UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS TYLER DIVISION

)
Eolas Technologies Incorporated,)

norogres me orporan

Plaintiff,

VS.

No. 6:09-cv-00446-LED (filed Oct. 6, 2009)

Adobe Systems Inc.; Amazon.com, Inc.; Apple Inc.; CDW Corp.; Citigroup Inc.; eBay Inc.; Frito-Lay, Inc.; The Go Daddy Group, Inc.; Google Inc.; J.C. Penney Company, Inc.; JPMorgan Chase & Co.; New Frontier Media, Inc.; Office Depot, Inc.; Perot Systems Corp.; Playboy Enterprises International, Inc.; Rent-A- Center, Inc.; Staples, Inc.; Sun Microsystems, Inc.; Texas Instruments Inc.; Yahoo! Inc.; and YouTube, LLC,

Defendants.

Adobe Systems Inc.; Amazon.com, Inc.; Apple)
Inc.; CDW LLC; eBay Inc.; Frito-Lay, Inc.; The)
Go Daddy Group, Inc.; Google Inc.; J.C. Penney)
Company, Inc.; JPMorgan Chase & Co.; New)
Frontier Media, Inc.; Office Depot, Inc.; Perot)
Systems Corp.; Playboy Enterprises)
International, Inc.; Rent-A-Center, Inc.; Staples,)
Inc.; Oracle America, Inc. f/k/a Sun)
Microsystems, Inc.; Texas Instruments Inc.;)
Yahoo! Inc.; and YouTube, LLC,)

Counterclaimants,

vs.

Eolas Technologies Incorporated,

Counterdefendant.

JOINT CLAIM CONSTRUCTION AND PREHEARING STATEMENT

In accordance with Patent Rule 4-3 and the Court's Docket Control Order, *see* Docket No. 249, the parties hereby submit their Joint Claim Construction and Prehearing Statement. In accordance with Patent Rule 4-2(c), the parties met and conferred on October 7, 21, and 28 for the purposes of narrowing the issues and finalizing preparation of a Joint Claim Construction and Prehearing Statement.

A. Statement of the Parties

1. <u>Eolas' Statement</u>

The parties dispute the construction of 21 claim terms, plus 54 additional terms defendants contend should be construed pursuant to § 112, ¶6.

Eolas proposed two of the twenty-one disputed terms for construction. Eolas proposed no terms for construction pursuant to § 112, ¶6.

Defendants proposed twenty of the twenty-one disputed terms for construction. Defendants offer 17 different constructions for these 21 terms. Defendants proposed all fifty-four terms for construction pursuant to § 112, ¶6. These 54 additional terms are found in 31 claims of the patents-in-suit. None of the 54 claim terms recite "means for."

During the meet and confer process, Eolas demonstrated to defendants that the claim terms they have put at issue arise in nearly all of the claims of the patents-in-suit. A reduction in the number of asserted claims will not result in a material reduction of the number of claim construction disputes as defendants suggest. Moreover, Eolas has granted the defendants several extensions of their document production deadlines and therefore, Eolas has not yet been afforded a chance to review the productions of the twenty-one defendants. In light of this, the defendants' suggestion that Eolas reduce the number of claims at issue is premature.

2. <u>Defendants' Statement</u>

The Defendants disagree with Eolas's statement. As shown in Section C below, there are 15 groups of disputed terms for construction, and there is disagreement over whether $\S 112$, $\P 6$ applies to 31 of the asserted claims.

The number of disputed issues is a result of two factors: First, Eolas is asserting all 61 claims of the two patents-in-suit against 21 unrelated Defendants. Second, different claim terms

are important to the different groups of Defendants. The Defendants generally fall into three different groups based on their accused products: browsers (e.g., Google's Chrome browser, Apple's Safari browser); software (e.g., Adobe's Flash player, Oracle's Java software); and websites (e.g., Amazon.com, Yahoo.com, and most other defendants).

The Defendants have asked Eolas to reduce the number of asserted claims, but Eolas has refused, notwithstanding the Court's statement to Eolas on August 31, 2010, "I assume plaintiff [Eolas] will narrow those down [the number of asserted claims] before we get too much farther down the road." Hr'g Tr. at 30:25–31:1 [Docket No. 413].

