

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
TYLER DIVISION**

EOLAS TECHNOLOGIES	§	
INCORPORATED,	§	
	§	
Plaintiff,	§	C.A. NO. 6:09-CV-446
	§	
v.	§	JUDGE LEONARD E. DAVIS
	§	
ADOBE SYSTEMS INC.,	§	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
ET AL.,	§	
	§	
Defendants.	§	
	§	

**STAPLES, INC.’S ANSWER AND DEFENSES**

Defendant Staples, Inc. (“Staples”) files this Answer to Plaintiff Eolas Technologies Incorporated’s (“Eolas” or “Plaintiff”) First Amended Complaint for Patent Infringement (“Amended Complaint”) and hereby states as follows:

**PARTIES**

1. Staples lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 1 of the Amended Complaint and therefore denies those allegations.

2. Paragraph 2 of the Amended Complaint is not directed at Staples. To the extent any response is necessary, Staples lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 2 and therefore denies those allegations.

3. Paragraph 3 of the Amended Complaint is not directed at Staples. To the extent any response is necessary, Staples lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 3 and therefore denies those allegations.

4. Paragraph 4 of the Amended Complaint is not directed at Staples. To the extent any response is necessary, Staples lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 4 and therefore denies those allegations.

5. Paragraph 5 of the Amended Complaint is not directed at Staples. To the extent any response is necessary, Staples lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 5 and therefore denies those allegations.

6. Paragraph 6 of the Amended Complaint is not directed at Staples. To the extent any response is necessary, Staples lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 6 and therefore denies those allegations.

7. Paragraph 7 of the Amended Complaint is not directed at Staples. To the extent any response is necessary, Staples lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 7 and therefore denies those allegations.

8. Paragraph 8 of the Amended Complaint is not directed at Staples. To the extent any response is necessary, Staples lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 8 and therefore denies those allegations.

9. Paragraph 9 of the Amended Complaint is not directed at Staples. To the extent any response is necessary, Staples lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 9 and therefore denies those allegations.

10. Paragraph 10 of the Amended Complaint is not directed at Staples. To the extent any response is necessary, Staples lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 10 and therefore denies those allegations.

11. Paragraph 11 of the Amended Complaint is not directed at Staples. To the extent any response is necessary, Staples lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 11 and therefore denies those allegations.

12. Paragraph 12 of the Amended Complaint is not directed at Staples. To the extent any response is necessary, Staples lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 12 and therefore denies those allegations.

13. Paragraph 13 of the Amended Complaint is not directed at Staples. To the extent any response is necessary, Staples lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 13 and therefore denies those allegations.

14. Paragraph 14 of the Amended Complaint is not directed at Staples. To the extent any response is necessary, Staples lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 14 and therefore denies those allegations.

15. Paragraph 15 of the Amended Complaint is not directed at Staples. To the extent any response is necessary, Staples lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 15 and therefore denies those allegations.

16. Paragraph 16 of the Amended Complaint is not directed at Staples. To the extent any response is necessary, Staples lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 16 and therefore denies those allegations.

17. Paragraph 17 of the Amended Complaint is not directed at Staples. To the extent any response is necessary, Staples lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 17 and therefore denies those allegations.

18. Paragraph 18 of the Amended Complaint is not directed at Staples. To the extent any response is necessary, Staples lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 18 and therefore denies those allegations.

19. Staples admits the allegations of Paragraph 19 of the Amended Complaint.

20. Paragraph 20 of the Amended Complaint is not directed at Staples. To the extent any response is necessary, Staples lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 19 and therefore denies those allegations.

21. Paragraph 21 of the Amended Complaint is not directed at Staples. To the extent any response is necessary, Staples lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 21 and therefore denies those allegations.

22. Paragraph 22 of the Amended Complaint is not directed at Staples. To the extent any response is necessary, Staples lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 22 and therefore denies those allegations.

23. Paragraph 23 of the Amended Complaint is not directed at Staples. To the extent any response is necessary, Staples lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 23 and therefore denies those allegations.

#### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

24. Staples refers to and incorporates herein its previous answers to Paragraphs 1-23.

25. Staples admits that Paragraph 25 of the Amended Complaint alleges that this is an action arising under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35 of the United States Code, but denies the merits of such action. Staples admits that this Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

26. The allegations contained in paragraph 26 constitute conclusions of law to which no answer is required.

27. The allegations contained in paragraph 27 constitute conclusions of law to which no answer is required.

**ANSWER TO ALLEGED INFRINGEMENT OF  
U.S. PATENT NOS. 5,838,906 and 7,599,985**

28. Staples refers to and incorporates herein its previous answers to Paragraphs 1-27.

29. Staples admits that U.S. Patent No. 5,838,906 (the “‘906 Patent”) entitled “‘Distributed hypermedia method for automatically invoking external application providing interaction and display of embedded objects within a hypermedia document,” and U.S. Patent No. 7,599,985 (the “‘985 Patent”) entitled “‘Distributed hypermedia method and system for automatically invoking external application providing interaction and display of embedded objects within a hypermedia document” were issued by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on November 17, 1998 (‘906 Patent) and October 6, 2009 (‘985 Patent). Staples lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations of Paragraph 29 of the Amended Complaint, and therefore denies them.

30. Staples lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 30 of the Amended Complaint and therefore denies those allegations.

31. Paragraph 31 of the Amended Complaint is not directed at Staples. To the extent any response is necessary, Staples lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 31 and therefore denies those allegations.

32. Paragraph 32 of the Amended Complaint is not directed at Staples. To the extent any response is necessary, Staples lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 32 and therefore denies those allegations.

33. Paragraph 33 of the Amended Complaint is not directed at Staples. To the extent any response is necessary, Staples lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 33 and therefore denies those allegations.

34. Paragraph 34 of the Amended Complaint is not directed at Staples. To the extent any response is necessary, Staples lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 34 and therefore denies those allegations.

35. Paragraph 35 of the Amended Complaint is not directed at Staples. To the extent any response is necessary, Staples lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 35 and therefore denies those allegations.

36. Paragraph 36 of the Amended Complaint is not directed at Staples. To the extent any response is necessary, Staples lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 36 and therefore denies those allegations.

37. Paragraph 37 of the Amended Complaint is not directed at Staples. To the extent any response is necessary, Staples lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 37 and therefore denies those allegations.

38. Paragraph 38 of the Amended Complaint is not directed at Staples. To the extent any response is necessary, Staples lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 38 and therefore denies those allegations.

39. Paragraph 39 of the Amended Complaint is not directed at Staples. To the extent any response is necessary, Staples lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 39 and therefore denies those allegations.

40. Paragraph 40 of the Amended Complaint is not directed at Staples. To the extent any response is necessary, Staples lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 40 and therefore denies those allegations.

41. Paragraph 41 of the Amended Complaint is not directed at Staples. To the extent any response is necessary, Staples lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 41 and therefore denies those allegations.

42. Paragraph 42 of the Amended Complaint is not directed at Staples. To the extent any response is necessary, Staples lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 42 and therefore denies those allegations.

43. Paragraph 43 of the Amended Complaint is not directed at Staples. To the extent any response is necessary, Staples lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 43 and therefore denies those allegations.

44. Paragraph 44 of the Amended Complaint is not directed at Staples. To the extent any response is necessary, Staples lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 44 and therefore denies those allegations.

45. Paragraph 45 of the Amended Complaint is not directed at Staples. To the extent any response is necessary, Staples lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 45 and therefore denies those allegations.

46. Paragraph 46 of the Amended Complaint is not directed at Staples. To the extent any response is necessary, Staples lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 46 and therefore denies those allegations.

47. Paragraph 47 of the Amended Complaint is not directed at Staples. To the extent any response is necessary, Staples lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 47 and therefore denies those allegations.

48. Staples denies the allegations of Paragraph 48 of the Amended Complaint.

49. Paragraph 49 of the Amended Complaint is not directed at Staples. To the extent any response is necessary, Staples lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 49 and therefore denies those allegations.

50. Paragraph 50 of the Amended Complaint is not directed at Staples. To the extent any response is necessary, Staples lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 50 and therefore denies those allegations.

51. Paragraph 51 of the Amended Complaint is not directed at Staples. To the extent any response is necessary, Staples lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 51 and therefore denies those allegations.

52. Paragraph 52 of the Amended Complaint is not directed at Staples. To the extent any response is necessary, Staples lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 52 and therefore denies those allegations.

53. Staples admits that following commencement of this case it obtained knowledge of the '906 patent and denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 53 of the Amended Complaint. With respect to the other Defendants, Staples lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 53 of the Amended Complaint and therefore denies those allegations.

54. With respect to Staples, Staples denies the allegations of Paragraph 54 of the Amended Complaint. With respect to the other Defendants, Staples lacks knowledge and



information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 54 and therefore denies those allegations.

55. With respect to Staples, Staples denies the allegations of Paragraph 55 of the Amended Complaint. With respect to the other Defendants, Staples lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 55 and therefore denies those allegations.

