



2. Eolas admits that CDW purports to bring claims for declaratory judgment and that CDW's counterclaims arise under the Patent Laws of the United States and that this Court has jurisdiction over these counterclaims. Except as so admitted, Eolas denies the allegations in paragraph 2 of CDW's Counterclaims.

3. On information and belief, based solely on CDW's response to paragraph 6 of Eolas' First Amended Complaint, Eolas admits the allegations in paragraph 3 of CDW's Counterclaims.

4. Eolas admits the allegations in paragraph 4 of CDW's Counterclaims.

5. Eolas admits the allegations in paragraph 5 of CDW's Counterclaims.

6. Eolas admits that this Court has personal jurisdiction over it and that venue is proper in this District, and in the Tyler Division. Except as so admitted, Eolas denies the allegations in paragraph 6 of CDW's Counterclaims.

### **Count I**

#### **Declaratory Judgment of Non-Infringement Regarding U.S. Patent No. 5,838,906**

7. Paragraph 7 of CDW's Counterclaims does not contain a statement which warrants an affirmance or denial. To the extent any response is warranted, Eolas responds as follows: denied.

8. Eolas admits the allegations in paragraph 8 of CDW's Counterclaims.

9. Paragraph 9 of CDW's Counterclaims does not contain a statement which warrants an affirmance or denial. To the extent any response is warranted, Eolas responds as follows: denied.

10. Eolas admits the allegations in paragraph 10 of CDW's Counterclaims.

11. Eolas denies the allegations in paragraph 11 of CDW's Counterclaims.

## Count II

### Declaratory Judgment of Patent Invalidity Regarding U.S. Patent No. 5,838,906

12. Paragraph 12 of CDW's Counterclaims does not contain a statement which warrants an affirmance or denial. To the extent any response is warranted, Eolas responds as follows: denied.

13. Eolas admits the allegations in paragraph 13 of CDW's Counterclaims.

14. Paragraph 14 of CDW's Counterclaims does not contain a statement which warrants an affirmance or denial. To the extent any response is warranted, Eolas responds as follows: denied.

15. Eolas admits the allegations in paragraph 15 of CDW's Counterclaims.

16. Eolas denies the allegations in paragraph 16 of CDW's Counterclaims.

## Count III

### Declaratory Judgment of Non-Infringement Regarding U.S. Patent No. 7,599,985

17. Paragraph 17 of CDW's Counterclaims does not contain a statement which warrants an affirmance or denial. To the extent any response is warranted, Eolas responds as follows: denied.

18. Eolas admits the allegations in paragraph 18 of CDW's Counterclaims.

19. Paragraph 19 of CDW's Counterclaims does not contain a statement which warrants an affirmance or denial. To the extent any response is warranted, Eolas responds as follows: denied.

20. Eolas admits the allegations in paragraph 20 of CDW's Counterclaims.

21. Eolas denies the allegations in paragraph 21 of CDW's Counterclaims.

**Count IV**

**Declaratory Judgment of Patent Invalidity Regarding U.S. Patent No. 7,599,985**

22. Paragraph 22 of CDW's Counterclaims does not contain a statement which warrants an affirmance or denial. To the extent any response is warranted, Eolas responds as follows: denied.

23. Eolas admits the allegations in paragraph 23 of CDW's Counterclaims.

24. Eolas denies the allegations in paragraph 24 of CDW's Counterclaims.

25. Eolas admits the allegations in paragraph 25 of CDW's Counterclaims.

26. Eolas denies the allegations in paragraph 26 of CDW's Counterclaims.

**CDW'S REQUESTED RELIEF**

Eolas denies that CDW is entitled to the relief requested in paragraphs A-F of its Answer and Counterclaims or any other relief on its Answer and Counterclaims.

**CDW'S JURY DEMAND**

CDW's jury demand does not contain a statement which warrants an affirmance or denial.

**PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Eolas Technologies Incorporated, prays for the following relief against Defendant CDW Corporation:

A. that all relief requested by Eolas in its Complaint be granted;

B. that all relief requested by CDW in its Counterclaims be denied and that CDW take nothing by way of its Counterclaims;

C. that CDW be ordered to pay the costs of this action (including all disbursements) and attorney fees as provided by 35 U.S.C. § 285 and all other applicable statutes, rules, and common law; and

D. such other and further relief as the Court deems just and equitable.

### **AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES**

As affirmative defenses, Eolas alleges as follows:

#### **FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

CDW has failed to state a claim upon which relief can be granted, with respect to each cause of action set forth in its Counterclaims.

#### **SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

CDW has failed to state facts and/or a legal basis sufficient to permit recovery of its attorneys' fees and/or expenses for defending this suit.

#### **OTHER AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES**

Eolas hereby gives notice that it intends to rely upon any other defense that may become available in this case and hereby reserves the right to amend this Answer to assert any such defense.

#### **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Eolas demands a trial by jury of any and all issues triable of right before a jury.

DATED: June 24, 2010.

Respectfully submitted,

**McKool Smith, P.C.**

/s/ Mike McKool

Mike McKool

Lead Attorney

Texas State Bar No. 13732100

[mmckool@mckoolsmith.com](mailto:mmckool@mckoolsmith.com)

Douglas Cawley

Texas State Bar No. 04035500

[dcawley@mckoolsmith.com](mailto:dcawley@mckoolsmith.com)

Luke McLeroy

Texas State Bar No. 24041455

[lmcleroy@mckoolsmith.com](mailto:lmcleroy@mckoolsmith.com)

**McKool Smith, P.C.**

300 Crescent Court, Suite 1500

Dallas, Texas 75201

Telephone: (214) 978-4000

Telecopier: (214) 978-4044

Sam F. Baxter

Texas State Bar No. 01938000

[sbaxter@mckoolsmith.com](mailto:sbaxter@mckoolsmith.com)

**McKool Smith, P.C.**

104 E. Houston St., Ste. 300

P.O. Box O

Marshall, Texas 75670

Telephone: (903) 923-9000

Telecopier: (903) 923-9095

Kevin L. Burgess

Texas State Bar No. 24006927

[kburgess@mckoolsmith.com](mailto:kburgess@mckoolsmith.com)

Steven J. Pollinger

Texas State Bar No. 24011919

[spollinger@mckoolsmith.com](mailto:spollinger@mckoolsmith.com)

Josh W. Budwin

Texas State Bar No. 24050347

[jbudwin@mckoolsmith.com](mailto:jbudwin@mckoolsmith.com)

**McKool Smith, P.C.**

300 West Sixth Street, Suite 1700

Austin, Texas 78701

Telephone: (512) 692-8700

Telecopier: (512) 692-8744

**ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF  
EOLAS TECHNOLOGIES, INC.**

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

The undersigned certifies that the foregoing document was filed electronically in compliance with Local Rule CV-5(a). As such, this document was served on all counsel who have consented to electronic services on this the 24<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2010. Local Rule CV-5(a)(3)(A).

/s/ Josh Budwin

Josh Budwin