The Defendants have also asked Eolas to drop all infringement theories involving Microsoft products, but Eolas has refused, notwithstanding its prior settlement with Microsoft. As a result, Microsoft has filed a motion for a preliminary injunction against Eolas, which Judge Pallmeyer is scheduled to hear in Chicago on November 23, 2010. The Defendants believe that Eolas should be able to reduce the number of asserted claims, accused products, and/or defendants by no later than the decision on Microsoft's motion for a preliminary injunction. Otherwise, as stated in Section F below, the Defendants may request a prehearing conference after November 23, 2010 — but well in advance of the claim construction hearing on March 3, 2011 — to ask for this Court's assistance.

B. Agreed-upon constructions

The chart below provides the construction of those claim terms, phrases, or clauses on which the parties agree:

<u>Claim Term(s)</u>	Agreed-Upon Construction
type information utilized by said browser to identify and locate [an / said] executable application	
with the browser application: utilizing the type information to identify and locate an executable application	
utilize the browser to: utilize the type information to identify and locate an executable application external to the file	the identify and locate functions are performed by the browser
type information is utilized by the browser to identify and locate said executable application	
with the browser application: identifying and locating an executable application	
executable application is identified and located by the browser	
enable interactive processing of said object	allow the object to be processed based on the user's interaction
[enable / enabling] an end-user to directly interact with [said / the / an] object	allowing a user to directly interact with the object
interactively control[ling]	controlling through back-and-forth interactions between a user and the controllable application

C. <u>Disputed Claim Constructions</u>

The chart below provides each party's proposed construction of each disputed claim term, phrase, or clause, to the extent that § 112, ¶ 6 does <u>not</u> apply to the claim in which the phrase appears. The parties dispute whether § 112, ¶ 6 applies to any claims. Eolas contends that § 112, ¶ 6 does not apply to any claims. Defendants contend that § 112, ¶ 6 applies to claims 6–10 and 13–14 of U.S. Patent No. 5,838,906 ("the '906 patent"), and claims 16–35 and 40–43 of U.S. Patent No. 7,599,985 ("the '985 patent").

Exhibit A provides Eolas' identification of intrinsic and extrinsic evidence supporting its proposed constructions, as required by P.R. 4-3(b), and Eolas' proposed corresponding structure(s)/act(s) to the extent that § 112, ¶ 6 is found to apply.

Exhibit B provides Defendants' identification of intrinsic and extrinsic evidence supporting their proposed constructions, as required by P.R. 4-3(b), and Defendants' proposed corresponding structure(s)/act(s) to the extent that § 112, ¶ 6 is found to apply.

Claim Term(s)	Eolas' Proposal	<u>Defendants' Proposal</u>
automatically [invoking / invoke] [the / said] executable application	automatically calling or activating the executable application ¹ .	in response to the browser parsing an embed text format, the executable application is launched to permit a user to interact with the object immediately, without any intervening activation of the object by the user
executable application is automatically invoked by the browser	executable application is automatically called or activated by the browser.	
workstation	a computer system connected to a network that serves the role of an information requester	a desktop or deskside computer with an operating system and hardware designed for technical or scientific applications that provides higher performance than a personal computer
network server	a computer system that serves the role of an information provider	a computer running software that is capable of executing applications responsive to requests from a client workstation, and that processes commands from a client workstation to locate and retrieve documents or files from storage
executable application	any computer program code, that is not the operating system or a utility, that is launched to enable an enduser to directly interact with data.	a compiled native binary program, designed to help users perform certain tasks, that remains discrete and separate from the browser application, and is not the operating system, a utility, or a library

¹ Underlining in the proposed construction indicates that the underlined word has been separately construed or separately proposed for construction.

