'd say the positives11:42			-	
11turned out to be slower than the non-AJAX version.11:4111Q If Yahoo had to remove Flash from all of its11:4212Q Well, let me11:4112properties, would that have a beneficial or11:4213A There might have been some intention and some11:4112detrimental effect on Yahoo's business?11:4214goals around speed or other things that weren't11:4114MS. DOAN: Objection; vague.11:4215necessarily realized.11:4115THE WITNESS: It would have both.11:4216So when you say11:4116MR. BUDWIN: Okay.11:4217Q Let's talk in terms of11:4117Q How would it have both?11:4218MS. DOAN: Mr. Budwin, do you think you can11:4118A Flash can be positive, and Flash can also be11:4219let him finish his answer, before you cut him off.11:4119a negative.11:4220MR. BUDWIN: Okay. Let's let's talk about11:4120Q What are the positives of Flash in Yahoo's11:4221in terms of specifics.11:4121use of Flash?11:4222Q You mentioned an acquisition. What11:4122A The positives are I'd say the positives11:42		-		
12Q Well, let me11:4112properties, would that have a beneficial or11:4213A There might have been some intention and some11:4113detrimental effect on Yahoo's business?11:4214goals around speed or other things that weren't11:4114MS. DOAN: Objection; vague.11:4215necessarily realized.11:4115THE WITNESS: It would have both.11:4216So when you say11:4116MR. BUDWIN: Okay.11:4217Q Let's talk in terms of11:4117Q How would it have both?11:4218MS. DOAN: Mr. Budwin, do you think you can11:4118A Flash can be positive, and Flash can also be11:4219let him finish his answer, before you cut him off.11:4119a negative.11:4220MR. BUDWIN: Okay. Let's let's talk about11:4120Q What are the positives of Flash in Yahoo's11:4221in terms of specifics.11:4121use of Flash?11:4222Q You mentioned an acquisition. What11:4122A The positives are I'd say the positives11:42				
13A There might have been some intention and some 11:4113detrimental effect on Yahoo's business?11:4214goals around speed or other things that weren't 11:4114MS. DOAN: Objection; vague.11:4215necessarily realized.11:4115THE WITNESS: It would have both.11:4216So when you say11:4116MR. BUDWIN: Okay.11:4217Q Let's talk in terms of11:4117Q How would it have both?11:4218MS. DOAN: Mr. Budwin, do you think you can 11:4118A Flash can be positive, and Flash can also be 11:4219let him finish his answer, before you cut him off.11:4119a negative.11:4220MR. BUDWIN: Okay. Let's let's talk about 11:4120Q What are the positives of Flash in Yahoo's 11:4221in terms of specifics.11:4121use of Flash?11:4222Q You mentioned an acquisition. What11:4122A The positives are I'd say the positives11:42				-
14goals around speed or other things that weren't11:4114MS. DOAN: Objection; vague.11:4215necessarily realized.11:4115THE WITNESS: It would have both.11:4216So when you say11:4116MR. BUDWIN: Okay.11:4217QLet's talk in terms of11:4117QHow would it have both?11:4218MS. DOAN: Mr. Budwin, do you think you can11:4118AFlash can be positive, and Flash can also be11:4219let him finish his answer, before you cut him off.11:4119a negative.11:4220MR. BUDWIN: Okay. Let's let's talk about11:4120QWhat are the positives of Flash in Yahoo's11:4221in terms of specifics.11:4121use of Flash?11:4222QYou mentioned an acquisition. What11:4122AThe positives are I'd say the positives11:42				
15necessarily realized.11:4115THE WITNESS: It would have both.11:4216So when you say11:4116MR. BUDWIN: Okay.11:4217QLet's talk in terms of11:4117QHow would it have both?11:4218MS. DOAN: Mr. Budwin, do you think you can11:4118AFlash can be positive, and Flash can also be11:4219let him finish his answer, before you cut him off.11:4119a negative.11:4220MR. BUDWIN: Okay. Let's let's talk about11:4120QWhat are the positives of Flash in Yahoo's11:4221in terms of specifics.11:4121use of Flash?11:4222QYou mentioned an acquisition. What11:4122AThe positives are I'd say the positives11:42		0		
16So when you say11:4116MR. BUDWIN: Okay.11:4217QLet's talk in terms of11:4117QHow would it have both?11:4218MS. DOAN: Mr. Budwin, do you think you can 11:4118AFlash can be positive, and Flash can also be 11:4219let him finish his answer, before you cut him off.11:4119a negative.11:4220MR. BUDWIN: Okay. Let's let's talk about 11:4120QWhat are the positives of Flash in Yahoo's 11:4221in terms of specifics.11:4121use of Flash?11:4222QYou mentioned an acquisition. What11:4122AThe positives are I'd say the positives11:42				
17QLet's talk in terms of11:4117QHow would it have both?11:4218MS. DOAN: Mr. Budwin, do you think you can11:4118AFlash can be positive, and Flash can also be11:4219let him finish his answer, before you cut him off.11:4119a negative.11:4220MR. BUDWIN: Okay. Let's let's talk about11:4120QWhat are the positives of Flash in Yahoo's11:4221in terms of specifics.11:4121use of Flash?11:4222QYou mentioned an acquisition. What11:4122AThe positives are I'd say the positives11:42		-		
18MS. DOAN: Mr. Budwin, do you think you can11:4118AFlash can be positive, and Flash can also be11:4219let him finish his answer, before you cut him off.11:4119a negative.11:4220MR. BUDWIN: Okay. Let's let's talk about11:4120QWhat are the positives of Flash in Yahoo's11:4221in terms of specifics.11:4121use of Flash?11:4222QYou mentioned an acquisition. What11:4122AThe positives are I'd say the positives11:42				
19let him finish his answer, before you cut him off.11:4119a negative.11:4220MR. BUDWIN: Okay. Let's let's talk about11:4120Q What are the positives of Flash in Yahoo's11:4221in terms of specifics.11:4121use of Flash?11:4222Q You mentioned an acquisition. What11:4122A The positives are I'd say the positives11:42				
20MR. BUDWIN: Okay. Let's let's talk about 11:4120QWhat are the positives of Flash in Yahoo's 11:4221in terms of specifics.11:4121use of Flash?11:4222QYou mentioned an acquisition. What11:4122AThe positives are I'd say the positives11:42				
21in terms of specifics.11:4121use of Flash?11:4222QYou mentioned an acquisition.What11:4122AThe positives are I'd say the positives11:42				8
22 Q You mentioned an acquisition. What 11:41 22 A The positives are I'd say the positives 11:42		-		· ·
		-		
23 acquisition are you referring to? 11:41 23 are some advertisers that we do business with like to 11:43	23	acquisition are you referring to? 11:41	23	are some advertisers that we do business with like to 11:43
24A company called Odd Post.11:4224use it.11:43				
25 Q Okay. Now, do you think Yahoo derives any 11:42 25 Q Okay. So Yahoo serves Flash-based ads; does 11:43	25	Q Okay. Now, do you think Yahoo derives any 11:42	25	Q Okay. So Yahoo serves Flash-based ads; does 11:43

	Page 126		Page 127
1	it not? 11:43	1	use of Flash technologies; right? 11:43
2	A We have some Flash-based ads. 11:43	2	A That make use of. 11:44
3	Q And if Yahoo weren't able to use Flash, it 11:43	3	That have Flash within the properties on 11:44
4	would have to stop serving its Flash-based ads. 11:43	4	them? 11:44
5	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 11:43	5	Q Yes. 11:44
6	THE WITNESS: Well, there's certainly 11:43	6	A Yes. 11:44
7	alternatives we could could go to. 11:43	7	Q Okay. Have you ever gone to Yahoo Movies? 11:44
8	MR. BUDWIN: Okay. I didn't ask about 11:43	8	A Yes. 11:44
9	alternatives. 11:43	9	Q And there's Flash-based movies on Yahoo 11:44
10	Q If an ad is encoded in Flash and you have to 11:43	10	Movies? 11:44
11	stop using Flash, you can't serve ads in Flash; can 11:43	11	A Sometimes there are, yes. 11:44
12	you? 11:43	12	Q Why hasn't Yahoo taken all of Flash off of 11:44
13	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 11:43	13	all of its properties today? 11:44
14	THE WITNESS: We can't serve if there's 11:43	14	A Why haven't we taken it off? 11:44
15	if there's a Flash ad and we're not going to use Flash 11:43	15	We haven't taken because maybe there's no 11:44
16	anymore, then that particular ad won't be served, but 11:43	16	reason to take it off, I guess, but 11:44
17	alternatives will certainly be served. 11:43	17	Q Well, Yahoo obviously gets some benefit from 11:44
18	MR. BUDWIN: Okay. 11:43	18	its use of Flash; agree? 11:44
19	Q What alternatives are you talking about? 11:43	19	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 11:44
20	A Static images, animated images, HTML5-based 11:43	20	THE WITNESS: Again, earlier I think I said 11:44
21	ads. 11:43	21	there's some benefit from using Flash. I think 11:44
22	Q Now 11:43	22	there's also some I think there's some downside to 11:44
23	A Lots of there's quite a number of 11:43	23	it too, but 11:44
24	alternatives. 11:43	24	MR. BUDWIN: Q. Well, Yahoo obviously feels 11:44
25	Q But Yahoo has various properties that make 11:43	25	that the benefits of using Flash outweigh the 11:44
	Page 128		Page 129
1	detriments; does it not? 11:45	1	I'm not sure what that means. There's a 11:46
1 2	detriments; does it not?11:45MS. DOAN: Objection; form.11:45	1 2	I'm not sure what that means. There's a 11:46 there's a property called maps@yahoo.com are you 11:46
2	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 11:45 THE WITNESS: I think in some cases we've 11:45 decided that using Flash overall is more positive than 11:45	2	there's a property called maps@yahoo.com are you11:46saying we couldn't insert that URL anymore?11:46MR. BUDWIN: No.11:46
2 3	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 11:45 THE WITNESS: I think in some cases we've 11:45 decided that using Flash overall is more positive than 11:45 negative than negative. 11:45	2 3	there's a property called maps@yahoo.com are you11:46saying we couldn't insert that URL anymore?11:46MR. BUDWIN: No.11:46THE WITNESS: Because if you look, maps are11:46
2 3 4	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 11:45 THE WITNESS: I think in some cases we've 11:45 decided that using Flash overall is more positive than 11:45 negative than negative. 11:45 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Now, with respect to Yahoo's 11:45	2 3 4	there's a property called maps@yahoo.com are you11:46saying we couldn't insert that URL anymore?11:46MR. BUDWIN: No.11:46
2 3 4 5	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 11:45 THE WITNESS: I think in some cases we've 11:45 decided that using Flash overall is more positive than 11:45 negative than negative. 11:45	2 3 4 5	there's a property called maps@yahoo.com are you 11:46 saying we couldn't insert that URL anymore? 11:46 MR. BUDWIN: No. 11:46 THE WITNESS: Because if you look, maps are 11:46 also embedded in other properties, so I'm not sure 11:46 what you're 11:46
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 11:45 THE WITNESS: I think in some cases we've 11:45 decided that using Flash overall is more positive than 11:45 negative than negative. 11:45 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Now, with respect to Yahoo's 11:45 use of AJAX technologies, if Yahoo were to have to 11:45 remove all uses of AJAX from its websites, its 11:45	2 3 4 5 6	there's a property called maps@yahoo.com are you11:46saying we couldn't insert that URL anymore?11:46MR. BUDWIN: No.11:46THE WITNESS: Because if you look, maps are11:46also embedded in other properties, so I'm not sure11:46
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 11:45 THE WITNESS: I think in some cases we've 11:45 decided that using Flash overall is more positive than 11:45 negative than negative. 11:45 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Now, with respect to Yahoo's 11:45 use of AJAX technologies, if Yahoo were to have to 11:45 remove all uses of AJAX from its websites, its 11:45 properties, would that have a positive or a negative 11:45	2 3 4 5 6 7	there's a property called maps@yahoo.com are you 11:46 saying we couldn't insert that URL anymore? 11:46 MR. BUDWIN: No. 11:46 THE WITNESS: Because if you look, maps are 11:46 also embedded in other properties, so I'm not sure 11:46 what you're 11:46 MR. BUDWIN: Q. So if Yahoo was not allowed 11:46 to use AJAX technologies for its Map property, would 11:46
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 11:45 THE WITNESS: I think in some cases we've 11:45 decided that using Flash overall is more positive than 11:45 negative than negative. 11:45 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Now, with respect to Yahoo's 11:45 use of AJAX technologies, if Yahoo were to have to 11:45 remove all uses of AJAX from its websites, its 11:45 properties, would that have a positive or a negative 11:45 effect? 11:45	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	there's a property called maps@yahoo.com are you 11:46 saying we couldn't insert that URL anymore? 11:46 MR. BUDWIN: No. 11:46 THE WITNESS: Because if you look, maps are 11:46 also embedded in other properties, so I'm not sure 11:46 what you're 11:46 MR. BUDWIN: Q. So if Yahoo was not allowed 11:46 to use AJAX technologies for its Map property, would 11:46 that have a positive or negative impact on Yahoo's 11:46
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 11:45 THE WITNESS: I think in some cases we've 11:45 decided that using Flash overall is more positive than 11:45 negative than negative. 11:45 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Now, with respect to Yahoo's 11:45 use of AJAX technologies, if Yahoo were to have to 11:45 remove all uses of AJAX from its websites, its 11:45 properties, would that have a positive or a negative 11:45 effect? 11:45 A Well, the problem with all these questions 11:45	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	there's a property called maps@yahoo.com are you 11:46 saying we couldn't insert that URL anymore? 11:46 MR. BUDWIN: No. 11:46 THE WITNESS: Because if you look, maps are 11:46 also embedded in other properties, so I'm not sure 11:46 what you're 11:46 MR. BUDWIN: Q. So if Yahoo was not allowed 11:46 to use AJAX technologies for its Map property, would 11:46 that have a positive or negative impact on Yahoo's 11:46 business? 11:46
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 11:45 THE WITNESS: I think in some cases we've 11:45 decided that using Flash overall is more positive than 11:45 negative than negative. 11:45 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Now, with respect to Yahoo's 11:45 use of AJAX technologies, if Yahoo were to have to 11:45 remove all uses of AJAX from its websites, its 11:45 properties, would that have a positive or a negative 11:45 effect? 11:45 A Well, the problem with all these questions 11:45 about AJAX is that AJAX is a very loosely defined 11:45	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	there's a property called maps@yahoo.com are you 11:46 saying we couldn't insert that URL anymore? 11:46 MR. BUDWIN: No. 11:46 THE WITNESS: Because if you look, maps are 11:46 also embedded in other properties, so I'm not sure 11:46 what you're 11:46 MR. BUDWIN: Q. So if Yahoo was not allowed 11:46 to use AJAX technologies for its Map property, would 11:46 that have a positive or negative impact on Yahoo's 11:46 business? 11:46 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 11:46
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 11:45 THE WITNESS: I think in some cases we've 11:45 decided that using Flash overall is more positive than 11:45 negative than negative. 11:45 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Now, with respect to Yahoo's 11:45 use of AJAX technologies, if Yahoo were to have to 11:45 remove all uses of AJAX from its websites, its 11:45 properties, would that have a positive or a negative 11:45 effect? 11:45 A Well, the problem with all these questions 11:45 about AJAX is that AJAX is a very loosely defined 11:45 term, and 11:45	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	there's a property called maps@yahoo.com are you 11:46 saying we couldn't insert that URL anymore? 11:46 MR. BUDWIN: No. 11:46 THE WITNESS: Because if you look, maps are 11:46 also embedded in other properties, so I'm not sure 11:46 what you're 11:46 MR. BUDWIN: Q. So if Yahoo was not allowed 11:46 to use AJAX technologies for its Map property, would 11:46 that have a positive or negative impact on Yahoo's 11:46 business? 11:46 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 11:46 You can answer. 11:46
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 11:45 THE WITNESS: I think in some cases we've 11:45 decided that using Flash overall is more positive than 11:45 negative than negative. 11:45 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Now, with respect to Yahoo's 11:45 use of AJAX technologies, if Yahoo were to have to 11:45 remove all uses of AJAX from its websites, its 11:45 properties, would that have a positive or a negative 11:45 effect? 11:45 A Well, the problem with all these questions 11:45 about AJAX is that AJAX is a very loosely defined 11:45 term, and 11:45 Q Okay. Let's talk about some specific 11:45	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	there's a property called maps@yahoo.com are you 11:46 saying we couldn't insert that URL anymore? 11:46 MR. BUDWIN: No. 11:46 THE WITNESS: Because if you look, maps are 11:46 also embedded in other properties, so I'm not sure 11:46 what you're 11:46 MR. BUDWIN: Q. So if Yahoo was not allowed 11:46 to use AJAX technologies for its Map property, would 11:46 that have a positive or negative impact on Yahoo's 11:46 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 11:46 You can answer. 11:46 THE WITNESS: So again, AJAX is a very 11:46
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 11:45 THE WITNESS: I think in some cases we've 11:45 decided that using Flash overall is more positive than 11:45 negative than negative. 11:45 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Now, with respect to Yahoo's 11:45 use of AJAX technologies, if Yahoo were to have to 11:45 remove all uses of AJAX from its websites, its 11:45 properties, would that have a positive or a negative 11:45 effect? 11:45 A Well, the problem with all these questions 11:45 about AJAX is that AJAX is a very loosely defined 11:45 term, and 11:45 Q Okay. Let's talk about some specific 11:45 examples. 11:45	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	there's a property called maps@yahoo.com are you 11:46 saying we couldn't insert that URL anymore? 11:46 MR. BUDWIN: No. 11:46 THE WITNESS: Because if you look, maps are 11:46 also embedded in other properties, so I'm not sure 11:46 what you're 11:46 MR. BUDWIN: Q. So if Yahoo was not allowed 11:46 to use AJAX technologies for its Map property, would 11:46 that have a positive or negative impact on Yahoo's 11:46 business? 11:46 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 11:46 You can answer. 11:46 THE WITNESS: So again, AJAX is a very 11:46 loosely defined term that, I think, is there's not 11:46
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 11:45 THE WITNESS: I think in some cases we've 11:45 decided that using Flash overall is more positive than 11:45 negative than negative. 11:45 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Now, with respect to Yahoo's 11:45 use of AJAX technologies, if Yahoo were to have to 11:45 remove all uses of AJAX from its websites, its 11:45 properties, would that have a positive or a negative 11:45 effect? 11:45 A Well, the problem with all these questions 11:45 about AJAX is that AJAX is a very loosely defined 11:45 term, and 11:45 Q Okay. Let's talk about some specific 11:45 examples. 11:45 So if Yahoo were to have to remove Yahoo 11:45	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	there's a property called maps@yahoo.com are you 11:46 saying we couldn't insert that URL anymore? 11:46 MR. BUDWIN: No. 11:46 THE WITNESS: Because if you look, maps are 11:46 also embedded in other properties, so I'm not sure 11:46 what you're 11:46 MR. BUDWIN: Q. So if Yahoo was not allowed 11:46 to use AJAX technologies for its Map property, would 11:46 that have a positive or negative impact on Yahoo's 11:46 business? 11:46 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 11:46 You can answer. 11:46 THE WITNESS: So again, AJAX is a very 11:46 loosely defined term that, I think, is there's not 11:46 a precise definition for it, so people mean very 11:46
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 11:45 THE WITNESS: I think in some cases we've 11:45 decided that using Flash overall is more positive than 11:45 negative than negative. 11:45 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Now, with respect to Yahoo's 11:45 use of AJAX technologies, if Yahoo were to have to 11:45 remove all uses of AJAX from its websites, its 11:45 properties, would that have a positive or a negative 11:45 effect? 11:45 A Well, the problem with all these questions 11:45 about AJAX is that AJAX is a very loosely defined 11:45 term, and 11:45 Q Okay. Let's talk about some specific 11:45 examples. 11:45 So if Yahoo were to have to remove Yahoo 11:45 Maps, would that have a positive or negative effect on 11:45	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	there's a property called maps@yahoo.com are you 11:46 saying we couldn't insert that URL anymore? 11:46 MR. BUDWIN: No. 11:46 THE WITNESS: Because if you look, maps are 11:46 also embedded in other properties, so I'm not sure 11:46 what you're 11:46 MR. BUDWIN: Q. So if Yahoo was not allowed 11:46 to use AJAX technologies for its Map property, would 11:46 that have a positive or negative impact on Yahoo's 11:46 business? 11:46 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 11:46 You can answer. 11:46 THE WITNESS: So again, AJAX is a very 11:46 loosely defined term that, I think, is there's not 11:46 a precise definition for it, so people mean very 11:46 different things by it, so 11:46
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 11:45 THE WITNESS: I think in some cases we've 11:45 decided that using Flash overall is more positive than 11:45 negative than negative. 11:45 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Now, with respect to Yahoo's 11:45 use of AJAX technologies, if Yahoo were to have to 11:45 remove all uses of AJAX from its websites, its 11:45 properties, would that have a positive or a negative 11:45 effect? 11:45 A Well, the problem with all these questions 11:45 about AJAX is that AJAX is a very loosely defined 11:45 term, and 11:45 Q Okay. Let's talk about some specific 11:45 examples. 11:45 So if Yahoo were to have to remove Yahoo 11:45 Maps, would that have a positive or negative effect on 11:45 Yahoo's business? 11:45	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	there's a property called maps@yahoo.com are you 11:46 saying we couldn't insert that URL anymore? 11:46 MR. BUDWIN: No. 11:46 THE WITNESS: Because if you look, maps are 11:46 also embedded in other properties, so I'm not sure 11:46 what you're 11:46 MR. BUDWIN: Q. So if Yahoo was not allowed 11:46 to use AJAX technologies for its Map property, would 11:46 that have a positive or negative impact on Yahoo's 11:46 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 11:46 You can answer. 11:46 THE WITNESS: So again, AJAX is a very 11:46 loosely defined term that, I think, is there's not 11:46 a precise definition for it, so people mean very 11:46 different things by it, so 11:46 MR. BUDWIN: Okay. 11:46
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 11:45 THE WITNESS: I think in some cases we've 11:45 decided that using Flash overall is more positive than 11:45 negative than negative. 11:45 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Now, with respect to Yahoo's 11:45 use of AJAX technologies, if Yahoo were to have to 11:45 remove all uses of AJAX from its websites, its 11:45 properties, would that have a positive or a negative 11:45 effect? 11:45 A Well, the problem with all these questions 11:45 about AJAX is that AJAX is a very loosely defined 11:45 term, and 11:45 Q Okay. Let's talk about some specific 11:45 examples. 11:45 So if Yahoo were to have to remove Yahoo 11:45 Maps, would that have a positive or negative effect on 11:45 Yahoo's business? 11:45 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 11:45	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	there's a property called maps@yahoo.com are you 11:46 saying we couldn't insert that URL anymore? 11:46 MR. BUDWIN: No. 11:46 THE WITNESS: Because if you look, maps are 11:46 also embedded in other properties, so I'm not sure 11:46 what you're 11:46 MR. BUDWIN: Q. So if Yahoo was not allowed 11:46 to use AJAX technologies for its Map property, would 11:46 that have a positive or negative impact on Yahoo's 11:46 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 11:46 You can answer. 11:46 THE WITNESS: So again, AJAX is a very 11:46 loosely defined term that, I think, is there's not 11:46 a precise definition for it, so people mean very 11:46 different things by it, so 11:46 THE WITNESS: there are certainly 11:46
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 11:45 THE WITNESS: I think in some cases we've 11:45 decided that using Flash overall is more positive than 11:45 negative than negative. 11:45 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Now, with respect to Yahoo's 11:45 use of AJAX technologies, if Yahoo were to have to 11:45 remove all uses of AJAX from its websites, its 11:45 properties, would that have a positive or a negative 11:45 effect? 11:45 A Well, the problem with all these questions 11:45 about AJAX is that AJAX is a very loosely defined 11:45 term, and 11:45 Q Okay. Let's talk about some specific 11:45 examples. 11:45 So if Yahoo were to have to remove Yahoo 11:45 Maps, would that have a positive or negative effect on 11:45 Yahoo's business? 11:45 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 11:45 THE WITNESS: If we had to remove Yahoo Maps? 11:45	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	there's a property called maps@yahoo.com are you 11:46 saying we couldn't insert that URL anymore? 11:46 MR. BUDWIN: No. 11:46 THE WITNESS: Because if you look, maps are 11:46 also embedded in other properties, so I'm not sure 11:46 what you're 11:46 MR. BUDWIN: Q. So if Yahoo was not allowed 11:46 to use AJAX technologies for its Map property, would 11:46 that have a positive or negative impact on Yahoo's 11:46 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 11:46 You can answer. 11:46 THE WITNESS: So again, AJAX is a very 11:46 loosely defined term that, I think, is there's not 11:46 a precise definition for it, so people mean very 11:46 different things by it, so 11:46 THE WITNESS: there are certainly 11:46 alternatives to if you say our current version of 11:46
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 11:45 THE WITNESS: I think in some cases we've 11:45 decided that using Flash overall is more positive than 11:45 negative than negative. 11:45 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Now, with respect to Yahoo's 11:45 use of AJAX technologies, if Yahoo were to have to 11:45 remove all uses of AJAX from its websites, its 11:45 properties, would that have a positive or a negative 11:45 effect? 11:45 A Well, the problem with all these questions 11:45 about AJAX is that AJAX is a very loosely defined 11:45 term, and 11:45 Q Okay. Let's talk about some specific 11:45 examples. 11:45 So if Yahoo were to have to remove Yahoo 11:45 Maps, would that have a positive or negative effect on 11:45 Yahoo's business? 11:45 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 11:45 THE WITNESS: If we had to remove Yahoo Maps? 11:45 MR. BUDWIN: Yes. 11:45	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	there's a property called maps@yahoo.com are you 11:46 saying we couldn't insert that URL anymore? 11:46 MR. BUDWIN: No. 11:46 THE WITNESS: Because if you look, maps are 11:46 also embedded in other properties, so I'm not sure 11:46 what you're 11:46 MR. BUDWIN: Q. So if Yahoo was not allowed 11:46 to use AJAX technologies for its Map property, would 11:46 that have a positive or negative impact on Yahoo's 11:46 business? 11:46 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 11:46 You can answer. 11:46 THE WITNESS: So again, AJAX is a very 11:46 loosely defined term that, I think, is there's not 11:46 a precise definition for it, so people mean very 11:46 different things by it, so 11:46 THE WITNESS: there are certainly 11:46 alternatives to if you say our current version of 11:46 Maps can no longer exist, there's certainly 11:46
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 11:45 THE WITNESS: I think in some cases we've 11:45 decided that using Flash overall is more positive than 11:45 negative than negative. 11:45 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Now, with respect to Yahoo's 11:45 use of AJAX technologies, if Yahoo were to have to 11:45 remove all uses of AJAX from its websites, its 11:45 properties, would that have a positive or a negative 11:45 effect? 11:45 A Well, the problem with all these questions 11:45 about AJAX is that AJAX is a very loosely defined 11:45 term, and 11:45 Q Okay. Let's talk about some specific 11:45 examples. 11:45 So if Yahoo were to have to remove Yahoo 11:45 Maps, would that have a positive or negative effect on 11:45 Yahoo's business? 11:45 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 11:45 THE WITNESS: If we had to remove Yahoo Maps? 11:45 MR. BUDWIN: Yes. 11:45 Q Couldn't use Yahoo Maps anymore. Positive or 11:45	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	there's a property called maps@yahoo.com are you 11:46 saying we couldn't insert that URL anymore? 11:46 MR. BUDWIN: No. 11:46 THE WITNESS: Because if you look, maps are 11:46 also embedded in other properties, so I'm not sure 11:46 what you're 11:46 MR. BUDWIN: Q. So if Yahoo was not allowed 11:46 to use AJAX technologies for its Map property, would 11:46 that have a positive or negative impact on Yahoo's 11:46 business? 11:46 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 11:46 You can answer. 11:46 THE WITNESS: So again, AJAX is a very 11:46 loosely defined term that, I think, is there's not 11:46 a precise definition for it, so people mean very 11:46 different things by it, so 11:46 THE WITNESS: there are certainly 11:46 alternatives to if you say our current version of 11:46 Maps can no longer exist, there's certainly 11:46 alternatives to code it in other technologies that we 11:47
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 11:45 THE WITNESS: I think in some cases we've 11:45 decided that using Flash overall is more positive than 11:45 negative than negative. 11:45 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Now, with respect to Yahoo's 11:45 use of AJAX technologies, if Yahoo were to have to 11:45 remove all uses of AJAX from its websites, its 11:45 properties, would that have a positive or a negative 11:45 effect? 11:45 A Well, the problem with all these questions 11:45 about AJAX is that AJAX is a very loosely defined 11:45 term, and 11:45 Q Okay. Let's talk about some specific 11:45 examples. 11:45 So if Yahoo were to have to remove Yahoo 11:45 Maps, would that have a positive or negative effect on 11:45 Yahoo's business? 11:45 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 11:45 THE WITNESS: If we had to remove Yahoo Maps? 11:45 MR. BUDWIN: Yes. 11:45 Q Couldn't use Yahoo Maps anymore. Positive or 11:45 negative effect in your business? 11:45	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	there's a property called maps@yahoo.com are you 11:46 saying we couldn't insert that URL anymore? 11:46 MR. BUDWIN: No. 11:46 THE WITNESS: Because if you look, maps are 11:46 also embedded in other properties, so I'm not sure 11:46 what you're 11:46 MR. BUDWIN: Q. So if Yahoo was not allowed 11:46 to use AJAX technologies for its Map property, would 11:46 that have a positive or negative impact on Yahoo's 11:46 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 11:46 You can answer. 11:46 THE WITNESS: So again, AJAX is a very 11:46 loosely defined term that, I think, is there's not 11:46 a precise definition for it, so people mean very 11:46 different things by it, so 11:46 THE WITNESS: there are certainly 11:46 alternatives to if you say our current version of 11:46 Maps can no longer exist, there's certainly 11:46 alternatives to code it in other technologies that we 11:47 could continue to have the Maps property with. 11:47
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 11:45 THE WITNESS: I think in some cases we've 11:45 decided that using Flash overall is more positive than 11:45 negative than negative. 11:45 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Now, with respect to Yahoo's 11:45 use of AJAX technologies, if Yahoo were to have to 11:45 remove all uses of AJAX from its websites, its 11:45 properties, would that have a positive or a negative 11:45 effect? 11:45 A Well, the problem with all these questions 11:45 about AJAX is that AJAX is a very loosely defined 11:45 term, and 11:45 Q Okay. Let's talk about some specific 11:45 examples. 11:45 So if Yahoo were to have to remove Yahoo 11:45 Maps, would that have a positive or negative effect on 11:45 Yahoo's business? 11:45 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 11:45 THE WITNESS: If we had to remove Yahoo Maps? 11:45 MR. BUDWIN: Yes. 11:45 Q Couldn't use Yahoo Maps anymore. Positive or 11:45	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	there's a property called maps@yahoo.com are you 11:46 saying we couldn't insert that URL anymore? 11:46 MR. BUDWIN: No. 11:46 THE WITNESS: Because if you look, maps are 11:46 also embedded in other properties, so I'm not sure 11:46 what you're 11:46 MR. BUDWIN: Q. So if Yahoo was not allowed 11:46 to use AJAX technologies for its Map property, would 11:46 that have a positive or negative impact on Yahoo's 11:46 business? 11:46 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 11:46 You can answer. 11:46 THE WITNESS: So again, AJAX is a very 11:46 loosely defined term that, I think, is there's not 11:46 a precise definition for it, so people mean very 11:46 different things by it, so 11:46 THE WITNESS: there are certainly 11:46 alternatives to if you say our current version of 11:46 Maps can no longer exist, there's certainly 11:46 alternatives to code it in other technologies that we 11:47