56. With respect to Staples, Staples denies the allegations of Paragraph 56 of the Amended Complaint. With respect to the other Defendants, Staples lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 56 and therefore denies those allegations.

#### **ANSWER TO PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

57. Staples denies that Plaintiff is entitled to any of the relief requested in the Amended Complaint for Patent Infringement.

#### **DEFENSES**

Without conceding that any of the following necessarily must be pled as an affirmative defense, or that any of the following is not already at issue by virtue of the foregoing denials, and without prejudice to Staples' right to plead additional defenses as discovery into the facts of the matter may warrant, Staples hereby asserts the following defenses. Staples specifically reserves the right to amend its defenses further as additional information is developed through discovery or otherwise.

#### **FIRST DEFENSE**

Staples does not infringe and has not infringed (either directly, contributorily, or by inducement) any claim of the '906 Patent and the '985 Patent either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents.

## **SECOND DEFENSE**

The claims of the '906 Patent and the '985 Patent are invalid and/or unenforceable for failing to meet the requirements of one or more sections of Title 35, United States Code, including at least sections 102, 103, and/or 112, and one or more sections of Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations.

## **THIRD DEFENSE**

Plaintiff's claim for damages is limited in time by 35 U.S.C. § 286.

## **FOURTH DEFENSE**

The Amended Complaint fails to plead, and Plaintiff cannot carry its burden to prove, compliance with, or an exception to, the notice requirements of the patent laws, Title 35 of the United States Code, including, but not limited to, 35 U.S.C. § 287, and therefore alleged damages, if any, predating Plaintiff's assertion of the '906 Patent and the '985 Patent against Staples are not recoverable by Plaintiff.

## **FIFTH DEFENSE**

Plaintiff's Amended Complaint fails to state a claim for which relief can be granted.

## **SIXTH DEFENSE**

The claims stated in the Amended Complaint are barred by the doctrines of laches, estoppel, or other equitable defenses.

## **SEVENTH DEFENSE**

Plaintiff's claims for relief are limited by patent exhaustion and/or implied license.

## **EIGHTH DEFENSE**

Plaintiff is estopped from asserting a construction of any claim of the '906 Patent and/or the '985 Patent in any manner inconsistent with prior positions taken before the United States Patent and Trademark Office or any court of law.

### **REQUESTS FOR RELIEF**

Staples respectfully requests that this Court grant the following relief:

- A. Dismissal of the Amended Complaint for Patent Infringement against Staples with prejudice;
- B. A declaration that Plaintiff recovers nothing from Staples;
- C. An order enjoining Plaintiff, its owners, agents, employees, attorneys, and representatives, and any successors or assigns thereof, from charging or asserting infringement of any claim of the '906 Patent and the '985 Patent against Staples or anyone in privity with Staples;
- D. An award to Staples of its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs; and
- E. Such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

### **JURY DEMAND**

Under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 38(b), Staples respectfully requests a trial by jury on all matters raised in its Answer, and Defenses, or in the Amended Complaint for Patent Infringement.

Date: June 7, 2010

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Kate Hutchins

---

Michael E. Richardson, TX Bar No. 24002838  
BECK REDDEN & SECREST  
1221 McKinney, Suite 4500  
Houston, TX 77010  
Telephone: (713) 951-6284  
Facsimile: (713) 951-3720  
mrichardson@brsfirm.com

Mark G. Matuschak, admitted *pro hac vice*  
Donald R. Steinberg, admitted *pro hac vice*  
WILMER CUTLER PICKERING  
HALE AND DORR LLP  
60 State Street  
Boston, MA 02109  
Telephone: (617) 526-6000  
Facsimile: (617) 526-5000  
mark.matuschak@wilmerhale.com  
donald.steinberg@wilmerhale.com

Kate Hutchins, admitted *pro hac vice*  
WILMER CUTLER PICKERING  
HALE AND DORR LLP  
399 Park Avenue  
New York, NY 10011  
Telephone: (212) 230-8800  
Facsimile: (212) 230-8888  
kate.hutchins@wilmerhale.com

Daniel V. Williams, admitted *pro hac vice*  
WILMER CUTLER PICKERING  
HALE AND DORR LLP  
1875 Pennsylvania Avenue NW  
Washington, DC 20006  
Telephone: (202) 663-6000  
Facsimile: (202) 663-6363  
daniel.williams@wilmerhale.com

**ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT  
STAPLES, INC.**

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

The undersigned hereby certifies that all counsel of record who are deemed to have consented to electronic service are being served with a copy of this document via the Court's CM/ECF system per Local Rule CV-5(a)(3).

*/s/ Kate Hutchins*

\_\_\_\_\_  
Kate Hutchins