Eolas' Proposal	<u>Defendants' Proposal</u>
text, images, sound files, video data, documents or other types of information that is presentable to a user of a computer system.	information capable of being retrieved and presented to a user of a computer system, which is not a program and which does not include source code or byte code
any information used by the browser to identify and locate the executable application, and may include the name of an application associated with the object	a value needed by the browser to determine which executable application to launch for a given object. The value can specify either a particular application or data type, or both
a named collection of data.	a static document stored on a file system
a document that allows a user to click on images, sound icons, video icons, etc., that link to other objects of various media types, such as additional graphics, sound video, text, or hypermedia or hypertext documents [first] hypermedia document that allows a user to access a remote data object over a	a document received by the browser that includes links (specified by the hypertext format) to graphics, sound, video or other media
the file contains information to allow the browser application to display at least part of a distributed hypermedia document.	
text that initiates processing.	a predefined set of tags or symbols that specify the formatting of a document
	text, images, sound files, video data, documents or other types of information that is presentable to a user of a computer system. any information used by the browser to identify and locate the executable application, and may include the name of an application associated with the object a named collection of data. a document that allows a user to click on images, sound icons, video icons, etc., that link to other objects of various media types, such as additional graphics, sound video, text, or hypermedia or hypertext documents [first] hypermedia document that allows a user to access a remote data object over a network. the file contains information to allow the browser application to display at least part of a distributed hypermedia document.

Claim Term(s)	Eolas' Proposal	<u>Defendants' Proposal</u>
embed text format	text format for embedding an object.	a tag that specifies the object to be embedded at the location of the tag
embed text format, located at a first location in said first distributed hypermedia document	embed text format located at a first location in the first distributed hypermedia document.	embed text format located at the place in the received document where the embedded object will appear within the displayed document
embed text format [which] correspond[s/ing] to [a / said] first location in the document	embed text format which relates to a first location in the document.	embed text format located at the place in the received file where the embedded object will appear within the displayed document
distributed application	an application that may be broken up and performed among two or more computers.	application external to the browser, where application tasks that could be performed on a single computer are instead broken up and performed at the same time on both the client workstation and one or more computers that are remote to the client workstation
A computer program product comprising a computer usable medium having computer readable program code physically embodied therein, said computer program product further comprising: computer readable program code for causing said client workstation to execute a browser application	the computer program product that includes a computer usable medium having computer readable program code for causing the client workstation to execute a browser application.	a physical item that is commercially available and includes the computer code necessary to run a browser application on a client workstation
computer readable media encoded with software	computer readable media having software.	a physical item that includes the computer code necessary to run a browser application on a client workstation

Claim Term(s)	Eolas' Proposal	Defendants' Proposal
pars[e/es/ed/ing]	to break an input into smaller pieces.	decomposing a string of text using a grammar and categorizing its components
identify[ing] an embed text format	identifying an <u>embed text</u> <u>format</u> .	detecting an embed text format during parsing of a hypermedia document
an embed text format is identified	an <u>embed text format</u> is identified.	
		specifies the location of at least a portion of [an / said] object
specifies the location of at least a portion of [an / said] object	specifies the location of at least part of an <u>object</u> .	Where "specifies" has its common meaning: "to name or state explicitly or in detail." (See MERRIAM-WEBSTER'S COLLEGIATE DICTIONARY 9th Edition (1991))

D. Anticipated length of time for the Claim Construction Hearing

The parties anticipate that the Claim Construction Hearing will require three hours. The Court previously scheduled the Claim Construction Hearing for March 3, 2011, starting at 9:30 a.m. *See* Docket No. 249.

E. <u>Identification of witnesses</u>

No party proposes calling any witnesses, including experts, at the Claim Construction Hearing.

F. Other issues

The Defendants may file a request for a prehearing conference after November 23, 2010, the date on which Judge Pallmeyer in Chicago is scheduled to hear Microsoft's motion for a preliminary injunction against Eolas. *See Microsoft Corp. v. Eolas Techs. Inc.*, No. 1:10-cv-03820 (N.D. Ill. filed June 18, 2010). The Defendants believe that the ruling by Judge Pallmeyer may provide an opportunity for the parties in this Court to narrow the scope of this case.

Eolas does not believe that such a hearing is necessary at this time.

DATED: October 29, 2010

By: /s/ Mike McKool

Mike McKool (Bar No. 13732100)
<mmckool@mckoolsmith.com>
Douglas Cawley (Bar No. 04035500)
<dcawley@mckoolsmith.com>
Luke McLeroy (Bar No. 24041455)
<lmcleroy@mckoolsmith.com>
McKool Smith, P.C.

300 Crescent Court, Suite 1500

Dallas, TX 75201

Telephone: (214) 978-4000 Facsimile: (214) 978-4044

Sam F. Baxter (Bar No. 01938000) <sbaxter@mckoolsmith.com>

McKool Smith, P.C.