	Page 130		Page 131
1	A For Maps there's a database; yes. 11:47	1	MR. BUDWIN: Q. Do you know 11:48
2	Q Okay. And so when I, as a user, connect to 11:47	2	MS. DOAN: Can you let him finish, please. 11:48
3	Yahoo Maps, I don't download the entire contents of 11:47	3	THE WITNESS: Given the size of people's hard 11:48
4	the database to my computer; do I? 11:47	4	drives and network speeds and stuff, it actually is 11:48
5	A You do not. 11:47	5	becoming much more feasible to have the entire 11:48
6	Q I only see and interact with a small part of 11:47	6	databases on devices. 11:48
7	it typically? 11:47	7	MR. BUDWIN: Q. Do you know how large the 11:48
8	A Yes. 11:47	8	Yahoo Maps database is for the United States in terms 11:48
9	Q Okay. Do you think it would be an acceptable 11:47	9	of size: Megabytes? Gigabytes? Terabytes? 11:48
10	alternative to have to download the entire contents of 11:47	10	A I don't know. 11:48
11	that maps database to my computer in order to be able 11:47	11	Q Why don't we take a break, and it's getting 11:48
12	to use the Maps property? 11:47	12	close to lunchtime, but I might only have 45 more 11:48
13	A For which map? Well, I guess it depends on 11:47	13	minutes, so if we can 11:48
14	what maps you're talking about. 11:47	14	MS. DOAN: Okay. 11:48
15	Q Maps.yahoo.com. 11:47	15	MR. BUDWIN: if you want to power through, 11:48
16	A For the U.S. or 11:47	16	we can just try to power through. 11:48
17	Q For the U.S. 11:47	17	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This marks the end of 11:48
18	A Would it be acceptable? 11:48	18	Disc 2. $11:48$
19 20	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 11:48 You can answer. 11:48	19 20	We'll go off the record at 11:48 a m. 11:48 (Recess taken.) 11:48
20 21	You can answer. 11:48 THE WITNESS: Yeah, it's a it's a vague 11:48	21	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This marks the beginning 12:06
22	question. I think that there's certain map products 11:48	22	of Disc 3, Volume I, in the deposition of David Filo. 12:06
23	that people download to their mobile phones that have 11:48	23	We're on the record. The time is 12:06 p.m. 12:06
24	a complete map database, and it works just fine for 11:48	24	MR. BUDWIN: Q. Mr. Filo, to your knowledge, 12:06
25	people. Given 11:48	25	has Yahoo ever contacted Eolas for a license to any of 12:06
	Page 132		Page 133
1	its patents? 12:06	1	take license to Intellectual Ventures's patents? 12:07
2	A I don't believe so. 12:06	2	A Yes, in some well, I believe my 12:08
3	Q In the past, has Yahoo contacted any other 12:06	3	
4	patent holders to inquire about licenses to patents? 12:06		understanding is very vague, but I think there are 12:08
	putent noticers to inquire about needses to putents. 12.00	4	understanding is very vague, but I think there are 12:08 companies they invest in, and they've used monies to 12:08
5	A I believe so, yes. 12:07	4 5	
			companies they invest in, and they've used monies to 12:08
5	A I believe so, yes. 12:07	5	companies they invest in, and they've used monies to 12:08 go and buy patents. 12:08
5 6	AI believe so, yes.12:07QOkay. What specific instances can you think12:07	5 6	companies they invest in, and they've used monies to12:08go and buy patents.12:08QDo you know how much money Yahoo has invested12:08
5 6 7 8 9	AI believe so, yes.12:07QOkay. What specific instances can you think12:07of?12:07AI can't think of any right now.12:07QNow, it's true, isn't it, that Yahoo is an12:07	5 6 7	companies they invest in, and they've used monies to12:08go and buy patents.12:08QDo you know how much money Yahoo has invested12:08in Intellectual Ventures?12:08AI don't know.12:08QMr. Filo, did you have any personal role in12:08
5 6 7 8 9 10	AI believe so, yes.12:07QOkay. What specific instances can you think12:07of?12:07AI can't think of any right now.12:07QNow, it's true, isn't it, that Yahoo is an12:07investor in a company called Intellectual Ventures?12:07	5 6 7 8 9 10	companies they invest in, and they've used monies to12:08go and buy patents.12:08QDo you know how much money Yahoo has invested12:08in Intellectual Ventures?12:08AI don't know.12:08QMr. Filo, did you have any personal role in12:08developing Yahoo Mail?12:08
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	AI believe so, yes.12:07QOkay. What specific instances can you think12:07of?12:07AI can't think of any right now.12:07QNow, it's true, isn't it, that Yahoo is an12:07investor in a company called Intellectual Ventures?12:07AI believe so.12:07	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	companies they invest in, and they've used monies to12:08go and buy patents.12:08QDo you know how much money Yahoo has invested12:08in Intellectual Ventures?12:08AI don't know.12:08QMr. Filo, did you have any personal role in12:08developing Yahoo Mail?12:08AI'd say if there was a role, it would be12:08
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	AI believe so, yes.12:07QOkay. What specific instances can you think12:07of?12:07AI can't think of any right now.12:07QNow, it's true, isn't it, that Yahoo is an12:07investor in a company called Intellectual Ventures?12:07AI believe so.12:07QDo you have any involvement in with12:07	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	companies they invest in, and they've used monies to12:08go and buy patents.12:08QDo you know how much money Yahoo has invested12:08in Intellectual Ventures?12:08AI don't know.12:08QMr. Filo, did you have any personal role in12:08developing Yahoo Mail?12:08AI'd say if there was a role, it would be12:08fairly minor.12:08
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	AI believe so, yes.12:07QOkay. What specific instances can you think12:07of?12:07AI can't think of any right now.12:07QNow, it's true, isn't it, that Yahoo is an12:07investor in a company called Intellectual Ventures?12:07AI believe so.12:07QDo you have any involvement in with12:07respect to Yahoo's investment in Intellectual12:07	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	companies they invest in, and they've used monies to12:08go and buy patents.12:08QDo you know how much money Yahoo has invested12:08in Intellectual Ventures?12:08AI don't know.12:08QMr. Filo, did you have any personal role in12:08developing Yahoo Mail?12:08AI'd say if there was a role, it would be12:08fairly minor.12:08QDid you have any personal role in developing12:08
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	AI believe so, yes.12:07QOkay. What specific instances can you think12:07of?12:07AI can't think of any right now.12:07QNow, it's true, isn't it, that Yahoo is an12:07investor in a company called Intellectual Ventures?12:07AI believe so.12:07QDo you have any involvement in with12:07respect to Yahoo's investment in Intellectual12:07Ventures?12:07	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	companies they invest in, and they've used monies to12:08go and buy patents.12:08Q Do you know how much money Yahoo has invested12:08in Intellectual Ventures?12:08A I don't know.12:08Q Mr. Filo, did you have any personal role in12:08developing Yahoo Mail?12:08A I'd say if there was a role, it would be12:08fairly minor.12:08Q Did you have any personal role in developing12:08Yahoo's Search Assist?12:08
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	AI believe so, yes.12:07QOkay. What specific instances can you think12:07of?12:07AI can't think of any right now.12:07QNow, it's true, isn't it, that Yahoo is an12:07investor in a company called Intellectual Ventures?12:07AI believe so.12:07QDo you have any involvement in with12:07respect to Yahoo's investment in Intellectual12:07Ventures?12:07ANo.12:07	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	companies they invest in, and they've used monies to12:08go and buy patents.12:08QDo you know how much money Yahoo has invested12:08in Intellectual Ventures?12:08AI don't know.12:08QMr. Filo, did you have any personal role in12:08developing Yahoo Mail?12:08AI'd say if there was a role, it would be12:08fairly minor.12:08QDid you have any personal role in developing12:08Yahoo's Search Assist?12:08ANo.12:08
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	AI believe so, yes.12:07QOkay. What specific instances can you think12:07of?12:07AI can't think of any right now.12:07QNow, it's true, isn't it, that Yahoo is an12:07investor in a company called Intellectual Ventures?12:07AI believe so.12:07QDo you have any involvement in with12:07respect to Yahoo's investment in Intellectual12:07Ventures?12:07ANo.12:07QAre you aware of any of the details in12:07	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	companies they invest in, and they've used monies to12:08go and buy patents.12:08QDo you know how much money Yahoo has invested12:08in Intellectual Ventures?12:08AI don't know.12:08QMr. Filo, did you have any personal role in12:08developing Yahoo Mail?12:08AI'd say if there was a role, it would be12:08fairly minor.12:08QDid you have any personal role in developing12:08Yahoo's Search Assist?12:08QDid you have any role in developing12:08QDid you have any role in developing12:08ANo.12:08QDid you have any role in developing12:08
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	AI believe so, yes.12:07QOkay. What specific instances can you think12:07of?12:07AI can't think of any right now.12:07QNow, it's true, isn't it, that Yahoo is an12:07investor in a company called Intellectual Ventures?12:07QDo you have any involvement in with12:07respect to Yahoo's investment in Intellectual12:07Ventures?12:07QAre you aware of any of the details in12:07Yahoo's investment in Intellectual Ventures?12:07	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	companies they invest in, and they've used monies to12:08go and buy patents.12:08Q Do you know how much money Yahoo has invested12:08in Intellectual Ventures?12:08A I don't know.12:08Q Mr. Filo, did you have any personal role in12:08developing Yahoo Mail?12:08A I'd say if there was a role, it would be12:08fairly minor.12:08Q Did you have any personal role in developing12:08Yahoo's Search Assist?12:08A No.12:08Q Did you have any role in developing Yahoo12:08Maps?12:09
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	AI believe so, yes.12:07QOkay. What specific instances can you think12:07of?12:07AI can't think of any right now.12:07QNow, it's true, isn't it, that Yahoo is an12:07investor in a company called Intellectual Ventures?12:07QDo you have any involvement in with12:07respect to Yahoo's investment in Intellectual12:07Ventures?12:07ANo.12:07QAre you aware of any of the details in12:07Yahoo's investment in Intellectual Ventures?12:07ANo.12:07ANo.12:07	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	companies they invest in, and they've used monies to 12:08go and buy patents.12:08Q Do you know how much money Yahoo has invested 12:08in Intellectual Ventures?12:08A I don't know.12:08Q Mr. Filo, did you have any personal role in 12:08developing Yahoo Mail?12:08A I'd say if there was a role, it would be 12:08fairly minor.12:08Q Did you have any personal role in developing 12:08Yahoo's Search Assist?12:08A No.12:08Q Did you have any role in developing Yahoo12:08Maps?12:09A Well, Yahoo Maps goes back many, many years, 12:09
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	AI believe so, yes.12:07QOkay. What specific instances can you think12:07of?12:07AI can't think of any right now.12:07QNow, it's true, isn't it, that Yahoo is an12:07investor in a company called Intellectual Ventures?12:07QDo you have any involvement in with12:07QDo you have any involvement in with12:07respect to Yahoo's investment in Intellectual12:07Ventures?12:07QAre you aware of any of the details in12:07Yahoo's investment in Intellectual Ventures?12:07QDo you know what Intellectual Ventures is?12:07	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	companies they invest in, and they've used monies to12:08go and buy patents.12:08Q Do you know how much money Yahoo has invested12:08in Intellectual Ventures?12:08A I don't know.12:08Q Mr. Filo, did you have any personal role in12:08developing Yahoo Mail?12:08A I'd say if there was a role, it would be12:08fairly minor.12:08Q Did you have any personal role in developing12:08Yahoo's Search Assist?12:08A No.12:08Q Did you have any role in developing Yahoo12:08Maps?12:09A Well, Yahoo Maps goes back many, many years,12:09so I probably was involved to some degree early on.12:09
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	AI believe so, yes.12:07QOkay. What specific instances can you think12:07of?12:07AI can't think of any right now.12:07QNow, it's true, isn't it, that Yahoo is an12:07investor in a company called Intellectual Ventures?12:07QDo you have any involvement in with12:07QDo you have any involvement in with12:07respect to Yahoo's investment in Intellectual12:07Ventures?12:07QAre you aware of any of the details in12:07Yahoo's investment in Intellectual Ventures?12:07QDo you know what Intellectual Ventures is?12:07AI've got a vague understanding.12:07	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	companies they invest in, and they've used monies to12:08go and buy patents.12:08Q Do you know how much money Yahoo has invested12:08in Intellectual Ventures?12:08A I don't know.12:08Q Mr. Filo, did you have any personal role in12:08developing Yahoo Mail?12:08A I'd say if there was a role, it would be12:08fairly minor.12:08Q Did you have any personal role in developing12:08Yahoo's Search Assist?12:08Q Did you have any role in developing Yahoo12:08Q Did you have any role in developing Yahoo12:08Maps?12:09A Well, Yahoo Maps goes back many, many years, 12:09But the current version of Maps, I'm not sure if12:09
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	AI believe so, yes.12:07QOkay. What specific instances can you think12:07of?12:07AI can't think of any right now.12:07QNow, it's true, isn't it, that Yahoo is an12:07investor in a company called Intellectual Ventures?12:07QDo you have any involvement in with12:07respect to Yahoo's investment in Intellectual12:07Ventures?12:07QAre you aware of any of the details in12:07Yahoo's investment in Intellectual Ventures?12:07QDo you know what Intellectual Ventures is?12:07QDo you know what Intellectual Ventures is?12:07QOkay. What is your understanding.12:07QOkay. What is your understanding of what12:07	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	companies they invest in, and they've used monies to12:08go and buy patents.12:08Q Do you know how much money Yahoo has invested12:08in Intellectual Ventures?12:08A I don't know.12:08Q Mr. Filo, did you have any personal role in12:08developing Yahoo Mail?12:08A I'd say if there was a role, it would be12:08fairly minor.12:08Q Did you have any personal role in developing12:08Yahoo's Search Assist?12:08Q Did you have any role in developing Yahoo12:08Q Did you have any role in developing Yahoo12:08A No.12:08Q Did you have any role in developing Yahoo12:08Maps?12:09A Well, Yahoo Maps goes back many, many years, 12:09so I probably was involved to some degree early on.12:09But the current version of Maps, I'm not sure if12:09you're asking maps in general or a particular version.12:09
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	AI believe so, yes.12:07QOkay. What specific instances can you think12:07of?12:07AI can't think of any right now.12:07QNow, it's true, isn't it, that Yahoo is an12:07investor in a company called Intellectual Ventures?12:07QDo you have any involvement in with12:07respect to Yahoo's investment in Intellectual12:07Ventures?12:07QAre you aware of any of the details in12:07Yahoo's investment in Intellectual Ventures?12:07QDo you know what Intellectual Ventures is?12:07QDo you know what Intellectual Ventures is?12:07QOkay. What is your understanding.12:07Intellectual Ventures is?12:07Intellectual Ventures is?12:07	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	companies they invest in, and they've used monies to12:08go and buy patents.12:08Q Do you know how much money Yahoo has invested12:08in Intellectual Ventures?12:08A I don't know.12:08Q Mr. Filo, did you have any personal role in12:08developing Yahoo Mail?12:08A I'd say if there was a role, it would be12:08fairly minor.12:08Q Did you have any personal role in developing12:08Yahoo's Search Assist?12:08Q Did you have any role in developing Yahoo12:08Q Did you have any role in developing Yahoo12:08A No.12:08Q Did you have any role in developing Yahoo12:08Maps?12:09A Well, Yahoo Maps goes back many, many years, 12:09so I probably was involved to some degree early on.12:09But the current version of Maps, I'm not sure if12:09you're asking maps in general or a particular version.12:09
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A I believe so, yes.12:07Q Okay. What specific instances can you think12:07of?12:07A I can't think of any right now.12:07Q Now, it's true, isn't it, that Yahoo is an12:07investor in a company called Intellectual Ventures?12:07Q Do you have any involvement in with12:07respect to Yahoo's investment in Intellectual12:07Q Are you aware of any of the details in12:07Yahoo's investment in Intellectual Ventures?12:07Q Are you aware of any of the details in12:07Yahoo's investment in Intellectual Ventures?12:07Q Do you know what Intellectual Ventures is?12:07Q Do you know what Intellectual Ventures is?12:07Q Okay. What is your understanding of what12:07Intellectual Ventures is?12:07	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	companies they invest in, and they've used monies to12:08go and buy patents.12:08Q Do you know how much money Yahoo has invested12:08in Intellectual Ventures?12:08A I don't know.12:08Q Mr. Filo, did you have any personal role in12:08developing Yahoo Mail?12:08A I'd say if there was a role, it would be12:08fairly minor.12:08Q Did you have any personal role in developing12:08Yahoo's Search Assist?12:08Q Did you have any role in developing12:08Q Did you have any role in developing Yahoo12:08Maps?12:09A Well, Yahoo Maps goes back many, many years,12:09But the current version of Maps, I'm not sure if12:09you're asking maps in general or a particular version.12:09Q When was the last time you had any12:09
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	AI believe so, yes.12:07QOkay. What specific instances can you think12:07of?12:07AI can't think of any right now.12:07QNow, it's true, isn't it, that Yahoo is an12:07investor in a company called Intellectual Ventures?12:07QDo you have any involvement in with12:07QDo you have any involvement in with12:07respect to Yahoo's investment in Intellectual12:07Ventures?12:07QAre you aware of any of the details in12:07Yahoo's investment in Intellectual Ventures?12:07QDo you know what Intellectual Ventures is?12:07QDo you know what Intellectual Ventures is?12:07QOkay. What is your understanding of what12:07Intellectual Ventures is?12:07AMy understanding is that they have12:07	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	companies they invest in, and they've used monies to12:08go and buy patents.12:08Q Do you know how much money Yahoo has invested12:08In Intellectual Ventures?12:08A I don't know.12:08Q Mr. Filo, did you have any personal role in12:08developing Yahoo Mail?12:08A I'd say if there was a role, it would be12:08fairly minor.12:08Q Did you have any personal role in developing12:08Yahoo's Search Assist?12:08Q Did you have any role in developing12:08Maps?12:09A Well, Yahoo Maps goes back many, many years,12:09But the current version of Maps, I'm not sure if12:09you're asking maps in general or a particular version.12:09in the current with Yahoo Maps?12:09

	Page 134		Page 135
1	them recently. 12:09	1	that is probably located on their computers. 12:11
2	Q When was the last time you had any 12:09	2	Q Okay. And what's the function of the Perl 12:11
3	involvement with respect to developing the technology 12:09	3	script? 12:11
4	that underlies Yahoo Maps? 12:09	4	A It's an operations system information 12:11
5	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 12:09	5	gathering utility. 12:11
6	You can answer. 12:09	6	Q Okay. So is that something that would run 12:11
7	THE WITNESS: Technology. When you say it 12:09	7	on on the server? 12:11
8	depends on what degree of involvement you're talking 12:09	8	A Yes. 12:11
9	about, I've certainly worked with with the maps 12:09	9	Q Do you have any involvement with any of the 12:11
10	team, along with others in, for example, the more 12:10	10	user-facing coding for Yahoo Maps? 12:11
11	on the operations side of the the technology 12:10	11	A For Yahoo Maps, not currently. 12:11
12	MR. BUDWIN: Q. When was the 12:10	12	Q And when was the last time you were involved 12:11
13	A and deployment of it. 12:10	13	in any of the user-facing coding user-facing coding 12:11
14	Q when was the last time that you wrote any 12:10	14	for Yahoo Maps? 12:11
15	code that was used by Yahoo Maps? 12:10	15	A It would have been probably more than 12:11
16	A Actually, I probably have I probably do 12:10	16	ten years ago. 12:11
17	have code that I've there's probably code that I've 12:10	17	Q Okay. When was the last time you were 12:11
18	written as recently as, you know, this year some time 12:10	18	involved in any of the user-facing coding for Yahoo 12:11
19	that is being used by the Maps property [sic]. 12:10	19	Mail? 12:11
20	Q You say probably? 12:10	20	A Certainly not in it has been some time 12:11
21	A I'm not sure exactly what they're using on 12:10	21	ago, so certainly not in the past five years. 12:12
22	which servers, but I think it's a pretty good 12:10	22	Q When was the last time you were involved with 12:12
23	likelihood they have code that I've written. 12:10	23	any of the user-facing coding for Yahoo Sports? 12:12
24	Q Okay. What code are you referring to? 12:10	24	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 12:12
25	A In this particular case, it's a Perl script 12:10	25	THE WITNESS: Again, when you say "involved 12:12
	Page 136		Page 137
1	with," in fact, going back to your previous questions, 12:12	1	source. I can see the source that underlies the page 12:13
2	involved with, I would say, I probably have been 12:12	2	that I see; right? 12:13
3	involved with some of these things, but 12:12	3	A Yes. 12:13
4	MR. BUDWIN: Q. When 12:12	4	Q Are you familiar with that? 12:13
5	THE WITNESS: you should probably define 12:12	5	A Yes. 12:13
6	what the word "involved" means. 12:12	6	Q And that includes things beyond HTML; does it 12:13
7	MR. BUDWIN: Q. When was the last time you 12:12	7	not? 12:13
8	wrote any code that was used by Yahoo Sports in a 12:12	8	A Yes. 12:13
9	user-facing capacity? 12:12	9	Q So I can see JavaScript and things of that 12:13
10		10	nature; right? 12:13
11	Q Something that a user could actually see and 12:12	11	A Yes. 12:13
12	interact with as opposed to things that run on the 12:12	12	Q Have you have you written any code that a 12:13
13	server. 12:12	13	user can see on a view source function on their 12:13
14		14	browser for Yahoo Sports? 12:13
15		15	MS. DOAN: Objection. 12:13
16		16	THE WITNESS: I'm trying to remember back. 12:13
17	, ,	17	It's certainly possible. I don't until 12:14
18	gets shipped to the browser 12:13	18	I couldn't tell you for certain without looking at the 12:14
19	Q No, let me 12:13	19	source code today, but my best guess would be that in 12:14
20	A and 12:13	20	the current version of Sports, there probably is not. 12:14
21	Q Sorry. Were you done? 12:13	21	MR. BUDWIN: Q. Now, did you lead any of the 12:14
22	A Yeah. 12:13	22	teams that were tasked with developing Yahoo Mail, 12:14
23	Q Let me give you an example. 12:13	23	Yahoo Search Assist, or Yahoo Maps? 12:14
0.4			
24 25	So if I'm a user of a computer, okay, one of 12:13 the things I can do with my browser is I can go view 12:13	24 25	A No. 12:14 Q Do you know who led the team that developed 12:14

	Page 138		Page 139
1	Yahoo Mail? 12:14	1	question again more specifically. 12:16
2	A It's been it's changed over the years. 12:14	2	Q Okay. In what time frame did people or 12:16
3	Q Okay. Has that person ever reported to you? 12:14	3	persons who were involved in developing Yahoo Maps 12:16
4	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 12:15	4	report to you? 12:16
5	THE WITNESS: Has a leader of Yahoo Mail ever 12:15	5	A Well, so more specifically, while they were 12:16
6	reported to me? 12:15	6	reporting to me, did they develop Yahoo Maps? 12:16
7	MR. BUDWIN: Yes. 12:15	7	Q However you want to interpret the question is 12:16
8	THE WITNESS: Is that the question? 12:15	8	fine. 12:16
9	No. 12:15	9	A The answer to that is I believe it's no to 12:16
10	MR. BUDWIN: Q. Do you know who developed 12:15	10	that, but 12:16
11	Yahoo Search Assist? 12:15	11	Q Oh, okay. I see what you mean. 12:16
12	A Again, it's not one person. It's a big 12:15	12	So there was somebody at one point in time 12:16
13	group 12:15	13	that was involved in leading the development of Yahoo 12:16
14	Q Okay. 12:15	14	Maps who had transitioned off that project 12:16
15	A and the group would change over time. 12:15	15	A Or vice versa, yeah. 12:16
16	Q Have any of the persons who led the 12:15	16	Q Okay. All right. 12:16
17	development of Yahoo Search Assist ever reported to 12:15	17	So did the people or people in charge 12:16
18	you? 12:15	18	charged with developing Yahoo Maps ever report to you 12:16
19	A No. 12:15	19	during the time frame while they were working on Yahoo 12:16
20	Q Have any of the persons that led the 12:15	20	Maps? 12:16
21	development of Yahoo Maps ever reported to you? 12:15	21	A I don't believe so. 12:16
22	A That, I believe, any version of Maps, yeah. 12:15	22	Q Now, we talked a little bit about the Spirit 12:16
23	I mean, the general answer is yes. 12:15	23	redesign of Yahoo's front page earlier; do you recall 12:17
24	Q Okay. What time frame are you talking about? 12:15	24	that discussion? 12:17
25	A Well, what's so the answer or ask the 12:16	25	A Yes. 12:17
	Page 140		Page 141
1	Q You were not personally in charge of the 12:17	1	A Well, we were we ran many tests that 12:18
2	Spirit redesign of Yahoo's front page; were you? 12:17	2	tested slight variations in the page to determine 12:18
3	A In charge of the Spirit redesign. 12:17	3	whether a particular change was deemed to be helpful 12:18
4	I wasn't the product manager for Spirit 12:17	4	or not helpful. 12:18
5	redesign, no. 12:17	5	Q Is there a 12:18
6	Q Who was the product manager of the Spirit 12:17	6	A Go ahead. 12:18
7	redesign? 12:17	7	Q I'm sorry. 12:18
8	A I don't recall. 12:17	8	A That's fine. 12:18
9	Q Okay. Did the product manager for the Spirit 12:17	9	Q Was there a name for those tests? 12:18
10	redesign of Yahoo's front page report to you? 12:17	10	A A name for the tests. A name for the I'm 12:18
11	A No. 12:17	11	not sure what you mean by that. 12:18
12		12	Q Well, you referred to there was a test that 12:18
13	1 0	13	was done for slight variations in the page. 12:18
14 15	redesign to the the public at large; right? 12:17	14	Was there names called the Spirit redesign 12:18
15 16	A Yes. 12:17 O New did you run these tests yourself? 12:17	15	test, the AB test, the did it have a name inside 12:18
16 17	Q Now, did you run those tests yourself? 12:17	16	Yahoo? 12:19
17 18	A Those I'm not sure what you mean by run 12:17	17 19	A Well, each test would have each test would 12:19 have probably had a number associated with it, and 12:19
18 19	ran them myself. Those tests were weren't on 12:18	18	have probably had a number associated with it, and 12:19 we'd compare, you know, whatever, test 56 versus 57 12:19
19 20	O All right. 12:18	19 20	
20 21	Q All right. 12:18 So you're aware, are you not, that before the 12:18	20	Q Okay. 12:19 A and 12:19
21 22		22	Q Was there an overarching name that 12:19
23	some tests on the redesign page? 12:18	22	encompassed all of those tests, the Spirit redesign 12:19
24	A Yes 12.18	124	I lest, the AD lest, something that we can refer to all 17.19
24 25	AYes.12:18QOkay. What tests are you aware of?12:18	24 25	test, the AB test, something that we can refer to all 12:19 the tests collectively? 12:19

1A I don't recall. We have many test systems12:191Q Did you figure out what would be tested?2throughout the various properties and what we used at 12:192What the parameters were? Whether a test was3that point. I think we use for that, I think we 12:194A Let's you asked me different questions4used a system that was developed in Search, and I12:1945forget what they called their test system.12:1946We do refer to it as AB testing, bucket12:1957testing. Some people might have referred to it as the 12:196no. We had people from the product side or the U8Spirit redesign test, but and they might have12:19810framework that we used.12:191011Q Okay. So you're familiar with the redesign12:191112for Yahoo's front page that was called Spirit; right?12:191213A Yes.12:2013A I'm not sure you'd say I oversaw. I think14Q Okay. And you didn't lead that redesign12:201417Q Okay. And you're aware that as part of that12:201418design, Yahoo ran tests on the redesign page, redesign 12:2018anlysis was done and trying to make decisions br18design, Yahoo ran tests on the redesign, we ran many12:2014Q Okay. So it's your understanding that as20A Yes, as part of that redesign, we ran many12:201912:2120A Yes, as part of that	12:20 y, 12:20 D 12:20 es, 12:20 12:21 12:21 12:21 uct 12:21 12:21 er 12:21
2throughout the various properties and what we used at 12:192What the parameters were? Whether a test was3that point. I think we use for that, I think we 12:193successful or unsuccessful?12:24used a system that was developed in Search, and I12:194A Let's you asked me different questions5forget what they called their test system.12:195there. In terms of what went into the test generall6We do refer to it as AB testing, bucket12:196no. We had people from the product side or the U7testing. Some people might have referred to it as the 12:198or font colors, et cetera.12:209referred to the name of the actual testing system12:1910variations to try to test it out.12:2110framework that we used.12:1911Q Did you oversee the tests that were done on12:2012for Yahoo's front page that was called Spirit; right?12:2013A I'm not sure you'd say I oversaw. I think14Q Okay. And you didn't lead that redesign12:2014you'd say there was probably someone in the product perspective, I did not.12:2015effort yourself; did you?12:2015organization that was responsible for making sure that the prop16A From a product perspective, I did not.12:2016scheduling the tests and making sure that the prop17Q Okay. And you're aware that as part of that 12:2018on that.12:2118design, Yahoo ran tests on the redesign page	0 12:20 y, 12:20 D 12:20 es, 12:20 12:21 12:21 12:21 12:21 uct 12:21 er 12:21 er 12:21
4used a system that was developed in Search, and I12:194A Let's you asked me different questions5forget what they called their test system.12:195there. In terms of what went into the test generall6We do refer to it as AB testing, bucket12:196no. We had people from the product side or the U7testing. Some people might have referred to it as the 12:197side making graphic design choices, or font choic8Spirit redesign test, but and they might have12:198or font colors, et cetera.12:209referred to the name of the actual testing system12:1910so other people were coming up with those10framework that we used.12:1910variations to try to test it out.12:2111Q Okay. So you're familiar with the redesign12:1911Q Did you oversee the tests that were done on12for Yahoo's front page that was called Spirit; right?12:1912the redesign Spirit page?12:2113A Yes.12:2013A I'm not sure you'd say I oversaw. I think14Q Okay. And you didn't lead that redesign12:2014you'd say there was probably someone in the product15effort yourself; did you?12:2015organization that was responsible for making sure16A From a product perspective, I did not.12:201617Q Okay. And you're aware that as part of that12:201718design, Yahoo ran tests on the redesign page, redesign 12:2018	12:20 y, 12:20 D 12:20 es, 12:20 12:21 12:21 12:21 uct 12:21 12:21 er 12:21
5forget what they called their test system.12:195there. In terms of what went into the test generall6We do refer to it as AB testing, bucket12:196no. We had people from the product side or the U7testing. Some people might have referred to it as the 12:197side making graphic design choices, or font choic8Spirit redesign test, but and they might have12:198or font colors, et cetera.12:209referred to the name of the actual testing system12:199So other people were coming up with those10framework that we used.12:1910Variations to try to test it out.12:2111Q Okay. So you're familiar with the redesign12:1911Q Did you oversee the tests that were done on12for Yahoo's front page that was called Spirit; right?12:1912He redesign Spirit page?12:2113A Yes.12:2013A I'm not sure you'd say I oversaw. I think14Q Okay. And you didn't lead that redesign12:2015organization that was responsible for making sure15effort yourself; did you?12:2015analysis was done and trying to make decisions be17Q Okay. And you're aware that as part of that12:2018on that.12:2118design, Yahoo ran tests on the redesign page, redesign 12:2018on that.12:2119front page?12:2019Q Okay. So it's your understanding that as	y, 12:20 D 12:20 es, 12:20 12:21 12:21 12:21 uct 12:21 12:21 er 12:21
6We do refer to it as AB testing, bucket12:196no. We had people from the product side or the U7testing. Some people might have referred to it as the 12:198spirit redesign test, but and they might have12:198Spirit redesign test, but and they might have12:198or font colors, et cetera.12:209referred to the name of the actual testing system12:199So other people were coming up with those10framework that we used.12:1910Variations to try to test it out.12:2111Q Okay. So you're familiar with the redesign12:1911Q Did you oversee the tests that were done on12for Yahoo's front page that was called Spirit; right?12:1912the redesign Spirit page?12:2113A Yes.12:2013A I'm not sure you'd say I oversaw. I think14Q Okay. And you didn't lead that redesign12:2014you'd say there was probably someone in the product side or making sure15effort yourself; did you?12:2015scheduling the tests and making sure that the prop17Q Okay. And you're aware that as part of that12:2018on that.12:2119front page?12:20180Nat.12:2119front page?12:2019Q Okay. So it's your understanding that as	D 12:20 es, 12:20 12:21 12:21 12:21 uct 12:21 er 12:21 er 12:21
7testing. Some people might have referred to it as the 12:197side making graphic design choices, or font choic8Spirit redesign test, but and they might have12:198or font colors, et cetera.12:209referred to the name of the actual testing system12:199So other people were coming up with those10framework that we used.12:1910variations to try to test it out.12:2111Q Okay. So you're familiar with the redesign12:1911Q Did you oversee the tests that were done on12for Yahoo's front page that was called Spirit; right?12:1912the redesign Spirit page?12:2113A Yes.12:2013A I'm not sure you'd say I oversaw. I think14Q Okay. And you didn't lead that redesign12:2014you'd say there was probably someone in the prod15effort yourself; did you?12:2015organization that was responsible for making sure16A From a product perspective, I did not.12:2016scheduling the tests and making sure that the prop17Q Okay. And you're aware that as part of that12:2018on that.12:2119front page?12:2019Q Okay. So it's your understanding that as	es, 12:20 12:21 12:21 12:21 uct 12:21 12:21 er 12:21
8Spirit redesign test, but and they might have12:198or font colors, et cetera.12:209referred to the name of the actual testing system12:199So other people were coming up with those10framework that we used.12:1910variations to try to test it out.12:2111Q Okay. So you're familiar with the redesign12:1911Q Did you oversee the tests that were done on12for Yahoo's front page that was called Spirit; right?12:1912He redesign Spirit page?12:2113A Yes.12:2013A I'm not sure you'd say I oversaw. I think14Q Okay. And you didn't lead that redesign12:2014you'd say there was probably someone in the prod15effort yourself; did you?12:2015organization that was responsible for making sure16A From a product perspective, I did not.12:2016scheduling the tests and making sure that the prop17Q Okay. And you're aware that as part of that12:2018on that.12:2118design, Yahoo ran tests on the redesign page, redesign 12:2018on that.12:2119front page?12:2019Q Okay. So it's your understanding that as	12:21 12:21 12:21 uct 12:21 12:21 er 12:21
9referred to the name of the actual testing system12:199So other people were coming up with those10framework that we used.12:1910variations to try to test it out.12:2111Q Okay. So you're familiar with the redesign12:1911Q Did you oversee the tests that were done on12for Yahoo's front page that was called Spirit; right?12:1912the redesign Spirit page?12:2113A Yes.12:2013A I'm not sure you'd say I oversaw. I think14Q Okay. And you didn't lead that redesign12:2014you'd say there was probably someone in the prod15effort yourself; did you?12:2015organization that was responsible for making sure16A From a product perspective, I did not.12:2016scheduling the tests and making sure that the prop17Q Okay. And you're aware that as part of that12:2018on that.12:2118design, Yahoo ran tests on the redesign page, redesign 12:2018on that.12:2119front page?12:2019Q Okay. So it's your understanding that as	12:21 12:21 uct 12:21 12:21 er 12:21
10framework that we used.12:1910variations to try to test it out.12:2111Q Okay. So you're familiar with the redesign 12:1911Q Did you oversee the tests that were done on12for Yahoo's front page that was called Spirit; right?12:1911Q Did you oversee the tests that were done on13A Yes.12:2013A I'm not sure you'd say I oversaw. I think14Q Okay. And you didn't lead that redesign 12:2014you'd say there was probably someone in the prod15effort yourself; did you?12:2015organization that was responsible for making sure16A From a product perspective, I did not.12:2016scheduling the tests and making sure that the prop17Q Okay. And you're aware that as part of that12:2018on that.12:2118design, Yahoo ran tests on the redesign page, redesign 12:2018on that.12:2119front page?12:2019Q Okay. So it's your understanding that as	12:21 12:21 uct 12:21 12:21 er 12:21
11Q Okay. So you're familiar with the redesign12:1911Q Did you oversee the tests that were done on12for Yahoo's front page that was called Spirit; right?12:1912the redesign Spirit page?12:2113A Yes.12:2013A I'm not sure you'd say I oversaw. I think14Q Okay. And you didn't lead that redesign12:2014you'd say there was probably someone in the product15effort yourself; did you?12:2015organization that was responsible for making sure16A From a product perspective, I did not.12:2016scheduling the tests and making sure that the prop17Q Okay. And you're aware that as part of that12:2017analysis was done and trying to make decisions based18design, Yahoo ran tests on the redesign page, redesign12:2018on that.12:2119front page?12:2019Q Okay. So it's your understanding that as	12:21 uct 12:21 12:21 er 12:21
12for Yahoo's front page that was called Spirit; right?12:1912the redesign Spirit page?12:2113A Yes.12:2013A I'm not sure you'd say I oversaw. I think14Q Okay. And you didn't lead that redesign12:2014you'd say there was probably someone in the prod15effort yourself; did you?12:2015organization that was responsible for making sure16A From a product perspective, I did not.12:2016scheduling the tests and making sure that the prop17Q Okay. And you're aware that as part of that12:2017analysis was done and trying to make decisions based decisions	12:21 uct 12:21 12:21 er 12:21
13A Yes.12:2013A I'm not sure you'd say I oversaw. I think14Q Okay. And you didn't lead that redesign12:2013A I'm not sure you'd say I oversaw. I think14Q Okay. And you didn't lead that redesign12:2014you'd say there was probably someone in the prod15effort yourself; did you?12:2015organization that was responsible for making sure16A From a product perspective, I did not.12:2016scheduling the tests and making sure that the prop17Q Okay. And you're aware that as part of that12:2017analysis was done and trying to make decisions based and trying to make decisions based and trying to make decisions based and the properties on the redesign page, redesign 12:2018on that.12:2119front page?12:2019Q Okay. So it's your understanding that as	uct 12:21 12:21 er 12:21
14Q Okay. And you didn't lead that redesign12:2014you'd say there was probably someone in the product15effort yourself; did you?12:2015organization that was responsible for making sure16A From a product perspective, I did not.12:2016scheduling the tests and making sure that the prop17Q Okay. And you're aware that as part of that12:2016scheduling the tests and making sure that the prop18design, Yahoo ran tests on the redesign page, redesign12:2018on that.12:2119front page?12:2019Q Okay. So it's your understanding that as	uct 12:21 12:21 er 12:21
15effort yourself; did you?12:2015organization that was responsible for making sure16A From a product perspective, I did not.12:2016scheduling the tests and making sure that the prop17Q Okay. And you're aware that as part of that12:2017analysis was done and trying to make decisions be18design, Yahoo ran tests on the redesign page, redesign12:2018on that.12:2119front page?12:2019Q Okay. So it's your understanding that as	12:21 er 12:21
16A From a product perspective, I did not.12:2016scheduling the tests and making sure that the prop17Q Okay. And you're aware that as part of that12:2017analysis was done and trying to make decisions be18design, Yahoo ran tests on the redesign page, redesign 12:2018on that.12:2119front page?12:2019Q Okay. So it's your understanding that as	er 12:21
17QOkay. And you're aware that as part of that12:2017analysis was done and trying to make decisions be18design, Yahoo ran tests on the redesign page, redesign 12:2018on that.12:2119front page?12:2019QOkay. So it's your understanding that as	
18design, Yahoo ran tests on the redesign page, redesign 12:2018on that.12:2119front page?12:2019QOkay. So it's your understanding that as	
19front page?12:2019QOkay. So it's your understanding that as	used 12:21
120 A Yes, as part of that redesign, we ran many 12:20 120 part of the redesign of Yahoo's front page Yahoo	12:21
21 tests. 12:20 21 tests on the redesign page? 12:2	
	12:21
23the redesign Spirit page?12:2023options we were looking at for the new redesign,24A Did I design the tests? What do you mean by12:2024tested many options.12:21	we 12:21
24A Did I design the tests? What do you mean by12:2024tested many options.12:2125design the test?12:2025QAnd you yourself didn't come up with the	12:21
	Page 145
1 parameters that were used in those tests? 12:21 1 Q Okay. Did the number of page views from	12:23
2 A I might have for some of them, but yeah, I 12:21 2 Yahoo Autos increase after implementing embedded	
	:23
4 various testing and all the various options. 12:21 4 A I don't know. 12:23	.1 12.22
5QSo someone other than yourself was involved12:225QWhy did Yahoo implement embedded inline6in overseeing the testing on the Spirit page?12:226in Yahoo Autos?12:23	video 12:23
	12.22
	12:25
	:23
10 Q Did that person ever did that person 12:22 10 advertising on Yahoo Autos related in any way to t	
11 report to you? 12:22 11 number of page views? 12:23	12.25
12ANo.12:2212ASo what's the question again? Are they?	12:23
13 Q Are you familiar with something on Yahoo 12:22 13 Q Sure. 12:23	12120
	23
15 A Yes. 12:22 15 advertising on Yahoo Autos related in any way to t	
16 Q Did the number of page views on Yahoo Autos 12:22 16 number of page views? 12:23	-
17 increase after implementing the Insurance Calculator? 12:22 17 A Their willingness to pay anything or to I	12:23
18 A I don't know. 12:22 18 mean, I think that 12:23	
19QWhy did Yahoo implement the Insurance12:2219QIn general.12:23	
	2:23
A I don't know why. I wasn't involved in that 12:22 21 called Yahoo Autos, and I think advertisers are eith	er 12:23
22 decision, but I could only speculate. 12:22 22 interested in that audience or they're not interested.	12:23
23 Q Are you familiar with embedded or inline 12:22 23 And so, generally speaking, it's not strictly related	12:24
24 video that appears in the Yahoo's Auto page? 12:22 24 to page views. They're interested in, again, getting	12:24
25AI've seen examples.12:2325in front of our audience.12:24	

	Page 146		Page 147
1 Q When Yahoo is trying to sign up	advertisers 12:24	1	the use of the Insurance Calculator on Yahoo Autos? 12:25
2 for its various properties, does it comm		2	A I don't know. 12:25
3 them the number of page views that the	property has 12:24	3	Q Now, with respect to the tests that were 12:25
4 received over some historical point in t	me? 12:24	4	performed on the 2000 strike that. 12:25
5 A I'm sure in some cases we do, some	ne cases we 12:24	5	With respect to the tests that were performed 12:25
6 probably don't. Probably a mix.	12:24	6	as part of this Spirit redesign of Yahoo's front page, 12:25
7 Q Who are Yahoo Auto's competito	rs? 12:24	7	did you review each of the individual tests that were 12:25
8 A Yahoo Auto's competitors?	12:24	8	done? 12:25
9 So it's like Edmunds. I'm not sure	of the 12:24	9	A I'm not sure if I reviewed every single test, 12:25
10 current state of other companies like	or other 12:24	10	but I certainly reviewed some of them. 12:26
11 portal type companies like Microsoft, in	there's an 12:24	11	Q Okay. Did you review the results from each 12:26
12 auto site within the MSN network. But	traditionally 12:24	12	of the individual tests that were done as part of the 12:26
13 MSN, AOL have auto sites that have co	-	13	Spirit redesign? 12:26
14 probably like Auto Trader, something of	out there today, 12:25	14	A Again, I don't know that I inter or 12:26
15 so a number of different sites.	12:25	15	reviewed all of them, but I certainly reviewed some of 12:26
16 Q At the time Yahoo Auto implement		16	them. 12:26
17 Insurance Calculator, did Yahoo's comp	petitors also 12:25	17	Q What percentage of the tests from the Spirit 12:26
18 offer an Insurance Calculator?	12:25	18	redesign of Yahoo's front page did you review? 12:26
19 A I don't know.	12:25	19	A I don't remember. 12:26
20 Q At the time Yahoo Auto impleme		20	Q More than half? 12:26
21 embedded inline video, did Yahoo Auto	-	21	A I'd say to some degree, I probably looked at 12:26
also offer embedded inline videos?	12:25	22	more than half, but in how much detail I looked at 12:26
A I don't know.	12:25	23	those results, I can't say today. 12:26
Q Does Yahoo Autos collect revenu		24	Q Okay. Now, as part of the Spirit redesign of 12:26
25 insurance companies whose advertisem	ents are fied to 12:25	25	Yahoo's front page, did Yahoo test the impact of 12:26
	Page 148		Page 149
1 implementing AJAX elements on the Ya	hoo front page? 12:26	1	A Uh-huh, yes. 12:27
2 A Did we test impact?	12:26	2	Q Okay. Now, what specifically are the factors 12:27
3 MS. DOAN: Objection; form.	12:26	3	that Yahoo uses to determine the interaction of 12:27
4 THE WITNESS: So I think, numb	=	4	visitors to Yahoo's website? 12:28
5 need to define AJAX, what that means.	-	5	A Sorry. Could you ask that again? 12:28
6 it's kind of a loose term. So if you want		6	Q Sure. 12:28
7 about a particular feature, whether we te		7	So one thing that that happens is a user 12:28
8 feature or not	12:27	8	
			comes to a site and it can click, a user can click on 12:28
9 MR. BUDWIN: Sure.	12:27	9	various things across the Yahoo page, say the front 12:28
 9 MR. BUDWIN: Sure. 10 THE WITNESS: I can answer the 	at. 12:27	9 10	various things across the Yahoo page, say the front 12:28 page; is that true? 12:28
 9 MR. BUDWIN: Sure. 10 THE WITNESS: I can answer th 11 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Are you famili 	at. 12:27 ar with the in 12:27	9 10 11	various things across the Yahoo page, say the front 12:28 page; is that true? 12:28 A A user can click on various links, yes. 12:28
 9 MR. BUDWIN: Sure. 10 THE WITNESS: I can answer th 11 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Are you famili 12 box feature on Yahoo's front page? 	at. 12:27 ar with the in 12:27 12:27	9 10 11 12	various things across the Yahoo page, say the front 12:28 page; is that true? 12:28 A A user can click on various links, yes. 12:28 Q Okay. Does Yahoo track you know, I've 12:28
9MR. BUDWIN: Sure.10THE WITNESS: I can answer th11MR. BUDWIN: Q. Are you famili12box feature on Yahoo's front page?13A Yes.	at. 12:27 ar with the in 12:27 12:27 :27	9 10 11 12 13	various things across the Yahoo page, say the front 12:28 page; is that true? 12:28 A A user can click on various links, yes. 12:28 Q Okay. Does Yahoo track you know, I've 12:28 sometimes heard it called click stream, or things like 12:28
9MR. BUDWIN: Sure.10THE WITNESS: I can answer th11MR. BUDWIN: Q. Are you famili12box feature on Yahoo's front page?13A14QQOkay. As part of the Spirit redesign	at. 12:27 ar with the in 12:27 12:27 :27 gn of 12:27	9 10 11 12 13 14	various things across the Yahoo page, say the front 12:28 page; is that true? 12:28 A A user can click on various links, yes. 12:28 Q Okay. Does Yahoo track you know, I've 12:28 sometimes heard it called click stream, or things like 12:28 that, the interaction of a user on a given Yahoo page. 12:28
9MR. BUDWIN: Sure.10THE WITNESS: I can answer th11MR. BUDWIN: Q. Are you famili12box feature on Yahoo's front page?13A Yes.14Q Okay. As part of the Spirit redesigned15Yahoo's front page, did you test the in box	at. 12:27 ar with the in 12:27 12:27 :27 gn of 12:27 ox preview? 12:27	9 10 11 12 13 14 15	various things across the Yahoo page, say the front 12:28 page; is that true? 12:28 A A user can click on various links, yes. 12:28 Q Okay. Does Yahoo track you know, I've 12:28 sometimes heard it called click stream, or things like 12:28 that, the interaction of a user on a given Yahoo page. 12:28 A Again, what's the question? 12:28
9MR. BUDWIN: Sure.10THE WITNESS: I can answer th11MR. BUDWIN: Q. Are you famili12box feature on Yahoo's front page?13A Yes.14Q Okay. As part of the Spirit redesig15Yahoo's front page, did you test the in built16A I believe we did.	at. 12:27 ar with the in 12:27 12:27 :27 gn of 12:27 ox preview? 12:27 12:27	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	various things across the Yahoo page, say the front 12:28 page; is that true? 12:28 A A user can click on various links, yes. 12:28 Q Okay. Does Yahoo track you know, I've 12:28 sometimes heard it called click stream, or things like 12:28 that, the interaction of a user on a given Yahoo page. 12:28 A Again, what's the question? 12:28 Q Does Yahoo track the interaction of a given 12:28
9MR. BUDWIN: Sure.10THE WITNESS: I can answer th11MR. BUDWIN: Q. Are you famili12box feature on Yahoo's front page?13A Yes.14Q Okay. As part of the Spirit redesig15Yahoo's front page, did you test the in be16A I believe we did.17Q Okay. And do you have copies of	at. 12:27 ar with the in 12:27 12:27 :27 gn of 12:27 ox preview? 12:27 12:27 those 12:27	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	various things across the Yahoo page, say the front 12:28 page; is that true? 12:28 A A user can click on various links, yes. 12:28 Q Okay. Does Yahoo track you know, I've 12:28 sometimes heard it called click stream, or things like 12:28 that, the interaction of a user on a given Yahoo page. 12:28 A Again, what's the question? 12:28 Q Does Yahoo track the interaction of a given 12:28 user with a given Yahoo page where the user clicks, 12:28
9MR. BUDWIN: Sure.10THE WITNESS: I can answer th11MR. BUDWIN: Q. Are you famili12box feature on Yahoo's front page?13A Yes.14Q Okay. As part of the Spirit redesig15Yahoo's front page, did you test the in be16A I believe we did.17Q Okay. And do you have copies of18results?12	at. 12:27 ar with the in 12:27 12:27 27 gn of 12:27 27 px preview? 12:27 12:27 those 12:27 27	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	various things across the Yahoo page, say the front 12:28 page; is that true? 12:28 A A user can click on various links, yes. 12:28 Q Okay. Does Yahoo track you know, I've 12:28 sometimes heard it called click stream, or things like 12:28 that, the interaction of a user on a given Yahoo page. 12:28 A Again, what's the question? 12:28 Q Does Yahoo track the interaction of a given 12:28 user with a given Yahoo page where the user clicks, 12:28 what links the user follows, what things that the user 12:28
 9 MR. BUDWIN: Sure. 10 THE WITNESS: I can answer th 11 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Are you famili 12 box feature on Yahoo's front page? 13 A Yes. 12 14 Q Okay. As part of the Spirit redesigned 15 Yahoo's front page, did you test the in both of the second se	at. 12:27 ar with the in 12:27 12:27 :27 gn of 12:27 ox preview? 12:27 12:27 those 12:27 :27 hink 12:27	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	various things across the Yahoo page, say the front 12:28 page; is that true? 12:28 A A user can click on various links, yes. 12:28 Q Okay. Does Yahoo track you know, I've 12:28 sometimes heard it called click stream, or things like 12:28 that, the interaction of a user on a given Yahoo page. 12:28 A Again, what's the question? 12:28 Q Does Yahoo track the interaction of a given 12:28 user with a given Yahoo page where the user clicks, 12:28 what links the user follows, what things that the user 12:28 looks at? 12:28
9MR. BUDWIN: Sure.10THE WITNESS: I can answer th11MR. BUDWIN: Q. Are you famili12box feature on Yahoo's front page?13A Yes.14Q Okay. As part of the Spirit redesigned15Yahoo's front page, did you test the in but16A I believe we did.17Q Okay. And do you have copies of18results?19A Not with me, but I think they I to20well, I'm not sure that they exist today, but	at. 12:27 ar with the in 12:27 12:27 27 gn of 12:27 ox preview? 12:27 12:27 those 12:27 27 hink 12:27 ut if they 12:27	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	various things across the Yahoo page, say the front 12:28 page; is that true? 12:28 A A user can click on various links, yes. 12:28 Q Okay. Does Yahoo track you know, I've 12:28 sometimes heard it called click stream, or things like 12:28 that, the interaction of a user on a given Yahoo page. 12:28 A Again, what's the question? 12:28 Q Does Yahoo track the interaction of a given 12:28 user with a given Yahoo page where the user clicks, 12:28 what links the user follows, what things that the user 12:28 looks at? 12:28 A In some cases, we 12:28
9MR. BUDWIN: Sure.10THE WITNESS: I can answer th11MR. BUDWIN: Q. Are you famili12box feature on Yahoo's front page?13A Yes.14Q Okay. As part of the Spirit redesig15Yahoo's front page, did you test the in be16A I believe we did.17Q Okay. And do you have copies of18results?19A Not with me, but I think they I t20well, I'm not sure that they exist today, b21do, I can get them for you.	at. 12:27 ar with the in 12:27 12:27 :27 gn of 12:27 ox preview? 12:27 12:27 those 12:27 27 hink 12:27 out if they 12:27 12:27	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	various things across the Yahoo page, say the front 12:28 page; is that true? 12:28 A A user can click on various links, yes. 12:28 Q Okay. Does Yahoo track you know, I've 12:28 sometimes heard it called click stream, or things like 12:28 that, the interaction of a user on a given Yahoo page. 12:28 A Again, what's the question? 12:28 Q Does Yahoo track the interaction of a given 12:28 user with a given Yahoo page where the user clicks, 12:28 what links the user follows, what things that the user 12:28 looks at? 12:28 A In some cases, we 12:28 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 12:28
9MR. BUDWIN: Sure.10THE WITNESS: I can answer th11MR. BUDWIN: Q. Are you famili12box feature on Yahoo's front page?13A Yes.14Q Okay. As part of the Spirit redesig15Yahoo's front page, did you test the in be16A I believe we did.17Q Okay. And do you have copies of18results?19A Not with me, but I think they I t20well, I'm not sure that they exist today, be21do, I can get them for you.22Q Okay. I'll request those and put the	at. 12:27 ar with the in 12:27 12:27 :27 gn of 12:27 ox preview? 12:27 12:27 those 12:27 27 hink 12:27 ut if they 12:27 12:27 at in a 12:27	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	various things across the Yahoo page, say the front 12:28 page; is that true? 12:28 A A user can click on various links, yes. 12:28 Q Okay. Does Yahoo track you know, I've 12:28 sometimes heard it called click stream, or things like 12:28 that, the interaction of a user on a given Yahoo page. 12:28 A Again, what's the question? 12:28 Q Does Yahoo track the interaction of a given 12:28 user with a given Yahoo page where the user clicks, 12:28 what links the user follows, what things that the user 12:28 looks at? 12:28 A In some cases, we 12:28 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 12:28 THE WITNESS: in some cases we track which 12:28
9MR. BUDWIN: Sure.10THE WITNESS: I can answer th11MR. BUDWIN: Q. Are you famili12box feature on Yahoo's front page?13A Yes.14Q Okay. As part of the Spirit redesigned15Yahoo's front page, did you test the in both16A I believe we did.17Q Okay. And do you have copies of18results?19A Not with me, but I think they I the20well, I'm not sure that they exist today, be21do, I can get them for you.22Q Okay. I'll request those and put the	at. 12:27 ar with the in 12:27 12:27 :27 gn of 12:27 ox preview? 12:27 12:27 those 12:27 27 hink 12:27 ut if they 12:27 12:27 at in a 12:27 2:27	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	various things across the Yahoo page, say the front 12:28 page; is that true? 12:28 A A user can click on various links, yes. 12:28 Q Okay. Does Yahoo track you know, I've 12:28 sometimes heard it called click stream, or things like 12:28 that, the interaction of a user on a given Yahoo page. 12:28 A Again, what's the question? 12:28 Q Does Yahoo track the interaction of a given 12:28 user with a given Yahoo page where the user clicks, 12:28 what links the user follows, what things that the user 12:28 looks at? 12:28 A In some cases, we 12:28 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 12:28

