

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
TYLER DIVISION**

EOLAS TECHNOLOGIES, INC. and  
THE REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY  
OF CALIFORNIA

Plaintiffs,

v.

ADOBE SYSTEMS, INC., ET AL.,

Defendants.

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

CIVIL ACTION NO. 6:09-CV-446 (LED)

**ORDER**

Defendants Amazon.com, Inc., Google, Inc., J.C. Penney Corp., Inc., Yahoo! Inc., and YouTube LLC (collectively the “Defendants”) filed their Opposition to Plaintiffs’ Renewed Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law Under Rule 50(b) that the Asserted Claims of the Patents-in-Suit are not Invalid or in the Alternative for a New Trial Under Rule 59.

Being well-advised, the Court is of the opinion that the motion should be DENIED.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Plaintiffs’ Renewed Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law is DENIED.

SO ORDERED.