104 E. Houston St., Ste. 300

P.O. Box O

Marshall, TX 75670

Telephone: (903) 923-9000 Facsimile: (903) 923-9095

Kevin L. Burgess (Bar No. 24006927) < kburgess@mckoolsmith.com>

Steven J. Pollinger (Bar No. 24011919) <spollinger@mckoolsmith.com>

Josh W. Budwin (Bar No. 24050347) jbudwin@mckoolsmith.com>

Matt Rappaport (Bar No. 24070472) <mrappaport@mckoolsmith.com>

McKool Smith, P.C.

300 West Sixth Street, Suite 1700

Austin, TX 78701

Telephone: (512) 692-8700 Facsimile: (512) 692-8744

Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counterdefendant Eolas Technologies, Inc.

By: /s/ Jason W. Wolff

David J. Healey Healey@fr.com

FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.

1 Houston Center

1221 McKinney Street, Suite 2800

Houston, TX 77010

Telephone: (713) 654-5300 Facsimile: (713) 652-0109

OF COUNSEL:

Frank E. Scherkenbach <Scherkenbach@fr.com>

FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.

One Marina Park Drive

Boston, MA 02110-1878

Telephone: (617) 542-5070 Facsimile: (617) 542-8906

Jason W. Wolff

< Wolff@fr.com>

Joseph P. Reid (pro hac vice) <Reid@fr.com>

FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.

12390 EI Camino Real

San Diego, CA 92130

Telephone: (858) 678-5070

Facsimile: (858) 678-5099

Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaimant Adobe Systems Inc.

By: /s/ Joseph H. Lee

Douglas Lumish (pro hac vice) <doug.lumish@weil.com> Jared Bobrow (pro hac vice) <jared.bobrow@weil.com>

Joseph H. Lee (pro hac vice) <joseph.lee@weil.com>

Parker C. Ankrum (pro hac vice) cparker.ankrum@weil.com>

WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP 201 Redwood Shores Parkway Redwood Shores, CA 94065

Telephone: (650) 802-3000 Facsimile: (650) 802-3100

Christian J. Hurt (Bar No. 24059987) christian.hurt@weil.com

WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP

700 Louisiana, Suite 1600

Houston, TX 77002

Telephone: (713) 546-5000 Facsimile: (713) 224-9511

Otis W. Carroll, Jr. (Bar No. 03895700)

<<u>fedserv@icklaw.com</u>>

Deborah J. Race (Bar No. 16448700) <drace@icklaw.com>

IRELAND CARROLL & KELLEY

6101 S. Broadway, Suite 500

Tyler, TX 75703

Telephone: (903) 561-1600 Facsimile: (903) 581-1071

Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaimant Amazon.com, Inc.

By: /s/ Richard A. Cederoth

David T. Pritikin (*pro hac vice*) <dpritikin@sidley.com>

Richard A. Cederoth (pro hac vice)

<<u>rcederoth@sidley.com</u>>

Shubham Mukherjee (*pro hac vice*) <smukherjee@sidley.com>

SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP

One South Dearborn Street

Chicago, IL 60603

Telephone: (312) 853-7000 Facsimile: (312) 853-7036

Teague I. Donahey (pro hac vice) <tdonahey@sidley.com>

SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP

555 California Street, Suite 2000

San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 772-1200

Facsimile: (415) 772-7400

Theodore W. Chandler (pro hac vice)

<tchandler@sidley.com>

SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP

555 West Fifth Street, Suite 4000

Los Angeles, CA 90013

Telephone: (213) 896-6000 Facsimile: (213) 896-6600

Duy D. Nguyen (pro hac vice) <ddnguyen@sidley.com>

SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP

1801 Page Mill Road, Suite 110

Palo Alto, CA 94304

Telephone: (650) 565-7000 Facsimile: (650) 565-7100

Eric M. Albritton (Bar No. 00790215)

<ema@emafirm.com>

ALBRITTON LAW FIRM

P.O. Box 2649

Longview, TX 75606

Telephone: (903) 757-8449 Facsimile: (903) 758-7397

Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaimant Apple Inc.