	Page 150		Page 151
1	tracks, whether a user plays or interacts with that 12:28	1	Q What's your understanding of the term 12:30
2	video? 12:29	2	"click-through rate"? 12:30
3	A For some for some Flash objects, we track 12:29	3	A Click-through rate generally generally 12:30
4	some of the interactions. 12:29	4	refers to a user visited visits a web page, and the 12:30
5	Q Can you give me an example? 12:29	5	number of visits to a web page is the denominator, and 12:30
6	A Sure. I mean, I know on the front page at 12:29	6	for a particular link you look at how many clicks were 12:30
7	times for certain Flash objects, we have tracked 12:29	7	made to that particular link. That's the numerator, 12:30
8	whether the user how and when the user has 12:29	8	and that's the click-through 12:30
9	interacted with it. 12:29	9	Q Okay. 12:30
10	Q And do those tests have a particular name? 12:29	10	A rate. 12:30
11	A Well, those aren't tests. Those are 12:29	11	Q So your understanding of the click-through 12:30
12	Q Okay. Does that tracking have a particular 12:29	12	rate is just a function of the number of clicks over 12:30
13	name? 12:29	13	the number of visitors? 12:30
14	A No, not any particular name. It gets called 12:29	14	A Not over the number of visitors. Well, it 12:31
15	probably a lot of different things. 12:29	15	depends on how you define "visitors," but over the 12:31
16	Q Can you give me an example of some of the 12:29	16	number of page views. 12:31
17	things that tracking could be called? 12:29	17	Q Okay. So your understanding of click-through 12:31
18	A It might be called link tracking 12:29	18	rate is the number of clicks over the number of page 12:31
19	Q Okay. 12:29	19	views? 12:31
20	A for instance. 12:29	20	A That's the general use of it. People, I'm 12:31
21	Q Again, this is something we're interested in, 12:29	21	sure, mean different things by it, but I think, 12:31
22	so I'd be happy to put it into a letter for you. 12:30	22	generally speaking, that's what people mean. 12:31
23	How does have you ever heard of a term 12:30	23	Q How does the click-through rate influence an 12:31
24	called "click-through rate"? 12:30	24	advertiser's willingness to pay for an ad on Yahoo? 12:31
25	A Yes. 12:30	25	A Depends on the advertiser and the 12:31
	Page 152		Page 153
1	advertisement. 12:31		
		1	works is advertisers pay when they receive a click 12:32
2	Q Okay. 12:31	2	from a user. 12:33
2 3	QOkay.12:31AAnd where it's placed and many factors.12:31	2 3	from a user. 12:33 Q So the primary way that Yahoo earns revenue 12:33
2 3 4	QOkay.12:31AAnd where it's placed and many factors.12:31QExplain to me how the click-through rate12:31	2 3 4	from a user.12:33Q So the primary way that Yahoo earns revenue12:33from Yahoo Search is when a user clicks through on a12:33
2 3 4 5	QOkay.12:31AAnd where it's placed and many factors.12:31QExplain to me how the click-through rate12:31relates to an advertiser's willingness to pay for an12:31	2 3 4 5	from a user.12:33Q So the primary way that Yahoo earns revenue12:33from Yahoo Search is when a user clicks through on a12:33given link to an advertisement?12:33
2 3 4 5 6	QOkay.12:31AAnd where it's placed and many factors.12:31QExplain to me how the click-through rate12:31relates to an advertiser's willingness to pay for an advertisement on Yahoo.12:31	2 3 4 5 6	from a user.12:33Q So the primary way that Yahoo earns revenue12:33from Yahoo Search is when a user clicks through on a12:33given link to an advertisement?12:33A I think that's fair to say, yes.12:33
2 3 4 5 6 7	QOkay.12:31AAnd where it's placed and many factors.12:31QExplain to me how the click-through rate12:31relates to an advertiser's willingness to pay for an advertisement on Yahoo.12:31AWell, in some cases again, it's all over12:31	2 3 4 5 6 7	from a user. 12:33 Q So the primary way that Yahoo earns revenue 12:33 from Yahoo Search is when a user clicks through on a 12:33 given link to an advertisement? 12:33 A I think that's fair to say, yes. 12:33 Q Okay. Now, with respect to other types of 12:33
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	QOkay.12:31AAnd where it's placed and many factors.12:31QExplain to me how the click-through rate12:31relates to an advertiser's willingness to pay for an12:31advertisement on Yahoo.12:31AWell, in some cases again, it's all over12:31the map. In some cases, for example, within Search12:31	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	from a user. 12:33 Q So the primary way that Yahoo earns revenue 12:33 from Yahoo Search is when a user clicks through on a 12:33 given link to an advertisement? 12:33 A I think that's fair to say, yes. 12:33 Q Okay. Now, with respect to other types of 12:33 Yahoo advertisements outside of Search, for example, 12:33
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	QOkay.12:31AAnd where it's placed and many factors.12:31QExplain to me how the click-through rate12:31relates to an advertiser's willingness to pay for an 12:3112:31advertisement on Yahoo.12:31AWell, in some cases again, it's all over12:31the map. In some cases, for example, within Search12:31advertising, the advertiser doesn't pay unless the12:31	2 3 5 6 7 8 9	from a user. 12:33 Q So the primary way that Yahoo earns revenue 12:33 from Yahoo Search is when a user clicks through on a 12:33 given link to an advertisement? 12:33 A I think that's fair to say, yes. 12:33 Q Okay. Now, with respect to other types of 12:33 Yahoo advertisements outside of Search, for example, 12:33 an ad I might see on a given property page, does the 12:33
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	QOkay.12:31AAnd where it's placed and many factors.12:31QExplain to me how the click-through rate12:31relates to an advertiser's willingness to pay for an advertisement on Yahoo.12:31AWell, in some cases again, it's all over12:31the map. In some cases, for example, within Search12:31advertising, the advertiser doesn't pay unless the12:31user clicks; I.e., they pay if the user clicks.12:32	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	from a user. 12:33 Q So the primary way that Yahoo earns revenue 12:33 from Yahoo Search is when a user clicks through on a 12:33 given link to an advertisement? 12:33 A I think that's fair to say, yes. 12:33 Q Okay. Now, with respect to other types of 12:33 Yahoo advertisements outside of Search, for example, 12:33 an ad I might see on a given property page, does the 12:33 click-through rate impact the willingness on an 12:33
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	QOkay.12:31AAnd where it's placed and many factors.12:31QExplain to me how the click-through rate12:31relates to an advertiser's willingness to pay for an12:31advertisement on Yahoo.12:31AWell, in some cases again, it's all over12:31the map. In some cases, for example, within Search12:31advertising, the advertiser doesn't pay unless the12:31user clicks; I.e., they pay if the user clicks.12:32In other places, they're not paying based on12:32	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	from a user. 12:33 Q So the primary way that Yahoo earns revenue 12:33 from Yahoo Search is when a user clicks through on a 12:33 given link to an advertisement? 12:33 A I think that's fair to say, yes. 12:33 Q Okay. Now, with respect to other types of 12:33 Yahoo advertisements outside of Search, for example, 12:33 an ad I might see on a given property page, does the 12:33 click-through rate impact the willingness on an 12:33 advertiser to pay for a given ad? 12:33
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q Okay. 12:31 A And where it's placed and many factors. 12:31 Q Explain to me how the click-through rate 12:31 relates to an advertiser's willingness to pay for an 12:31 advertisement on Yahoo. 12:31 A Well, in some cases again, it's all over 12:31 the map. In some cases, for example, within Search 12:31 advertising, the advertiser doesn't pay unless the 12:31 user clicks; I.e., they pay if the user clicks. 12:32 In other places, they're not paying based on 12:32 the clicks but based they're paying based on how 12:32	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	from a user. 12:33 Q So the primary way that Yahoo earns revenue 12:33 from Yahoo Search is when a user clicks through on a 12:33 given link to an advertisement? 12:33 A I think that's fair to say, yes. 12:33 Q Okay. Now, with respect to other types of 12:33 Yahoo advertisements outside of Search, for example, 12:33 an ad I might see on a given property page, does the 12:33 click-through rate impact the willingness on an 12:33 advertiser to pay for a given ad? 12:33 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 12:33
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q Okay. 12:31 A And where it's placed and many factors. 12:31 Q Explain to me how the click-through rate 12:31 relates to an advertiser's willingness to pay for an 12:31 advertisement on Yahoo. 12:31 A Well, in some cases again, it's all over 12:31 the map. In some cases, for example, within Search 12:31 advertising, the advertiser doesn't pay unless the 12:31 user clicks; I.e., they pay if the user clicks. 12:32 In other places, they're not paying based on 12:32 the clicks but based they're paying based on how 12:32 many people have seen the advertisements. So there's 12:32	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	from a user. 12:33 Q So the primary way that Yahoo earns revenue 12:33 from Yahoo Search is when a user clicks through on a 12:33 given link to an advertisement? 12:33 A I think that's fair to say, yes. 12:33 Q Okay. Now, with respect to other types of 12:33 Yahoo advertisements outside of Search, for example, 12:33 an ad I might see on a given property page, does the 12:33 click-through rate impact the willingness on an 12:33 advertiser to pay for a given ad? 12:33 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 12:33 THE WITNESS: It depends on what advertiser 12:33
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q Okay. 12:31 A And where it's placed and many factors. 12:31 Q Explain to me how the click-through rate 12:31 relates to an advertiser's willingness to pay for an 12:31 advertisement on Yahoo. 12:31 A Well, in some cases again, it's all over 12:31 the map. In some cases, for example, within Search 12:31 advertising, the advertiser doesn't pay unless the 12:31 user clicks; I.e., they pay if the user clicks. 12:32 In other places, they're not paying based on 12:32 the clicks but based they're paying based on how 12:32 many people have seen the advertisements. So there's 12:32 very different ways to measure and very different ways 12:32	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	from a user. 12:33 Q So the primary way that Yahoo earns revenue 12:33 from Yahoo Search is when a user clicks through on a 12:33 given link to an advertisement? 12:33 A I think that's fair to say, yes. 12:33 Q Okay. Now, with respect to other types of 12:33 Yahoo advertisements outside of Search, for example, 12:33 an ad I might see on a given property page, does the 12:33 click-through rate impact the willingness on an 12:33 advertiser to pay for a given ad? 12:33 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 12:33 THE WITNESS: It depends on what advertiser 12:33 you're talking about, what advertisement, what 12:33
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q Okay. 12:31 A And where it's placed and many factors. 12:31 Q Explain to me how the click-through rate 12:31 relates to an advertiser's willingness to pay for an 12:31 advertisement on Yahoo. 12:31 A Well, in some cases again, it's all over 12:31 the map. In some cases, for example, within Search 12:31 advertising, the advertiser doesn't pay unless the 12:31 user clicks; I.e., they pay if the user clicks. 12:32 In other places, they're not paying based on 12:32 the clicks but based they're paying based on how 12:32 many people have seen the advertisements. So there's 12:32 very different ways to measure and very different ways 12:32 that advertisers want and 12:32	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	from a user. 12:33 Q So the primary way that Yahoo earns revenue 12:33 from Yahoo Search is when a user clicks through on a 12:33 given link to an advertisement? 12:33 A I think that's fair to say, yes. 12:33 Q Okay. Now, with respect to other types of 12:33 Yahoo advertisements outside of Search, for example, 12:33 an ad I might see on a given property page, does the 12:33 click-through rate impact the willingness on an 12:33 advertiser to pay for a given ad? 12:33 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 12:33 THE WITNESS: It depends on what advertiser 12:33 you're talking about, what advertisement, what 12:33 property. Again, a lot of factors that go into that 12:33
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	QOkay.12:31AAnd where it's placed and many factors.12:31QExplain to me how the click-through rate12:31relates to an advertiser's willingness to pay for an12:31advertisement on Yahoo.12:31AWell, in some cases again, it's all over12:31the map. In some cases, for example, within Search12:31advertising, the advertiser doesn't pay unless the12:31user clicks; I.e., they pay if the user clicks.12:32In other places, they're not paying based on12:32the clicks but based they're paying based on how12:32many people have seen the advertisements. So there's12:32that advertisers want and12:32QSo so Yahoo has some different advertising12:32	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	from a user. 12:33 Q So the primary way that Yahoo earns revenue 12:33 from Yahoo Search is when a user clicks through on a 12:33 given link to an advertisement? 12:33 A I think that's fair to say, yes. 12:33 Q Okay. Now, with respect to other types of 12:33 Yahoo advertisements outside of Search, for example, 12:33 an ad I might see on a given property page, does the 12:33 click-through rate impact the willingness on an 12:33 advertiser to pay for a given ad? 12:33 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 12:33 THE WITNESS: It depends on what advertiser 12:33 you're talking about, what advertisement, what 12:33 property. Again, a lot of factors that go into that 12:33 so 12:33
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q Okay. 12:31 A And where it's placed and many factors. 12:31 Q Explain to me how the click-through rate 12:31 relates to an advertiser's willingness to pay for an 12:31 advertisement on Yahoo. 12:31 A Well, in some cases again, it's all over 12:31 the map. In some cases, for example, within Search 12:31 advertising, the advertiser doesn't pay unless the 12:31 user clicks; I.e., they pay if the user clicks. 12:32 In other places, they're not paying based on 12:32 the clicks but based they're paying based on how 12:32 many people have seen the advertisements. So there's 12:32 very different ways to measure and very different ways 12:32 that advertisers want and 12:32 Q So so Yahoo has some different advertising 12:32 models. I mean, one, for example, in Yahoo Search, 12:32	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	from a user. 12:33 Q So the primary way that Yahoo earns revenue 12:33 from Yahoo Search is when a user clicks through on a 12:33 given link to an advertisement? 12:33 A I think that's fair to say, yes. 12:33 Q Okay. Now, with respect to other types of 12:33 Yahoo advertisements outside of Search, for example, 12:33 an ad I might see on a given property page, does the 12:33 click-through rate impact the willingness on an 12:33 advertiser to pay for a given ad? 12:33 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 12:33 THE WITNESS: It depends on what advertiser 12:33 you're talking about, what advertisement, what 12:33 property. Again, a lot of factors that go into that 12:33 so 12:33 MR. BUDWIN: All right. 12:33
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	QOkay.12:31AAnd where it's placed and many factors.12:31QExplain to me how the click-through rate12:31relates to an advertiser's willingness to pay for an12:31advertisement on Yahoo.12:31AWell, in some cases again, it's all over12:31the map. In some cases, for example, within Search12:31advertising, the advertiser doesn't pay unless the12:31user clicks; I.e., they pay if the user clicks.12:32In other places, they're not paying based on12:32the clicks but based they're paying based on how12:32wery different ways to measure and very different ways12:32that advertisers want and12:32QSo so Yahoo has some different advertising12:32Yahoo is only getting paid if there are actual12:32	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	from a user. 12:33 Q So the primary way that Yahoo earns revenue 12:33 from Yahoo Search is when a user clicks through on a 12:33 given link to an advertisement? 12:33 A I think that's fair to say, yes. 12:33 Q Okay. Now, with respect to other types of 12:33 Yahoo advertisements outside of Search, for example, 12:33 an ad I might see on a given property page, does the 12:33 click-through rate impact the willingness on an 12:33 advertiser to pay for a given ad? 12:33 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 12:33 THE WITNESS: It depends on what advertiser 12:33 you're talking about, what advertisement, what 12:33 property. Again, a lot of factors that go into that 12:33 so 12:33 MR. BUDWIN: All right. 12:33 A give me a specific example. 12:33
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	QOkay.12:31AAnd where it's placed and many factors.12:31QExplain to me how the click-through rate12:31relates to an advertiser's willingness to pay for an12:31advertisement on Yahoo.12:31AWell, in some cases again, it's all over12:31the map. In some cases, for example, within Search12:31advertising, the advertiser doesn't pay unless the12:31user clicks; I.e., they pay if the user clicks.12:32In other places, they're not paying based on12:32the clicks but based they're paying based on how12:32wery different ways to measure and very different ways12:32that advertisers want and12:32QSo so Yahoo has some different advertising12:32Yahoo is only getting paid if there are actual12:32click-throughs on the advertisement?12:32	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	from a user. 12:33 Q So the primary way that Yahoo earns revenue 12:33 from Yahoo Search is when a user clicks through on a 12:33 given link to an advertisement? 12:33 A I think that's fair to say, yes. 12:33 Q Okay. Now, with respect to other types of 12:33 Yahoo advertisements outside of Search, for example, 12:33 an ad I might see on a given property page, does the 12:33 click-through rate impact the willingness on an 12:33 advertiser to pay for a given ad? 12:33 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 12:33 THE WITNESS: It depends on what advertiser 12:33 you're talking about, what advertisement, what 12:33 property. Again, a lot of factors that go into that 12:33 so 12:33 MR. BUDWIN: All right. 12:33 Q Sure. 12:33
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	QOkay.12:31AAnd where it's placed and many factors.12:31QExplain to me how the click-through rate12:31relates to an advertiser's willingness to pay for an12:31advertisement on Yahoo.12:31AWell, in some cases again, it's all over12:31advertising, the advertiser doesn't pay unless the12:31user clicks; I.e., they pay if the user clicks.12:32In other places, they're not paying based on12:32the clicks but based they're paying based on how12:32wery different ways to measure and very different ways12:32wodels. I mean, one, for example, in Yahoo Search,12:32Yahoo is only getting paid if there are actual12:32AGenerally speaking, that's true.12:32	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	from a user. 12:33 Q So the primary way that Yahoo earns revenue 12:33 from Yahoo Search is when a user clicks through on a 12:33 given link to an advertisement? 12:33 A I think that's fair to say, yes. 12:33 Q Okay. Now, with respect to other types of 12:33 Yahoo advertisements outside of Search, for example, 12:33 an ad I might see on a given property page, does the 12:33 click-through rate impact the willingness on an 12:33 advertiser to pay for a given ad? 12:33 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 12:33 THE WITNESS: It depends on what advertiser 12:33 you're talking about, what advertisement, what 12:33 property. Again, a lot of factors that go into that 12:33 so - 12:33 MR. BUDWIN: All right. 12:33 A give me a specific example. 12:33 A re Yahoo's display advertisements all paid 12:33
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	QOkay.12:31AAnd where it's placed and many factors.12:31QExplain to me how the click-through rate12:31relates to an advertiser's willingness to pay for an12:31advertisement on Yahoo.12:31AWell, in some cases again, it's all over12:31advertising, the advertiser doesn't pay unless the12:31user clicks; I.e., they pay if the user clicks.12:32In other places, they're not paying based on12:32the clicks but based they're paying based on how12:32wery different ways to measure and very different ways12:32very different ways to measure and very different ways12:32models. I mean, one, for example, in Yahoo Search,12:32Yahoo is only getting paid if there are actual12:32AGenerally speaking, that's true.12:32QOkay.12:32	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	from a user. 12:33 Q So the primary way that Yahoo earns revenue 12:33 from Yahoo Search is when a user clicks through on a 12:33 given link to an advertisement? 12:33 A I think that's fair to say, yes. 12:33 Q Okay. Now, with respect to other types of 12:33 Yahoo advertisements outside of Search, for example, 12:33 an ad I might see on a given property page, does the 12:33 click-through rate impact the willingness on an 12:33 advertiser to pay for a given ad? 12:33 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 12:33 THE WITNESS: It depends on what advertiser 12:33 you're talking about, what advertisement, what 12:33 property. Again, a lot of factors that go into that 12:33 so 12:33 MR. BUDWIN: All right. 12:33 A give me a specific example. 12:33 Q Sure. 12:33 Are Yahoo's display advertisements all paid 12:33 on just a per-view basis, a per-page-view basis? 12:33
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	QOkay.12:31AAnd where it's placed and many factors.12:31QExplain to me how the click-through rate12:31relates to an advertiser's willingness to pay for an12:31advertisement on Yahoo.12:31AWell, in some cases again, it's all over12:31the map. In some cases, for example, within Search12:31user clicks; I.e., they pay if the user clicks.12:32In other places, they're not paying based on12:32many people have seen the advertisements. So there's12:32very different ways to measure and very different ways12:32models. I mean, one, for example, in Yahoo Search,12:32Yahoo is only getting paid if there are actual12:32AGenerally speaking, that's true.12:32AI mean, there's that's not can't speak12:32	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	from a user. 12:33 Q So the primary way that Yahoo earns revenue 12:33 from Yahoo Search is when a user clicks through on a 12:33 given link to an advertisement? 12:33 A I think that's fair to say, yes. 12:33 Q Okay. Now, with respect to other types of 12:33 Yahoo advertisements outside of Search, for example, 12:33 an ad I might see on a given property page, does the 12:33 click-through rate impact the willingness on an 12:33 advertiser to pay for a given ad? 12:33 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 12:33 THE WITNESS: It depends on what advertiser 12:33 you're talking about, what advertisement, what 12:33 property. Again, a lot of factors that go into that 12:33 so - 12:33 MR. BUDWIN: All right. 12:33 A give me a specific example. 12:33 Q Sure. 12:33 A re Yahoo's display advertisements all paid 12:33 on just a per-view basis, a per-page-view basis? 12:33 A Not all, no. 12:33
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	QOkay.12:31AAnd where it's placed and many factors.12:31QExplain to me how the click-through rate12:31relates to an advertiser's willingness to pay for an12:31advertisement on Yahoo.12:31AWell, in some cases again, it's all over12:31advertising, the advertiser doesn't pay unless the12:31user clicks; I.e., they pay if the user clicks.12:32In other places, they're not paying based on12:32many people have seen the advertisements. So there's12:32very different ways to measure and very different ways12:32wodels. I mean, one, for example, in Yahoo Search,12:32Yahoo is only getting paid if there are actual12:32AGenerally speaking, that's true.12:32AI mean, there's that's not can't speak12:32for all of everything that happens on Search.But 12:32	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	from a user. 12:33 Q So the primary way that Yahoo earns revenue 12:33 from Yahoo Search is when a user clicks through on a 12:33 given link to an advertisement? 12:33 A I think that's fair to say, yes. 12:33 Q Okay. Now, with respect to other types of 12:33 Yahoo advertisements outside of Search, for example, 12:33 an ad I might see on a given property page, does the 12:33 click-through rate impact the willingness on an 12:33 advertiser to pay for a given ad? 12:33 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 12:33 THE WITNESS: It depends on what advertiser 12:33 you're talking about, what advertisement, what 12:33 property. Again, a lot of factors that go into that 12:33 so 12:33 MR. BUDWIN: All right. 12:33 A give me a specific example. 12:33 Q Sure. 12:33 A re Yahoo's display advertisements all paid 12:33 on just a per-view basis, a per-page-view basis? 12:33 Q But there are some advertisements on Yahoo 12:33
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q Okay. 12:31 A And where it's placed and many factors. 12:31 Q Explain to me how the click-through rate 12:31 relates to an advertiser's willingness to pay for an 12:31 advertisement on Yahoo. 12:31 A Well, in some cases again, it's all over 12:31 the map. In some cases, for example, within Search 12:31 advertising, the advertiser doesn't pay unless the 12:31 user clicks; I.e., they pay if the user clicks. 12:32 In other places, they're not paying based on 12:32 the clicks but based they're paying based on how 12:32 many people have seen the advertisements. So there's 12:32 very different ways to measure and very different ways 12:32 that advertisers want and 12:32 Q So so Yahoo has some different advertising 12:32 models. I mean, one, for example, in Yahoo Search, 12:32 Yahoo is only getting paid if there are actual 12:32 click-throughs on the advertisement? 12:32 A Generally speaking, that's true. 12:32 A I mean, there's that's not can't speak 12:32 for all of everything that happens on Search. But 12:32	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	from a user. 12:33 Q So the primary way that Yahoo earns revenue 12:33 from Yahoo Search is when a user clicks through on a 12:33 given link to an advertisement? 12:33 A I think that's fair to say, yes. 12:33 Q Okay. Now, with respect to other types of 12:33 Yahoo advertisements outside of Search, for example, 12:33 an ad I might see on a given property page, does the 12:33 click-through rate impact the willingness on an 12:33 advertiser to pay for a given ad? 12:33 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 12:33 THE WITNESS: It depends on what advertiser 12:33 you're talking about, what advertisement, what 12:33 property. Again, a lot of factors that go into that 12:33 so - 12:33 MR. BUDWIN: All right. 12:33 A give me a specific example. 12:33 Q Sure. 12:33 A re Yahoo's display advertisements all paid 12:33 on just a per-view basis, a per-page-view basis? 12:33 A Not all, no. 12:33

1	Page 154		Page 155
	Q And there's some advertisements on Yahoo 12:34	1	display advertisement on Yahoo front page? Are those 12:35
2	properties, Yahoo pages that are also paid on a 12:34	2	typically paid on a click-through rate? 12:35
3	click-through basis? 12:34	3	A Typically, no. 12:35
4	A Some advertisements are paid on click 12:34	4	Q Okay. The the advertisements on the Yahoo 12:35
5	through, yes. 12:34	5	front page, are those typically paid on a page-view 12:35
6	Q What percentage of the advertisements on the 12:34	6	rate? 12:35
7	Yahoo property pages, excluding Yahoo Search, are paid 12:34	7	A Typically, no. 12:35
8	on a click-through basis? 12:34	8	Q How are those paid for? 12:35
9	A I don't have the number. 12:34	9	A Typically, those are paid on well, I 12:35
10	Q Is it half? More than half? 12:34	10	shouldn't say. 12:35
11	A I don't know. 12:34	11	The majority are paid on basically just 12:35
12	Q You don't know one way or the other? 12:34	12	paying for the day that the advertisement shows up. 12:35
13	A I don't. I think it changes all the time, 12:34	13	So it's more based on a day basis versus page through 12:35
14	and it's certainly changed over the years; and what it 12:34	14	or click-through. 12:35
15	is today, I don't know that number. I could probably 12:34	15	MR. BUDWIN: Q. Like a flat fee? 12:35
16	find out. 12:34	16	A Yeah, based on based on the whole day. 12:36
17	Q At any time, has the number of advertisements 12:34	17	Q Okay. 12:36
18	that are paid on a click-through rate for the Yahoo 12:34	18	A But again that's for the I would say 12:36
19	property pages been more than 50 percent? 12:34	19	that's true for the majority. I think even the front 12:36
20	A I don't know. Again, that's a general 12:34	20	page will have a mix of some ads that are paid on page 12:36
21	question across everything. I think more specifically 12:35	21	view, some ads that would potentially even be based on 12:36
22	if you want to talk about front page or something, I 12:35	22	click-through. 12:36
23	could maybe answer some of those questions, but on an 12:35	23	Q Okay. Why don't we take a quick break, and 12:36
24	aggregate, I don't know the answer to that. 12:35	24	let me check my notes, and we'll see if there's 12:36
25	Q Okay. Well, what about with respect to a 12:35	25	anything else. 12:36
	Page 156		Page 157
1	MS. DOAN: Okay. 12:36	1	benefits from it? Are those the kind of factors 12:53
2	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We'll go off the record. 12:36	2	you're looking for or something else? 12:53
3	The time is 12:36 p m. 12:36	3	Q Let's let's talk about it in terms of, 12:53
4	(Recess taken.) 12:36	4	first, that Yahoo can charge for it. So let me ask my 12:53
5	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're on the record. 12:52	5	question. 12:53
6	The time is 12:52 p m. 12:52	6	So there's factors that can determine how 12:53
7	MR. BUDWIN: Q. Mr. Filo, you agree that 12:52	7	much Yahoo can charge for a given advertisement on a 12:53
8	there's different factors to determine the value of an 12:52	8	17.1 1.1.0 10.70
			given page on a Yahoo property; right? 12:53
9	advertisement shown on a given page on any of the 12:52	9	A Yes. 12:53
9 10	Yahoo properties; right? 12:52	9 10	AYes.12:53QOkay. What are those factors?12:53
9 10 11	Yahoo properties; right?12:52A There's sorry. Say that again.12:52	9 10 11	AYes.12:53QOkay. What are those factors?12:53ASo for a given page, I'd say it's I'm12:53
9 10 11 12	Yahoo properties; right?12:52A There's sorry. Say that again.12:52Q Sure.12:52	9 10 11 12	AYes.12:53QOkay. What are those factors?12:53ASo for a given page, I'd say it's I'm12:53still a little bit it depends. If it's an ad12:53
9 10 11 12 13	Yahoo properties; right?12:52A There's sorry. Say that again.12:52Q Sure.12:52There are various factors that determine the12:52	9 10 11 12 13	AYes.12:53QOkay. What are those factors?12:53ASo for a given page, I'd say it's I'm12:53still a little bit it depends. If it's an ad12:53that's based on click-through, then, you know, the12:54
9 10 11 12 13 14	Yahoo properties; right?12:52A There's sorry. Say that again.12:52Q Sure.12:52There are various factors that determine the12:52value of an advertisement shown on a given page of a12:52	9 10 11 12 13 14	A Yes. 12:53 Q Okay. What are those factors? 12:53 A So for a given page, I'd say it's I'm 12:53 still a little bit it depends. If it's an ad 12:53 that's based on click-through, then, you know, the 12:54 factors of the more relevant that ad is or the more 12:54
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Yahoo properties; right?12:52A There's sorry. Say that again.12:52Q Sure.12:52There are various factors that determine the12:52value of an advertisement shown on a given page of a12:52Yahoo property?12:52	9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A Yes. 12:53 Q Okay. What are those factors? 12:53 A So for a given page, I'd say it's I'm 12:53 still a little bit it depends. If it's an ad 12:53 that's based on click-through, then, you know, the 12:54 factors of the more relevant that ad is or the more 12:54 prominent that ad is, the more likely the user might 12:54
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Yahoo properties; right?12:52A There's sorry. Say that again.12:52Q Sure.12:52There are various factors that determine the12:52value of an advertisement shown on a given page of a12:52Yahoo property?12:52A The value to who?12:52	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A Yes. 12:53 Q Okay. What are those factors? 12:53 A So for a given page, I'd say it's I'm 12:53 still a little bit it depends. If it's an ad 12:53 that's based on click-through, then, you know, the 12:54 factors of the more relevant that ad is or the more 12:54 prominent that ad is, the more likely the user might 12:54 click on it. Therefore, if the user clicks on it, 12:54
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Yahoo properties; right?12:52A There's sorry. Say that again.12:52Q Sure.12:52There are various factors that determine the12:52value of an advertisement shown on a given page of a12:52Yahoo property?12:52A The value to who?12:52Q The value to Yahoo in terms of advertisement12:52	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A Yes. 12:53 Q Okay. What are those factors? 12:53 A So for a given page, I'd say it's I'm 12:53 still a little bit it depends. If it's an ad 12:53 that's based on click-through, then, you know, the 12:54 factors of the more relevant that ad is or the more 12:54 prominent that ad is, the more likely the user might 12:54 click on it. Therefore, if the user clicks on it, 12:54 that's when we get paid. So there are factors like 12:54
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Yahoo properties; right?12:52A There's sorry. Say that again.12:52Q Sure.12:52There are various factors that determine the12:52value of an advertisement shown on a given page of a12:52Yahoo property?12:52A The value to who?12:52Q The value to Yahoo in terms of advertisement12:52revenue.12:52	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A Yes. 12:53 Q Okay. What are those factors? 12:53 A So for a given page, I'd say it's I'm 12:53 still a little bit it depends. If it's an ad 12:53 that's based on click-through, then, you know, the 12:54 factors of the more relevant that ad is or the more 12:54 prominent that ad is, the more likely the user might 12:54 click on it. Therefore, if the user clicks on it, 12:54 that's when we get paid. So there are factors like 12:54 that that would lead to 12:54
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Yahoo properties; right?12:52A There's sorry. Say that again.12:52Q Sure.12:52There are various factors that determine the12:52value of an advertisement shown on a given page of a12:52Yahoo property?12:52A The value to who?12:52Q The value to Yahoo in terms of advertisement12:52revenue.12:52A Sure.12:53	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A Yes. 12:53 Q Okay. What are those factors? 12:53 A So for a given page, I'd say it's I'm 12:53 still a little bit it depends. If it's an ad 12:53 that's based on click-through, then, you know, the 12:54 factors of the more relevant that ad is or the more 12:54 prominent that ad is, the more likely the user might 12:54 click on it. Therefore, if the user clicks on it, 12:54 that's when we get paid. So there are factors like 12:54 that that would lead to 12:54 Q What other than click-through? 12:54
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Yahoo properties; right?12:52A There's sorry. Say that again.12:52Q Sure.12:52There are various factors that determine the12:52value of an advertisement shown on a given page of a12:52Yahoo property?12:52A The value to who?12:52Q The value to Yahoo in terms of advertisement12:52revenue.12:52A Sure.12:53Q What factors are there?12:53	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A Yes. 12:53 Q Okay. What are those factors? 12:53 A So for a given page, I'd say it's I'm 12:53 still a little bit it depends. If it's an ad 12:53 that's based on click-through, then, you know, the 12:54 factors of the more relevant that ad is or the more 12:54 prominent that ad is, the more likely the user might 12:54 click on it. Therefore, if the user clicks on it, 12:54 that's when we get paid. So there are factors like 12:54 that that would lead to 12:54 Q What other than click-through? 12:54 A Well, like I said, placement or just the 12:54
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Yahoo properties; right?12:52A There's sorry. Say that again.12:52Q Sure.12:52There are various factors that determine the12:52value of an advertisement shown on a given page of a12:52Yahoo property?12:52A The value to who?12:52Q The value to Yahoo in terms of advertisement12:52A Sure.12:52A Sure.12:53Q What factors are there?12:53A Sorry. In terms of the value to Yahoo?12:53	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A Yes. 12:53 Q Okay. What are those factors? 12:53 A So for a given page, I'd say it's I'm 12:53 still a little bit it depends. If it's an ad 12:53 that's based on click-through, then, you know, the 12:54 factors of the more relevant that ad is or the more 12:54 prominent that ad is, the more likely the user might 12:54 click on it. Therefore, if the user clicks on it, 12:54 that's when we get paid. So there are factors like 12:54 that would lead to 12:54 Q What other than click-through? 12:54 A Well, like I said, placement or just the 12:54 the look and feel of the ad, or the relevance of the 12:54
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Yahoo properties; right?12:52A There's sorry. Say that again.12:52Q Sure.12:52There are various factors that determine the12:52value of an advertisement shown on a given page of a12:52Yahoo property?12:52A The value to who?12:52Q The value to Yahoo in terms of advertisement12:52A Sure.12:53Q What factors are there?12:53A Sorry. In terms of the value to Yahoo?12:53Q Sure.12:53	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A Yes. 12:53 Q Okay. What are those factors? 12:53 A So for a given page, I'd say it's I'm 12:53 still a little bit it depends. If it's an ad 12:53 that's based on click-through, then, you know, the 12:54 factors of the more relevant that ad is or the more 12:54 prominent that ad is, the more likely the user might 12:54 click on it. Therefore, if the user clicks on it, 12:54 that's when we get paid. So there are factors like 12:54 that would lead to 12:54 Q What other than click-through? 12:54 A Well, like I said, placement or just the 12:54 the look and feel of the ad, or the relevance of the 12:54 ad to the user, the message of the user, et cetera. 12:54
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Yahoo properties; right? 12:52 A There's sorry. Say that again. 12:52 Q Sure. 12:52 There are various factors that determine the 12:52 value of an advertisement shown on a given page of a 12:52 Yahoo property? 12:52 A The value to who? 12:52 Q The value to Yahoo in terms of advertisement 12:52 revenue. 12:52 A Sure. 12:53 Q What factors are there? 12:53 A Sorry. In terms of the value to Yahoo? 12:53 Q Sure. 12:53 A Well, there's clearly I guess I'm still 12:53	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A Yes. 12:53 Q Okay. What are those factors? 12:53 A So for a given page, I'd say it's I'm 12:53 still a little bit it depends. If it's an ad 12:53 that's based on click-through, then, you know, the 12:54 factors of the more relevant that ad is or the more 12:54 prominent that ad is, the more likely the user might 12:54 click on it. Therefore, if the user clicks on it, 12:54 that's when we get paid. So there are factors like 12:54 that that would lead to 12:54 Q What other than click-through? 12:54 A Well, like I said, placement or just the 12:54 the look and feel of the ad, or the relevance of the 12:54 ad to the user, the message of the user, et cetera. 12:54 Those are all factors that would go into how 12:54
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Yahoo properties; right?12:52A There's sorry. Say that again.12:52Q Sure.12:52There are various factors that determine the12:52value of an advertisement shown on a given page of a12:52Yahoo property?12:52A The value to who?12:52Q The value to Yahoo in terms of advertisement12:52A Sure.12:53Q What factors are there?12:53A Sorry. In terms of the value to Yahoo?12:53Q Sure.12:53	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A Yes. 12:53 Q Okay. What are those factors? 12:53 A So for a given page, I'd say it's I'm 12:53 still a little bit it depends. If it's an ad 12:53 that's based on click-through, then, you know, the 12:54 factors of the more relevant that ad is or the more 12:54 prominent that ad is, the more likely the user might 12:54 click on it. Therefore, if the user clicks on it, 12:54 that's when we get paid. So there are factors like 12:54 that would lead to 12:54 Q What other than click-through? 12:54 A Well, like I said, placement or just the 12:54 the look and feel of the ad, or the relevance of the 12:54 ad to the user, the message of the user, et cetera. 12:54

	Page 158		Page 159
1	Q Does the content on a page that shows an 12:54	1	A I think a general statement to say, yes, 12:56
2	advertisement have any influence with respect to the 12:54	2	advertisers want to get their message out to 12:56
3	value of an ad to Yahoo? 12:54	3	consumers, and so the more people that see it, and 12:56
4	A I don't know. These questions are a little 12:55	4	ultimately depending on the type of message, if they 12:56
5	bit weird because you're saying the value to Yahoo. 12:55	5	engage in it and click through, go to their site, 12:56
б	Typically advertisements, you think about the value to 12:55	6	et cetera, those are all things that would create more 12:56
7	either the advertiser 12:55	7	value for the advertiser. 12:56
8	Q Okay. 12:55	8	Q And the more people that Yahoo is able to 12:56
9	A or the consumer. 12:55	9	bring to its properties, the more advertisements it 12:56
10	Q All right. 12:55	10	can show? 12:56
11	A If it's relevant to them. 12:55	11	A Generally speaking, if we have more 12:56
12	Q So then let's talk about it in terms of value 12:55	12	properties, more engagement, there's certainly the 12:56
13	to an advertiser. 12:55	13	opportunity to sell more advertising. It doesn't mean 12:56
14	Does the content of a page on a given Yahoo 12:55	14	we can or will, but there's certainly an opportunity 12:56
15	property influence the value of an advertisement to an 12:55	15	there. 12:56
16	advertiser on a given Yahoo property? 12:55	16	Q Okay. You refer to something that you just 12:56
17	A I'm sure in some cases it does. I mean, it's 12:55	17	called "engagement"; do you mean user engagement on a 12:56
18	not the most relevant thing, I think, for an 12:55	18	given Yahoo property? 12:56
19	advertiser. They're mostly interested in how many 12:55	19	A Yeah, I mean, yes. 12:56
20	people see their message, or if its an action-oriented 12:55	20	Q Okay. What impact does user engagement on a 12:56
21		21	given Yahoo property have on the value of an 12:56
22	information about it. 12:55	22	advertisement shown on a given page? 12:57
23	Q So advertisers are most typically interested 12:55	23	A Well, again, for that particular 12:57
24	in how many people see an advertisement; is that is 12:55	24	advertisement, it doesn't really make a difference. 12:57
25	that true? 12:56	25	Q Does 12:57
	12.50		Q B003 12.57
	Page 160		Dage 161
1	Page 160	1	Page 161
1	A Engagement is more about does the user come 12:57	1	certainly again it's an opportunity for us to 12:58
2	A Engagement is more about does the user come 12:57 back and how engaged are they with the page. But for 12:57	2	certainly again it's an opportunity for us to 12:58 potentially get more advertisements out there. 12:58
2 3	A Engagement is more about does the user come 12:57 back and how engaged are they with the page. But for 12:57 a particular advertisement that user saw on that page, 12:57	2 3	certainly again it's an opportunity for us to 12:58 potentially get more advertisements out there. 12:58 Q Does user engagement also include the amount 12:58
2 3 4	A Engagement is more about does the user come 12:57 back and how engaged are they with the page. But for 12:57 a particular advertisement that user saw on that page, 12:57 that doesn't have really anything to do with kind of 12:57	2 3 4	certainly again it's an opportunity for us to 12:58 potentially get more advertisements out there. 12:58 Q Does user engagement also include the amount 12:58 of time that a user spends on a given Yahoo page or 12:58
2 3 4 5	A Engagement is more about does the user come 12:57 back and how engaged are they with the page. But for 12:57 a particular advertisement that user saw on that page, 12:57 that doesn't have really anything to do with kind of 12:57 future or past engagement. 12:57	2 3 4 5	certainly again it's an opportunity for us to 12:58 potentially get more advertisements out there. 12:58 Q Does user engagement also include the amount 12:58 of time that a user spends on a given Yahoo page or 12:58 Yahoo property? 12:58
2 3 4 5 6	A Engagement is more about does the user come 12:57 back and how engaged are they with the page. But for 12:57 a particular advertisement that user saw on that page, 12:57 that doesn't have really anything to do with kind of 12:57 future or past engagement. 12:57 Q So user engagement has no influence one way 12:57	2 3 4 5 6	certainly again it's an opportunity for us to 12:58 potentially get more advertisements out there. 12:58 Q Does user engagement also include the amount 12:58 of time that a user spends on a given Yahoo page or 12:58 Yahoo property? 12:58 A Yeah. 12:58
2 3 4 5 6 7	A Engagement is more about does the user come 12:57 back and how engaged are they with the page. But for 12:57 a particular advertisement that user saw on that page, 12:57 that doesn't have really anything to do with kind of 12:57 future or past engagement. 12:57 Q So user engagement has no influence one way 12:57 or the other on Yahoo's ability to sell 12:57	2 3 4 5 6 7	certainly again it's an opportunity for us to12:58potentially get more advertisements out there.12:58QDoes user engagement also include the amount12:58of time that a user spends on a given Yahoo page or12:58Yahoo property?12:58AYeah.12:58QOkay.12:58
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A Engagement is more about does the user come 12:57 back and how engaged are they with the page. But for 12:57 a particular advertisement that user saw on that page, 12:57 that doesn't have really anything to do with kind of 12:57 future or past engagement. 12:57 Q So user engagement has no influence one way 12:57 or the other on Yahoo's ability to sell 12:57 advertisements? 12:57	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	certainly again it's an opportunity for us to 12:58 potentially get more advertisements out there. 12:58 Q Does user engagement also include the amount 12:58 of time that a user spends on a given Yahoo page or 12:58 Yahoo property? 12:58 A Yeah. 12:58 Q Okay. 12:58 A I mean, engagement is a very loose term, but 12:58
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A Engagement is more about does the user come 12:57 back and how engaged are they with the page. But for 12:57 a particular advertisement that user saw on that page, 12:57 that doesn't have really anything to do with kind of 12:57 future or past engagement. 12:57 Q So user engagement has no influence one way 12:57 or the other on Yahoo's ability to sell 12:57 advertisements? 12:57 A I didn't say that. 12:57	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	certainly again it's an opportunity for us to 12:58 potentially get more advertisements out there. 12:58 Q Does user engagement also include the amount 12:58 of time that a user spends on a given Yahoo page or 12:58 Yahoo property? 12:58 A Yeah. 12:58 Q Okay. 12:58 A I mean, engagement is a very loose term, but 12:58 certainly what I mean by engagement, it would include 12:59
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A Engagement is more about does the user come 12:57 back and how engaged are they with the page. But for 12:57 a particular advertisement that user saw on that page, 12:57 that doesn't have really anything to do with kind of 12:57 future or past engagement. 12:57 Q So user engagement has no influence one way 12:57 or the other on Yahoo's ability to sell 12:57 advertisements? 12:57 A I didn't say that. 12:57 Q Okay. What impact does user engagement have 12:57	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	certainly again it's an opportunity for us to 12:58 potentially get more advertisements out there. 12:58 Q Does user engagement also include the amount 12:58 of time that a user spends on a given Yahoo page or 12:58 Yahoo property? 12:58 A Yeah. 12:58 Q Okay. 12:58 A I mean, engagement is a very loose term, but 12:58 certainly what I mean by engagement, it would include 12:59 that as well. 12:59
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A Engagement is more about does the user come 12:57 back and how engaged are they with the page. But for 12:57 a particular advertisement that user saw on that page, 12:57 that doesn't have really anything to do with kind of 12:57 future or past engagement. 12:57 Q So user engagement has no influence one way 12:57 or the other on Yahoo's ability to sell 12:57 advertisements? 12:57 A I didn't say that. 12:57 Q Okay. What impact does user engagement have 12:57 on Yahoo's ability to sell advertisements? 12:57	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	certainly again it's an opportunity for us to 12:58 potentially get more advertisements out there. 12:58 Q Does user engagement also include the amount 12:58 of time that a user spends on a given Yahoo page or 12:58 Yahoo property? 12:58 A Yeah. 12:58 Q Okay. 12:58 A I mean, engagement is a very loose term, but 12:58 certainly what I mean by engagement, it would include 12:59 that as well. 12:59 Q Okay. So we've talked about user engagement 12:59
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A Engagement is more about does the user come 12:57 back and how engaged are they with the page. But for 12:57 a particular advertisement that user saw on that page, 12:57 that doesn't have really anything to do with kind of 12:57 future or past engagement. 12:57 Q So user engagement has no influence one way 12:57 or the other on Yahoo's ability to sell 12:57 advertisements? 12:57 A I didn't say that. 12:57 Q Okay. What impact does user engagement have 12:57 on Yahoo's ability to sell advertisements? 12:57 A So again, more engagement means to me 12:57	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	certainly again it's an opportunity for us to 12:58 potentially get more advertisements out there. 12:58 Q Does user engagement also include the amount 12:58 of time that a user spends on a given Yahoo page or 12:58 Yahoo property? 12:58 A Yeah. 12:58 Q Okay. 12:58 A I mean, engagement is a very loose term, but 12:58 certainly what I mean by engagement, it would include 12:59 that as well. 12:59 Q Okay. So we've talked about user engagement 12:59 in terms of Yahoo and and I believe you testified 12:59
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A Engagement is more about does the user come 12:57 back and how engaged are they with the page. But for 12:57 a particular advertisement that user saw on that page, 12:57 that doesn't have really anything to do with kind of 12:57 future or past engagement. 12:57 Q So user engagement has no influence one way 12:57 or the other on Yahoo's ability to sell 12:57 advertisements? 12:57 A I didn't say that. 12:57 Q Okay. What impact does user engagement have 12:57 on Yahoo's ability to sell advertisements? 12:57 A So again, more engagement means to me 12:57 means more visits by that user. More engagement also 12:57	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	certainly again it's an opportunity for us to 12:58 potentially get more advertisements out there. 12:58 Q Does user engagement also include the amount 12:58 of time that a user spends on a given Yahoo page or 12:58 Yahoo property? 12:58 A Yeah. 12:58 Q Okay. 12:58 A I mean, engagement is a very loose term, but 12:58 certainly what I mean by engagement, it would include 12:59 that as well. 12:59 Q Okay. So we've talked about user engagement 12:59 in terms of Yahoo and and I believe you testified 12:59 that user engagement includes the number of visits of 12:59
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A Engagement is more about does the user come 12:57 back and how engaged are they with the page. But for 12:57 a particular advertisement that user saw on that page, 12:57 that doesn't have really anything to do with kind of 12:57 future or past engagement. 12:57 Q So user engagement has no influence one way 12:57 or the other on Yahoo's ability to sell 12:57 advertisements? 12:57 A I didn't say that. 12:57 Q Okay. What impact does user engagement have 12:57 on Yahoo's ability to sell advertisements? 12:57 A So again, more engagement means to me 12:57 means more visits by that user. More engagement also 12:57 might mean more likely to engage with the ads which 12:57	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	certainly again it's an opportunity for us to 12:58 potentially get more advertisements out there. 12:58 Q Does user engagement also include the amount 12:58 of time that a user spends on a given Yahoo page or 12:58 Yahoo property? 12:58 A Yeah. 12:58 Q Okay. 12:58 A I mean, engagement is a very loose term, but 12:58 certainly what I mean by engagement, it would include 12:59 that as well. 12:59 Q Okay. So we've talked about user engagement 12:59 in terms of Yahoo and and I believe you testified 12:59 that user engagement includes the number of visits of 12:59 the user, the likelihood of the user to come back, and 12:59
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A Engagement is more about does the user come 12:57 back and how engaged are they with the page. But for 12:57 a particular advertisement that user saw on that page, 12:57 that doesn't have really anything to do with kind of 12:57 future or past engagement. 12:57 Q So user engagement has no influence one way 12:57 or the other on Yahoo's ability to sell 12:57 advertisements? 12:57 A I didn't say that. 12:57 Q Okay. What impact does user engagement have 12:57 on Yahoo's ability to sell advertisements? 12:57 A So again, more engagement means to me 12:57 means more visits by that user. More engagement also 12:57 might mean more click-throughs. 12:57	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	certainly again it's an opportunity for us to 12:58 potentially get more advertisements out there. 12:58 Q Does user engagement also include the amount 12:58 of time that a user spends on a given Yahoo page or 12:58 Yahoo property? 12:58 A Yeah. 12:58 Q Okay. 12:58 A I mean, engagement is a very loose term, but 12:58 certainly what I mean by engagement, it would include 12:59 that as well. 12:59 Q Okay. So we've talked about user engagement 12:59 in terms of Yahoo and and I believe you testified 12:59 that user engagement includes the number of visits of 12:59 the user, the likelihood of the user to come back, and 12:59 the amount of time that a user spends on a given Yahoo 12:59
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A Engagement is more about does the user come 12:57 back and how engaged are they with the page. But for 12:57 a particular advertisement that user saw on that page, 12:57 that doesn't have really anything to do with kind of 12:57 future or past engagement. 12:57 Q So user engagement has no influence one way 12:57 or the other on Yahoo's ability to sell 12:57 advertisements? 12:57 A I didn't say that. 12:57 Q Okay. What impact does user engagement have 12:57 on Yahoo's ability to sell advertisements? 12:57 A So again, more engagement means to me 12:57 means more visits by that user. More engagement also 12:57 might mean more likely to engage with the ads which 12:57 If we're selling based on click-throughs, 12:58	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	certainly again it's an opportunity for us to 12:58 potentially get more advertisements out there. 12:58 Q Does user engagement also include the amount 12:58 of time that a user spends on a given Yahoo page or 12:58 Yahoo property? 12:58 A Yeah. 12:58 Q Okay. 12:58 A I mean, engagement is a very loose term, but 12:58 certainly what I mean by engagement, it would include 12:59 that as well. 12:59 Q Okay. So we've talked about user engagement 12:59 in terms of Yahoo and and I believe you testified 12:59 that user engagement includes the number of visits of 12:59 the user, the likelihood of the user to come back, and 12:59 the amount of time that a user spends on a given Yahoo 12:59 page? 12:59
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A Engagement is more about does the user come 12:57 back and how engaged are they with the page. But for 12:57 a particular advertisement that user saw on that page, 12:57 that doesn't have really anything to do with kind of 12:57 future or past engagement. 12:57 Q So user engagement has no influence one way 12:57 or the other on Yahoo's ability to sell 12:57 advertisements? 12:57 A I didn't say that. 12:57 Q Okay. What impact does user engagement have 12:57 on Yahoo's ability to sell advertisements? 12:57 A So again, more engagement means to me 12:57 means more visits by that user. More engagement also 12:57 might mean more likely to engage with the ads which 12:57 if we're selling based on click-throughs, 12:58 that would mean more value to the advertiser and 12:58	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	certainly again it's an opportunity for us to 12:58 potentially get more advertisements out there. 12:58 Q Does user engagement also include the amount 12:58 of time that a user spends on a given Yahoo page or 12:58 Yahoo property? 12:58 A Yeah. 12:58 Q Okay. 12:58 A I mean, engagement is a very loose term, but 12:58 certainly what I mean by engagement, it would include 12:59 that as well. 12:59 Q Okay. So we've talked about user engagement 12:59 in terms of Yahoo and and I believe you testified 12:59 that user engagement includes the number of visits of 12:59 the user, the likelihood of the user to come back, and 12:59 the amount of time that a user spends on a given Yahoo 12:59 page? 12:59 A Yes. Engagement, I would say, includes those 12:59
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A Engagement is more about does the user come 12:57 back and how engaged are they with the page. But for 12:57 a particular advertisement that user saw on that page, 12:57 that doesn't have really anything to do with kind of 12:57 future or past engagement. 12:57 Q So user engagement has no influence one way 12:57 or the other on Yahoo's ability to sell 12:57 A I didn't say that. 12:57 Q Okay. What impact does user engagement have 12:57 on Yahoo's ability to sell advertisements? 12:57 A So again, more engagement means to me 12:57 means more visits by that user. More engagement also 12:57 might mean more likely to engage with the ads which 12:57 if we're selling based on click-throughs, 12:58 that would mean more value to the advertiser and 12:58 therefore us, given that's click-through based. 12:58	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	certainly again it's an opportunity for us to 12:58 potentially get more advertisements out there. 12:58 Q Does user engagement also include the amount 12:58 of time that a user spends on a given Yahoo page or 12:58 Yahoo property? 12:58 A Yeah. 12:58 Q Okay. 12:58 A I mean, engagement is a very loose term, but 12:58 certainly what I mean by engagement, it would include 12:59 that as well. 12:59 Q Okay. So we've talked about user engagement 12:59 in terms of Yahoo and and I believe you testified 12:59 that user engagement includes the number of visits of 12:59 the user, the likelihood of the user to come back, and 12:59 the amount of time that a user spends on a given Yahoo 12:59 page? 12:59 A Yes. Engagement, I would say, includes those 12:59 factors. 12:59
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A Engagement is more about does the user come 12:57 back and how engaged are they with the page. But for 12:57 a particular advertisement that user saw on that page, 12:57 that doesn't have really anything to do with kind of 12:57 future or past engagement. 12:57 Q So user engagement has no influence one way 12:57 or the other on Yahoo's ability to sell 12:57 A I didn't say that. 12:57 Q Okay. What impact does user engagement have 12:57 on Yahoo's ability to sell advertisements? 12:57 A So again, more engagement means to me 12:57 means more visits by that user. More engagement also 12:57 might mean more likely to engage with the ads which 12:57 if we're selling based on click-throughs, 12:58 that would mean more value to the advertiser and 12:58 but in the case of more engagement, meaning, 12:58	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	certainly again it's an opportunity for us to 12:58 potentially get more advertisements out there. 12:58 Q Does user engagement also include the amount 12:58 of time that a user spends on a given Yahoo page or 12:58 Yahoo property? 12:58 A Yeah. 12:58 Q Okay. 12:58 A I mean, engagement is a very loose term, but 12:58 certainly what I mean by engagement, it would include 12:59 that as well. 12:59 Q Okay. So we've talked about user engagement 12:59 in terms of Yahoo and and I believe you testified 12:59 that user engagement includes the number of visits of 12:59 the user, the likelihood of the user to come back, and 12:59 the amount of time that a user spends on a given Yahoo 12:59 page? 12:59 A Yes. Engagement, I would say, includes those 12:59 factors. 12:59 Q And I think you also agree with me that the 12:59
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A Engagement is more about does the user come 12:57 back and how engaged are they with the page. But for 12:57 a particular advertisement that user saw on that page, 12:57 that doesn't have really anything to do with kind of 12:57 future or past engagement. 12:57 Q So user engagement has no influence one way 12:57 or the other on Yahoo's ability to sell 12:57 advertisements? 12:57 A I didn't say that. 12:57 Q Okay. What impact does user engagement have 12:57 on Yahoo's ability to sell advertisements? 12:57 A So again, more engagement means to me 12:57 means more visits by that user. More engagement also 12:57 might mean more likely to engage with the ads which 12:57 if we're selling based on click-throughs, 12:58 that would mean more value to the advertiser and 12:58 therefore us, given that's click-through based. 12:58 a user might come back and come back more often or 12:58	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	certainly again it's an opportunity for us to 12:58 potentially get more advertisements out there. 12:58 Q Does user engagement also include the amount 12:58 of time that a user spends on a given Yahoo page or 12:58 Yahoo property? 12:58 A Yeah. 12:58 Q Okay. 12:58 A I mean, engagement is a very loose term, but 12:58 certainly what I mean by engagement, it would include 12:59 that as well. 12:59 Q Okay. So we've talked about user engagement 12:59 in terms of Yahoo and and I believe you testified 12:59 that user engagement includes the number of visits of 12:59 the user, the likelihood of the user to come back, and 12:59 the amount of time that a user spends on a given Yahoo 12:59 page? 12:59 A Yes. Engagement, I would say, includes those 12:59 factors. 12:59 Q And I think you also agree with me that the 12:59 more engaged a user is, it creates the greater 12:59
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A Engagement is more about does the user come 12:57 back and how engaged are they with the page. But for 12:57 a particular advertisement that user saw on that page, 12:57 that doesn't have really anything to do with kind of 12:57 future or past engagement. 12:57 Q So user engagement has no influence one way 12:57 or the other on Yahoo's ability to sell 12:57 advertisements? 12:57 A I didn't say that. 12:57 Q Okay. What impact does user engagement have 12:57 on Yahoo's ability to sell advertisements? 12:57 A So again, more engagement means to me 12:57 means more visits by that user. More engagement also 12:57 might mean more likely to engage with the ads which 12:57 if we're selling based on click-throughs, 12:58 that would mean more value to the advertiser and 12:58 therefore us, given that's click-through based. 12:58 a user might come back and come back more often or 12:58 come back and engage with the property, you know, 12:58	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	certainly again it's an opportunity for us to 12:58 potentially get more advertisements out there. 12:58 Q Does user engagement also include the amount 12:58 of time that a user spends on a given Yahoo page or 12:58 Yahoo property? 12:58 A Yeah. 12:58 Q Okay. 12:58 A I mean, engagement is a very loose term, but 12:58 certainly what I mean by engagement, it would include 12:59 that as well. 12:59 Q Okay. So we've talked about user engagement 12:59 in terms of Yahoo and and I believe you testified 12:59 that user engagement includes the number of visits of 12:59 the user, the likelihood of the user to come back, and 12:59 the amount of time that a user spends on a given Yahoo 12:59 page? 12:59 A Yes. Engagement, I would say, includes those 12:59 factors. 12:59 Q And I think you also agree with me that the 12:59 more engaged a user is, it creates the greater 12:59 potential for Yahoo to sell advertisements? 12:59
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A Engagement is more about does the user come 12:57 back and how engaged are they with the page. But for 12:57 a particular advertisement that user saw on that page, 12:57 that doesn't have really anything to do with kind of 12:57 future or past engagement. 12:57 Q So user engagement has no influence one way 12:57 or the other on Yahoo's ability to sell 12:57 advertisements? 12:57 A I didn't say that. 12:57 Q Okay. What impact does user engagement have 12:57 on Yahoo's ability to sell advertisements? 12:57 A So again, more engagement means to me 12:57 means more visits by that user. More engagement also 12:57 might mean more likely to engage with the ads which 12:57 If we're selling based on click-throughs, 12:58 that would mean more value to the advertiser and 12:58 that would mean more value to the advertiser and 12:58 a user might come back and come back more often or 12:58 come back and engage with the property, you know, 12:58 creating looking at more articles or more scores or 12:58	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	certainly again it's an opportunity for us to 12:58 potentially get more advertisements out there. 12:58 Q Does user engagement also include the amount 12:58 of time that a user spends on a given Yahoo page or 12:58 Yahoo property? 12:58 A Yeah. 12:58 Q Okay. 12:58 A I mean, engagement is a very loose term, but 12:58 certainly what I mean by engagement, it would include 12:59 that as well. 12:59 Q Okay. So we've talked about user engagement 12:59 in terms of Yahoo and and I believe you testified 12:59 that user engagement includes the number of visits of 12:59 the user, the likelihood of the user to come back, and 12:59 the amount of time that a user spends on a given Yahoo 12:59 page? 12:59 A Yes. Engagement, I would say, includes those 12:59 factors. 12:59 Q And I think you also agree with me that the 12:59 more engaged a user is, it creates the greater 12:59 more engaged a user is, it creates the greater 12:59 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 12:59
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A Engagement is more about does the user come 12:57 back and how engaged are they with the page. But for 12:57 a particular advertisement that user saw on that page, 12:57 that doesn't have really anything to do with kind of 12:57 future or past engagement. 12:57 Q So user engagement has no influence one way 12:57 or the other on Yahoo's ability to sell 12:57 advertisements? 12:57 A I didn't say that. 12:57 Q Okay. What impact does user engagement have 12:57 on Yahoo's ability to sell advertisements? 12:57 means more visits by that user. More engagement also 12:57 might mean more likely to engage with the ads which 12:57 if we're selling based on click-throughs, 12:58 that would mean more value to the advertiser and 12:58 but in the case of more engagement, meaning, 12:58 a user might come back and come back more often or 12:58 whatever, those are all opportunities for us to 12:58	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	certainly again it's an opportunity for us to 12:58 potentially get more advertisements out there. 12:58 Q Does user engagement also include the amount 12:58 of time that a user spends on a given Yahoo page or 12:58 Yahoo property? 12:58 A Yeah. 12:58 Q Okay. 12:58 A I mean, engagement is a very loose term, but 12:58 certainly what I mean by engagement, it would include 12:59 that as well. 12:59 Q Okay. So we've talked about user engagement 12:59 in terms of Yahoo and and I believe you testified 12:59 that user engagement includes the number of visits of 12:59 the user, the likelihood of the user to come back, and 12:59 the user, the likelihood of the user to come back, and 12:59 the amount of time that a user spends on a given Yahoo 12:59 page? 12:59 A Yes. Engagement, I would say, includes those 12:59 factors. 12:59 Q And I think you also agree with me that the 12:59 more engaged a user is, it creates the greater 12:59 more engaged a user is, it creates the greater 12:59 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 12:59 THE WITNESS: The more engaged the user 12:59
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A Engagement is more about does the user come 12:57 back and how engaged are they with the page. But for 12:57 a particular advertisement that user saw on that page, 12:57 that doesn't have really anything to do with kind of 12:57 future or past engagement. 12:57 Q So user engagement has no influence one way 12:57 or the other on Yahoo's ability to sell 12:57 advertisements? 12:57 A I didn't say that. 12:57 Q Okay. What impact does user engagement have 12:57 on Yahoo's ability to sell advertisements? 12:57 A So again, more engagement means to me 12:57 means more visits by that user. More engagement also 12:57 might mean more likely to engage with the ads which 12:57 If we're selling based on click-throughs, 12:58 that would mean more value to the advertiser and 12:58 that would mean more value to the advertiser and 12:58 a user might come back and come back more often or 12:58 come back and engage with the property, you know, 12:58 creating looking at more articles or more scores or 12:58	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	certainly again it's an opportunity for us to 12:58 potentially get more advertisements out there. 12:58 Q Does user engagement also include the amount 12:58 of time that a user spends on a given Yahoo page or 12:58 Yahoo property? 12:58 A Yeah. 12:58 Q Okay. 12:58 A I mean, engagement is a very loose term, but 12:58 certainly what I mean by engagement, it would include 12:59 that as well. 12:59 Q Okay. So we've talked about user engagement 12:59 in terms of Yahoo and and I believe you testified 12:59 that user engagement includes the number of visits of 12:59 the user, the likelihood of the user to come back, and 12:59 the amount of time that a user spends on a given Yahoo 12:59 page? 12:59 A Yes. Engagement, I would say, includes those 12:59 factors. 12:59 Q And I think you also agree with me that the 12:59 more engaged a user is, it creates the greater 12:59 more engaged a user is, it creates the greater 12:59 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 12:59