By: /s/ Thomas L. Duston

Thomas L. Duston

<tduston@marshallip.com>

Anthony S. Gabrielson

<agabrielson@marshallip.com>

Scott A. Sanderson (pro hac vice)

<ssanderson@marshallip.com>

MARSHALL, GERSTEIN & BORUN LLP

6300 Willis Tower

233 South Wacker Drive

Chicago, IL 60606-6357

Telephone: (312) 474-6300

Facsimile: (312) 474-0448

Eric H. Findlay (Bar No. 00789886)

<efindlay@findlaycraft.com>

Brian Craft (Bar No. 04972020)

<bcraft@findlaycraft.com>

FINDLAY CRAFT, LLP

6760 Old Jacksonville Highway

Suite 101

Tyler, TX 75703

Telephone: (903) 534-1100

Facsimile: (903) 534-1137

Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaimant CDW LLC

By: /s/ M. Scott Fuller

Edwin R. DeYoung (Bar No. 05673000) < edeyoung@lockelord.com>

Roy W. Hardin (Bar No. 08968300) <rhardin@lockelord.com>

Roger Brian Cowie (Bar No. 00783886) <rcowie@lockelord.com>

M. Scott Fuller (Bar No. 24036607)

<sfuller@lockelord.com> Galyn Gafford (Bar No. 24040938)

<ggafford@lockelord.com>

LOCKE LORD BISSELL & LIDDELL LLP 2200 Ross Avenue. Suite 2200

Dallas, TX 75201-6776

Telephone: (214) 740-8000 Facsimile: (214) 740-8800

Alexas D. Skucas (pro hac vice) <askucas@kslaw.com>

KING & SPALDING LLP

1185 Avenue of the Americas

New York, NY 10036-4003

Telephone: (212) 556-2100 Facsimile: (212) 556-2222

Eric L. Sophir (*pro hac vice*) <esophir@kslaw.com>

KING & SPALDING LLP

1700 Pennsylvania Ave. NW, Suite 200

Washington, D.C. 20006-4707 Telephone: (202) 626-8980 Facsimile: (202) 626-3737

Attorneys for Defendant Citigroup Inc.

By: /s/ Joseph H. Lee

Douglas Lumish (pro hac vice)

<doug.lumish@weil.com>
Jared Bobrow (pro hac vice)

<jared.bobrow@weil.com>
Joseph H. Lee (pro hac vice)

<joseph.lee@weil.com>
Parker C. Ankrum (pro hac vice)

<parker.ankrum@weil.com>
Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP
201 Redwood Shores Parkway

Redwood Shores, CA 94065 Telephone: (650) 802-3000 Facsimile: (650) 802-3100

Christian J. Hurt (Bar No. 24059987) <<u>christian.hurt@weil.com</u>> WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP 700 Louisiana, Suite 1600 Houston, TX 77002

Telephone: (713) 546-5000 Facsimile: (713) 224-9511

Otis W. Carroll, Jr. (Bar No. 03895700)
<<u>fedserv@icklaw.com</u>>
Deborah J. Race (Bar No. 16448700)
<<u>drace@icklaw.com</u>>
IRELAND CARROLL & KELLEY
6101 S. Broadway, Suite 500

Tyler, TX 75703 Telephone: (903) 561-1600 Facsimile: (903) 581-1071

Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaimant eBay Inc.

By: /s/ Jeffrey F. Yee

Jeffrey K. Joyner (pro hac vice) <joynerj@gtlaw.com>

Jeffrey F. Yee (pro hac vice)

<<u>yeej@gtlaw.com</u>>

GREENBERG TRAURIG LLP

2450 Colorado Avenue, Suite 400E

Santa Monica, CA 90404 Telephone: (310) 586-7700 Facsimile: (310) 586-7800

Christopher M. Joe (Bar No. 00787770)

<chrisjoe@bjciplaw.com>

Brian Carpenter (Bar No. 03840600)

<<u>brian.carpenterb@bjciplaw.com</u>>

Eric W. Buether (Bar No. 03316880)

<eric.buethere@bjciplaw.com>

BUETHER JOE & CARPENTER, LLP

1700 Pacific, Suite 2390

Dallas, TX 75201

Telephone: (214) 466-1270 Facsimile: (214) 635-1842

Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaimant Frito-Lay, Inc.

By: /s/ Neil J. McNabnay

Thomas M. Melsheimer (Bar No. 13922550)

<txm@fr.com>

Neil J. McNabnay (Bar No. 24002583)

<<u>njm@fr.com</u>>

FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.