	Page 162		Page 163
1	engagement was about how engaged they were with the 12:59	1	Q Okay. Does the potential to have more visits 13:00
2	page itself, and are they more likely to click on 12:59	2	from a user lead to a greater potential for Yahoo to 13:00
3	something or engage with an advertisement, that by 12:59	3	sell ads or paid ads on pages or properties? 13:01
4	itself doesn't offer us the ability to sell more 12:59	4	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 13:01
5	advertisements but has a benefit to us that if the 12:59	5	You can answer. 13:01
6	user clicks more often, then and we're getting paid 13:00	6	THE WITNESS: So the more a user visits 13:01
7	for that particular advertisement on a click-through, 13:00	7	Yahoo, the more opportunities we have to sell 13:01
8	then that's beneficial to us, so 13:00	8	advertisements, if we can find the advertisers that 13:01
9	MR. BUDWIN: Q. So, in general, the more 13:00	9	are interested in getting in front of that particular 13:01
10	engaged a user is with a given Yahoo page or a given 13:00	10	user 13:01
11	Yahoo property, there's a greater potential for Yahoo 13:00	11	MR. BUDWIN: Okay. 13:01
12	to sell ads for those pages or properties? 13:00	12	THE WITNESS: so. 13:01
13	A So you again, depending on what you mean 13:00	13	MR. BUDWIN: Q. Now another thing we talked 13:01
14	by "engagement," and there's some definite you 13:00	14	about for user engagement was the number of times a 13:01
15	know, some quality of engagement, I would say no to 13:00	15	user comes back to a given Yahoo page or Yahoo 13:01
16	that question, but certainly some qualities of 13:00	16	property; right? 13:01
17	engagement, the answer could be yes, you might have 13:00	17	A Yes. 13:01
18	a more of a chance, more opportunities 13:00	18	Q Okay. Does an increase in the number of 13:01
19	Q Okay. 13:00	19	times a given user comes back to a given Yahoo page or 13:01
20	A to sell advertisements. 13:00	20	a Yahoo property increase the number of ads that Yahoo 13:01
21	Q All right. 13:00		is able to potentially sell? 13:01
22	We talked about user engagement with respect 13:00	22	A For some types of advertisement, we have the 13:01
23	to Yahoo includes the potential for more visits from a 13:00		potential to sell more of that. Many of our 13:01
24	user; right? 13:00	24	advertisements are based more on audience size, and 13:01
25	A Yes. 13:00	25	when you have the repeat customer coming back and back 13:01
	Page 164		Page 165
1	Page 164 again, that doesn't increase our audience side, so 13:02	1	Page 165 news page, if a user reads the entire article versus 13:03
1 2			
	again, that doesn't increase our audience side, so 13:02	2	news page, if a user reads the entire article versus 13:03
2	again, that doesn't increase our audience side, so 13:02 that's got less of an impact. 13:02	2 3	news page, if a user reads the entire article versus 13:03 just skims it and leaves, again, if that advertisement 13:03
2 3	again, that doesn't increase our audience side, so13:02that's got less of an impact.13:02But again, general statement, that the more13:02	2 3 4	news page, if a user reads the entire article versus 13:03 just skims it and leaves, again, if that advertisement 13:03 is click-through based and they spend, you know, 10x 13:03
2 3 4	again, that doesn't increase our audience side, so13:02that's got less of an impact.13:02But again, general statement, that the more13:02page views or the more visits a particular user13:02	2 3 4 5 6	news page, if a user reads the entire article versus 13:03 just skims it and leaves, again, if that advertisement 13:03 is click-through based and they spend, you know, 10x 13:03 the time on that page that some other user did because 13:03 they read the whole article and they were engaged in 13:03 that article, then in that case, yes, for a particular 13:03
2 3 4 5	again, that doesn't increase our audience side, so that's got less of an impact.13:02But again, general statement, that the more page views or the more visits a particular user generates, there's certainly a possibility that we13:02	2 3 4 5 6 7	news page, if a user reads the entire article versus 13:03 just skims it and leaves, again, if that advertisement 13:03 is click-through based and they spend, you know, 10x 13:03 the time on that page that some other user did because 13:03 they read the whole article and they were engaged in 13:03 that article, then in that case, yes, for a particular 13:03 type advertiser, a click-through advertiser, maybe 13:03
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	again, that doesn't increase our audience side, so13:02that's got less of an impact.13:02But again, general statement, that the more13:02page views or the more visits a particular user13:02generates, there's certainly a possibility that we13:02might be able to find some advertiser out there that13:02is interested in getting their message to that13:02additional page view.13:02	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	news page, if a user reads the entire article versus 13:03 just skims it and leaves, again, if that advertisement 13:03 is click-through based and they spend, you know, 10x 13:03 the time on that page that some other user did because 13:03 they read the whole article and they were engaged in 13:03 that article, then in that case, yes, for a particular 13:03 type advertiser, a click-through advertiser, maybe 13:03 it's elevated the likelihood that they'll click 13:03
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	again, that doesn't increase our audience side, so13:02that's got less of an impact.13:02But again, general statement, that the more13:02page views or the more visits a particular user13:02generates, there's certainly a possibility that we13:02might be able to find some advertiser out there that13:02is interested in getting their message to that13:02additional page view.13:02But, again, there are many other factors that13:02	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	news page, if a user reads the entire article versus 13:03 just skims it and leaves, again, if that advertisement 13:03 is click-through based and they spend, you know, 10x 13:03 the time on that page that some other user did because 13:03 they read the whole article and they were engaged in 13:03 that article, then in that case, yes, for a particular 13:03 type advertiser, a click-through advertiser, maybe 13:03 it's elevated the likelihood that they'll click 13:03 through to the ads. 13:03
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	again, that doesn't increase our audience side, so 13:02 that's got less of an impact. 13:02 But again, general statement, that the more 13:02 page views or the more visits a particular user 13:02 generates, there's certainly a possibility that we 13:02 might be able to find some advertiser out there that 13:02 is interested in getting their message to that 13:02 additional page view. 13:02 But, again, there are many other factors that 13:02 go into why advertisers buy advertising or don't buy 13:02	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	news page, if a user reads the entire article versus 13:03 just skims it and leaves, again, if that advertisement 13:03 is click-through based and they spend, you know, 10x 13:03 the time on that page that some other user did because 13:03 they read the whole article and they were engaged in 13:03 that article, then in that case, yes, for a particular 13:03 type advertiser, a click-through advertiser, maybe 13:03 it's elevated the likelihood that they'll click 13:03 through to the ads. 13:03 So in that case, because you're getting 13:03
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	again, that doesn't increase our audience side, so 13:02 that's got less of an impact. 13:02 But again, general statement, that the more 13:02 page views or the more visits a particular user 13:02 generates, there's certainly a possibility that we 13:02 might be able to find some advertiser out there that 13:02 is interested in getting their message to that 13:02 additional page view. 13:02 But, again, there are many other factors that 13:02 go into why advertisers buy advertising or don't buy 13:02 advertising, and that's just one of the factors. 13:02	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	news page, if a user reads the entire article versus 13:03 just skims it and leaves, again, if that advertisement 13:03 is click-through based and they spend, you know, 10x 13:03 the time on that page that some other user did because 13:03 they read the whole article and they were engaged in 13:03 that article, then in that case, yes, for a particular 13:03 type advertiser, a click-through advertiser, maybe 13:03 it's elevated the likelihood that they'll click 13:03 through to the ads. 13:03 So in that case, because you're getting 13:03 better click-through, we might get more revenue from 13:03
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	again, that doesn't increase our audience side, so 13:02 that's got less of an impact. 13:02 But again, general statement, that the more 13:02 page views or the more visits a particular user 13:02 generates, there's certainly a possibility that we 13:02 might be able to find some advertiser out there that 13:02 is interested in getting their message to that 13:02 additional page view. 13:02 But, again, there are many other factors that 13:02 go into why advertisers buy advertising or don't buy 13:02 advertising, and that's just one of the factors. 13:02 Q Right. 13:02	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	news page, if a user reads the entire article versus 13:03 just skims it and leaves, again, if that advertisement 13:03 is click-through based and they spend, you know, 10x 13:03 the time on that page that some other user did because 13:03 they read the whole article and they were engaged in 13:03 that article, then in that case, yes, for a particular 13:03 type advertiser, a click-through advertiser, maybe 13:03 it's elevated the likelihood that they'll click 13:03 through to the ads. 13:03 So in that case, because you're getting 13:03 better click-through, we might get more revenue from 13:03 that. But again, that's a very specific example, and 13:03
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	again, that doesn't increase our audience side, so 13:02 that's got less of an impact. 13:02 But again, general statement, that the more 13:02 page views or the more visits a particular user 13:02 generates, there's certainly a possibility that we 13:02 might be able to find some advertiser out there that 13:02 is interested in getting their message to that 13:02 additional page view. 13:02 But, again, there are many other factors that 13:02 go into why advertisers buy advertising or don't buy 13:02 advertising, and that's just one of the factors. 13:02 Q Right. 13:02 Another factor that we talked about with 13:02	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	news page, if a user reads the entire article versus 13:03 just skims it and leaves, again, if that advertisement 13:03 is click-through based and they spend, you know, 10x 13:03 the time on that page that some other user did because 13:03 they read the whole article and they were engaged in 13:03 that article, then in that case, yes, for a particular 13:03 type advertiser, a click-through advertiser, maybe 13:03 it's elevated the likelihood that they'll click 13:03 through to the ads. 13:03 So in that case, because you're getting 13:03 better click-through, we might get more revenue from 13:03 that. But again, that's a very specific example, and 13:03 your question was much more general than that. 13:03
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	again, that doesn't increase our audience side, so 13:02 that's got less of an impact. 13:02 But again, general statement, that the more 13:02 page views or the more visits a particular user 13:02 generates, there's certainly a possibility that we 13:02 might be able to find some advertiser out there that 13:02 is interested in getting their message to that 13:02 additional page view. 13:02 But, again, there are many other factors that 13:02 go into why advertisers buy advertising or don't buy 13:02 advertising, and that's just one of the factors. 13:02 Q Right. 13:02 Another factor that we talked about with 13:02 respect to user engagement is the time a user spends 13:02	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	news page, if a user reads the entire article versus 13:03 just skims it and leaves, again, if that advertisement 13:03 is click-through based and they spend, you know, 10x 13:03 the time on that page that some other user did because 13:03 they read the whole article and they were engaged in 13:03 that article, then in that case, yes, for a particular 13:03 type advertiser, a click-through advertiser, maybe 13:03 it's elevated the likelihood that they'll click 13:03 through to the ads. 13:03 So in that case, because you're getting 13:03 better click-through, we might get more revenue from 13:03 that. But again, that's a very specific example, and 13:03 your question was much more general than that. 13:03 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Would you agree with the 13:03
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	again, that doesn't increase our audience side, so 13:02 that's got less of an impact. 13:02 But again, general statement, that the more 13:02 page views or the more visits a particular user 13:02 generates, there's certainly a possibility that we 13:02 might be able to find some advertiser out there that 13:02 is interested in getting their message to that 13:02 additional page view. 13:02 But, again, there are many other factors that 13:02 go into why advertisers buy advertising or don't buy 13:02 advertising, and that's just one of the factors. 13:02 Q Right. 13:02 Another factor that we talked about with 13:02 respect to user engagement is the time a user spends 13:02 on a given page on a Yahoo property? 13:02	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	news page, if a user reads the entire article versus 13:03 just skims it and leaves, again, if that advertisement 13:03 is click-through based and they spend, you know, 10x 13:03 the time on that page that some other user did because 13:03 they read the whole article and they were engaged in 13:03 that article, then in that case, yes, for a particular 13:03 type advertiser, a click-through advertiser, maybe 13:03 it's elevated the likelihood that they'll click 13:03 through to the ads. 13:03 So in that case, because you're getting 13:03 better click-through, we might get more revenue from 13:03 that. But again, that's a very specific example, and 13:03 your question was much more general than that. 13:03 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Would you agree with the 13:03
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	again, that doesn't increase our audience side, so13:02that's got less of an impact.13:02But again, general statement, that the more13:02page views or the more visits a particular user13:02generates, there's certainly a possibility that we13:02might be able to find some advertiser out there that13:02is interested in getting their message to that13:02additional page view.13:02But, again, there are many other factors that13:02go into why advertisers buy advertising or don't buy13:02advertising, and that's just one of the factors.13:02Q Right.13:02Another factor that we talked about with13:02respect to user engagement is the time a user spends13:02on a given page on a Yahoo property?13:02A Yes.13:02	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	news page, if a user reads the entire article versus 13:03 just skims it and leaves, again, if that advertisement 13:03 is click-through based and they spend, you know, 10x 13:03 the time on that page that some other user did because 13:03 they read the whole article and they were engaged in 13:03 that article, then in that case, yes, for a particular 13:03 type advertiser, a click-through advertiser, maybe 13:03 it's elevated the likelihood that they'll click 13:03 through to the ads. 13:03 So in that case, because you're getting 13:03 better click-through, we might get more revenue from 13:03 that. But again, that's a very specific example, and 13:03 your question was much more general than that. 13:03 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Would you agree with the 13:03 engagement on a Yahoo property, the greater the 13:03
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	again, that doesn't increase our audience side, so13:02that's got less of an impact.13:02But again, general statement, that the more13:02page views or the more visits a particular user13:02generates, there's certainly a possibility that we13:02might be able to find some advertiser out there that13:02is interested in getting their message to that13:02additional page view.13:02But, again, there are many other factors that13:02go into why advertisers buy advertising or don't buy13:02advertising, and that's just one of the factors.13:02Q Right.13:02Another factor that we talked about with13:02respect to user engagement is the time a user spends13:02on a given page on a Yahoo property?13:02Q Does the amount of time that a user spends on13:02	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	news page, if a user reads the entire article versus 13:03 just skims it and leaves, again, if that advertisement 13:03 is click-through based and they spend, you know, 10x 13:03 the time on that page that some other user did because 13:03 they read the whole article and they were engaged in 13:03 that article, then in that case, yes, for a particular 13:03 type advertiser, a click-through advertiser, maybe 13:03 it's elevated the likelihood that they'll click 13:03 through to the ads. 13:03 So in that case, because you're getting 13:03 better click-through, we might get more revenue from 13:03 that. But again, that's a very specific example, and 13:03 your question was much more general than that. 13:03 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Would you agree with the 13:03 engagement on a Yahoo property, the greater the 13:03 potential for Yahoo to sell advertisements or earn 13:04
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	again, that doesn't increase our audience side, so13:02that's got less of an impact.13:02But again, general statement, that the more13:02page views or the more visits a particular user13:02generates, there's certainly a possibility that we13:02might be able to find some advertiser out there that13:02additional page view.13:02But, again, there are many other factors that13:02go into why advertisers buy advertising or don't buy13:02advertising, and that's just one of the factors.13:02Q Right.13:02Another factor that we talked about with13:02on a given page on a Yahoo property?13:02Q Does the amount of time that a user spends on13:02a given Yahoo page potentially increase Yahoo's13:02	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	news page, if a user reads the entire article versus 13:03 just skims it and leaves, again, if that advertisement 13:03 is click-through based and they spend, you know, 10x 13:03 the time on that page that some other user did because 13:03 they read the whole article and they were engaged in 13:03 that article, then in that case, yes, for a particular 13:03 type advertiser, a click-through advertiser, maybe 13:03 it's elevated the likelihood that they'll click 13:03 through to the ads. 13:03 So in that case, because you're getting 13:03 better click-through, we might get more revenue from 13:03 that. But again, that's a very specific example, and 13:03 your question was much more general than that. 13:03 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Would you agree with the 13:03 engagement on a Yahoo property, the greater the user 13:03 potential for Yahoo to sell advertisements or earn 13:04 revenue from that property? 13:04
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	again, that doesn't increase our audience side, so13:02that's got less of an impact.13:02But again, general statement, that the more13:02page views or the more visits a particular user13:02generates, there's certainly a possibility that we13:02might be able to find some advertiser out there that13:02is interested in getting their message to that13:02additional page view.13:02But, again, there are many other factors that13:02go into why advertisers buy advertising or don't buy13:02advertising, and that's just one of the factors.13:02Q Right.13:02Another factor that we talked about with13:02on a given page on a Yahoo property?13:02Q Does the amount of time that a user spends on13:02a given Yahoo page potentially increase Yahoo's13:02ability to sell ads or to make revenue from13:02	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	news page, if a user reads the entire article versus 13:03 just skims it and leaves, again, if that advertisement 13:03 is click-through based and they spend, you know, 10x 13:03 the time on that page that some other user did because 13:03 they read the whole article and they were engaged in 13:03 that article, then in that case, yes, for a particular 13:03 type advertiser, a click-through advertiser, maybe 13:03 it's elevated the likelihood that they'll click 13:03 through to the ads. 13:03 So in that case, because you're getting 13:03 better click-through, we might get more revenue from 13:03 that. But again, that's a very specific example, and 13:03 your question was much more general than that. 13:03 MR. BUDWIN: Q. Would you agree with the 13:03 engagement on a Yahoo property, the greater the user 13:04 revenue from that property? 13:04 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 13:04
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	again, that doesn't increase our audience side, so13:02that's got less of an impact.13:02But again, general statement, that the more13:02page views or the more visits a particular user13:02generates, there's certainly a possibility that we13:02might be able to find some advertiser out there that13:02is interested in getting their message to that13:02additional page view.13:02But, again, there are many other factors that13:02go into why advertisers buy advertising or don't buy13:02advertising, and that's just one of the factors.13:02Q Right.13:02Another factor that we talked about with13:02on a given page on a Yahoo property?13:02A Yes.13:02Q Does the amount of time that a user spends on13:02a given Yahoo page potentially increase Yahoo's13:02ability to sell ads or to make revenue from13:02advertisement?13:02	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	news page, if a user reads the entire article versus 13:03 just skims it and leaves, again, if that advertisement 13:03 is click-through based and they spend, you know, 10x 13:03 the time on that page that some other user did because 13:03 they read the whole article and they were engaged in 13:03 that article, then in that case, yes, for a particular 13:03 type advertiser, a click-through advertiser, maybe 13:03 it's elevated the likelihood that they'll click 13:03 through to the ads. 13:03 So in that case, because you're getting 13:03 better click-through, we might get more revenue from 13:03 that. But again, that's a very specific example, and 13:03 your question was much more general than that. 13:03 general statement that the the greater the user 13:03 engagement on a Yahoo property, the greater the 13:03 potential for Yahoo to sell advertisements or earn 13:04 revenue from that property? 13:04 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 13:04 You can answer. 13:04
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	again, that doesn't increase our audience side, so13:02that's got less of an impact.13:02But again, general statement, that the more13:02page views or the more visits a particular user13:02generates, there's certainly a possibility that we13:02might be able to find some advertiser out there that13:02is interested in getting their message to that13:02additional page view.13:02But, again, there are many other factors that13:02go into why advertisers buy advertising or don't buy13:02advertising, and that's just one of the factors.13:02Q Right.13:02Another factor that we talked about with13:02on a given page on a Yahoo property?13:02Q Does the amount of time that a user spends on13:02a given Yahoo page potentially increase Yahoo's13:02ability to sell ads or to make revenue from13:02MS. DOAN: Objection; form.13:02	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	news page, if a user reads the entire article versus 13:03 just skims it and leaves, again, if that advertisement 13:03 is click-through based and they spend, you know, 10x 13:03 the time on that page that some other user did because 13:03 they read the whole article and they were engaged in 13:03 that article, then in that case, yes, for a particular 13:03 type advertiser, a click-through advertiser, maybe 13:03 it's elevated the likelihood that they'll click 13:03 through to the ads. 13:03 So in that case, because you're getting 13:03 better click-through, we might get more revenue from 13:03 that. But again, that's a very specific example, and 13:03 your question was much more general than that. 13:03 mR. BUDWIN: Q. Would you agree with the 13:03 engagement on a Yahoo property, the greater the 13:03 potential for Yahoo to sell advertisements or earn 13:04 revenue from that property? 13:04 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 13:04 THE WITNESS: I would say the more engaged a 13:04
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	again, that doesn't increase our audience side, so 13:02 that's got less of an impact. 13:02 But again, general statement, that the more 13:02 page views or the more visits a particular user 13:02 generates, there's certainly a possibility that we 13:02 might be able to find some advertiser out there that 13:02 is interested in getting their message to that 13:02 additional page view. 13:02 But, again, there are many other factors that 13:02 go into why advertisers buy advertising or don't buy 13:02 advertising, and that's just one of the factors. 13:02 Q Right. 13:02 Another factor that we talked about with 13:02 respect to user engagement is the time a user spends 13:02 on a given page on a Yahoo property? 13:02 A Yes. 13:02 Q Does the amount of time that a user spends on 13:02 a given Yahoo page potentially increase Yahoo's 13:02 advertisement? 13:02 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 13:02 THE WITNESS: I don't it depends on I 13:02	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	news page, if a user reads the entire article versus 13:03 just skims it and leaves, again, if that advertisement 13:03 is click-through based and they spend, you know, 10x 13:03 the time on that page that some other user did because 13:03 they read the whole article and they were engaged in 13:03 that article, then in that case, yes, for a particular 13:03 type advertiser, a click-through advertiser, maybe 13:03 it's elevated the likelihood that they'll click 13:03 through to the ads. 13:03 So in that case, because you're getting 13:03 better click-through, we might get more revenue from 13:03 that. But again, that's a very specific example, and 13:03 your question was much more general than that. 13:03 mR. BUDWIN: Q. Would you agree with the 13:03 general statement that the the greater the user 13:03 engagement on a Yahoo property, the greater the 13:04 revenue from that property? 13:04 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 13:04 You can answer. 13:04 THE WITNESS: I would say the more engaged a 13:04 user is, the more potential the more potential 13:04
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	again, that doesn't increase our audience side, so 13:02 that's got less of an impact. 13:02 But again, general statement, that the more 13:02 page views or the more visits a particular user 13:02 generates, there's certainly a possibility that we 13:02 might be able to find some advertiser out there that 13:02 is interested in getting their message to that 13:02 additional page view. 13:02 But, again, there are many other factors that 13:02 go into why advertisers buy advertising or don't buy 13:02 advertising, and that's just one of the factors. 13:02 Q Right. 13:02 Another factor that we talked about with 13:02 respect to user engagement is the time a user spends 13:02 on a given page on a Yahoo property? 13:02 A Yes. 13:02 Q Does the amount of time that a user spends on 13:02 advertisement? 13:02 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 13:02 mean, again these are very general terms. 13:03	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	news page, if a user reads the entire article versus 13:03 just skims it and leaves, again, if that advertisement 13:03 is click-through based and they spend, you know, 10x 13:03 the time on that page that some other user did because 13:03 they read the whole article and they were engaged in 13:03 that article, then in that case, yes, for a particular 13:03 type advertiser, a click-through advertiser, maybe 13:03 it's elevated the likelihood that they'll click 13:03 through to the ads. 13:03 So in that case, because you're getting 13:03 better click-through, we might get more revenue from 13:03 that. But again, that's a very specific example, and 13:03 your question was much more general than that. 13:03 general statement that the the greater the user 13:03 engagement on a Yahoo property, the greater the 13:03 potential for Yahoo to sell advertisements or earn 13:04 revenue from that property? 13:04 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 13:04 THE WITNESS: I would say the more engaged a 13:04 there is for some forms of advertising to generate 13:04
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	again, that doesn't increase our audience side, so 13:02 that's got less of an impact. 13:02 But again, general statement, that the more 13:02 page views or the more visits a particular user 13:02 generates, there's certainly a possibility that we 13:02 might be able to find some advertiser out there that 13:02 is interested in getting their message to that 13:02 additional page view. 13:02 But, again, there are many other factors that 13:02 go into why advertisers buy advertising or don't buy 13:02 advertising, and that's just one of the factors. 13:02 Q Right. 13:02 Another factor that we talked about with 13:02 respect to user engagement is the time a user spends 13:02 on a given page on a Yahoo property? 13:02 A Yes. 13:02 Q Does the amount of time that a user spends on 13:02 a given Yahoo page potentially increase Yahoo's 13:02 advertisement? 13:02 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 13:02 THE WITNESS: I don't it depends on I 13:02	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	news page, if a user reads the entire article versus 13:03 just skims it and leaves, again, if that advertisement 13:03 is click-through based and they spend, you know, 10x 13:03 the time on that page that some other user did because 13:03 they read the whole article and they were engaged in 13:03 that article, then in that case, yes, for a particular 13:03 type advertiser, a click-through advertiser, maybe 13:03 it's elevated the likelihood that they'll click 13:03 through to the ads. 13:03 So in that case, because you're getting 13:03 better click-through, we might get more revenue from 13:03 that. But again, that's a very specific example, and 13:03 your question was much more general than that. 13:03 mR. BUDWIN: Q. Would you agree with the 13:03 general statement that the the greater the user 13:03 engagement on a Yahoo property, the greater the 13:04 revenue from that property? 13:04 MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 13:04 You can answer. 13:04 THE WITNESS: I would say the more engaged a 13:04 user is, the more potential the more potential 13:04

	Page 166		Page 167
1		1	-
1	or increases in its technology to help assist with 13:04	1	JURAT
2	user engagement on Yahoo pages? 13:04	2	
3	MS. DOAN: Objection; form. 13:04	3	
4	THE WITNESS: Do we use Yahoo 13:04	4	I, DAVID FILO, do hereby certify under
5	MR. BUDWIN: Let me ask you a better 13:04	5	Penalty of perjury that I have read the
б	question. 13:04	6	foregoing transcript of my deposition taken
7	Q Is one of the reasons that Yahoo undertakes 13:04	7	on November 29, 2011; that I have made such
8	to redesign its web page on periodic intervals to help 13:04	8	corrections as appear noted herein in ink,
9	increase user engagement on the Yahoo properties? 13:04	9	initialed by me; that my testimony as
10		10	contained herein, as corrected, is true and
11	redesigns. One of them, I think, is to increase 13:04	11	correct.
12	6.6	12	
13	MR. BUDWIN: All right. 13:05	13	
14	I'll pass the witness. 13:05	14	DATED this day of, 2011,
15	MS. DOAN: We definitely want to designate 13:05	15	at, California.
16	the confident the deposition "Confidential - 13:05	16	
17	Attorney's Eyes Only," and we want to read and sign 13:05	17	
18	and reserve our questions until the time of trial. 13:05	18	
19	MR. BUDWIN: Thank you. 13:05	19	
20	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This marks the end of 13:05	20	DAVID FILO
21	Disc 3 and will conclude the deposition for today. 13:05	21	
22	All discs will be held by TSG.	22	
23	-	23	
24	-	24	
25	-	25	
	Page 168		Page 169
1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER	-	
2	CERTIFICATE OF REFORTER	1	I N D E X
3		2	
4	I, ANDREA M. IGNACIO HOWARD, hereby certify	3	DEPOSITION OF DAVID FILO
5		4	
6	that the witness in the foregoing deposition was by me	5	EXAMINATION
	duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth, and	6	PAGE
7 8	nothing but the truth in the within-entitled cause;	7 8	BY MR. BUDWIN 5
9	That said deposition was taken in shorthand	9	EXHIBITS
10	*	10	EXHIBIT PAGE
11		11	Exhibit 1 CNET Snapshot; 1 pg. 39
12		12	1 10
13		12 13	Exhibit 2 8/21/95 E-mail String, Subject: 83 Eolas Polymap: A Versatile Client
14	-	13 14	• •
15		14 15	Side Image Map for the Web, Bates Nos. YAHOO-E02290323 - '27; 5 pgs.
16			
17		16	Exhibit 3 8/21/95 E-mail String, Subject: 84
18		17	Eolas acquires commercial rights
10		18	to the applet patent, Bates Nos.
20	-	19	YAHOO-E02290336 - '37; 2 pgs.
		20	Exhibit 4 9/18/95 E-mail String, Subject: 85
21		21	Eolas releases Webrouser via the
22 23		22	Internet, Bates Nos.
	ANDREA M. IGNACIO HOWARD, RPR, CCRR, CLR, CSR No. 9830	23	YAHOO-E02290338 - '39; 2 pgs.
		L -	
23 24 25		24 25	000

		Page 170	
	ERRA	ATA SHEET	
тт		FILO, make the following changes to	
		aken in the matter of Eolas et al., vs.	
		ken on November 29, 2011:	
DATE:_		DAVID FILO	
Page	Line	Change	

	I	I	1	
A	address (9)	advertiser's (3)	129:20,22	114:7 115:3,17
AB (4)	5:25 24:22 27:23 84:3	145:14 151:24 152:5	Alto (1)	116:1,5,9,12,14
141:15,24 142:6	86:11,20 87:13	advertising (29)	6:1	apart (2)
148:25	93:13,18	97:25 98:3 100:3,10	ambiguous (1)	92:11,13
ability (5)	addressed (1)	100:12,20,22 101:4	18:5	app (1)
	23:25	101:10,21 102:14	amount (5)	102:13
6:20 160:7,11 162:4	Adobe (3)	102:19 103:1,4	54:19 77:25 161:3,15	appear (1)
164:19	1:8 4:10 170:5	104:3,8,13,16 105:2	164:17	167:8
able (10)	ads (17)	105:6 145:10,15	analysis (1)	appearing (3)
14:5 107:15 121:18	98:8 100:23 125:25	152:9,16,24 159:13	143:17	6:6,11 123:1
122:15 123:5 126:3	126:2,4,11,21	164:10,11 165:23	Andrea (5)	appears (1)
130:11 159:8	155:20,21 157:24	advice (2)	1:22 2:9 4:21 168:4	144:24
163:21 164:6	160:14 162:12	90:12,25	168:23	applet (1)
absence (5)	163:3,3,20 164:19	affect (1)	animated (1)	169:18
50:18 51:1,2,8 82:23	165:9	88:13	126:20	applications (2)
acceptable (2)	AdUp (2)	aggregate (1)	announced (1)	107:8,9
130:9,18	106:2 116:18	154:24	89:8	apply (1)
access (4)	advances (1)	ago (10)		appry (1) 6:9
13:22 38:11,15,19	165:25	7:6,11,12 14:16,25	announcing (1) 88:25	approximate (1)
accomplish (2)		17:25 121:18		10:2
117:22 121:11	adversely (1) 90:4		answer (41)	
accumulated (1)		124:10 135:16,21	6:16 8:6,7 21:23	approximately (4)
132:24	advertisement (24)	agree (7)	22:24 26:3 28:5,8	49:12 62:16 76:16,17
accurate (3)	152:1,6,19,25 153:5	57:7 104:25 115:15	32:10 34:8 45:9	apps (4)
39:24 43:17 105:7	153:14 155:1,12	127:18 156:7	48:13 51:21 55:20	102:12,17,18,22
accurately (1)	156:9,14,17 157:7	161:19 165:14	57:5 60:2 62:6	April (37)
6:17	158:2,15,24 159:22	ahead (4)	67:22,24,25 68:12	35:21 36:11,21,23
accused (11)	159:24 160:3,24	31:17 71:21 83:14	82:3 111:19 112:21	37:20 38:1,12,17
55:4,6 56:14 57:1	162:3,7 163:22	141:6	113:4,13 114:12	40:5,8 43:6,23 49:8
58:9 62:3,12 64:24	164:20 165:2	airplane (1)	115:8 124:19	49:11,23 50:15
64:25 65:10 66:11	advertisements (40)	74:22	129:13 130:20	52:21,23 54:3,6
acquires (1)	98:5 101:25 103:15	airplanes (2)	134:6 138:23,25	55:10 61:21 63:12
169:17	104:16,19 106:23	74:23 75:1	139:9 148:10	63:17,18,19 64:1,6
acquisition (3)	107:1 108:21,23	AJAX (21)	154:23,24 162:17	64:12 68:21 75:10
124:5,22,23	110:12,17 113:18	122:1,4,14 123:5,14	163:5 165:20	75:11 77:1 78:11,15
action (2)	114:10 115:6,13	123:18,25,25 124:2	answered (1)	78:19,23
1:7 168:17	117:17,23 118:5,9	124:3,8,9 125:1	28:6	April/May (1)
action-oriented (1)	146:25 152:13	128:7,8,12,12 129:9	answering (1)	76:17
158:20	153:8,20,23 154:1,4	129:14 148:1,5	46:19	architecture (1)
actual (4)	154:6,17 155:4	AJAX-type (1)	answers (1)	47:8
96:15 122:10 142:9	158:6 159:9 160:8	123:17	7:24	areas (5)
152:18	160:11 161:2,21	al (4)	anybody (5)	45:14,23 46:2,4 47:17
ad (14)	162:5,20 163:8,24	1:8 4:11 170:4,5	11:10,21 25:3,7 33:11	Aric (2)
108:25 126:10,15,16	165:17	alcohol (1)	anymore (3)	3:18 4:20
151:24 153:9,11	advertiser (14)	6:14	126:16 128:22 129:3	arrive (1)
157:12,14,15,21,22	145:20 151:25 152:9	alleged (1)	anyway (2)	41:22
157:25 158:3	153:11,13 158:7,13	55:23	34:18 67:25	arrived (1)
add (1)	158:16,19 159:7	allow (1)	AOL (1)	42:23
120:8	160:17 164:6 165:7	51:9	146:13	art (5)
addition (1)	165:7	allowed (1)	Apache (29)	55:24 62:4,13 64:17
100:12	advertisers (10)	129:8	106:3,4,4,5,15,19,21	64:21
additional (10)	125:23 145:9,21	alternative (1)	106:22,25 109:4,14	article (3)
58:5,8,13 66:7,10,11	146:1 152:15 153:1	130:10	109:15 110:4 111:1	165:1,5,6
66:12 77:6 99:17	158:23 159:2 163:8	alternatives (7)	111:9,15 112:19,23	articles (1)
164:8	164:10	126:7,9,17,19,24	112:25 113:5,8	160:22
	•	•	•	-

				2
	05.12.10	160 01 161 14	40.4.9.51.5.52.01	46.17
aside (6)	85:13,18	160:21 161:14	49:4,8 51:5 52:21	46:17
40:2 57:13 84:17	Austin (1)	163:15,19,25,25	61:22 63:6,7 68:16	bookmark (1)
85:21 86:18 105:1	3:7	background (10)	69:6,22 71:5,5	34:5
asked (11)	authors (1)	13:11,11 14:15,20	79:16,19 80:9,11,13	bookmarks (3)
13:17 16:15 25:15,22	91:17	15:14,17,20 16:10	83:23 84:5,9 85:3	33:19,22 34:19
44:13 50:24,25	auto (6)	16:21 28:18	86:6,13 87:14,17	born (1)
52:16 57:13 67:21	144:24 146:12,13,14	bad (3)	92:7 93:1,11,19	51:5
143:4	146:16,20	81:15,17,22	107:18 108:18	box (11)
asking (10)	Autos (10)	Baker (1)	120:14 132:2,5,11	39:20,23 84:9,10,13
32:19 79:25 80:13,15	144:14,16 145:2,3,6	84:12	133:2 138:22 139:9	84:16 85:15,18
92:20 105:15,17	145:10,15,21	Bakewell (6)	139:21 148:16	86:15 148:12,15
107:24 133:21	146:24 147:1	11:18,19,25 12:7	161:12	break (4)
136:17	Auto's (3)	53:15 56:9	beneficial (2)	61:16 67:9 131:11
asserted (1)	146:7,8,21	Bartz (3)	125:12 162:8	155:23
64:17	available (5)	82:20,21 83:1	benefit (8)	brief (2)
assist (5)	33:23 34:6 36:11	based (18)	125:1,3,4,8,10 127:17	23:11 30:13
133:14 137:23 138:11	37:14 40:10	88:14 94:18 103:21	127:21 162:5	briefly (1)
138:17 166:1	aware (40)	105:5 124:5 143:17	benefits (3)	23:19
assisting (1)	8:15,20 19:8,14,22	152:11,12,12	37:24 127:25 157:1	bring (1)
61:8	30:21 31:9,22 37:12	152.11,12,12	Berkeley (3)	159:9
associated (4)	-	157:13 160:16,18	15:22 17:3 23:6	broad (1)
	60:25 63:4,20,22,25		best (4)	47:21
95:15 102:8,20	64:2 65:15,21,22	163:24 165:3		
141:18	68:11 86:20 87:2,18	basic (3)	70:20,25 73:2 137:19	brought (2)
Associates (1)	88:11 89:16,19,25	99:14,18 100:2	better (4)	23:23 25:10
23:7	90:2 93:20,23 95:10	basically (2)	73:11 80:2 165:11	browser (22)
association (1)	105:24 106:1	46:20 155:11	166:5	9:11 16:22 20:7 21:14
4:22	107:25 108:3	basis (6)	beyond (2)	21:16 22:8 24:9
assuming (2)	123:14 132:16	153:21,21,24 154:3,8	74:8 137:6	27:19 88:12,19 89:2
59:3 103:22	140:12,21,25	155:13	big (2)	89:6,13 90:13,17,20
attend (1)	142:17	Bates (3)	27:24 138:12	91:5,12,25 136:18
57:23	awareness (1)	169:14,18,22	billion (3)	136:25 137:14
attended (2)	65:23	becoming (1)	71:6 73:5 79:5	browsers (10)
53:6 64:7	a.m (5)	131:5	bit (10)	18:14 20:12,17,17,18
attending (2)	2:2 4:3 67:14,18	Bedrock (35)	14:17 18:4 31:11	20:22 21:3,20 22:3
65:6 122:20	131:19	7:3,18 48:24 49:1,3	46:22 51:6 119:13	35:13
attorneys (4)	A4 (1)	49:10,13,23 50:2,14	124:7 139:22	Bruce (1)
1:13 92:15,23 93:6	74:3	50:21 51:12,14 52:1	157:12 158:5	11:15
Attorney's (1)		52:5 59:11,24 60:5	Blake (2)	Bryant (1)
166:17	В	60:19,23 61:8,10,11	59:20 63:3	6:1
attract (3)	B (1)	61:17,19,20,23 62:3	Blakewell (1)	bucket (2)
98:9,12 120:3	169:9	62:11,17,25 63:4,12	11:17	142:6 148:25
attractive (5)	bachelor (2)	63:15 67:4	blanket (1)	Budwin (142)
120:3,19 121:1,12	42:19,21	began (2)	97:17	3:5 4:25,25 5:15,16
120.3,19 121.1,12 123:7	42.19,21 bachelor's (2)	43:7,19	blind (2)	12:5,12,16,18 20:4
	41:8,11	beginning (7)	72:17,18	
Audi (1) 74:3	back (34)	4:8 44:14 46:7 67:16	blog (1)	21:11,18 22:1,9,13 23:8 26:5 27:11
	. ,			
audience (4)	16:23,24 17:8,14,24	67:23 75:23 131:21	123:2	28:8 29:3 32:9,11
145:22,25 163:24	18:12 19:9 21:24	behalf (4)	blue (1)	34:22 35:20 36:9
164:1	22:13 23:5 26:21	5:1 48:3,10,18	34:5	37:2 39:8,13,17
August (18)	31:11 34:12,16	beliefs (2)	board (4)	40:24 43:18 48:8,16
15:1,3 22:14 23:17	35:11 38:23 44:8	81:5,8	82:24,24 83:9,11	49:5 50:13 51:25
27:3 28:1,14 29:15	64:5 88:3 112:5,13	believe (49)	boat (1)	52:22 53:4,21 54:17
30:2 64:7 83:20	124:4 133:18 136:1	8:21 23:19 26:22 30:9	75:3	55:14,22 56:5 57:9
84:4,7,13,25 85:9	137:16 160:2,20,20	33:4 39:10,11 41:18	book (1)	57:17,19 59:4 60:4
	-	-	-	-

62:9,23 63:17 65:18	Cake (1)	63:9,12,15,20,20,25	154:14 159:12,14	clearly (2)
65:25 66:17,22 67:8				
,	7:7	64:11,14 65:2,6,19	161:1,9 162:16	40:22 156:23
67:19 72:15 73:1,6	Calculator (6)	65:24 66:3 67:2,4	164:5	click (11)
76:24 77:4 78:21	144:14,17,20 146:17	88:3,6 92:3 134:25	CERTIFICATE (1)	149:8,8,11,13 153:1
79:8,15 80:20 81:14	146:18 147:1	160:19 165:6,10	168:1	154:4 157:16
81:21 82:5,14 83:17	calendars (1)	cases (19)	Certified (2)	158:21 159:5 162:2
84:17,22 86:1 89:3	54:13	18:20 48:4,11,14 60:5	5:11 168:10	165:8
89:19 90:1,14 91:9	California (10)	60:23 94:1,18	certify (2)	clicked (1)
92:15 94:11 103:23	1:15 2:8 4:1,17 6:1	100:15 108:16	167:4 168:4	149:23
104:2 105:9 107:16	8:12 15:23 74:19	110:10 128:3 146:5	cetera (5)	clicks (10)
108:7,15 109:10,23	167:15 168:11	146:5 149:20,22	47:4 120:9 143:8	149:17 151:6,12,18
110:23 111:6,8,23	call (4)	152:7,8 158:17	157:22 159:6	152:10,10,12 153:4
112:25 113:7,19	30:25 103:12 105:10	categories (1)	chance (1)	157:16 162:6
114:15,17 115:14	105:13	36:16	162:18	click-through (22)
117:9,15 118:10	called (29)	categorization (3)	change (5)	150:24 151:2,3,8,11
121:10 123:13,23	40:12,23,25 41:1	36:10 37:13 40:9	20:9 32:15 138:15	151:17,23 152:4
124:18,20 125:16	56:17 64:20 69:1	categorize (2)	141:3 170:9	153:10 154:3,8,18
126:8,18 127:24	82:15 87:13 102:6,7	35:19,23	changed (4)	155:2,14,22 157:13
128:6,21 129:4,8,18	106:5,5 118:11	categorized (2)	46:3 121:15 138:2	157:19 160:18
129:24 131:1,7,15	122:23 124:24	34:20 40:13	154:14	162:7 165:3,7,11
131:24 134:12	129:2 132:10	categorizing (2)	changes (27)	click-throughs (3)
136:4,7 137:21	141:14 142:5,12	36:5,6	29:25 88:12,14,15,19	152:19 160:15,16
138:7,10 148:9,11	144:14 145:21	category (3)	89:1,5,9,12,17,20	client (2)
149:24 153:17	149:13 150:14,17	34:5 36:2 37:13	90:4,8,16,19 91:4	8:11 169:13
155:15 156:7 162:9	150:18,24 159:17	cause (1)	91:11,15,17 92:4	close (1)
163:11,13 165:14	-	168:7		131:12
165:25 166:5,13,19	calls (2)		93:6,9 108:9 119:14	
169:7	53:14,19	CCRR (3)	119:17 154:13	closer (1)
	campus (2)	1:22 2:10 168:23	170:3	70:21
bug (17)	12:24 42:24	center (1)	changing (1)	CLR (3)
23:17 24:1,3,21,24	capacity (1)	121:22	24:8	1:22 2:10 168:23
25:14 26:1,7,10,17	136:9	centers (1)	characterized (1)	club (1)
26:22 27:5 28:1	capital (7)	47:4	28:2	74:12
30:15,22 31:9,19	76:1,3,6 77:6,9,13,14	CEO (10)	charge (9)	CNET (2)
bugs (5)	cards (1)	44:17,21 60:13,20	99:1,2 139:17 140:1,3	39:19 169:11
23:12 29:25 30:5,21	74:25	82:17,25 83:2,4,9	144:3 156:25 157:4	code (47)
31:8	career (3)	83:11	157:7	23:12 24:7,13,15,18
building (2)	44:12 45:15 75:22	Cern (9)	charged (2)	24:18 25:2 26:25
46:12 87:10	Carol (1)	24:10,11,13,14,19	99:4 139:18	27:19,20,21 28:2
built (1)	82:20	27:15,21 29:10,12	check (1)	29:6,17 30:1 32:16
16:22	cars (3)	certain (12)	155:24	53:23,24 54:2,18,19
Burgess (1)	73:23,25 74:2	27:1 31:3 45:12 46:2	chief (10)	54:23 55:1 56:12,13
5:2	case (79)	71:15 89:21 90:10	44:15,25 45:3,6,8,10	56:21,24 64:16 65:7
business (21)	4:13 7:3,7,9,18 8:12	102:12 123:25	59:22 60:9 63:3	107:7 108:2,3,12,24
75:21,25 76:4,7,12,16	14:7 17:11 39:3	130:22 137:18	65:14	115:21 116:4
77:1,5 97:22 115:19	48:17,23,23,24 49:1	150:7	choices (2)	129:22 134:15,17
116:10 117:1,4,7,13	49:4,7,10,13,23	certainly (32)	143:7,7	134:17,23,24 136:8
117:22 125:13,23	50:2,14,21 51:12,14	38:8 46:3 62:20 68:15	chronology (1)	136:14,15 137:12
128:18,23 129:11	52:1,5,13,14,17,18	72:13 78:2 79:20	42:18	137:19
buy (3)	52:21 53:15 54:7	80:23 81:1 87:3	Civil (1)	coding (6)
133:5 164:10,10	55:9,9,13 56:8	96:17,20 97:9	1:7	91:17 135:10,13,13
	57:20 58:2 59:6,12	123:11 126:6,17	claim (2)	135:18,23
C	59:24,25 60:6,19,24	129:19,21 133:25	65:2,9	cofounder (1)
C (1)	61:9,11,18,19,21,23	134:9 135:20,21	Clause (1)	45:10
3:1	62:3,11,17,25 63:4	137:17 147:10,15	80:14	collect (2)
	, , ,	- 7 -		. /

	1		1	
14:5 146:24	32:15	contained (1)	4:23 8:3 9:16,17,18	D
collected (2)	complete (1)	167:10	10:8,9,12,18,18	
13:23 14:9	130:24	contains (1)	11:2,2 12:9,15	D (1)
collecting (1)	completed (1)	69:5	28:17 39:3 53:18	169:1
33:20	42:15	content (4)	54:1 55:16 64:8,8	data (2)
collection (5)	completely (1)	121:22,24 158:1,14	64:13,13 65:7 66:11	47:3 129:25
13:10,19 14:2,12	29:11	contents (2)	76:25 82:15,16 83:5	database (6)
28:18	complex (3)	130:3,10	83:6 92:9,11,14	129:25 130:1,4,11,24
collectively (1)	107:23 108:13 114:21	context (2)	couple (5)	131:8
141:25	component (1)	32:1,19	27:16 38:25 119:13	databases (1)
colors (1)	99:16	continue (1)	119:15 120:14	131:6
143:8		129:23	course (4)	date (7)
	components (2)		44:12 45:15 71:25	4:18 66:6 68:7 92:18
come (13)	26:23 46:16	continued (1)		119:3,5 170:7
25:11 30:12 44:7,7	computer (6)	43:3	116:25	dated (5)
83:10 112:13 120:3	38:24 41:16 42:21	contribute (3)	coursework (2)	68:23 83:19 84:24
143:25 160:1,20,20	130:4,11 136:24	105:22 112:22 115:20	42:11 43:3	86:2 167:14
160:21 161:14	computers (2)	contributed (11)	court (11)	dates (5)
comes (4)	135:1 140:19	106:9,10,11,12 107:3	1:1 4:12,21 5:6 6:7	10:2,4,7,16 18:9
99:13 149:8 163:15	concerned (1)	111:11 112:23	7:14,21 48:4,11,14	daughter (1)
163:19	90:7	115:21 116:4,13,14	48:23	51:5
coming (4)	conclude (1)	contributes (5)	Court's (1)	David (12)
35:14 89:1 143:9	166:21	105:20 111:8 112:14	65:9	1:14 2:6 4:9 5:9,19
163:25	conditions (1)	112:18 115:16	covers (1)	67:17 131:22 167:4
commercial (1)	6:19	conversation (2)	47:22	167:20 169:3 170:3
169:17	confident (1)	30:8 61:11	Craig (1)	170:8
committee (1)	166:16	conversations (3)	84:12	Davis's (1)
82:22	Confidential (2)	56:10 95:24 133:25	create (3)	65:2
communicate (1)	1:13 166:16	copies (3)	76:9 96:18 159:6	day (9)
146:2	confirm (1)	39:9 79:9 148:17	created (5)	26:21 29:7 41:2 44:3
communications (1)	25:23	copy (4)	33:25 34:2 37:22	155:12,13,16
9:16	Congress (3)	65:1 76:25 84:23 86:1	76:12,16	167:14 168:20
companies (10)	95:13,20,24	copyrights (2)	creates (1)	days (3)
8:13 73:10 79:23	connect (1)	94:7,21	161:20	46:20 54:17 71:20
80:19 97:1 132:25	130:2	corporate (2)	creating (4)	day-to-day (1)
133:4 146:10,11,25	connected (3)	96:1,13	34:19 90:13 98:13	117:22
company (16)	37:5,9,10	correct (33)	160:22	decades (1)
7:7 18:7 40:25 41:1	connecting (2)	18:24 25:9 28:15,20	creators (1)	37:5
43:21 46:21 47:5,10	37:2 46:14	30:16 35:24 37:1	64:9	December (6)
48:19 80:8 82:22	consider (1)	41:21 42:16 44:4,23	creep (1)	49:4,10,23 50:14
83:3,10 97:20	47:19	45:1 48:25 53:8	27:22	61:18 168:20
124:24 132:10	consistent (2)	58:23 61:22 67:3,7	CSR (4)	decide (1)
company's (1)	44:14,25	67:20,25 68:23 69:6	1:22,23 2:10 168:23	. ,
97:10	constantly (1)	69:11,22 78:25	current (12)	75:9 desided (4)
compare (1)	119:14	82:18 97:11 98:7	5:25 59:10 60:20	decided (4)
141:19	constitutes (1)	109:13 111:13	70:22 72:22 96:5	35:25 42:14 43:13
compensation (1)	168:13	117:19 167:11	107:18 123:16	128:4
50:8	construction (2)	168:13	129:20 133:20	decision (2)
competed (1)	65:2,9	corrected (1)	137:20 146:10	144:22 145:7
146:13	consumer (1)	167:10	currently (3)	decisions (3)
competitors (4)	158:9	corrections (1)	5:22 93:15 135:11	72:13,19 143:17
146:7,8,17,21	consumers (2)	167:8	customer (2)	deemed (1)
compile (1)	117:8 159:3	correctly (2)	46:19 163:25	141:3
32:17	contacted (2)	29:14 32:17	40.19 105.25 cut (1)	deeper (1)
compilers (1)	131:25 132:3	counsel (33)	124:19	119:16
computers (1)	131.23 132.3	counser (<i>33)</i>	127.17	DEFENDANT (1)
	I	I	I	I

				_
3:11	derive (1)	129:17 143:4	27:7 28:4,25 31:24	78:5,9
defendants (3)	125:8	146:15 150:15	34:7 35:6 36:3,25	dollars (6)
1:9 12:18 64:9	derives (1)	151:21 152:14,14	39:11 40:18 43:15	77:11 78:16,20,22
define (6)	124:25	152:16,24 156:8	48:5,12 49:2 50:9	79:3,5
64:2 103:9,11 136:5	describe (5)	dinner (2)	51:20 52:19 53:2,16	download (3)
148:5 151:15	24:1 27:12 96:1,3,12	122:24,25	54:15 55:11,18,20	130:3,10,23
defined (2)	described (4)	direct (1)	56:3 57:4,15,18	downside (1)
128:12 129:15	31:8,19,20 96:9	102:6	59:2 60:1 62:5,18	127:22
definite (1)		direction (1)	63:14 65:17,20	drew (1)
162:14	design (5) 142:18,22,24,25	47:9	66:14,20 67:10	39:20
definitely (2)	142.18,22,24,25	directory (4)	72:10,24 73:3 77:3	driver (2)
37:16 166:15	designate (1)	33:18,21 34:4,14	78:18 79:14 80:17	119:19,21
definition (4)	166:15	dis (1)	81:7,18,25 82:8	drives (1)
37:22 105:15 112:6	detail (5)	93:6	84:14 88:20 89:14	131:4
129:16	13:15 15:9 60:21	Disc (6)	90:6 91:7 92:12	drop (1)
	65:23 147:22		94:9 103:20 105:3	112:12
definitively (4) 19:10 20:2 103:2		4:8 67:12,17 131:18 131:22 166:21	107:13 108:5 109:8	
19:10 20:2 103:2	details (7) 14:22 15:6 64:3 71:19	discs (1)	107:13 108:3 109:8	drugs (1) 6:13
			111:4,18 112:20	
degree (13)	95:18,25 132:16	166:22 discuss (2)	113:3,12 114:11	duly (1) 168:6
41:7,11,13 42:7,19,25 43:2 112:24 118:18	determine (7) 92:23 93:8 141:2	13:4,5	115:7 117:6,11	100:0
43:2 112:24 118:18 121:9 133:19 134:8		discussed (5)	118:7 121:4 123:8	E
121:9 155:19 154:8	149:3 156:8,13 157:6	13:9 27:5 29:21 30:1	123:20 124:18	E (7)
demonstrations (1)	detrimental (1)	60:18	125:14 126:5,13	E (7) 3:1,1 169:1,9 170:1,1
16:1	125:13	discussion (11)	127:19 128:2,19,24	170:1
denominator (1)	detriments (1)	14:1,20 15:6,20 23:8	129:12 130:19	earlier (12)
151:5	128:1	23:11,17,21 28:1,21	131:2,14 134:5	8:17,18 52:11 68:12
department (2)	develop (4)	139:24	135:24 137:15	68:13,17 69:3 117:3
94:25 95:4	35:18 105:9,12 139:6	discussions (6)	138:4 148:3 149:21	119:10 127:20
departments (1)	developed (4)	13:13 14:11,13 56:7	153:12 156:1	139:23 161:25
12:19	24:18 137:25 138:10	88:5,9	161:22 163:4	earliest (1)
depending (6)	142:4	disinterested (1)	164:21 165:19	69:7
32:22 64:2 111:20	developing (8)	168:16	166:3,15	early (10)
159:4 161:24	35:22 133:10,13,16	display (2)	doctorate (4)	9:3 20:18 42:2 46:20
162:13	134:3 137:22 139:3	153:20 155:1	42:12,15 43:4,13	47:1 68:19,20 77:1
depends (12)	139:18	displayed (2)	document (29)	89:11 133:19
31:12,25 32:1,2 103:9	development (3)	89:22 90:21	13:10,19 14:2,12	earn (4)
130:13 134:8	138:17,21 139:13	disposing (1)	28:18 39:14,15,18	98:9 101:24 102:3
151:15,25 153:13	devel-dhtml@yaho	71:10	39:20 68:13,13,18	165:17
157:12 164:22	87:13	dispute (8)	69:3 76:24 83:15,18	earns (2)
deployment (1)	devel-frontend@ya	84:6,11,15 85:8,12,15	83:21 84:7,11,18,20	100:1 153:3
134:13	93:13	85:17 86:14	84:23 85:1,12,17,22	easily (1)
deposed (2)	devices (1)	distribution (2)	85:24 86:2,14	23:25
10:25 52:11	131:6	86:19,23	documentation (3)	Eastern (2)
deposition (24)	Dick (1)	District (4)	64:19 76:8,11	1:2 4:13
1:14 2:6 4:9,15 6:10	11:15	1:1,2 4:12,13	documents (14)	easy (1)
6:22 9:18 10:4 50:2	difference (1)	divest (1)	13:21,22 14:6 16:1,6	34:15
53:10 67:17 83:25	159:24	71:23	19:6,10,21 20:2	Edmunds (1)
85:4 86:8 131:22	different (24)	Division (2)	68:9 77:24 78:2	146:9
166:16,21,24 167:6	26:18 31:5 34:2 37:18	1:3 4:13	79:9 83:12	effect (5)
168:5,9,14 169:3	37:21,23 44:11	Doan (115)	doing (6)	6:6 125:13 128:10,17
170:4	45:14,23 47:23	3:12,13 5:3,3,3 9:17	29:10 42:11 47:20	128:23
depositions (4)	105:18 111:22	12:2,10 19:25 20:24	62:24 65:16 88:22	effort (2)
7:2 10:10 55:17 64:13	112:11 117:14	21:22 22:4,23 26:2	dollar (2)	112:18 142:15
				•

66 4 (1)	1 60 1 4 01
efforts (1)	160:14,21
95:8	engaged (8)
either (8)	92:9 160:2
21:9,13 22:10 44:17	162:1,10
76:12 92:24 145:21	engagemen
158:7	122:7 159:1
Electrical (1)	159:20 16
42:10	160:12,13
elements (1)	161:8,9,1
148:1 alayata (1)	162:1,14, 163:14 16
elevate (1) 121:23	165:14 16
elevated (1) 165:8	engaging (7 120:4,16 12
	120.4,10 12
embedded (6)	
129:6 144:23 145:2,5	engine (1) 34:14
146:21,22	
emerging (1) 35:5	engineering 41:16 42:10
	118:22,24
employed (9) 5:22 50:15 51:23 52:3	enjoyable (
57:8 59:3 62:25	96:19
65:11,12	entire (4)
employee (3)	130:3,10 13
43:20 87:4 97:14	entreprene
	80:4
employees (13) 10:24 11:3,3 43:25	
61:3,7 87:4 95:17	entreprener 79:22
96:19 97:7,8,18	entreprene
115:25	80:10,16
employing (1)	entreprene
122:14	79:16 80:10
employment (12)	Eolas (36)
44:8 48:2,6,10,17	1:5 4:10 5:1
50:4 52:7,12,25	9:4 12:12
57:2,6 59:1	52:17,20,
encoded (1)	55:9 58:2
126:10	60:6,19,2
encompassed (1)	63:20,25
141:23	65:18 67:
encompassing (1)	88:3,6,18
107:23	131:25 16
encourage (1)	169:21 17
80:16	Eolas's (5)
ended (1)	87:18 92:10
166:24	93:10
enforce (1)	Eolas-Micr
94:16	9:3
enforced (2)	equally (1)
94:6,10	6:10
enforcement (1)	Esq (4)
95:5	3:4,5,12,13
engage (6)	essentially
120:5 121:24 159:5	83:9
	1

162:3 estimate (5)) 49:15.20 54:5 70:25 161:20.23 73:2 165:5,21 estimated (2) t (30) 62:15 72:22 12,17,17 et (9) 50:1,5,6,10 1:8 4:11 47:4 120:9 3,19 161:3 143:8 157:22 159:6 1,13,17,24 170:4,5 15,17,22 events (1) 54:14 6:20 56:2,9,12 eventually (2) 7) 22:11 40:14 21:1,12 evolved (1) 22 119:12 exact (2) 119:3,5 g (5) exactly (13) 0.21 14:23 20:19 21:7.16 63:6 65:22 68:1 1 1) 69:16 88:1,22 89:8 96:7 134:21 **EXAMINATION (2)** 31:5 165:1 5:15 169:5 ur (1) example (14) 32:13,18 122:18 urial (1) 124:6 134:10 136:23 149:24 urs (2) 150:5,16 152:8,17 153:8,18 165:12 urship (3) examples (8) 0,16 98:15 100:24 102:1 106:13 112:17 1 8:11.25 116:16 128:15 .13 52:13 144:25 25 54:7 exchange (5) 0 59:25 50:7,11 78:5,21 79:11 3 61:8 excluding (1) 64:11 65:6 154:7 2 87:23 Exhibit (26) 92:18 39:14,15,18 40:15 59:13,17 83:15,18,22 84:7,12 70:4 84:19,20,23 85:2,7 85:13,18,23,24 86:1 0,17,23 86:4,15 169:10,11 169:12,16,20 osoft (1) exhibits (1) 23:13 exist (6) 76:22 78:3 80:12,14 129:21 148:20 existed (5) (1) 29:6,16 35:23 37:19 37:25

existing (1) 120:5 exists (1) 79:20 expect (2) 27:17 32:24 expected (1) 58:20 expects (1) 31:4 experimented (2) 18:18 20:12 experimenting (1) 18:14 expert (1) 11:12 experts (5) 11:14,14 53:14 54:1 56:8 explain (2) 25:3 152:4 explained (1) 24:23 explore (1) 35:4 explorer (8) 88:19 89:6.13.22 90:16,20 91:12 92:5 exploring (1) 33:25 exposure (1) 121:23 express (2) 67:1,5 expressed (3) 61:1 63:8 65:25 extent (1) 76:24 eye (1) 75:20 Eyes (2) 1:13 166:17 e-mail (23) 83:19 84:2,12,13,24 85:9.18 86:2.11.15 86:20.23 87:12 93:13,18 98:19 99:7 100:6,25 101:2 169:12,16,20 e-mails (4) 46:19 87:22 98:20,21 F fact (9)

50:15 51:14 84:2.6 85:8,13 86:10 97:8 136:1 factor (1) 164:13 factors (19) 120:24,25 121:2 149:2 152:3 153:15 156:8,13,20 157:1,6 157:10,14,17,23 161:18 164:9.11 166:10 fair (9) 55:17 64:14 75:5 79:1 99:21 110:5,6 121:7 153:6 fairly (1) 133:12 fairness (1) 111:2 fall (2) 41:23 75:18 familiar (6) 118:11 137:4 142:11 144:13.23 148:11 Fantasy (2) 99:9 100:7 far(2)69:9 97:4 fashion (1) 34:20 faster (1) 124:9 feasible (1) 131:5 feature (4) 122:18 148:7,8,12 features (2) 37:24 120:8 February (10) 57:21,23 58:2,4,14,19 58:25 66:4,6,23 fee (1) 155:15 feel (3) 80:20 119:6 157:21 feels (1) 127:24 fees (1) 100:14 felt (1) 50:11 fetch (1) 108:25

figure (2)	125:18,20,21 126:3	109:8,21 110:19	front (33)	145:23 151:3,3,22
90:3 143:1	126:10,11,11,15,15	111:4,18 112:20	103:12,15 118:16,20	143:23 151:3,3,22
		113:3,12 114:11		
filed (8)	127:1,3,12,18,21,25		118:22,24 119:7,17	generate (3)
8:12 9:4 92:18 93:21	128:4 149:25 150:3	115:7 117:6,11	119:20 120:12,19	111:16 117:14 165:23
93:24,25 94:11,16	150:7	118:7 121:4 123:8	121:22 122:1	generated (1)
files (4)	Flash-based (4)	123:20 126:5,13	139:23 140:2,10	100:13
31:2,3,10,21	125:25 126:2,4 127:9	127:19 128:2,19	142:12,19 143:20	generates (3)
filing (1)	flat (1)	129:12 130:19	145:25 147:6,18,25	117:16,17 164:5
71:20	155:15	134:5 135:24 138:4	148:1,12,15 149:9	generating (2)
Filo (26)	Flickr (2)	148:3 149:21	150:6 154:22 155:1	113:9 117:4
1:14 4:9 5:9,16,19,23	99:6 100:6	153:12 161:22	155:5,19 163:9	generic (1)
6:2,22 33:2 39:17	focus (7)	163:4 164:21	FTP (1)	120:1
67:17,19 69:12	46:3,8,22 47:1,2,6,17	165:19 166:3	35:12	getting (9)
79:15 83:21 85:1	focused (4)	formed (2)	full (3)	30:24 98:24 131:11
86:4 131:22,24	45:15 46:2,4,24	8:3 82:14	5:18 44:5 168:13	145:24 152:18
133:9 156:7 167:4	focusing (1)	forms (2)	full-time (2)	162:6 163:9 164:7
167:20 169:3 170:3	47:25	152:24 165:23	43:19,24	165:10
170:8	focussed (1)	forward (1)	fun (1)	Gigabytes (1)
FILOK (1)	45:18	90:25	96:19	131:9
2:6	folks (1)	found (3)	function (3)	give (16)
finally (1)	56:11	34:15 75:9 79:23	135:2 137:13 151:12	6:5 32:13 49:15 70:25
43:24	follow (6)	founded (4)	funding (9)	70:25 73:1,1 98:15
finance (1)	91:1,1,20,22,23 92:1	18:7 40:4,6 70:4	76:1,3,6,14 77:6,8,15	100:16 111:2
98:23	following (2)	founding (2)	77:17,20	122:17 136:23
find (9)	92:5 170:3	18:3,4	further (2)	150:5,16 153:18
38:5,6 76:23 97:10	follows (2)	four (2)	16:24 46:17	164:25
98:18,19 154:16	5:12 149:18	61:6 74:17	future (2)	given (35)
163:8 164:6	font (2)	frame (31)	34:13 160:5	18:16 19:2 47:24,24
finding (1)	143:7,8	15:1 18:13,22 19:9,19	fuzzy (1)	49:24 71:16 90:12
34:10	forefront (1)	19:24 20:1,10,21	20:16	130:25 131:3
fine (3)	22:22	21:20 22:2 24:6		149:14,16,17 153:5
130:24 139:8 141:8	foregoing (3)	35:5 36:12,21,23	G	153:9,11 156:9,14
finish (3)	167:6 168:5,12	37:19 38:17 40:17	games (2)	157:7,8,11 158:14
43:13 124:19 131:2	forget (1)	40:21 44:22 68:3	99:9 100:7	158:16 159:18,21
first (37)	142:5	87:21,24 88:4,7	gathered (1)	159:22 160:18
8:15 11:16 17:14,18	form (100)	89:4 119:5 138:24	39:2	161:4,15 162:10,10
17:23,25 18:10,25	8:5 12:2,10 19:25	139:2,19	gathering (1)	163:15,19,19
19:4,7,13 24:25	20:24 21:22 22:4,23	framework (1)	135:5	164:15,18
25:1 34:10,20 35:17	26:2 27:7 28:4,25	142:10	general (25)	go (23)
36:4,17 37:12 41:2	31:24 34:7 35:6	free (11)	13:18 38:19,21 65:23	22:13 31:17 35:12
42:23 49:1,3,9	36:3,25 40:18 43:15	99:15,18,22 100:2,17	80:22 81:16,23 82:6	38:5 46:16,17 57:21
52:17 55:8 61:17	48:5,12 49:2 50:9	100:19 101:2,8,18	82:13 91:24 116:20	67:13 75:21 76:1
63:20 64:5 67:22	51:20 52:19 53:2,16	101:23 111:3	117:25 118:1	83:14 108:25 126:7
68:2,5,11 75:17	54:15 55:11,18 56:3	FreeBSD (26)	123:12 133:21	131:19 133:5
87:18 89:8 157:4	57:4,15 59:2 60:1	106:2,15 109:7,12,16	138:23 145:19	136:25 141:6
five (5)	62:5,18 63:14 65:20	109:18 110:5 111:1	151:20 154:20	153:15 156:2
46:24,25 47:1,17	66:14,20 72:10,16	111:9,15,24 112:3	159:1 162:9 164:3	157:23 159:5
135:21	72:24 73:3 78:18	112:19,23,25 113:8	164:23 165:13,15	164:10 166:10
fix (3)	80:17 81:7,18,25	114:3,18,21 115:17	generalizations (1)	goal (1)
24:22 27:23 31:6	82:8,21 84:14 88:20	116:1,5,9,12,13,18	82:4	122:5
fixed (2)	89:14 90:6 91:7	FreeSB (1)	generally (14)	goals (11)
27:16,17	92:12,16 94:9 100:9	110:5	10:21 14:8 45:18	121:6,9,12,19,25
Flash (25)	101:24 103:20	frequented (2)	91:22 96:14 114:1	122:5,11,12,15,19
93:17 125:5,7,9,11,18	105:3 107:13 108:5	103:25,25	122:10 143:5	124:14
	100.0 107.10 100.0	···· ··	1	
1	I	I	I	I

goes (2) 124:4 133:18 going (17)9:14 13:7 14:9 16:23 34:12 38:6 39:8 83:12 85:22 88:13 88:18 90:25 115:10 121:14,18 126:15 136:1 good (10) 4:7 5:16,17 25:20 34:13,14 80:15,19 81:22 134:22 Gopher (1) 20:17 Gotshal (2) 2:7 4:16 governing (1) 83:6 graduating (1) 41:5 grand-unification-t... 84:3 85:9 86:11 graphic (1) 143:7 greater (6) 69:24 161:20 162:11 163:2 165:15,16 group (6) 83:2,8 94:24 95:3 138:13.15 groups (1) 86:20 guess (18) 31:11 41:23 45:8 48:18 54:9 70:20,21 71:25,25 81:12 93:3 95:17,22 112:5 127:16 130:13 137:19 156:23 guessing (1) 119:3 guest (1) 123:2 guidelines (2) 90:11 92:2 Н H(2) 169:9 170:1 habits (1) 21:17half (5) 21:1 147:20,22

154:10.10 Haltom (3) 3:12.13 5:3 hand (3) 83:12 85:22 168:20 handed (2) 39:17 83:17 handing (1) 84:18 handles (1) 95:4 happened (2) 54:2 97:8 happening (1) 34:1 happens (2) 149:7 152:23 happy (4) 77:2 79:12 82:3 150:22 hard (4) 19:4 32:19 87:25 131:3 hardware (1) 46:13 head (2) 7:24 60:17 heard (16) 17:11,14,18 56:20 63:22 64:3,5 67:22 68:2,5,16 80:4 83:5 148:24 149:13 150:23 hearing (1) 8:22 held (3) 4:15 44:21 166:22 help (9) 25:7 35:18.22 111:15 111:16 117:21 123:19 166:1,8 helpful (2) 141:3,4 helping (1) 98:18 hereunto (1) 168:19 hesitating (1) 124:7 highest (1) 72:21 highlighted (2) 39:20,23 HIGHLY (1)

1:13 hire (2) 92:22 93:5 hired (1) 92:15 historical (1) 146:4 hobby/project (1) 75:24 holders (1) 132:4 homepage (1) 120:12 honesty (1) 96:24 hope (1) 121:11 hour (2) 13:2 28:16 hours (10) 13:3 49:16,22,25 53:4 54:5.10.14 62:16.22 houses (6) 74:4,6,8,11,15,18 HOWARD (4) 1:22 2:10 168:4,23 HT (1) 26:8 HTML (2) 136:17 137:6 HTML5-based (1) 126:20 HTPP (1) 29:1 http (19) 23:15,18 24:4,10 26:1 26:8,11,18,24 27:5 28:3,23 29:7,18 30:14,22 31:9,19,19 hyperlink (1) 34:5 Ι idea (5) 33:16,18 40:21 78:3 80:7 ideas (1) 79:24 identification (4) 39:16 83:16 84:21 85:25 Ignacio (5) 1:22 2:9 4:22 168:4 168:23

Image (1) 169:14 images (2) 126:20,20 imagine (1) 119:4 immediately (1) 83:4 impact (11) 6:20 89:20 90:21 91:5 129:10 147:25 148:2 153:10 159:20 160:10 164:2 impacted (2) 89:25 90:4 implement (3) 90:10 144:19 145:5 implemented (3) 24:11 146:16.20 implementing (3) 144:17 145:2 148:1 important (4) 50:12 80:23 81:1 88:24 impressed (1) 23:5 impression (1) 9:10 improve (3) 81:11,12 119:23 improved (1) 82:12 improvement (1) 119:24 include (9) 46:25 61:10 66:10,16 66:17 100:9 109:4 161:3.9 included (5) 12:3 48:3,6,10 53:19 includes (7) 12:9 99:23 101:15 137:6 161:13,17 162:23 including (2) 56:5 120:11 incomparability (1) 26:18 incompatibilities (2) 27:14.22 incompatibility (7) 24:17,23 26:10,25 28:3 29:5,16

incorporated (7) 1:5 4:10.11.21 27:20 43:9.22 incorporation (4) 41:2 43:12 44:16,20 increase (11) 122:6,7,8 144:17 145:2 163:18,20 164:1,18 166:9,11 increases (1) 166:1 index (1) 37:13 individual (3) 120:8 147:7.12 inevitable (1) 72:1 influence (5) 6:13 151:23 158:2.15 160:6 information (7) 14:16 19:6,22 98:24 120:21 135:4 158:22 infrastructure (1) 45:19 infringe (1) 93:10 infringed (1) 92:25 infringement (4) 8:12 93:21,23,25 infringers (1) 94:12 inherent (1) 45:11 initial (2) 5:20 77:9 initialed (1) 167:9 initiated (3) 94:2,17,18 ink (1) 167:8 inline (4) 144:23 145:5 146:21 146:22 innovation (2) 122:24,25 inquire (1) 132:4 insert (1) 129:3

inside (4)

9:17 11:2 12:9 141:15 instance (7) 102:12,19,25 123:11 124:10 150:20 164:25 instances (5) 18:12 97:6,14,16 132:6 instructed (1) 8:6 insurance (7) 144:14,17,19 146:17 146:18,25 147:1 integrate (1) 27:21 integrated (3) 24:19 27:15 29:9 integrity (2) 96:18,24 intellectual (16) 94:6,16,20,24 95:3,5 95:20 96:20 97:13 132:10,13,17,19,22 133:1.7 intent (2) 91:20 97:10 intention (1) 124:13 inter (1) 147:14 interact (3) 72:11 130:6 136:12 interacted (4) 17:6.9 140:19 150:9 interaction (3) 149:3,14,16 interactions (1) 150:4 interactive (1) 145:3 interacts (1) 150:1 interest (7) 15:11,16 69:12,15 78:4,24 79:2 interested (14) 34:11.12 36:1.5.7.8 145:22,22,24 150:21 158:19,23 163:9 164:7 interesting (1) 15:17 interface (2)

24:16 83:10 interfaces (1) 27:1 interfacing (1) 24:14 interim (5) 82:15,16 83:1,2,5 internal (3) 86:19,22 88:5 internally (1) 103:12 Internet (13) 35:9 37:3,5,10 88:19 89:6,13,22 90:16,20 91:11 92:4 169:22 interpret (1) 139:7 interrupt (1) 26:14 interval (1) 71:16 intervals (1) 166:8 introduce (2) 4:23 122:1 introducing (1) 122:4 invalid (1) 92:24 invalidity (1) 50:23 invest (1) 133:4 invested (6) 77:22 78:16,19,22 80:25 133:6 investment (6) 73:21 77:10 78:6,10 132:13.17 investments (4) 73:9 78:1 79:10,12 investor (1) 132:10 involve (1) 105:16 involved (32) 52:6,13 61:7,17 63:9 72:19 77:19 95:7 106:14 109:4,11,12 110:25 113:9 115:25 116:19.21 118:17,23 133:19 135:12,18,22,25 136:2,3,6 139:3,13

144:5.21 145:7 involvement (12) 17:10 60:18 63:4 65:24 75:5 118:19 132:12 133:23,24 134:3,8 135:9 involving (1) 7:7 **IP** (4) 80:23,24,25 95:24 **IPO** (2) 70:7,19 Irving (6) 59:20,23 60:9,12 63:3 65:14 issue (16) 9:3,9,11 23:24 24:22 25:21 27:13,15,24 29:20 30:17 31:2,5 31:10 80:23 88:24 issues (22) 13:7,8 15:10 29:20 30:6,9,21,24 31:1,8 31:12,22 45:22 54:7 55:24 56:2,4 59:12 72:12 88:3 95:18,24 is/was (1) 83:8 I.e (1) 152:10 J **J**(1) 167:1 JavaScript (1) 137:9 Jennifer (2) 3:13 5:3 Jerry (3) 33:10 34:23 45:10 job (4) 1:24 45:6 81:22,22 Josh (3) 3:5 4:25 57:16 Judge (1) 65:2 July (10) 15:1,3 22:14 23:16 27:3,25 28:14 29:15 30:2 64:7 K keep (5) 34:1,18 35:18,25 93:3

keeping (2) 34:3 98:20 Kerhoulas (2) 3:18 4:20 Kevin (2) 5:2,5 kind (36) 13:7 14:15 16:23 21:4 21:15 22:6 32:4 33:19 34:1,10,14,20 35:18 37:7.22 38:9 38:10 43:24 45:4 47:10,22 69:16 72:1 74:2 96:9 99:14 116:16 118:22 119:4 121:22,22 124:7 144:3 148:6 157:1 160:4 kinds (2) 14:10 46:19 knew (4) 9:8 23:2 68:15 89:4 know (131) 9:10 10:3,4,6,7,16 11:16 13:21 14:23 15:21 17:24 21:1,5 21:8 23:22 31:1 32:4 35:7,8,11 39:5 39:7 40:19 45:8,9 46:1,11,12 53:3,5 54:11,13,16 58:16 59:23 60:22 62:19 63:23 64:3 65:23 66:15 68:14 69:16 70:24 71:1,19 72:8 72:25 73:16,17,19 73:20 76:10,19,21 77:7,21,22,23 78:4 78:12,14 82:1 88:22 89:11 93:14,16 94:1 94:23 95:12,15,18 95:19,22,25 96:4,5 96:8,14,17,17 97:4 97:8 102:14,18 104:20,23 106:9 111:1 112:7 113:21 113:25 114:1 115:20 119:5,8 120:3 121:16 122:3 123:5,21 131:1,7,10 132:19 133:6,8 134:18 137:25 138:10 141:19

144:9,18,21 145:4

146:19.23 147:2.14 149:12 150:6 154:11,12,15,20,24 157:13 158:4 160:21 162:15 165:3 knowing (3) 32:19 88:2,25 knowledge (4) 92:8 97:2 108:11 131:24 known (1) 23:1 Kramer (2) 5:5,5 L languages (1) 107:5 large (5) 103:5 107:22 108:13 131:7 140:14 largest (5) 70:1,3 73:12,17,20 late (3) 21:8,9 68:3 launch (1) 122:20 launched (3) 124:10 140:13.22 law (2) 6:7 7:14 lawsuits (4) 93:21,25 94:11,15 lawyers (6) 8:21 11:4 12:3,3 13:6 15:9 lead (4) 137:21 142:14 157:18 163:2 leader (1) 138:5 leadership (1) 82:22 leading (1) 139:13 learn (3) 30:20 49:1 52:17 learned (8) 25:1 49:3,9 52:20 55:9.12 64:4.11 leave (7) 42:14 43:13 50:18 51:1,2,8 82:17

				2
165:2	35:25 36:10,16 37:15	90:11 92:1 98:17	138:1,5	84:19,20 85:23,24
led (4)	37:18 38:2 40:13	90:11 92:1 98:17 119:16 122:17		
			majority (3)	marks (6)
83:11 137:25 138:16	46:11 85:9 86:11	129:5 151:6 157:21	75:6 155:11,19	4:8 67:11,16 131:17
138:20	87:1,13,16 99:5	looked (21)	making (18)	131:21 166:20
left (6)	105:7 107:15	13:23 25:2 26:22	13:20 72:19 88:12,15	Martin (1)
42:2 43:24 44:7,7	listed (2)	38:12,16 39:1,25	91:5,16 92:4,6	5:2
82:21 83:1	66:18 84:3	40:17 53:24 55:3,6	97:22 117:4,9,25	master's (5)
legal (7)	listing (5)	56:12,13,14,17,19	119:14 121:12,21	41:7,20 42:7,24 43:2
6:6 13:7,8 88:23 92:9	33:19,21 34:4 37:25	64:19 65:3 119:11	143:7,15,16	materials (3)
94:25 95:4	40:9	147:21,22	manage (3)	56:25 58:9 65:8
legal-type (1)	listings (3)	looking (19)	72:2,4,13	matter (3)
15:10	37:25 38:2,4	24:6 31:1 47:8,12	managed (1)	4:9 57:14 170:4
letter (5)	lists (5)	54:13 56:24,25	72:16	matters (4)
39:12 77:2 79:13	37:16,19 38:8 86:20	64:23 65:7,8,9 88:1	management (1)	49:19 52:24 54:7
148:23 150:22	86:23	90:25 98:19 105:7	72:20	58:19
letters (3)	litigation (14)	137:18 143:23	manager (4)	McKool (2)
77:25 94:12,14	8:16,23 14:18,21,23	157:2 160:22	105:2 140:4,6,9	3:4 4:25
let's (16)	52:6,9 87:19,23	looks (3)	Manges (2)	mean (88)
31:14 46:22 74:19	88:18 92:11,14 94:2	40:1,20 149:19	2:7 4:16	15:9 24:20 25:15 26:9
100:24 106:13	94:18	loose (2)	manpower (1)	26:14 29:22,23
112:12,12 113:19	litigations (1)	148:6 161:8	111:9	30:12,16,25 31:1,12
124:17,20,20	59:24	loosely (2)	manufacturer (1)	32:6,13 33:22 37:15
128:14 143:4 157:3	little (13)	128:12 129:15	91:25	38:3,14,18,19 40:20
157:3 158:12	9:10 14:17 20:16	lot (19)	map (6)	43:21 45:4 46:17
level (1)	31:11 46:22 51:6	13:5,6 15:9 47:2,23	129:9 130:13,22,24	56:19 63:22 79:19
72:21	54:25 87:25 110:21	79:2 80:25 96:22,25	152:8 169:14	79:20 80:6,11,18
libraries (4)	124:7 139:22	96:25 99:12 108:9	maps (37)	81:19 82:1,24 84:10
24:10,11,12,15	157:12 158:4	116:13 121:5,6,16	98:22 99:24 128:17	89:23 92:13 93:14
library (4)	live (3)	121:17 150:15	128:20,22,25 129:5	94:10 95:15,22
27:2,14 29:10,12	6:7,11 7:13	153:15	129:21,23,24,25	96:14 97:4,16
license (4)	lived (1)	lots (2)	130:1,3,11,12,14	100:20 102:24
1:23 2:10 131:25	97:9	18:14 126:23	131:8 133:17,18,20	103:12,22 105:14
133:1	LLP (1)	Louisiana (1)	133:21,23 134:4,9	106:17,17 107:10
licenses (1)	2:7	74:19	134:15,19 135:10	108:8,22 109:9
132:4	load (2)	low-level (1)	135:11,14 137:23	110:20 111:20
likelihood (3)	157:24,25	47:4	138:21,22 139:3,6	114:14 116:11
134:23 161:14 165:8	loads (1)	lunchtime (1)	139:14,18,20	119:21 122:3 124:6
Lindsay (1)	157:25	131:12	Maps.yahoo.com (1)	129:16 133:25
5:2	lobbied (1)		130:15	136:10 138:23
line (2)	95:19	M	maps@yahoo.com (139:11 140:17
112:12 170:9	lobbying (3)	M (4)	129:2	141:11 142:24
link (5)	95:8,13,17	1:22 2:9 168:4,23	March (25)	145:18 150:6
38:10 150:18 151:6,7	located (2)	Maddox (2)	33:4,9,17 34:23 35:16	151:21,22 152:17
153:5	74:18 135:1	11:15,24	35:21 36:11,19,20	152:22 158:17
links (4)	location (2)	Maggs (3)	36:23 37:20 38:1,12	159:13,17,19
38:6 149:11,18,23	31:3,5	12:7 53:15 56:8	38:17 40:5,8 41:4	160:14,15,17,25
Linux (25)	locations (1)	mail (27)	43:6,10,20 44:20	161:8,9 162:13
106:11,15 109:5,19	87:6	99:24 104:1,3 108:15	68:21 75:10,11 77:1	164:23
109:24 110:2,3	long (3)	108:16,17,19	March/April (2)	meaning (2)
111:1,10,15 112:19	13:1 14:25 42:1	113:17,22 114:3,4,5	35:17 40:6	21:1 160:19
112:23 113:1,6,8,15	longer (1)	114:7,10 123:14,17	mark (2)	means (6)
114:5,24 115:1,17	129:21	123:19,19,24 124:2	39:13 83:14	95:16 129:1 136:6
116:2,5,9,12,13	look (12)	124:4,9 133:10	marked (8)	148:5 160:12,13
list (16)	55:4 68:10 82:10,11	135:19 137:22	39:15,18 83:15,18	measure (1)
			,,	· · /
	1	1	I	1

				5
152:14	Microsoft-type (1)	146:12,13	newest (1)	8:5 12:2,10 19:25
media (10)	9:12	multiple (2)	29:9	20:24 21:22 22:4,23
56:17,25 58:10 64:20	mid (4)	9:22 97:25	news (4)	26:2 27:7 28:4,25
65:8 66:12 98:23	21:4,15 22:5 89:12	9.22 91.23	121:21,23,24 165:1	31:24 34:7 35:6
99:23 101:13 122:9	middle (2)	N	nine (2)	36:3,25 40:18 43:15
medical (1)	5:20,20	$\overline{\mathbf{N}(2)}$	104:25 105:4	48:5,12 49:2 50:9
6:19	migrating (1)	3:1 169:1	nods (2)	51:20 52:19 53:2,16
meet (3)	47:11	name (18)	7:24 60:17	54:15 55:11,18 56:3
9:18,21 12:20	47.11 million (10)	4:20 5:18,20 8:25	noninfringement (8)	57:4,15 59:2 60:1,4
meeting (30)	71:2,4 77:11,15 78:5	4.20 3.18,20 8.23	50:22 51:15 52:2	60:8 61:1 62:5,18
12:6 13:1,9,13,17	78:9,16,20,22 79:3	105:25 118:15	59:13 61:25 62:11	63:8,14 65:17,20
14:25 15:2,15 16:2		105:25 118:15 141:9,10,10,15,22		66:1,14,20 72:10,24
	mind (4)		92:10,17	73:3 78:18 80:17
22:14,17,18,22 23:9	22:16,22 23:23,24	142:9 150:10,13,14	non-AJAX (1)	
23:16 25:11,20 27:3	minor (7)	named (2)	124:11	81:7,18,25 82:8
27:25 28:13 29:15	23:24,24 27:13,15	83:4,9	non-Yahoo (2)	84:14 88:20 89:14
30:2,3,7,10 53:12	31:5 32:15 133:12	names (1)	11:3,4	90:6 91:7 92:12
55:23 56:1 58:17	minutes (2)	141:14	normal (3)	94:9 103:20 105:3
64:8	27:16 131:13	nature (16)	116:10,25 117:22	107:13 108:5 109:8
meetings (23)	mix (3)	7:25 28:19 38:9 45:25	Nos (3)	109:21 110:19
10:1,2,6,12,13,17	114:22 146:6 155:20	50:19 51:3,9 58:10	169:15,18,22	111:4,18 112:20
11:1,25 12:8,11,15	mobile (6)	58:11 64:14 76:2	noted (1)	113:3,12 114:11
30:4 53:6,9,17,18	102:12,13,17,18,22	94:8,13,22 101:16	167:8	115:7 117:6,11
53:19,25 55:16	130:23	137:10	notes (1)	118:7 121:4 123:8
56:24 64:12 65:6	models (1)	necessarily (7)	155:24	123:20 125:14
66:11	152:17	34:15 55:1 88:21	notice (3)	126:5,13 127:19
Megabytes (1)	modern (1)	102:24 116:15	2:9 94:11,14	128:2,19,24 129:12
131:9	32:14	122:5 124:15	November (6)	130:19 134:5
mention (1)	modifications (2)	need (10)	1:16 2:1 4:2,18 167:7	135:24 137:15
68:22	29:13 32:16	7:23 30:1 32:7,7,12	170:5	138:4 148:3 149:21
mentioned (11)	Monday (1)	32:16 91:1 119:6	number (43)	153:12 161:22
20:11 52:11 53:18,20	84:24	121:2 148:5	7:6 10:4,5 62:19	163:4 164:21
100:6 102:21 107:2	money (20)	needed (2)	71:16 102:23 103:6	165:19 166:3
120:14 121:8	72:2,5,16,20 75:15	29:13 91:1	103:8,9,13,18,21	objects (2)
124:22 161:25	77:18 80:25 95:12	needs (1)	104:5,6,12 105:5	150:3,7
message (6)	97:22,24,25 99:17	122:13	121:18 122:7 124:4	obviously (2)
157:22 158:20,21	114:13 117:4,9,12	negative (9)	124:10 126:23	127:17,24
159:2,4 164:7	117:16,17 118:4	81:5,8 125:19 128:5,5	141:18 144:16	October (4)
messenger (6)	133:6	128:9,17,23 129:10	145:1,11,16 146:3	92:18,22 93:2,5
102:13,24,25 104:14	monies (1)	net (4)	146:15 148:4 151:5	Odd (1)
104:19,24	133:4	72:22 73:4,13 75:6	151:12,13,14,16,18	124:24
met (10)	months (1)	Netscape (8)	151:18 154:9,15,17	offer (6)
9:22,24 10:6,8,9 11:7	48:9	20:11,23 21:6,13,21	161:13 163:14,18	54:8 62:2,10 146:18
23:2 97:2,5 122:19	morning (3)	22:3,11,11	163:20	146:22 162:4
Microsoft (29)	4:7 5:16,17	network (6)	numbers (1)	offered (7)
14:23 87:19,23 88:3,6	Morris (4)	37:9 46:14,15 47:3	71:22	50:22 51:15,18,23,23
88:11,14,18,25 89:5	60:15,16,19,22	131:4 146:12	numerator (1)	52:2 61:24
89:12,17,20 90:5,8	Mosaic (10)	never (4)	151:7	office (4)
90:11,15,19,23 91:4	20:9,14,22 21:5,5,13	60:7 63:8 65:25 83:5		4:15 38:23 81:22 82:7
91:10,15,21,25 92:4	21:21 22:3,8,10	new (10)	0	officer (4)
92:6 93:7,8 146:11	motivation (1)	27:14 29:11 30:20	oath (1)	59:22 60:10 63:3
Microsoft's (1)	34:21	79:23,24 82:25 83:2	6:3	65:15
91:2	movies (5)	83:4 89:2 143:23	object (1)	official (1)
Microsoft-Eolas (1)	101:16,19 127:7,9,10	newer (1)	8:4	45:5
9:9	MSN (2)	26:24	objection (104)	officially (1)
			9 ()	