1717 Main Street, Suite 5000

Dallas, TX 75201

Telephone: (214) 747-5070 Facsimile: (214) 747-2091

Proshanto Mukherji (pro hac vice)

<pvm@fr.com>

FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.

One Marina Park Drive

Boston, MA 02110-1878

Telephone: (617) 542-5070 Facsimile: (617) 542-8906

Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaimant The Go Daddy Group, Inc.

By: /s/ Scott T. Weingaertner

Scott T. Weingaertner (pro hac vice)

<sweingaertner@kslaw.com>

Robert F. Perry (pro hac vice)

<rperry@kslaw.com>

Allison Altersohn (pro hac vice)

<aaltersohn@kslaw.com>

Christopher C. Carnaval (pro hac vice)

<ccarnaval@kslaw.com>

Mark H. Francis (pro hac vice)

<mfrancis@kslaw.com>

KING & SPALDING LLP

1185 Avenue of the Americas

New York, NY 10036-4003

Telephone: (212) 556-2100 Facsimile: (212) 556-2222

Michael E. Jones (Bar No. 10929400)

<mikejones@potterminton.com>

Allen F. Gardner (Bar No. 24043679) <allengardner@potterminton.com>

POTTER MINTON

A Professional Corporation

110 N. College, Suite 500 (75702)

P.O. Box 359

Tyler, TX 75710

Telephone: (903) 597-8311 Facsimile: (903) 593-0846

Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaimant Google Inc.

By: /s/ Jeffrey F. Yee

Jeffrey K. Joyner (pro hac vice)

<joynerj@gtlaw.com>

Jeffrey F. Yee (pro hac vice)

<<u>yeej@gtlaw.com</u>>

GREENBERG TRAURIG LLP

2450 Colorado Avenue, Suite 400E

Santa Monica, CA 90404 Telephone: (310) 586-7700

Facsimile: (310) 586-7800

Christopher M. Joe (Bar No. 00787770)

<<u>chrisjoe@bjciplaw.com</u>>

Brian Carpenter (Bar No. 03840600)

<<u>brian.carpenterb@bjciplaw.com</u>>

Eric W. Buether (Bar No. 03316880)

<eric.buethere@bjciplaw.com>

BUETHER JOE & CARPENTER, LLP

1700 Pacific, Suite 2390

Dallas, TX 75201

Telephone: (214) 466-1270 Facsimile: (214) 635-1842

Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaimant J.C. Penney Company,

By: /s/ Stephen K. Shahida

Stephen K. Shahida (pro hac vice)

<sshahida@mwe.com>

David O. Crump (pro hac vice)

<<u>dcrump@mwe.com</u>>

McDermott Will & Emery LLP

600 13th Street, N.W.

Washington, DC 20005-3096

Telephone: (202) 756-8327 Facsimile: (202) 756-8087

Trey Yarbrough (Bar No. 22133500)

<trey@yw-lawfirm.com>

Debra Elaine Gunter (Bar No. 24012752)

<debby@yw-lawfirm.com>

YARBROUGH WILCOX, PLLC

100 E. Ferguson Street

Suite 1015

Tyler, TX 75702

Telephone: (903) 595-3111 Facsimile: (903) 595-0191

Attorneys for Defendant and

Counterclaimant JPMorgan Chase & Co.

By: /s/ Michael Simons

Michael Simons (Bar No. 24008042)

<msimons@akingump.com>

AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD LLP

300 West 6th Street, Suite 2100

Austin, TX 78701

Telephone: (512) 499-6253

Facsimile: (512) 499-6290

Attorney for Defendant and

Counterclaimant New Frontier Media, Inc.

By: /s/ Suzanne M. Wallman

Kenneth J. Jurek

<kjurek@mwe.com>

Suzanne M. Wallman

<swallman@mwe.com>

Brett E. Bachtell

bbachtell@mwe.com>

McDermott Will & Emery LLP

227 West Monroe Street

Chicago, IL 60606

Telephone: (312) 372-2000 Facsimile: (312) 984-7700

David M. Stein (Bar No. 00797494)

<dstein@mwe.com>

McDermott Will & Emery LLP

18191 Von Karman Avenue, Suite 500

Irvine, CA 92612-7108

Telephone: (949) 851-0633 Facsimile: (949) 851-9348

J. Thad Heartfield (Bar No. 09346800)

<thad@jth-law.com>

THE HEARTFIELD LAW FIRM

2195 Dowlen Road

Beaumont, TX 77706

Telephone: (409) 866-3318 Facsimile: (409) 866-5789

Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaimant Office Depot, Inc.