				_
officially (1)	131:14 132:6,21	45:24	145:24 146:3 147:6	163:9 164:4 165:6
40:25	131:14 132:0,21	45.24 options (3)	147:18,25 148:1,12	particularly (1)
40.23 Oh (2)	136:24 138:3,14,24	143:23,24 144:4	148:15 149:9,10,14	45:11
18:11 139:11	139:2,11,16 140:9	order (6)	149:17,23,24 150:6	parties (4)
okay (239)	140:25 141:20	51:9 62:2,10 65:2	151:4,5,16,18 153:9	27:20,22 105:16
6:25 7:13 10:8,15,20	140.23 141.20 142:11,14,17	98:8 130:11	154:22 155:1,5,13	122:20
10:23 11:8,13 12:16	142:11,14,17	organization (3)	154.22 155.1,5,15	
-	147:11,24 148:14	82:10,11 143:15	157:8,11 158:1,14	partners (2) 96:22,23
13:8,24 14:11,19	148:17,22 149:2,12		159:22 160:2,3	
16:4,9 17:10,13,18	148.17,22 149.2,12	original (1) 33:18	161:4,16 162:2,10	partnerships (1) 96:22
18:6,19 20:4,13 21:11,18 22:1,9	150:12,19 151:9,17		163:15,19 164:4,8	
23:20 25:6,25 27:3	152.2,21 153.7 154:25 155:4,17,23	outside (8) 10:18 11:2 12:1,8,9	164:15,18,25 165:1	parts (1) 108:18
27:11 28:21 29:3	154.25 155.4,17,25	73:10 105:16 153:8	165:4 166:8 169:6	part-time (1)
31:14 36:9 39:5,8	159:16,20 160:10	outweigh (1)	169:10 170:9	75:24
39:13,23 40:2 41:3	161:7,11 162:19	127:25	pages (31)	
41:8,11,17 42:6,14	163:1,11,18		38:7 88:13,16 89:21	pass (1) 166:14
42:17,23 43:9,18	older (2)	overall (4)	89:21,23,24,25 90:4	
42:17,25 45:9,18 44:10,19 45:14	24:5,16	47:8,9 121:9 128:4	90:21 91:6 98:6,8	passing (2)
48:23 49:5 50:1	24:5,16 Once (3)	overarching (1)	90:21 91:6 98:6,8 106:20,21,23,25	63:23 64:3
48:25 49:5 50:1 51:14 52:5,9,22	7:17 12:21 27:23	141:22	106:20,21,25,25	patent (13) 7:0 8:12 81:6 0 21
54:5 55:4 56:2,23	ones (7)	oversaw (1)	111:16 117:23	7:9 8:12 81:6,9,21
54:5 55:4 56:2,25	86:25 87:2,3,5 90:12	143:13	120:11 153:24	82:6 93:21,23,25 94:2 95:20 132:4
60:9 62:23 63:11,19	105:24,25	oversee (1)	154:2,7,19 162:12	94:2 95:20 152:4 169:18
66:25 67:8,10 68:4	oOo (2)	143:11	163:3 166:2	
68:20,25 69:3,10,18	3:20 169:25	overseeing (2) 144:3,6	page-view (2)	patents (17)
70:1,13,18,22 71:7	open (22)		153:24 155:5	80:21,22 81:2,14,17 92:11,17,23,24
71:13,18 72:4,7,15	105:10,13,15,16,19	owned (1) 70:2	paid (17)	· · · ·
72:19 73:6,15 74:8	105:21 106:13		48:20 152:18 153:20	93:10 94:7,19 132:1 132:4,24 133:1,5
74:22 75:3,19 76:15	107:3 109:3,10	ownership (16) 69:12,15,24 70:23	153:24 154:2,4,7,18	Patents-in-Suit (2)
77:19 78:12 79:14	110:25 111:9	71:8,23 73:7,10	155:2,5,8,9,11,20	62:4,12
80:6,9 82:17,21	112:14,17 115:16	74:11,15,23 78:4,12	157:17 162:6 163:3	pay (10)
83:24 84:11,17 85:7	116:1,4,8,21,24	78:23 79:2,11	Palo (1)	99:17 145:9,14,17
86:1,10,18,25 87:2	117:20 118:1	O'Riley (2)	6:1	151:24 152:5,9,10
87:8,15 88:17 89:3	operate (2)	15:25 23:6	papers (1)	151.24 152.5,9,10
89:10 90:1,14 91:9	55:5 117:12	15.25 25.0	56:21	paying (3)
91:14 92:8 93:2,12	operating (3)	P	parameters (2)	152:11,12 155:12
96:16 97:19 99:4,11	47:4 109:20,24	P (2)	143:2 144:1	PC (1)
99:22 100:12,19	operations (6)	3:1,1	Parkway (2)	102:25
101:6 102:2 103:11	47:2,14,15 116:10	pack (1)	2:8 4:17	Pei (5)
103:18 104:2,5,8	134:11 135:4	95:15	part (21)	11:7 23:2 27:20 68:6
105:12,24 106:13	opinion (2)	page (100)	24:8 28:21 31:4 39:20	68:11
106:19 107:2,5	81:24 82:6	36:15,18 38:6,7	48:17 52:7,12,25	Pei's (1)
108:25 109:3,16	opinions (13)	103:13,15 108:24	74:11,15 115:9	23:23
110:1,11,16,23	50:22 51:15,18,23	109:1 118:16,20,22	121:9,13 130:6	penalties (1)
111:6,12 112:1,10	52:2 59:5 61:24	118:24 119:7,10,14	142:17,20 143:20	6:9
112:14,17 113:19	62:2,10 67:1,6	119:17,20 120:12	147:6,12,24 148:14	Penalty (1)
114:3,5,9,15 115:2	92:10,16	120:15,18,19,22,22	particular (24)	167:5
115:5,14,22,25	opportunities (3)	121:1,3,12 122:2	8:24 24:9 26:20 29:7	people (39)
116:4,17 117:20	160:23 162:18 163:7	123:6 137:1 139:23	45:9 108:11 120:5	10:17 11:1,25 12:8
118:10 121:10	opportunity (3)	140:2,10,23 141:2	122:18 126:16	55:24 72:4,7,12
123:13 124:20,25	159:13,14 161:1	141:13 142:12,18	133:21 134:25	79:22,23 80:1,19
125:16,25 126:8,18	opposed (2)	142:19,23 143:12	141:3 148:7 150:10	98:9,12,18,24 99:14
127:7 128:14	37:25 136:12	143:20,21 144:6,16	150:12,14 151:6,7	112:18 115:16,21
129:18 130:2,9	Optimization (1)	144:24 145:1,11,16	159:23 160:3 162:7	121:23 124:8
	• • • • • •			
	1	I	1	I

		-	-	-
129:16 130:23,25	30:13,15 53:15 56:8	125:18 128:4,9,17,22	3:18 10:18 12:1 28:14	23:10 29:21,23
139:2,17,17 142:7	phone (5)	129:10	44:3	proceed (1)
143:6,9 151:20,22	5:1 11:11,13 53:14,19	positives (3)	president (2)	5:7
152:13 158:20,21	phones (1)	125:20,22,22	44:17,21	proceedings (1)
158:24 159:3,8	130:23	possession (3)	pretty (9)	168:14
people's (2)	photo (1)	19:7,22 38:11	22:6 23:5 25:20 44:14	produce (1)
98:20 131:3	99:6	possibility (1)	46:8 81:10 93:15	47:16
percent (17)	PHP (12)	164:5	95:23 134:22	produced (4)
69:17,20 70:3,3,9,11	106:10,15 107:2,8,11	possible (6)	preview (1)	39:6,10,11 76:25
70:15,17,18,21,22	107:12,17,19	18:13,17 19:3 23:3	148:15	product (10)
78:7,23 79:1,11	107.12,17,19	25:16 137:17	previous (4)	59:22 60:9 63:3 65:15
91:24 154:19	phrased (1)	possibly (1)	14:18,21 50:25 136:1	140:4,6,9 142:16
percentage (7)	57:16	62:22	previously (1)	140.4,0,9 142.10 143:6,14
49:18 69:24 70:1,6			10:9	
78:12 147:17 154:6	picked (1) 71:21	Post (1) 124:24		production (1) 83:19
			primarily (4) 37:7 46:23 100:4	
perfectly (1) 8:3	piece (2) 47:25 108:11	posts (2)		products (17)
		123:2,2	115:12	47:15 55:5,7 56:15
perform (1)	Pipes (2)	potential (12)	primary (19)	57:1 58:10 62:3,12
157:24	102:7,21	31:20,20 94:12	20:7,11,22,25 21:20	64:24,25 65:10
performed (2)	place (2)	161:21 162:11,23	22:2 47:6 74:7,8	66:12 98:13 100:16
147:4,5	82:25 168:14	163:1,2,23 165:17	98:1,2 115:12	101:23 102:2
period (3)	placed (2)	165:22,22	116:16 117:16	130:22
44:5,18,19	6:3 152:3	potentially (10)	118:8 119:19,21	programmed (1)
periodic (1)	placement (1)	14:6 34:12 68:16	152:25 153:3	24:16
166:8	157:20	89:23 95:17 155:21	prime (1)	programming (3)
perjury (2)	places (1)	160:24 161:2	115:9	19:20 46:15 107:5
6:9 167:5	152:11	163:21 164:18	printout (1)	project (8)
Perl (14)	Plaintiff (2)	power (2)	39:19	75:22 109:11 118:15
106:11,15 107:2,8,11	1:6 3:3	131:15,16	prior (12)	118:17,23,25 119:1
107:20,21 108:2,3	plan (18)	powerful (1)	10:6,9 16:21 17:10	139:14
108:14,17,18	57:23 58:1,5,8,13,18	32:21	35:15 55:23 59:11	projects (15)
134:25 135:2	66:7,22 71:10,13,23	precise (1)	62:4,13 64:17,21	105:19,21 106:14
person (10)	76:1,4,7,12,16 77:1	129:16	88:1	107:3 109:4 110:25
12:22 37:12 63:2 72:9	77:5	precisely (1)	Prius (1)	111:9,11 112:15,17
72:11 138:3,12	planned (1)	114:16	74:3	115:16,23 116:1,5
144:10,10 168:16	58:17	predecessors (1)	probably (47)	116:22
personal (4)	plans (2)	35:10	9:2 14:9,17 15:24	prominent (2)
37:7 120:8 133:9,13	59:8,10	predetermined (1)	16:8 21:2,13 22:12	88:10 157:15
personally (3)	plays (1)	71:15	37:6 40:20 47:5	proper (1)
13:5 74:10 140:1	150:1	premium (9)	49:25 75:18 76:14	143:16
persons (3)	please (4)	99:6,7,8,10,16,19	87:6,9 99:5 100:23	properties (49)
138:16,20 139:3	4:23 5:7,18 131:2	100:5,9,13	102:5,25 103:13,25	98:18,23 99:12,16,18
perspective (1)	point (14)	prepare (6)	104:14,16 105:6	99:23 100:2 102:23
142:16	28:7 34:12 40:21,22	9:18 53:9 55:16 59:8	106:11,18 114:21	103:4,5 104:11,12
per-page-view (1)	68:15 69:2,23 89:11	64:13 67:5	121:8 133:19	105:1,5 107:12,14
153:21	96:6 104:18 108:11	prepared (5)	134:16,16,17,20	107:15 110:11,14
per-view (1)	139:12 142:3 146:4	29:11 59:4,13 67:1	135:1,15 136:2,5	110:16,22 113:9,16
153:21	Polymap (1)	82:12	137:20 141:18	113:17,20 117:18
pg (1)	169:13	preparing (6)	143:14 146:6,6,14	118:2,5,9 119:18
169:11	portal (1)	58:6 62:16 66:8 83:24	147:21 150:15	121:17 122:9 125:2
pgs (3)	146:11	85:4 86:7	154:15	125:9,12 126:25
169:15,19,23	position (1)	prepay (1)	problem (2)	127:3,13 128:9
Philips (9)	38:14	75:1	31:20 128:11	129:6 142:2 146:2
11:15,24 12:7 30:5,8	positive (6)	present (5)	problems (3)	154:2 156:10 159:9
	1	1	1	1

	I	I	I	
159:12 162:12	77:18 148:22	143:22	receiving (1)	28:8
163:3 166:9	150:22 160:24	randomly (1)	87:22	registered (1)
property (45)	putting (1)	71:21	Recess (3)	30:19
94:6,16,21,24 95:3,5	46:13	range (1)	67:15 131:20 156:4	relate (1)
95:21 96:20 97:13	p m (5)	69:17	recite (1)	19:23
103:6,10,11,13,19	131:23 156:3,6	rate (12)	121:19	related (48)
103:25 104:5,17	166:23,25	150:24 151:2,3,10,12	recollection (7)	12:11 14:6,20 15:6
119:22 120:1,6	100.23,23	151:18,23 152:4	13:12 15:2 18:21	23:18 26:1,7,10,17
129:2,9,23 130:12	0	153:10 154:18	19:17 20:15 22:17	26:23 27:4 28:2,17
134:19 145:20	QL (1)	155:2,6	68:10	28:22 29:17 31:20
146:3 153:9,15	102:11	reaction (1)	recommendations (7)	50:22 51:15 52:24
154:7,19 156:15	qualities (1)	8:22	91:2,21,23 92:1,5	54:7 55:24 56:2,25
157:8 158:15,16	162:16	read (5)	93:7,9	58:9,12,20 59:5,6
159:18,21 160:21	quality (1)	50:24 56:20 165:5	recommending (2)	59:12 61:24 64:20
161:5 162:11	162:15	166:17 167:5	90:9 91:16	65:8,18 67:2 69:8
163:16,20 164:15	question (37)	reads (1)	record (9)	77:24 81:6 87:23
165:16,18	8:7 25:17 28:6,9,11	165:1	4:24 67:13,18 69:7	88:6 92:16 93:17
proposed (1)	48:7 50:25 54:22	real (3)	131:19,23 156:2,5	95:4 97:12 122:24
89:12	48:7 50:25 54:22 57:19 67:20,21	13:15 15:10 61:15	151:19,25 156:2,5	123:2 145:10,15,23
proposing (14)	71:14 73:11 79:21	reality (1)	redesign (43)	relates (1)
88:15 89:1,5,17,20	80:3 82:2 91:8	124:9	118:20 119:7,9,20,22	152:5
90:5,8,16,20 91:5	105:18 107:10	realize (1)	118:20 119:7,9,20,22	relative (1)
91:11,16 93:7,8		75:14	121:3,6,13 122:16	31:12
	110:15,21,24 111:7 116:20 123:12			relatively (3)
prospective (1) 76:7		realized (1)	122:21,24 123:3	24:21 44:18 54:19
	130:22 138:8 139:1	124:15	139:23 140:2,3,5,7	
protocol (6)	139:7 145:12	really (15)	140:10,13,14,22,23	releases (1)
23:15 24:5,10 26:11	149:15 154:21	30:19 31:25 32:7,8	141:14,23 142:8,11	169:21
29:7,18	156:24 157:5	33:18 34:13,20	142:14,18,18,20,23	relevance (1)
provide (1)	162:16 165:13	63:23 75:17 77:7	143:12,20,21,23	157:21
92:9	166:6	82:12 83:11 102:19	147:6,13,18,24	relevant (9)
provided (2)	questioning (1)	159:24 160:4	148:14 166:8	120:7,22 121:1,13
39:2 65:1	112:13	reason (3)	redesigning (1)	123:7,10 157:14
providing (1)	questions (20)	6:16 124:6 127:16	118:16	158:11,18
117:8	6:17 7:24 8:2,4 13:16	reasonable (1)	redesigns (4)	remember (46)
public (3)	13:20 14:4 16:7,12	40:1	119:9 120:2 121:17	10:3 13:8 14:1,22,24
71:20 140:14,22	16:16,18 25:13	reasons (4)	166:11	15:19 16:12 17:7,8
publicly (1)	81:13 87:11 128:11	120:10,15 121:6	Redwood (6)	17:24,25 18:11,11
97:19	136:1 143:4 154:23	166:7	1:15 2:7,8 4:1,16,17	18:20 19:4 20:19
publish (2)	158:4 166:18	recall (28)	refer (4)	21:16 25:10,15,16
36:17 88:13	quick (2)	6:20 8:25 13:16 14:19	141:24 142:6 148:24	25:17 29:22 30:3,12
publishers (5)	61:16 155:23	15:5 16:1 18:9,25	159:16	44:17 49:14 52:10
9:13,14 90:9,24 91:17	quickly (2)	20:22 21:21 22:3,6	reference (1)	63:6 68:1,5,8 70:8
publishing (1)	36:6 157:24	23:2,16,20 29:24	69:5	77:17 87:25 88:1,2
36:15	quite (3)	30:15 64:5 77:4	referred (4)	89:7,8 96:7 121:20
purposes (1)	114:16 119:12 126:23	78:9 87:22 88:5,9	101:12 141:12 142:7	122:22,23 123:1,4
111:22	quotes (1)	121:25 122:20	142:9	137:16 147:19
pursuant (1)	98:25	139:23 140:8 142:1	referring (4)	remembered (1)
2:9		recalled (2)	48:24 68:18 124:23	34:17
pursue (1)	R	9:2 25:24	134:24	remembering (1)
42:24	R (4)	receive (2)	refers (1)	11:22
pursuing (1)	3:1 167:1 170:1,1	84:10 153:1	151:4	remove (4)
43:3	ran (7)	received (7)	refresh (1)	125:11 128:8,16,20
put (7)	140:18,22 141:1	78:5,13,23 79:11 84:7	68:10	repeat (3)
37:12 75:25 76:4	142:18,20 143:20	85:13 146:4	refusing (1)	48:7 62:7 163:25
	•			

report (9)	164:19 165:11,18	114:14	154:7	135:7 136:13
59:16,19 61:3 63:2	165:24	round (2)	second (4)	servers (32)
139:4,18 140:10	review (4)	77:16,19	73:17,20 77:19	45:24 47:3 106:22
	93:6 147:7,11,18	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	103:24	
144:11 168:13		RPR (3) 1:22 2:10 168:23		109:17,19,23,25
reported (4)	reviewed (4)		see (20)	110:3,4,5,7,9,13,17
138:3,6,17,21	147:9,10,15,15	run (12)	27:23 39:19 40:15	110:18,22 111:24
reporter (6)	reviewing (3)	44:10 46:21 82:22	74:19 83:24 85:4	112:3,4,9 113:2,6,6
4:21 5:6,11 7:21	57:1 58:9 64:16	111:24 112:3	119:12 130:6	113:15,16,22
168:1,10	rewording (1)	114:17,24 115:3	136:11,14 137:1,2,9	114:17,22,24,25
reporting (3)	28:7	135:6 136:12	137:13 139:11	115:3 134:22
4:21 60:12 139:6	right (92)	140:16,17	153:9 155:24	serves (1)
reports (5)	8:8 9:25 11:20 18:8	running (3)	158:20,24 159:3	125:25
59:5,9,14 67:1,5	18:23 19:12,15	32:14 46:15 83:3	seeing (1)	service (2)
representation (2)	20:20 27:6 28:14,19	runs (3)	25:23	46:19 99:14
39:24 40:16	28:23 29:19 31:7,10	47:5 108:24 109:16	seen (13)	services (14)
request (4)	33:1 34:22,24,25		9:1 17:5 56:21 69:9	45:20 46:16 98:20
39:9 76:25 79:9	35:5,20 36:2,24	S	83:13,21 85:1 86:4	99:1,2,4,17 100:2
148:22	38:20,21 40:2,3	S (3)	86:9 136:16,16	100:16 101:23
required (1)	42:3,17,20 43:14	3:1 169:9 170:1	144:25 152:13	102:3 117:8 118:3,5
8:7	44:1 51:7 53:7,21	salary (2)	sell (13)	serving (2)
reserve (1)	53:23 54:3 55:10,14	48:20 50:8	159:13 160:7,11	117:22 126:4
166:18	55:25 57:18 58:16	Santa (1)	161:21 162:4,12,20	set (11)
residence (2)	60:10,11 61:14,18	80:14	163:3,7,21,23	33:19 34:19 40:2
74:7,9	61:25 63:5,13 67:8	satisfy (1)	164:19 165:17	45:12 57:13,20 66:4
respect (21)	78:17 79:8 82:15,17	122:12	selling (4)	84:17 85:21 86:18
31:21 65:6 72:20 83:6	84:22 85:16 89:10	saw (3)	71:7,10,15 160:16	168:19
92:3 95:20 96:18,20	90:17 91:12,18	16:16 86:7 160:3	send (3)	setting (1)
96:23 97:12,13	100:25 101:6	saying (4)	39:12 77:2 79:12	105:1
128:6 132:13 134:3	104:22 106:1 109:1	91:1 93:3 129:3 158:5	send/compose/recei	shakes (1)
147:3,5 153:7	109:5 111:10,17	says (1)	98:21	7:25
154:25 158:2	112:19 114:23	108:25	sense (2)	share (1)
162:22 164:14	116:6,22 117:1	scheduled (2)	80:13 111:2	74:22
respected (1)	123:15,22 127:1	10:5,10	sent (2)	shares (5)
97:15	132:8 137:2,10	scheduling (1)	94:11,14	71:8,9,11,16,24
responsibilities (3)	139:16 140:14,20	143:16	September (3)	sharing (1)
44:11 45:6,12	142:12 153:17	science (1)	86:3,12,15	99:7
responsible (3)	156:10 157:8	42:19	Sequoia (10)	she'll (1)
75:6 102:22 143:15	158:10 162:21,24	scope (4)	77:13,15,18,25 78:4,9	8:4
rest (1)	163:16 164:12	50:4,5 51:19 58:25	78:16,19,22 79:10	shipped (1)
47:7	166:13	scores (2)	serious (1)	136:18
result (3)	rights (2)	98:25 160:22	112:7	Shores (6)
88:17 91:15 117:10	95:20 169:17	script (2)	seriously (1)	1:15 2:8,8 4:1,16,17
results (3)	risks (1)	134:25 135:3	80:24	short (4)
147:11,23 148:18	79:23	search (40)	serve (12)	44:18,19,22 54:19
Reuters (3)	Road (1)	34:13 98:18 99:24	106:19,21,23,25	shortage (1)
77:21 78:13 79:10	3:14	101:6 104:7,8,10	108:20,22 110:7	38:8
revenue (27)	Robert (1)	107:17,20,21,22	111:16 113:8,16	shortcoming (1)
75:17 98:1,2,9 100:1	5:21	108:1,4,9,13 114:18	126:11,14	27:10
100:13 101:24	role (4)	114:19,20,24 115:1	served (5)	shortcomings (8)
102:3,6,8 111:16	133:9,11,13,16	115:2,4,5,11,12,13	110:13,18,22 126:16	23:9 27:4 29:21,23
113:10 114:9 115:5	room (1)	122:8 133:14	126:17	30:6,22,24,25
115:13 117:5,9,14	13:6	137:23 138:11,17	server (10)	shorthand (3)
118:4,8 146:24	roughly (4)	142:4 152:8,17,23	46:13 106:4,6 108:25	5:11 168:9,10
153:3 156:18	43:23 69:17,20	152:25,25 153:4,8	109:14,15 112:6,8	Shortly (1)
	1	1	1	

70:4	165:2	113:19 119:24	47:15	stream (1)
show (5)			47:15 staff (1)	stream (1) 149:13
	slight (4)	121:14,19 122:18	. ,	
38:16,19 98:8 109:1	26:24 28:3 141:2,13	128:14 132:6	83:9	Street (2)
159:10	slower (1) 124:11	153:18 165:12	stake (2) 70:23 73:7	3:6 6:1
showed (1)		specifically (8)		strictly (1)
119:10	small (3)	13:18 16:11 79:20	standard (1)	145:23
showing (6)	9:8 102:23 130:6	113:14 139:1,5	50:8	strike (2)
79:9 98:5 114:10	Smith (2)	149:2 154:21	Stanford (24)	73:8 147:4
115:6 117:17 118:5	3:4 4:25	specifics (4)	16:14,15,25 17:4,6	String (3)
shown (4)	SMS (1)	32:6,11 123:10	33:6,7,13 34:24	169:12,16,20
120:22 156:9,14	102:18	124:21	36:23 37:4,9 40:4	student (4)
159:22	snapshot (3)	speculate (2)	41:5,7,9,19,22 42:1	15:22 33:5,13 75:22
shows (4)	26:20,21 169:11	144:22 145:8	42:4,15,24 43:3,13	students (3)
19:7 68:14 155:12	snapshots (2)	speed (1)	Stanford's (1)	35:1 36:22 40:4
158:1	24:7 38:25	124:14	42:24	studied (2)
sic (1)	software (7)	speeds (1)	start (6)	62:3,12
134:19	46:16 47:7 105:10,10	131:4	33:2 35:22 75:10	study (6)
side (6)	105:12,13 106:14	spend (15)	79:23 80:19 87:4	54:2 55:1 66:11,12
47:14 134:11 143:6,7	sold (1)	47:20 49:12,22 54:12	started (12)	92:9,23
164:1 169:14	71:9	54:17 58:5,5,8,13	17:15,19 33:17 34:11	studying (5)
sign (3)	somebody (1)	58:18,24 66:7,7	35:9,11,13,17 36:4	53:22 54:18,23 64:24
99:8 146:1 166:17	139:12	164:24 165:3	37:11 75:12,19	65:9
signed (1)	sorry (11)	spending (6)	starting (2)	stuff (8)
16:5	11:19 26:13 52:16	47:6 49:19 59:23 60:5	35:4 80:7	16:22 20:18 34:19
significance (1)	62:7 93:4 110:14	60:22 65:15	state (4)	35:15 47:15 54:13
61:13	136:21 141:7 149:5	spends (6)	5:18 103:2 146:10	88:2 131:4
significant (1)	156:11,21	95:12,14 161:4,15	168:10	Subject (3)
61:12	sounds (2)	164:14,17	stated (1)	169:12,16,20
similar (1)	29:19 136:17	spent (11)	32:7	subscribe (3)
29:25	source (42)	28:16 45:21 46:1	statement (10)	86:22 87:12 93:12
simple (2)	23:12 24:7,21 25:2	49:24 50:1 52:24	47:21 81:23 82:13	subscribed (5)
27:18 29:12	29:6,17 32:16 53:23	53:22 54:6 62:15	96:5,8,15 97:17	84:2 85:8 86:10 87:15
single (2)	53:24 73:12,21	64:16,23	159:1 164:3 165:15	93:17
73:12 147:9	75:17 98:1,2 105:10	Spirit (36)	statements (4)	substance (2)
singus (1)	105:13,15,16,19,21	118:12,14,15,20,23	96:5,11 97:1 118:1	9:15 13:13
73:12	106:14 107:3 109:3	119:1,19,25 121:2,9	States (5)	substantial (1)
sit (2)	109:10 110:25	121:13,25 122:15	1:1 4:12 81:15 82:6	119:16
54:8 122:22	111:9 112:14,17	122:21,24 123:2	131:8	substantive (2)
site (7)	115:13,16 116:1,5,8	139:22 140:2,3,4,6	Static (1)	14:12 15:6
91:18 99:7 120:4	116:21,25 117:20	140:9,13,22 141:14	126:20	successful (1)
123:3 146:12 149:8	118:1,8 137:1,1,13	141:23 142:8,12,23	stepping (1)	143:3
159:5	137:19	143:12 144:6 147:6	31:11	suggest (1)
sites (17)	speak (1)	147:13,17,24	sticks (1)	91:23
33:22 34:2,3,5,6,10	152:22	148:14	22:16	suit (2)
34:11 36:5,6,11,16	speaking (8)	sports (11)	stock (3)	9:4 92:18
37:14 100:6,9,13	14:8 45:18 88:8 91:22	98:23,24 99:9,24	73:13,15 98:25	suits (1)
146:13,15	145:23 151:22	100:7 101:16,18	stockholding (1)	93:24
sitting (3)	152:20 159:11	135:23 136:8	73:18	summarize (1)
15:5 19:18 94:3	specific (27)	137:14,20	stop (2)	61:15
situations (1)	13:12 16:12 18:12,20	SQL (3)	126:4,11	summarizes (1)
94:5	18:21 19:17 22:17	106:7,7,10	stored (1)	48:1
size (3)	25:17,17 68:7 71:14	stack (1)	31:3	Summerhill (1)
131:3,9 163:24	81:13 82:2 87:5,11	47:7	strategic (2)	3:14
skims (1)	92:3 93:22 108:10	stacks (1)	47:9,12	Sunnyvale (1)
L				

87:7	115:1 135:4 142:4,5	115:24 116:9,25	148:2,15,25,25	102:21 111:2 113:1
supervisor (1)	142:9	117:2,21 118:2	tested (4)	117:24 119:12,16
65:14	systems (4)	122:1,10,14 123:6	141:2 143:1,24 148:7	120:2 121:14,21
support (5)	1:8 4:11 47:4 142:1	123:15,17,18 124:1	testified (12)	120:2 121:14,21
26:1,8,9 28:22 29:1	1.0 4.11 47.4 142.1	125:1 127:1 128:7	5:12 7:13 48:14,16,18	129:17 136:3,12,25
supported (12)	Т	129:9,22	49:11 50:21 52:1	137:6,9 149:9,13,18
100:20,22 101:4,10	T (4)	technology (13)	61:20 63:11,15	150:15,17 151:21
101:21 104:3,9,13	1 (4) 167:1 169:9 170:1,1	9:11 45:19 46:18 47:5	161:12	150:15,17 151:21
101.21 104.3,9,13	take (16)	47:10,13,22 111:21	testify (6)	think (144)
suppose (1)	50:18 51:2,8 61:16,16	122:11 134:3,7,11	49:6 51:10 58:1 62:17	7:1,7 9:2 10:7,21
95:14	67:9 79:23 80:24	122.11 134.3,7,11	49.0 51.10 58.1 02.17 66:8,22	13:10,19 15:17,21
sure (72)	90:12 100:24	technology/product	testifying (3)	16:3,5,13,23 18:13
13:20 15:8 21:7 22:7	119:15,16 127:16	46:10	48:3,10 50:2	18:17 19:2 21:8,12
26:5 28:7,12,24	131:11 133:1	telephone (1)	testimony (6)	21:15 23:1,11,22,23
31:18 32:9 42:17	155:23	56:7	6:5 7:20 58:6,20	24:5 25:19,22 26:21
	taken (14)	tell (10)	59:11 167:9	29:19,22,23 30:11
45:17 46:6 48:8 50:10 51:22 57:17	2:6 6:22 26:20 51:1	10:1 15:19 45:14 46:4	testing (5)	32:6 41:4 42:21
58:11,22 62:9 65:22	67:15 127:12,14,15	46:23 71:18,20	142:6,7,9 144:4,6	43:16,22,23 44:13
66:21 67:24 68:4	131:20 156:4 167:6	113:25 137:18	tests (26)	44:16 58:16 60:25
71:22 76:13,22	168:9 170:4,5	168:6	140:12,16,18,23,25	61:6 62:21 67:22,25
79:19 80:11 81:12	takes (1)	telling (2)	140.12,10,18,25,25 141:1,9,10,23,25	68:23 69:16 70:16
81:19 83:7,13 93:15	111:14	9:9 90:24	141:1,9,10,25,25	71:19 74:17 75:16
94:14 95:16,23 97:6	talk (12)	temporary (2)	143:11,16,21,22	75:18 80:7,15,18,18
98:16 102:15 104:7	25:9 55:24 56:2,4	31:3,10	144:1 147:3,5,7,12	80:22,22,23 81:1,14
105:8,17 106:16	113:19 124:17,20	ten (8)	147:17 150:10,11	81:17,21 82:9,11
108:22 120:20	128:14 154:22	7:12 96:9 104:12,17	Texarkana (1)	87:20 88:2,22,25
123:22 125:3 129:1	157:3 158:12	104:17 105:1,4	3:15	89:24,24 90:7 91:24
129:6 133:20	164:24	135:16	Texas (4)	93:14 94:3,5,15,18
134:21 140:17	talked (31)	tend (2)	1:2 3:7,15 4:13	96:22,24,25 97:4,14
141:11 143:13,15	11:11,13,15,16 14:17	90:11 91:25	Thank (2)	97:16 99:8,9,12,21
143:16 145:13	14:24 15:13,21,24	tends (1)	77:3 166:19	102:2,5,7,13 104:11
146:5,9 147:9 148:9	16:14,20 23:19 29:5	105:16	they'd (1)	104:20 105:6 106:1
148:20,23 149:6	29:16 30:5,9,14,17	Terabytes (1)	9:13	106:5,10,11 115:9
150:6 151:21	30:23 53:25 56:24	131:9	thing (14)	115:10 119:8,21
153:19 156:12,19	109:3 116:22 117:3	term (9)	9:12 20:6 22:21,25	120:9 121:7,21
156:22 158:17	117:13,21 139:22	80:4 83:5,7 128:13	23:4 27:18 28:22	122:4,5 123:10
surprise (1)	161:11 162:22	129:15 148:6	51:4 80:16 88:11,24	124:3,3,4,18,25
62:20	163:13 164:13	150:23 151:1 161:8	149:7 158:18	125:3 127:20,21,22
surprised (3)	talking (10)	terms (30)	163:13	128:3 129:15 130:9
9:4,12 108:12	8:21 15:9 16:12 28:17	18:7 20:18,25 30:24	things (76)	130:22 132:6,8
surrounding (1)	111:21 126:19	31:1 37:15 47:12,20	7:25 8:5,25 13:18	133:3 134:22 142:3
88:3	130:14 134:8	47:22 49:15,18	14:10 15:14 16:16	142:3 143:13
swear (1)	138:24 153:14	65:22 71:21 72:12	17:8 20:17 25:22	145:18,21 148:4,19
5:7	tasked (1)	84:9 102:5 103:7	28:17,18 32:22,23	148:19 151:21
sworn (2)	137:22	104:6,12 124:17,21	32:24 35:13 38:10	153:6 154:13,21
5:10 168:6	team (2)	131:8 143:5 156:17	45:25 46:11,20	155:19 158:6,18
system (29)	134:10 137:25	156:21,24 157:3	47:23 56:23 57:2,7	159:1 161:19
16:19,21 18:16 23:10	teams (1)	158:12 161:12	57:11 58:10,11	166:11
24:9,14 27:1 29:10	137:22	164:23	64:14 65:5,16 66:13	thinking (2)
29:13 31:4 32:5,15	technological (1)	test (21)	66:18 90:10 94:7,12	36:7 54:12
32:20 53:23 64:17	45:22	141:12,15,15,17,17	94:21 95:2,4 96:18	third (5)
64:21 81:6,9,11	technologies (27)	141:19,24,24 142:1	96:21,25 97:7,8,11	27:22 109:7,9,10
107:23 108:14	1:5 4:10 45:24 111:14	142:5,8,25 143:2,5	98:25 99:6,23,24	120:21
109:20,24 114:21	113:8,21,23 115:18	143:10 147:9,25	100:7,22 101:15,16	thoughts (2)
			•	•

81:5,8	103:1,3 104:15	traditionally (1)	124:11	42:18 51:22 55:8
three (9)	150:7 163:14,19	146:12	twice (2)	42:18 51:22 55:8 64:21 66:3 67:19
10:13 53:9 55:16	timing (1)	Traffic (1)	7:1 10:5	68:4 89:16 95:2
56:10 104:5 116:15	77:25	106:4	two (11)	understanding (26)
120:24,25 121:8	title (6)	transcribed (2)	13:3 28:16 31:8,22	8:10 19:13 24:3,20
tied (2)	44:14,21,25 45:5,10	7:21 168:11	48:2,15 61:12 74:1	25:4,7,21,25 26:6
88:23 146:25	45:12	transcript (2)	101:22 103:18	26:16 27:17 39:24
tiers (1)	titles (3)	167:6 168:12	109:5	57:20 78:15 88:23
112:11	44:11,13 45:2	transition (1)	Tyler (2)	90:15 91:3 132:20
Tim (2)	today (58)	43:14	1:3 4:13	132:21,23,25 133:3
60:15,16	4:18 6:3,10,14 8:11	transitioned (2)	type (8)	143:19 151:1,11,17
time (160)	9:19 15:5 19:18	118:25 139:14	82:22 95:18 109:14	understood (6)
4:19 8:17 9:2 15:1,22	32:14 38:5,11,14	transitioning (2)	109:15 123:25	24:1 25:1 30:18 88:17
16:13,15 17:1,3,6	52:23 53:10 54:6	29:8,18	146:11 159:4 165:7	91:10,14
17:23,25 18:2,13,14	58:4,14,18,24 59:16	treat (1)	types (6)	undertake (1)
18:22,25 19:4,9,14	63:21 69:18,20	96:23	20:16 94:20 98:25	119:6
19:19,24 20:1,9,10	70:23 72:23 73:13	trial (19)	117:23 153:7	undertaken (1)
20:21 21:2,4,20	78:3 79:3,6 82:25	49:6,11,25 50:3,22	163:22	119:2
22:2,6,12 24:6	83:22 85:5 86:5	57:14,21,23 58:1,4	typewriting (1)	undertakes (2)
25:19 27:21 29:7,15	94:3 97:20,24 98:3	58:14,19,25 61:20	168:12	120:11 166:7
30:16 32:15 33:7,14	102:15,25 103:2,6	61:23 63:11 66:3,23	typically (9)	underway (1)
33:20,23 35:2,5,17	103:19 104:6,14,19	166:18	38:6 130:7 155:2,3,5	118:25
35:23 36:12,21,23	108:6,7,8,12 116:22	trivial (2)	155:7,9 158:6,23	unfold (1)
37:11,19 38:4,17	123:17 127:13	24:21 31:6		35:11
40:8,17,21 42:6	137:19 146:14	true (11)	U	United (5)
43:9,23 44:5,5,18	147:23 148:20	62:17 66:25 67:4 85:7	U (1)	1:1 4:12 81:15 82:6
44:19,22,24 45:21	154:15 166:21	132:9 149:10	167:1	131:8
46:1,2 47:6,10,20	today's (1)	152:20 155:19	UC (1)	university (6)
47:24 48:21 49:9,11	66:6	158:25 167:10	5:1	8:11 15:23 37:4,8
49:12,18,24 50:1,13	told (1)	168:13	UD (1)	40:4 41:6
51:5,24,25 52:1,24	78:8	trust (2)	143:6	unsuccessful (1)
53:22 54:12,20,21	tool (1)	72:17,18	Uh-huh (1)	143:3
55:15 57:9,14 58:5	75:13	truth (3)	149:1	update (1)
58:8,13,14,18,22,24	tools (2)	168:6,6,7	uh-huhs (1)	24:13
59:24 60:5,23 62:24	35:18,22	truthfully (1)	7:25	updated (1)
64:16,23 65:13,16	top (5)	6:17	ultimately (7)	24:12
66:7,10 67:13,18	104:12,17,17 105:1,4	try (9)	33:3,9 35:25 40:16	upgrade (1)
68:3,21 69:23 70:7	topics (1)	96:18 102:3 119:22	42:14 43:7 159:4	26:23
70:19 71:16,21,25	58:12	120:2,4,7,8 131:16	un (1)	URL (1)
87:20,24 88:4,7	track (8)	143:10	108:10	129:3
89:4 96:4 108:12	34:1,3,18 35:19	trying (21)	unclear (2)	usage (3)
111:8 112:18 119:4	149:12,16,22 150:3	10:21 17:8 32:2,2,3,4	110:21 156:24	21:16,17 99:13
131:23 133:22	tracked (1)	32:5,20,23 34:18	underlie (1)	use (76)
134:2,14,18 135:12	150:7	90:3 96:7 119:24	113:21	18:18 19:23 21:3 27:9
135:17,20,22 136:7	tracking (3)	120:25 122:6,7,8,12	underlies (2)	27:9 31:14 33:20
138:15,24 139:2,12	150:12,17,18	137:16 143:17	134:4 137:1	34:3 37:6 74:10
139:19 146:4,16,20	tracks (1)	146:1	underline (1)	75:1,13 107:11,11
154:13,17 156:3,6	150:1	TSG (3)	34:5	107:12,17,20,21
161:4,15 164:14,17	traded (1)	4:20,22 166:22	underlined (1)	108:15,16,17,20
164:24 165:4	97:19	TUESDAY (2)	121:2	109:19,23 110:2,3,4
166:18,23	trademarks (2)	1:16 4:2	underlying (1)	110:5 111:20 113:5
times (13)	94:7,21	Tulane (2)	122:10	113:6,7,11,15 114:3
6:25 7:16 8:3 9:22	Trader (1)	41:12 42:20	understand (13)	114:5,7,18,24 115:1
10:5 12:20 102:14	146:14	turned (1)	6:2 7:20 8:7 21:19	115:18,23 116:8,15
			0.2 7.20 0.7 21.17	11010,20 11010,10
	1	1	1	I