By: /s/ Scott F. Partridge

Scott F. Partridge (Bar No. 00786940) <scott.partridge@bakerbotts.com>

Roger J. Fulghum (Bar No. 00790724) <roger.fulghum@bakerbotts.com>

BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.

One Shell Plaza

910 Louisiana

Houston, TX 77002-4995

Telephone: (713) 229-1234 Facsimile: (713) 229-1522

Kevin J. Meek (Bar No. 13899600)

<kevin.meek@bakerbotts.com>

Paula D. Heyman (Bar No. 24027075)

paula.heyman@bakerbotts.com>

BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.

1500 San Jacinto Center

Austin, TX 78701-4075

Telephone: (512) 322-2500 Facsimile: (512) 322-2501

Vernon E. Evans (Bar No. 24069688)

< <u>vernon.evans@bakerbotts.com</u>>

BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.

2001 Ross Avenue

Dallas, TX 75201-2980

Telephone: (214) 953-6500 Facsimile: (214) 953-6503

Shannon Dacus (Bar No. 00791004)

<Shannond@ramevflock.com>

RAMEY & FLOCK, P.C.

100 East Ferguson, Suite 500

Tyler, TX 75702

Telephone: (903) 597-3301 Facsimile: (903) 597-2413

Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaimant Perot Systems Corp.

By: /s/ Gentry C. McLean

David B. Weaver (Bar No. 00798576)

<dweaver@velaw.com>

Avelyn M. Ross (Bar No. 24027871)

<aross@velaw.com>

Gentry C. McLean (Bar No. 24046403)

<gmclean@velaw.com>

John A. Fedock (Bar No. 24059737)

<ifedock@velaw.com>

VINSON & ELKINS LLP

2801 Via Fortuna, Suite 100

Austin, TX 78746-7568

Tel: (512) 542-8400

Fax: (512) 236-3218

Attorneys for Defendant and

Counterclaimant Playboy Enterprises

International, Inc.

By: /s/ Jeffrey F. Yee

Jeffrey K. Joyner (pro hac vice)

<joynerj@gtlaw.com>

Jeffrey F. Yee (pro hac vice)

<yeei@gtlaw.com>

GREENBERG TRAURIG LLP

2450 Colorado Avenue, Suite 400E

Santa Monica, CA 90404

Telephone: (310) 586-7700

Facsimile: (310) 586-7800

Christopher M. Joe (Bar No. 00787770)

<chrisjoe@bjciplaw.com>

Brian Carpenter (Bar No. 03840600)

<bri>description <bri>description
description <p

Eric W. Buether (Bar No. 03316880)

<eric.buethere@bjciplaw.com>

BUETHER JOE & CARPENTER, LLP

1700 Pacific, Suite 2390

Dallas, TX 75201

Telephone: (214) 466-1270

Facsimile: (214) 635-1842

Attorneys for Defendant and

Counterclaimant Rent-A-Center, Inc.

By: /s/ Daniel V. Williams

Mark G. Matuschak (pro hac vice)

<mark.matuschak@wilmerhale.com>

Donald R. Steinberg (pro hac vice)

<donald.steinberg@wilmerhale.com>

WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND

DORR LLP

60 State Street

Boston, MA 02109

Telephone: (617) 526-6000 Facsimile: (617) 526-5000

Kate Hutchins (pro hac vice)

<kate.hutchins@wilmerhale.com>

WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND

DORR LLP

399 Park Avenue

New York, NY 10011

Telephone: (212) 230-8800 Facsimile: (212) 230-8888

Daniel V. Williams, (pro hac vice)

<daniel.williams@wilmerhale.com>

WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND

DORR LLP

1875 Pennsylvania Avenue NW

Washington, DC 20006

Telephone: (202) 663-6000 Facsimile: (202) 663-6363

Michael E. Richardson (Bar No. 24002838)

<mrichardson@brsfirm.com>

BECK REDDEN & SECREST

1221 McKinney, Suite 4500

Houston, TX 77010

Telephone: (713) 951-6284 Facsimile: (713) 951-3720

Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaimant Staples, Inc.