116:24 117:2 118:1	vague (6)	137:20 138:22	72:17	37:17 38:6,10 40:11
122:8,9 123:5,17,18	107:10,22 125:14	versions (15)	vision (2)	88:16 90:9,24 98:6
123:25 124:2,3	130:21 132:20	23:18 26:7,11,17,18	47:9,13	98:8 106:20,21,22
125:1,5,7,8,21,24	130.21 132.20	77:5 99:6,19,22	visited (2)	106:23,25 107:7,9
126:3,15 127:1,2,18	vaguely (3)	100:5 101:18	17:4 151:4	108:24 109:14,15
128:7,22,25 129:9	60:25 88:8 95:11	104:23 123:16,24	visitors (4)	109:19,23,25 110:3
130:12 142:3 147:1		104.23 123.10,24 124:2		
151:20 165:25	validity (7)	versus (7)	149:4 151:13,14,15	110:4,4,7,9,11,13 110:16,18,22
151:20 165:25	51:16 52:2 59:12	4:10 47:25 116:18	visits (8)	111:16,23 112:3,4,6
user (65)	61:24 62:11 92:10		151:4,5 160:13	
100:14 130:2 136:11	92:16	122:11 141:19	161:13 162:23	112:8 113:2,6,6,15
	value (21)	155:13 165:1	163:1,6 164:4	113:16 117:8,23 120:18,22 123:6
136:16,16,24	81:1 96:4,11,22 97:1	vice (1)	voiced (1)	·
137:13 149:7,8,11	97:12,15 98:13	139:15	60:7	151:4,5 166:8
149:14,17,17,18,18	156:8,14,16,17,21	video (6)	Volume (2)	169:14
150:1,8,8 151:4	158:3,5,6,12,15	144:24 145:3,5	67:17 131:22	Webrouser (1)
152:10,10 153:2,4	159:7,21 160:17	146:21 149:25	vs (2)	169:21
156:25 157:15,16	values (6)	150:2	1:7 170:4	website (12)
157:22,22 159:17	96:2,10,13 97:3,5,9	Videographer (10)	W	38:12,16 39:25 40:9
159:20 160:1,3,6,10	variations (3)	3:18 4:7 5:6 67:11,16		45:24 68:19,21
160:13,20 161:3,4	141:2,13 143:10	131:17,21 156:2,5	want (15)	91:17 98:10,12
161:11,13,14,14,15	various (22)	166:20	9:15 15:1 22:13 46:11	120:15 149:4
161:20,23 162:6,10	23:13 46:15 47:14,15	videos (1)	82:2 96:23 123:9	websites (15)
162:22,24 163:2,6	56:4 96:4 98:6	146:22	131:15 139:7 148:6	35:19,23 36:1 37:15
163:10,14,15,19	102:23 107:11	videotaped (3)	152:15 154:22	37:16,18 38:3,4,7,9
164:4,14,14,17	116:21,24 117:20	1:14 2:6 4:9	159:2 166:15,17	40:10,10,13 98:19
165:1,4,15,22 166:2	120:10 126:25	view (10)	wanted (2)	128:8
166:9	142:2 143:22 144:4	56:18,25 58:10 64:20	67:20 76:20	Wei (42)
users (29)	144:4 146:2 149:9	65:8 66:12 136:25	wanting (1)	11:7,24 12:6,20 13:4
88:14 90:22 98:14	149:11 156:13	137:13 155:21	111:2	13:14,17 14:2,4,11
99:2,4,16 101:8,24	vast (1)	164:8	wants (1)	14:13,20 15:3,7,16
102:23 103:8,9,14	75:6	views (9)	98:9	15:20 16:2,7,9,18
103:22 104:6,13	VC (2)	144:16 145:1,11,16	wasn't (9)	16:25 17:5,6,11
105:5 110:8 118:6	76:6,14	145:24 146:3	7:10 15:10 29:11	22:14 23:2,9 25:13
120:3,5,9,16,19,23	venture (6)	151:16,19 164:4	34:15 40:12 140:4	27:4,25 28:13,16
122:7 123:7,19	76:1,3,6 77:6,9,14	Viola (64)	144:3,21 145:7	30:4,7 53:12 54:1
140:19 166:12	Ventures (6)	16:19,20,21,22 17:11	way (29)	55:23 56:1 64:9
user's (1)	132:10,14,17,19,22	17:14,18,21 18:10	25:1 29:2 34:15 38:16	65:7 68:6,11
122:13	133:7	18:22 19:1,7,14,18	39:25 40:16 49:20	Weil (2)
user-facing (7)	Ventures's (1)	19:23 23:10,10,18	52:6 55:5 57:16	2:7 4:16
135:10,13,13,18,23	133:1	24:7,8,9,24 26:1,7	61:8 82:7 88:13	weird (1)
136:9,10	verbal (1)	26:17,20,25 27:5,19	89:17,21 90:3,21	158:5
uses (12)	7:23	28:2,17 29:17,21	91:3,6 96:12 100:1	Wei's (1)
24:11 106:19,21,22	versa (1)	30:1,6,9,22 31:9,21	105:5 117:16	20:17
106:25 107:18	139:15	32:3,14,21 53:23,23	145:10,15 152:25	Wendell (1)
110:7,9 111:15	Versatile (1)	53:24 54:2 55:24	153:3 154:12 160:6	84:12
123:14 128:8 149:3	169:13	56:2,5,12,13,25	ways (10)	went (4)
utility (1)	version (30)	58:10 64:9,17 65:7	35:12 81:11,12 82:11	38:23 41:19 121:6
135:5	23:12,15 24:4,5,7	66:12 67:22 68:6,11	97:25 107:11	143:5
U.S (4)	26:19,24 29:6,9,12	68:14,22 69:5,8	117:14,15 152:14	weren't (4)
81:6,9 130:16,17	29:17 68:14,19,20	violators (1)	152:14	36:7 124:14 126:3
V	69:4 76:15 89:2	94:12	web (67)	140:18
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	99:7,8,10,19 101:2	Viola's (1)	9:13,14 16:22 20:7,18	West (1)
vacation (1)	107:18 124:9,11	28:22	33:23,24 35:4,8,10	3:6
74:12	129:20 133:20,21	visibility (1)	35:11,13 37:3,6,14	we'll (4)

67:13 131:19	126:6,14 127:20	wrote (2)	102:3,6 103:6,10,11	163:2,7,15,15,19,20
155:24 156:2	128:3,20,25 129:5	134:14 136:8	102:3,0 103:0,10,11	163:20 164:15,18
we're (12)	129:14,19 130:21	WWW (3)	103.18 104.3,8,11	165:16,17,25 166:2
24:6 67:18 116:19	131:3 134:7 135:25	24:9 27:1,19	105:17,19,21 106:4	166:4,7,9
117:7 119:14	136:5 137:16 138:5	24.9 27.1,19	106:14,19,21,22,25	Yahoo's (49)
126:15 131:23	138:8 148:4,10	X	107:3,7,12,17,18,20	12:24 38:12,16 39:25
150:21 156:5	149:22 153:13	$\frac{\mathbf{X}}{\mathbf{X}(2)}$	107:21,22 108:1,3	40:9 77:1 89:21
160:16 162:6	161:23 163:6,12	A (2) 169:1,9	107.21,22 108.1,5	40.977.189.21 91:20 95:3,5,7 96:1
166:23	164:22 165:21	XEF (2)	108.15,10,17,18,20	96:12 98:2 102:23
we've (19)	166:4,14 168:5,19	AEF (2) 15:23 23:6	110:3,4,4,7,9,11,13	109:19,23 110:18
10:4 53:25 80:24 94:1	witnesses (2)	13.23 23.0	110:14,16,22,25	111:23 113:2
94:14 95:23 96:4	10:22 11:12	Y	111:8,14,16,22	111.25 113.2
106:9 112:22	word (9)	Y (1)	112:3,4,14,18 113:9	119:20 121:3
116:13,14,22 117:3	29:2,4,4 96:15,15	102:9	112:3,4,14,18 113:3	125:13,20 128:6,18
117:13,21 119:9	123:21,22,22 136:6	Yahoo (432)	114:4,5,7,9,9,18,20	129:10 132:13,17
121:16 128:3	words (2)		114:25 115:2,4,5,15	133:14 139:23
161:11	27:9 96:9	3:11 5:4,5,24 7:7 8:13	115:17,24,25 116:4	140:2,10 142:12
WHEREOF (1)	work (17)	8:21 9:5,17 10:18 10:24 11:3,3 12:1,8	116:8,21,24 117:3	140.2,10 142.12 143:20 144:24
168:19	15:7,23,25 16:19	10:24 11:3,3 12:1,8 17:15,19 18:3,4	117:15,16,21 118:2	146:17 147:6,18,25
willingness (6)	29:11,14 32:5,23,24	33:3,9,16 34:21	118:4,11 119:6,10	148:12,15 149:4
145:9,14,17 151:24	32:25 45:23 51:19	37:11 40:4,6,12,13	119:22,24 120:10	153:20 160:7,11
152:5 153:10	62:24 87:10 89:18	40:14,17,23,25 41:1	120:22 121:11	164:18
wiring (1)	95:17 96:21	43:7,9,12,14,19	122:1,15,23 123:3,5	Yahoo-E02290323 (
46:14	worked (4)	44:2,12,15,16,20,25	123:14,16,18,19,24	83:19 169:15
wise (1)	9:1 14:14 18:16 134:9	45:3,7,8,11,16,19	124:2,25 125:5,8,11	УАНОО-Е0229033
46:13	working (16)	46:5,7,16,24 47:3,7	125:25 126:3,25	84:24 169:19
within-entitled (1)	33:2,8 43:7,19 44:2	47:13,23 48:3,3,10	127:7,9,12,17,24	УАНОО-Е0229033
168:7	49:12,22 50:1,6,14	48:11,17,20 50:4,6	128:7,16,16,20,22	86:2 169:23
witness (117)	52:24 58:19 59:24	50:8,16 51:19,24	128:25 129:8,24	Yahoo-related (1)
5:7,10 12:3,11,17	60:5,23 139:19	52:3,7,13,25 55:5	130:3 131:8,25	49:19
20:1,25 21:12,24	workplace (1)	56:15 57:1,3,8 58:9	132:3,9 133:6,10,16	Yang (10)
22:5,10,25 26:4	96:19	59:1,16 60:10,20	133:18,23 134:4,15	33:10,13 34:23 35:22
27:8 28:6 29:1	works (5)	62:25 64:1,24 65:10	135:10,11,14,18,23	36:17,22 40:3 43:6
31:25 32:10 34:9	87:7,9,10 130:24	65:11,12,15 67:23	136:8 137:14,22,23	75:9,25
35:7 36:4 37:1	153:1	68:14,19,21 69:1,4	137:23 138:1,5,11	yeah (45)
40:19 43:16 48:6,14	workstations (1)	69:13,21,24 70:1,6	138:17,21 139:3,6	11:11 12:5,19 14:8,15
49:3 50:10 51:22	37:8	70:18,23 71:8,11,24	139:13,18,19	15:17 16:20 19:20
52:20 53:3,17 54:16	worth (9)	73:7,10,12,13,15	140:12,22 141:16	22:20 24:25 26:4,19
55:12,19,21 56:4	70:23 71:4,5 72:22	75:6,10,11,12,19,20	142:18 143:20	27:8 31:11 34:9
57:6 59:3 60:3,17	73:4,13 75:7 79:2,5	76:15 77:6,10 78:1	144:13,16,19 145:2	38:22 40:1 43:16
62:7,19 63:15 65:21	wouldn't (19)	78:16,22 79:10	145:3,5,6,10,15,21	47:25 49:25 54:24
66:15,21 72:11,25	21:25 25:16 27:8,9,9	80:24 82:14,17 83:6	146:1,7,8,16,20,21	55:19 62:22 67:21
73:4 78:19 80:18	28:10 29:2 30:19,25	86:19 87:5 88:6	146:24 147:1,25	68:7 87:25 88:8
81:8,19 82:1,9	47:19 55:1 62:20	89:21,24 90:2,21	148:1,24 149:3,9,12	92:21 97:4 98:23
84:15 88:21 89:15	79:6 91:23 97:7	91:5,18 92:5,8,15	149:14,16,17,25	100:21 108:8 111:5
90:7 91:8 92:13	107:15 109:2	92:18,22,25 93:5,12	151:24 152:6,16,17	113:24 114:13,16
94:10 103:21,24	116:15 123:11	93:18,20,24,25 94:5	152:18 153:3,4,8,23	120:24 130:21
105:4 107:14 108:8	writing (1)	94:16,24 95:12,14	154:1,2,7,7,18	136:22 138:22
109:9,22 110:20	123:1	95:16,16,19 96:11	155:1,4 156:10,15	139:15 144:2
111:5,7,20 112:22	written (13)	97:2,14,19,24,25	156:17,21 157:4,7,8	155:16 159:19
113:5,14 114:13,16	27:19 59:5,9,13 67:1	98:6,9,12,17,18	158:3,5,14,16 159:8	161:6
115:9 117:7,12	67:5 69:7 76:7,11	99:1,18,22,23 100:1	159:18,21 161:4,5	year (3)
118:8 121:5 123:9	134:18,23 136:15	100:5,16,25 101:2,6	161:12,15,21	8:17 48:9 134:18
123:21 125:15	137:12	101:12,15,18,24	162:10,11,11,23	years (31)
L				

7.6 11 12 14.16	9.16 17 19 10 20 21	00.17 (20)	27.10 11 12 12 14	26.16 17 19 10 20
7:6,11,12 14:16 17:24 35:9 37:6	8:16,17,18,19,20,21 8:22,23,24,25 9:1,2	09:17 (20)	27:10,11,12,13,14 27:15,16,17,18,19	36:16,17,18,19,20 36:21,22,23
		18:12,13,14,15,16,17		
46:3,5,24,25 47:1	9:3,4,5,6,7,8	18:18,19,20,21,22	09:29 (23)	09:41 (12)
47:18 48:2,15 80:25	09:07 (19)	18:23,24,25 19:1,2	27:20,21,22,23,24,25	36:24,25 37:1,2,3,4,5
97:6,18 112:24	9:9,10,11,12,13,14,15	19:3,4,5,6	28:1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9	37:6,7,8,9,10
116:14 119:10,13	9:16,17,18,19,20,21	09:18 (26)	28:10,11,12,13,14	09:42 (20)
119:15 121:18	9:22,23,24,25 10:1	19:7,8,9,10,11,12,13	28:15,16,17	37:11,12,13,14,15,16
124:4,10 133:18	10:2	19:14,15,16,17,18	09:30 (22)	37:17,18,19,20,21
135:16,21 138:2	09:08 (25)	19:19,20,21,22,23	28:18,19,20,21,22,23	37:22,23,24,25 38:1
154:14	10:3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11	19:24,25 20:1,2,3,4	28:24,25 29:1,2,3,4	38:2,3,4,5
yesterday (4)	10:12,13,14,15,16	20:5,6,7	29:5,6,7,8,9,10,11	09:43 (20)
9:22,23,24 10:8	10:17,18,19,20,21	09:19 (19)	29:12,13,14	38:6,7,8,9,10,11,12
YQL (3)	10:22,23,24,25 11:1	20:8,9,10,11,12,13,14	09:31 (18)	38:13,14,15,16,17
102:7,10,21	11:2	20:15,16,17,18,19	29:15,16,17,18,19,20	38:18,19,20,21,22
YUI (1)	09:09 (23)	20:20,21,22,23,24	29:21,22,23,24,25	38:23,24,25
106:2	11:3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11	20:25 21:1	30:1,2,3,4,5,6,7	09:44 (25)
	11:12,13,14,15,16	09:20 (20)	09:32 (17)	39:1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9
\$	11:17,18,19,20,21	21:2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10	30:8,9,10,11,12,13,14	39:10,11,12,13,14
\$100 (1)	11:22,23,24,25	21:11,12,13,14,15	30:15,16,17,18,19	39:15,16,17,18,19
71:2	09:10 (29)	21:16,17,18,19,20	30:20,21,22,23,24	39:20,21,22,23,24
\$2 (1)	12:1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9	21:21	09:33 (13)	39:25
73:5	12:10,11,12,13,14	09:21 (23)	30:25 31:1,2,3,4,5,6,7	09:45 (19)
\$500 (1)	12:15,16,17,18,19	21:22,23,24,25 22:1,2	31:8,9,10,11,12	40:1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9
71:4	12:20,21,22,23,24	22:3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10	09:34 (21)	40:10,11,12,13,14
	12:25 13:1,2,3,4	22:11,12,13,14,15	31:13,14,15,16,17,18	40:15,16,17,18,19
0	09:11 (17)	22:16,17,18,19	31:19,20,21,22,23	09:46 (22)
0.9 (5)	13:5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12	09:22 (19)	31:24,25 32:1,2,3,4	40:20,21,22,23,24,25
24:4,8,16 29:8,18	13:13,14,15,16,17	22:20,21,22,23,24,25	32:5,6,7,8	41:1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9
09:00 (2)	13:18,19,20,21	23:1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9	09:35 (17)	41:10,11,12,13,14
4:1,2	09:12 (27)	23:10,11,12,13	32:9,10,11,12,13,14	41:15,16
09:01 (9)	13:22,23,24,25 14:1,2	09:23 (19)	32:15,16,17,18,19	09:47 (29)
4:3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11	14:3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10	23:14,15,16,17,18,19	32:20,21,22,23,24	41:17,18,19,20,21,22
09:02 (16)	14:11,12,13,14,15	23:20,21,22,23,24	32:25	41:23,24,25 42:1,2
4:12,13,14,15,16,17	14:16,17,18,19,20	23:25 24:1,2,3,4,5,6	09:36 (17)	42:3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10
4:18,19,20,21,22,23	14:21,22,23	24:7	33:1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9	42:11,12,13,14,15
4:24,25 5:1,2	09:13 (23)	09:24 (14)	33:10,11,12,13,14	42:16,17,18,19,20
09:03 (22)	14:24,25 15:1,2,3,4,5	24:8,9,10,11,12,13,14	33:15,16,17	09:48 (25)
5:3,4,5,6,7,15,16,17	15:6,7,8,9,10,11,12	24:15,16,17,18,19	09:37 (18)	42:21,22,23,24,25
5:18,19,20,21,22,23	15:13,14,15,16,17	24:20,21	33:18,19,20,21,22,23	43:1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9
5:24,25 6:1,2,3,4,5	15:18,19,20,21	09:25 (17)	33:24,25 34:1,2,3,4	43:10,11,12,13,14
6:6	09:14 (19)	24:22,23,24,25 25:1,2	34:5,6,7,8,9,10	43:15,16,17,18,19
09:04 (35)	15:22,23,24,25 16:1,2	25:3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10	09:38 (23)	43:20
6:7,8,9,10,11,12,13	16:3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10	25:11,12,13	34:11,12,13,14,15,16	09:49 (21)
6:14,15,16,17,18,19	16:11,12,13,14,15	09:26 (21)	34:17,18,19,20,21	43:21,22,23,24,25
6:20,21,22,23,24,25	09:15 (24)	25:14,15,16,17,18,19	34:22,23,24,25 35:1	44:1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9
7:1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9	16:16,17,18,19,20,21	25:20,21,22,23,24	35:2,3,4,5,6,7,8	44:10,11,12,13,14
7:10,11,12,13,14,15	16:22,23,24,25 17:1	25:25 26:1,2,3,4,5,6	09:39 (18)	44:15,16
7:16	17:2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9	26:7,8,9	35:9,10,11,12,13,14	09:50 (19)
09:05 (24)	17:10,11,12,13,14	09:27 (16)	35:15,16,17,18,19	44:17,18,19,20,21,22
7:17,18,19,20,21,22	09:16 (22)	26:10,11,12,13,14,15	35:20,21,22,23,24	44:23,24,25 45:1,2
7:23,24,25 8:1,2,3,4	17:15,16,17,18,19,20	26:16,17,18,19,20	35:25 36:1	45:3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10
8:5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12	17:21,22,23,24,25	26:21,22,23,24,25	09:40 (22)	09:51 (22)
8:13,14,15	18:1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9	09:28 (19)	36:2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10	45:11,12,13,14,15,16
09:06 (18)	18:10,11	27:1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9	36:11,12,13,14,15	45:17,18,19,20,21

	_	_	_	_
46:2,3,4,5,6,7	165:3	61:24,25 62:1,2,3,4	71:4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11	80:18,19,20
09:52 (17)	10:00 (25)	62:5,6,7,8,9,10,11	71:12,13,14,15,16	10:48 (15)
46:8,9,10,11,12,13,14	52:17,18,19,20,21,22	62:12,13,14,15,16	71:17,18,19	80:21,22,23,24,25
46:15,16,17,18,19	52:23,24,25 53:1,2	10:11 (23)	10:37 (25)	81:1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9
46:20,21,22,23,24	53:3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10	62:17,18,19,20,21,22	71:20,21,22,23,24,25	81:10
09:53 (15)	53:11,12,13,14,15	62:23,24,25 63:1,2	72:1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9	10:49 (21)
	53:16	63:3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10		
46:25 47:1,2,3,4,5,6,7	10:01 (23)		72:10,11,12,13,14	81:11,12,13,14,15,16
47:8,9,10,11,12,13 47:14		63:11,12,13,14	72:15,16,17,18,19 10:38 (23)	81:17,18,19,20,21
	53:17,18,19,20,21,22	10:12 (22)		81:22,23,24,25 82:1
09:54 (21)	53:23,24,25 54:1,2	63:15,16,17,18,19,20	72:20,21,22,23,24,25	82:2,3,4,5,6
47:15,16,17,18,19,20	54:3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10	63:21,22,23,24,25	73:1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9	10:50 (22)
47:21,22,23,24,25	54:11,12,13,14	64:1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9	73:10,11,12,13,14	82:7,8,9,10,11,12,13
48:1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9	10:02 (30)	64:10,11	73:15,16,17	82:14,15,16,17,18
48:10	54:15,16,17,18,19,20	10:13 (19)	10:39 (24)	82:19,20,21,22,23
09:55 (22)	54:21,22,23,24,25	64:12,13,14,15,16,17	73:18,19,20,21,22,23	82:24,25 83:1,2,3
48:11,12,13,14,15,16	55:1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9	64:18,19,20,21,22	73:24,25 74:1,2,3,4	10:51 (14)
48:17,18,19,20,21	55:10,11,12,13,14	64:23,24,25 65:1,2	74:5,6,7,8,9,10,11	83:4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11
48:22,23,24,25 49:1	55:15,16,17,18,19	65:3,4,5	74:12,13,14,15,16	83:12,13,14,15,16
49:2,3,4,5,6,7	10:03 (30)	10:14 (24)	10:40 (15)	83:17
09:56 (18)	55:20,21,22,23,24,25	65:6,7,8,9,10,11,12	74:17,18,19,20,21,22	10:52 (19)
49:8,9,10,11,12,13,14	56:1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9	65:13,14,15,16,17	74:23,24,25 75:1,2	83:18,19,20,21,22,23
49:15,16,17,18,19	56:10,11,12,13,14	65:18,19,20,21,22	75:3,4,5,6	83:24,25 84:1,2,3,4
49:20,21,22,23,24	56:15,16,17,18,19	65:23,24,25 66:1,2	10:41 (15)	84:5,6,7,8,9,10,11
49:25	56:20,21,22,23,24	66:3,4	75:7,8,9,10,11,12,13	10:53 (18)
09:57 (18)	10:04 (22)	10:15 (34)	75:14,15,16,17,18	84:12,13,14,15,16,17
50:1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9	56:25 57:1,2,3,4,5,6,7	66:5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12	75:19,20,21	84:18,19,20,21,22
50:10,11,12,13,14	57:8,9,10,11,12,13	66:13,14,15,16,17	10:42 (22)	84:23,24,25 85:1,2
50:15,16,17,18	57:14,15,16,17,18	66:18,19,20,21,22	75:22,23,24,25 76:1,2	85:3,4
09:58 (22)	57:19,20,21	66:23,24,25 67:1,2	76:3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10	10:54 (22)
50:19,20,21,22,23,24	10:05 (21)	67:3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10	76:11,12,13,14,15	85:5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12
50:25 51:1,2,3,4,5,6	57:22,23,24,25 58:1,2	67:11,12,13	76:16,17,18	85:13,14,15,16,17
51:7,8,9,10,11,12	58:3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10	10:16 (3)	10:43 (16)	85:18,19,20,21,22
51:13,14,15	58:11,12,13,14,15	67:14,14,15	76:19,20,21,22,23,24	85:23,24,25 86:1
09:59 (26)	58:16,17	10:32 (6)	76:25 77:1,2,3,4,5,6	10:55 (17)
51:16,17,18,19,20,21	10:06 (14)	67:16,17,18,18,19,20	77:7,8,9	86:2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10
51:22,23,24,25 52:1	58:18,19,20,21,22,23	10:33 (24)	10:44 (20)	86:11,12,13,14,15
52:2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9	58:24,25 59:1,2,3,4	67:21,22,23,24,25	77:10,11,12,13,14,15	86:16,17,18
52:10,11,12,13,14	59:5,6	68:1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9	77:16,17,18,19,20	10:56 (21)
52:15,16	10:07 (23)	68:10,11,12,13,14	77:21,22,23,24,25	86:19,20,21,22,23,24
·	59:7,8,9,10,11,12,13	68:15,16,17,18,19	78:1,2,3,4	86:25 87:1,2,3,4,5,6
1	59:14,15,16,17,18	10:34 (21)	10:45 (28)	87:7,8,9,10,11,12
1 (10)	59:19,20,21,22,23	68:20,21,22,23,24,25	78:5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12	87:13,14
4:8 39:14,15,18 40:15	59:24,25 60:1,2,3,4	69:1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9	78:13,14,15,16,17	10:57 (13)
67:12 73:5 77:15	10:08 (22)	69:10,11,12,13,14	78:18,19,20,21,22	87:15,16,17,18,19,20
169:11,11	60:5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12	69:15	78:23,24,25 79:1,2	87:21,22,23,24,25
1.0 (3)	60:13,14,15,16,17	10:35 (32)	79:3,4,5,6,7	88:1,2
24:8,13 29:18	60:18,19,20,21,22	69:16,17,18,19,20,21	10:46 (16)	10:58 (21)
1.1 (1)	60:23,24,25 61:1	69:22,23,24,25 70:1	79:8,9,10,11,12,13,14	88:3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11
29:8	10:09 (16)	70:2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9	79:15,16,17,18,19	88:12,13,14,15,16
1:05 (2)	61:2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10	70:10,11,12,13,14	79:20,21,22,23	88:17,18,19,20,21
166:23,25	61:11,12,13,14,15	70:15,16,17,18,19	10:47 (22)	88:22,23
10(2)	61:16,17	70:20,21,22	79:24,25 80:1,2,3,4,5	10:59 (23)
70:11,18	10:10 (24)	10:36 (22)	80:6,7,8,9,10,11,12	88:24,25 89:1,2,3,4,5
10x (1)	61:18,19,20,21,22,23	70:23,24,25 71:1,2,3	80:13,14,15,16,17	89:6,7,8,9,10,11,12
10A (1)	01110,17,20,21,22,23	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	55115,11,15,10,17	07.0,7,0,7,10,11,12
	l	l	l	l

89:13,14,15,16,17	97:20,21,22,23,24	106:12,13,14,15,16	115:20,21,22,23,24	124:12,13,14,15,16
89:18,19,20,21	97:25 98:1,2,3,4,5,6	106:17,18,19,20,21	115:25 116:1,2,3,4	124:17,18,19,20,21
100 (7)	98:7.8	106:22,23,24,25	116:5,6,7,8	124:22,23
49:22,25 53:4 54:10	11:11 (17)	107:1,2,3,4,5,6	11:31 (25)	11:42 (24)
62:16,22 91:24	98:9,10,11,12,13,14	11:22 (22)	116:9,10,11,12,13,14	124:24,25 125:1,2,3,4
1008 (1)	98:15,16,17,18,19	107:7,8,9,10,11,12,13	116:15,16,17,18,19	125:5,6,7,8,9,10,11
6:1	98:20,21,22,23,24	107:14,15,16,17,18	116:20,21,22,23,24	125:12,13,14,15,16
11:00 (21)	98:25	107:19,20,21,22,23	116:25 117:1,2,3,4	125:17,18,19,20,21
89:22,23,24,25 90:1,2	11:12 (15)	107:24,25 108:1,2,3	117:5,6,7,8	125:22
90:3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10	99:1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9	11:23 (23)	11:32 (22)	11:43 (29)
90:11,12,13,14,15	99:10,11,12,13,14	108:4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11	117:9,10,11,12,13,14	125:23,24,25 126:1,2
90:16,17	99:15	108:12,13,14,15,16	117:15,16,17,18,19	126:3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10
11:01 (24)	11:13 (23)	108:17,18,19,20,21	117:20,21,22,23,24	126:11,12,13,14,15
90:18,19,20,21,22,23	99:16,17,18,19,20,21	108:22,23,24,25	117:25 118:1,2,3,4	126:16,17,18,19,20
90:24,25 91:1,2,3,4	99:22,23,24,25	109:1	118:5	126:21,22,23,24,25
91:5,6,7,8,9,10,11	100:1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8	11:24 (27)	11:33 (17)	127:1
91:12,13,14,15,16	100:9,10,11,12,13	109:2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10	118:6,7,8,9,10,11,12	11:44 (24)
11:02 (20)	11:14 (27)	109:11,12,13,14,15	118:13,14,15,16,17	127:2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10
91:17,18,19,20,21,22	100:14,15,16,17,18	109:16,17,18,19,20	118:18,19,20,21,22	127:11,12,13,14,15
91:23,24,25 92:1,2	100:19,20,21,22,23	109:21,22,23,24,25	11:34 (18)	127:16,17,18,19,20
92:3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10	100:24,25 101:1,2,3	110:1,2,3	118:23,24,25 119:1,2	127:21,22,23,24,25
92:11	101:4,5,6,7,8,9,10	11:25 (16)	119:3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10	11:45 (25)
11:03 (21)	101:11,12,13,14,15	110:4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11	119:11,12,13,14,15	128:1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9
92:12,13,14,15,16,17	11:15 (16)	110:12,13,14,15,16	11:35 (17)	128:10,11,12,13,14
92:18,19,20,21,22	101:16,17,18,19,20	110:17,18,19	119:16,17,18,19,20	128:15,16,17,18,19
92:23,24,25 93:1,2	101:21,22,23,24,25	11:26 (30)	119:21,22,23,24,25	128:20,21,22,23,24
93:3,4,5,6,7	102:1,2,3,4,5,6	110:20,21,22,23,24	120:1,2,3,4,5,6,7	128:25
11:04 (11)	11:16 (19)	110:25 111:1,2,3,4	11:36 (23)	11:46 (21)
93:8,9,10,11,12,13,14	102:7,8,9,10,11,12,13	111:5,6,7,8,9,10,11	120:8,9,10,11,12,13	129:1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9
93:15,16,17,18	102:14,15,16,17,18	111:12,13,14,15,16	120:14,15,16,17,18	129:10,11,12,13,14
11:05 (18)	102:19,20,21,22,23	111:17,18,19,20,21	120:19,20,21,22,23	129:15,16,17,18,19
93:19,20,21,22,23,24	102:24,25	111:22,23,24	120:24,25 121:1,2,3	129:20,21
93:25 94:1,2,3,4,5,6	11:17 (25)	11:27 (32)	121:4,5	11:47 (21)
94:7,8,9,10,11	103:1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9	111:25 112:1,2,3,4,5	11:37 (20)	129:22,23,24,25
11:06 (21)	103:10,11,12,13,14	112:6,7,8,9,10,11	121:6,7,8,9,10,11,12	130:1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8
94:12,13,14,15,16,17	103:15,16,17,18,19	112:12,13,14,15,16	121:13,14,15,16,17	130:9,10,11,12,13
94:18,19,20,21,22	103:20,21,22,23,24	112:17,18,19,20,21	121:18,19,20,21,22	130:14,15,16,17
94:23,24,25 95:1,2	103:25	112:22,23,24,25	121:23,24,25	11:48 (29)
95:3,4,5,6,7	11:18 (26)	113:1,2,3,4,5,6	11:38 (20)	130:18,19,20,21,22
11:07 (17)	104:1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9	11:28 (26)	122:1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9	130:23,24,25 131:1
95:8,9,10,11,12,13,14	104:10,11,12,13,14	113:7,8,9,10,11,12,13	122:10,11,12,13,14	131:2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9
95:15,16,17,18,19	104:15,16,17,18,19	113:14,15,16,17,18	122:15,16,17,18,19	131:10,11,12,13,14
95:20,21,22,23,24	104:20,21,22,23,24	113:19,20,21,22,23	122:20	131:15,16,17,18,19
11:08 (19)	104:25 105:1	113:24,25 114:1,2,3	11:39 (19)	131:19,20
95:25 96:1,2,3,4,5,6,7	11:19 (16)	114:4,5,6,7	122:21,22,23,24,25	12:06 (10)
96:8,9,10,11,12,13	105:2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10	11:29 (27)	123:1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8	131:21,22,23,23,24
96:14,15,16,17,18	105:11,12,13,14,15	114:8,9,10,11,12,13	123:9,10,11,12,13	131:25 132:1,2,3,4
11:09 (20)	105:16,17	114:14,15,16,17,18	123:14	12:07 (22)
96:19,20,21,22,23,24	11:20 (19)	114:19,20,21,22,23	11:40 (15)	132:5,6,7,8,9,10,11
96:25 97:1,2,3,4,5,6	105:18,19,20,21,22	114:24,25 115:1,2,3	123:15,16,17,18,19	132:12,13,14,15,16
97:7,8,9,10,11,12	105:23,24,25 106:1	115:4,5,6,7,8,9	123:20,21,22,23,24	132:17,18,19,20,21
97:13	106:2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9	11:30 (24)	123:25 124:1,2,3,4	132:22,23,24,25
11:10 (20)	106:10,11	115:10,11,12,13,14	11:41 (19)	133:1
97:14,15,16,17,18,19	11:21 (20)	115:15,16,17,18,19	124:5,6,7,8,9,10,11	12:08 (15)
				I

Γ		-	-	
133:2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9	141:15	12:29 (20)	158:12,13,14,15,16	18 (2)
133:10,11,12,13,14	12:19 (22)	150:2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10	158:17,18,19,20,21	48:9 86:3
133:15,16	141:16,17,18,19,20	150:11,12,13,14,15	158:22,23,24	18th (1)
12:09 (18)	141:21,22,23,24,25	150:16,17,18,19,20	12:56 (22)	86:15
133:17,18,19,20,21	142:1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8	150:21	158:25 159:1,2,3,4,5	19 (2)
133:22,23,24,25	142:9,10,11,12	12:30 (17)	159:6,7,8,9,10,11	41:23 49:4
134:1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8	12:20 (21)	150:22,23,24,25	159:12,13,14,15,16	1988 (6)
134:9	142:13,14,15,16,17	151:1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8	159:17,18,19,20,21	41:14,23,24 42:4,20
12:10 (16)	142:18,19,20,21,22	151:9,10,11,12,13	12:57 (19)	42:23
134:10,11,12,13,14	142:23,24,25 143:1	12:31 (21)	159:22,23,24,25	1990 (1)
134:15,16,17,18,19	143:2,3,4,5,6,7,8	151:14,15,16,17,18	160:1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8	16:23
134:20,21,22,23,24	12:21 (21)	151:19,20,21,22,23	160:9,10,11,12,13	1993 (6)
134:25	143:9,10,11,12,13,14	151:24,25 152:1,2,3	160:14,15	19:18,24 20:1,8,21
12:11 (20)	143:15,16,17,18,19	152:4,5,6,7,8,9	12:58 (18)	21:19
135:1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9	143:20,21,22,23,24	12:32 (17)	160:16,17,18,19,20	1994 (25)
135:10,11,12,13,14	143:25 144:1,2,3,4	152:10,11,12,13,14	160:21,22,23,24,25	17:17,19 18:22 19:14
135:15,16,17,18,19	12:22 (20)	152:15,16,17,18,19	161:1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8	19:18 20:8 21:6
135:20	144:5,6,7,8,9,10,11	152:20,21,22,23,24	12:59 (22)	22:2 33:4,9,17
12:12 (18)	144:12,13,14,15,16	152:25 153:1	161:9,10,11,12,13,14	34:23 35:21 38:13
135:21,22,23,24,25	144:17,18,19,20,21	12:33 (22)	161:15,16,17,18,19	38:17 39:25 40:5,7
136:1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8	144:22,23,24	153:2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10	161:20,21,22,23,24	40:8,17 43:6 68:21
136:9,10,11,12,13	12:23 (23)	153:11,12,13,14,15	161:25 162:1,2,3,4	69:4 75:11 119:11
12:13 (28)	144:25 145:1,2,3,4,5	153:16,17,18,19,20	162:5	1995 (30)
136:14,15,16,17,18	145:6,7,8,9,10,11	153:21,22,23	13:00 (22)	18:7,22 19:19,24 20:8
136:19,20,21,22,23	145:12,13,14,15,16	12:34 (22)	162:6,7,8,9,10,11,12	20:21 21:20 22:2
136:24,25 137:1,2,3	145:17,18,19,20,21	153:24,25 154:1,2,3,4	162:13,14,15,16,17	41:4 42:2,4 43:10
137:4,5,6,7,8,9,10	145:22	154:5,6,7,8,9,10,11	162:18,19,20,21,22	43:20 44:3,20 75:18
137:11,12,13,14,15	12:24 (16)	154:12,13,14,15,16	162:23,24,25 163:1	77:1 78:16,23 83:20
137:16	145:23,24,25 146:1,2	154:17,18,19,20	163:2	84:4,8,13,25 85:10
12:14 (12)	146:3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10	12:35 (20)	13:01 (23)	85:14,19 86:3,12,16
137:17,18,19,20,21	146:11,12,13	154:21,22,23,24,25	163:3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10	
137:22,23,24,25	12:25 (21)	155:1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8	163:11,12,13,14,15	$\frac{2}{2(11)}$
138:1,2,3	146:14,15,16,17,18	155:9,10,11,12,13	163:16,17,18,19,20	2 (11)
12:15 (21)	146:19,20,21,22,23	155:14,15	163:21,22,23,24,25	67:17 83:15,18,22
138:4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11	146:24,25 147:1,2,3	12:36 (15)	13:02 (22) 164:1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9	84:7,12 85:7 131:18
138:12,13,14,15,16	147:4,5,6,7,8,9 12:26 (21)	155:16,17,18,19,20		169:12,19,23
138:17,18,19,20,21	147:10,11,12,13,14	155:21,22,23,24,25	164:10,11,12,13,14	2nd (1)
138:22,23,24 12:16 (23)	147:10,11,12,13,14	156:1,2,3,3,4 12:52 (15)	164:15,16,17,18,19 164:20,21,22	168:20
138:25 139:1,2,3,4,5	147:20,21,22,23,24	156:5,6,6,7,8,9,10,11	13:03 (19)	20 (4) 70:15,17,18,21
138.23 139.1,2,3,4,3	147:25 148:1,2,3,4	156:12,13,14,15,16	164:23,24,25 165:1,2	2000 (2)
139:12,13,14,15,16	147.23 148.1,2,3,4 148:5	156:17,18	165:3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10	89:16 147:4
139:17,18,19,20,21	12:27 (23)	12:53 (19)	165:11,12,13,14,15	2000s (2)
139:22	148:6,7,8,9,10,11,12	156:19,20,21,22,23	165:16	9:3 89:12
139.22	148:13,14,15,16,17	156:24,25 157:1,2,3	13:04 (21)	2001 (1)
139:23,24,25 140:1,2	148:18,19,20,21,22	157:4,5,6,7,8,9,10	165:17,18,19,20,21	27:4
140:3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10	148:23,24,25 149:1	157:11,12	165:22,23,24,25	2003 (5)
140:11,12,13,14,15	149:2,3	12:54 (16)	166:1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8	87:20,24 88:7 89:4,7
140:16,17	12:28 (23)	157:13,14,15,16,17	166:9,10,11,12	2005 (1)
12:18 (23)	149:4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11	157:18,19,20,21,22	13:05 (9)	89:16
140:18,19,20,21,22	149:12,13,14,15,16	157:23,24,25 158:1	166:13,14,15,16,17	2007 (2)
140:23,24,25 141:1	149:17,18,19,20,21	157:25,24,25 150.1	166:18,19,20,21	119:7,8
141:2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9	149:22,23,24,25	12:55 (21)	15 (3)	2007-ish (1)
141:10,11,12,13,14	150:1	158:4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11	97:6,18 116:14	119:4
-, , _,,,			,	
	1	1	1	I

	I	I		1
2009 (4)	131:12	18:12 20:1 21:4,8,9		
92:19,22 93:2,5		21:15 22:5 35:16,17		
201 (2)	5	36:12,23 37:20 38:1		
2:7 4:16	5 (6)	40:21,22 68:24		
2010 (6)	69:17,20 70:9,22	95 (9)		
49:4,10,23 50:15	169:7,15	18:12 20:5 21:9 22:12		
61:18,19	50 (3)	22:12 43:23 76:17		
2011 (34)	54:14 70:3 154:19	78:11,19		
1:16 2:1 4:2,18 8:18	56 (1)	95-something (1)		
8:19 15:3 22:15	141:19	21:8		
28:1,14 29:16 30:2		9830 (3)		
	57 (1)	1:23 2:10 168:23		
49:8,11,23 50:15	141:19	1:23 2:10 108:23		
52:21,23 54:3,6	6			
55:10,13 61:21				
63:12,16,17,19 64:1	6th (1)			
64:7,12 167:7,14	3:6			
168:20 170:5	6:09-CV-00446-LE			
2012 (10)	1:8			
57:21,24 58:2,5,15,19	6:09-CV-446 (1)			
58:25 66:4,7,23	4:14			
21 (1)	6500 (1)			
84:25	3:14			
21st (5)				
83:20 84:7,13 85:13	7			
85:18	75503 (1)			
25 (3)	3:15			
78:7,23 79:1	78701 (1)			
27 (1)	3:7			
169:15				
29 (5)	8			
1:16 2:1 4:2 167:7	8/21/95 (2)			
170:5	169:12,16			
29th (1)	83 (1)			
4:18	169:12			
	84 (1)			
3	169:16			
3 (9)	85 (1)			
84:19,20,23 85:2,13	169:20			
85:18 131:22				
166:21 169:16	9			
300 (1)	9/18/95 (1)			
3:6	169:20			
37 (1)	9:02 (3)			
169:19	2:2 4:3,19			
39 (2)	90 (2)			
169:11,23	21:4 35:12			
	91 (1)			
4	35:12			
4 (6)	92 (2)			
85:23,24 86:1,4,15	35:12 68:3			
169:20	93 (4)			
44280 (1)	21:24 26:21 35:12			
1:24	68:2			
45 (1)	94 (16)			
		l		1