By: /s/ Kathryn B. Riley

Mark D. Fowler (pro hac vice)

<mark.fowler@dlapiper.com>

DLA PIPER US LLP

2000 University Avenue

East Palo Alto, CA 94303-2215 Telephone: (650) 833-2000

Facsimile: (650) 833-2001

Kathryn B. Riley (pro hac vice)

kathryn.riley@dlapiper.com

DLA PIPER US LLP

401 B Street, Suite 1700

San Diego, CA 92101

Telephone: (619) 699-2700

Facsimile: (619) 764-6692

Eric H. Findlay (Bar No. 00789886)

<efindlay@findlaycraft.com>

FINDLAY CRAFT, LLP

6760 Old Jacksonville Highway

Suite 101

Tyler, TX 75703

Telephone: (903) 534-1100

Facsimile: (903) 534-1137

Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaimant Oracle America, Inc. (formerly known as Sun Microsystems, Inc.)

By: /s/ Amanda A. Abraham

Carl R. Roth (Bar No. 17312000)

<<u>cr@rothfirm.com</u>>

Brendan C. Roth (Bar No. 24040132)

<<u>br@rothfirm.com</u>>

Amanda A. Abraham (Bar No. 24055077)

<aa@rothfirm.com>

THE ROTH LAW FIRM, P.C. 115 N. Wellington, Suite 200 Marshall, TX 75670

Telephone: (903) 935-1665 Facsimile: (903) 935-1797

Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaimant Texas Instruments Incorporated

By: /s/ Joseph H. Lee

Douglas Lumish (pro hac vice)

<doug.lumish@weil.com>
Jared Bobrow (pro hac vice)

<jared.bobrow@weil.com>
Joseph H. Lee (pro hac vice)

<joseph.lee@weil.com>
Parker C. Ankrum (pro hac vice)

<parker.ankrum@weil.com>
Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP
201 Redwood Shores Parkway
Redwood Shores, CA 94065

Telephone: (650) 802-3000 Facsimile: (650) 802-3100

Christian J. Hurt (Bar No. 24059987) <<u>christian.hurt@weil.com</u>> WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP 700 Louisiana, Suite 1600

Houston, TX 77002 Telephone: (713) 546-5000

Facsimile: (713) 224-9511
Otis W. Carroll, Jr. (Bar No. 03895700)

<<u>fedserv@icklaw.com</u>>
Deborah J. Race (Bar No. 16448700)
<<u>drace@icklaw.com</u>>

IRELAND CARROLL & KELLEY 6101 S. Broadway, Suite 500

Tyler, TX 75703

Telephone: (903) 561-1600 Facsimile: (903) 581-1071

Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaimant Yahoo! Inc.

By: /s/ Scott T. Weingaertner

Scott T. Weingaertner (pro hac vice)

<sweingaertner@kslaw.com>

Robert F. Perry (pro hac vice)

<rperry@kslaw.com>

Allison Altersohn (pro hac vice)

<aaltersohn@kslaw.com>

Christopher C. Carnaval (pro hac vice)

<ccarnaval@kslaw.com>

Mark H. Francis (pro hac vice)

<mfrancis@kslaw.com>

KING & SPALDING LLP

1185 Avenue of the Americas

New York, NY 10036-4003

Telephone: (212) 556-2100 Facsimile: (212) 556-2222

Michael E. Jones (Bar No. 10929400)

<mikejones@potterminton.com>

Allen F. Gardner (Bar No. 24043679) <allengardner@potterminton.com>

POTTER MINTON

A Professional Corporation

110 N. College, Suite 500 (75702)

P.O. Box 359

Tyler, TX 75710

Telephone: (903) 597-8311 Facsimile: (903) 593-0846

Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaimant YouTube, LLC

SIGNATURE ATTESTATION

I hereby certify that concurrence in the service of this document has been obtained from each of the other signatories shown above.

/s/ Shubham Mukherjee
Shubham Mukherjee, Attorney for one of the Defendants

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that all counsel of record who are deemed to have consented to electronic service are being served with a copy of this document via the Court's CM/ECF system per Local Rule CV-5(a)(3) on October 29, 2010.

/s/ Duy D. Nguyen
Duy D. Nguyen, Attorney for one of the Defendants