

# Exhibit DD



page

1

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
2 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
3 -----  
4 EOLAS TECHNOLOGIES INCORPORATED,  
5 Plaintiff,  
6 vs. Case No. C-99-0212 CRB (MISC)  
7 MICROSOFT CORPORATION,  
8 Defendant.  
9 -----

10 VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION  
11 The following is the videotaped  
12 deposition of DAVID C. MARTIN, taken before  
13 Sherlyn M. Anderson, RPR, Notary Public,  
14 pursuant to Notice of Taking Deposition, at the  
15 Law Offices of Robins, Kaplan, Miller & Ciresi,  
16 L.L.P., 2800 LaSalle Plaza, 800 LaSalle Avenue,  
17 Minneapolis, Minnesota, commencing at  
18 approximately 9:20 a.m., January 20, 2000.  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

page

2

1 APPEARANCES:  
2 On Behalf of the Defendants:  
3 Steven P. Petersen, Esquire  
4 Leydig, Voit & Mayer, Ltd.  
5 Two Prudential Plaza  
6 Suite 4900  
7 Chicago, Illinois 60601-6780  
8 (312) 616-5600  
9  
10 and  
11 Richard A. Cederoth, Esquire  
12 Sidley & Austin  
13 One First National Plaza  
14 Chicago, Illinois 60603  
15 (312) 853-7026  
16  
17 On Behalf of the Plaintiff:  
18 Jan M. Conlin, Esquire, and  
19 Richard M. Martinez, Esquire  
20 Robins, Kaplan, Miller &  
21 Ciresi, L.L.P.  
22 2800 LaSalle Plaza  
23 800 LaSalle Avenue  
24 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402-2015  
25  
Also Present: Don Carl, Video Technician  
Cheong Ang  
Mike Doyle

19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
page

3

1	DEPOSITION REFERENCE INDEX
2	EXAMINATIONS:
3	By Mr. Petersen: 5
4	OBJECTIONS:
5	By Ms. Conlin: 8, 18, 22, 23, 24, 25, 27, 32,
6	33, 36, 37, 40, 41, 44, 51, 62, 65, 73, 74,
7	76, 77, 78, 80, 87, 88, 90, 91, 92, 93, 99,
8	102, 103, 108, 109, 113, 114, 115, 117,
9	119, 121, 122, 128, 129, 130, 131, 133,
10	134, 135, 136, 137, 138, 145, 146, 148,
11	149, 150, 156, 157, 158, 160, 162, 166,
12	170, 171, 181, 182, 184, 185, 186, 190,
13	191, 194, 195, 196, 206, 221, 223, 225,
14	232, 233

15	WITNESS INSTRUCTED NOT TO ANSWER:
16	By Ms. Conlin: 73, 74, 76, 77, 78, 103

17	EXHIBIT REFERENCE INDEX
18	MARTIN EXHIBIT NO. 72.....68
19	MARTIN EXHIBIT NO. 73.....86, 202
20	MARTIN EXHIBIT NO. 74.....108
21	MARTIN EXHIBIT NO. 75.....116
22	PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT NO. 15.....125, 129
23	MARTIN EXHIBIT NO. 76.....126
24	MARTIN EXHIBIT NO. 77.....207
25	MARTIN EXHIBIT NO. 78.....208, 219
26	MARTIN EXHIBIT NO. 79.....208, 223
27	MARTIN EXHIBIT NO. 80.....208, 224

19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
page 4

1 PROCEEDINGS  
2 Whereupon, the deposition of DAVID  
3 C. MARTIN was commenced at 9:20 a.m. as follows:  
4 \*\*\*  
5 VIDEO TECHNICIAN: Today is  
6 Thursday, January 20th, in the year 2000.  
7 We're at 2800 LaSalle Plaza,  
8 800 LaSalle Avenue, Minneapolis, Minnesota. This  
9 is the commencement of the videotaped deposition  
10 of Mr. David Martin.  
11 My name is Don Carl and I'm the  
12 video technician.  
13 Will the attorneys please note their

¶page 20

18 there was a vacancy in the position of director  
19 of the Center and that was being fulfilled by  
20 Dick Lucier who was the assistant vice chancellor  
21 and University Librarian.  
22 Q. What was your title then when you

23 joined?

24 A. I can't recall specifically. I  
25 believe when I joined I joined as assistant

¶page 21

1 director for innovative software systems, but at  
2 the time that I joined there was a number of  
3 individuals leaving the organization and I would  
4 have to refer back to my offer letter to actually  
5 see the title that was specified at the time.

6 Q. But essentially when you joined you  
7 were reporting to the acting director who was the  
8 University Librarian?

9 A. That is correct.

10 Q. And that was the fall of 1992?

11 A. Fall of 1992, that is correct.

12 Q. Can you be more specific, do you  
13 remember the month?

4 Q. What does it mean to say that the  
5 embed text format is at a location in a  
6 hypermedia document?

7 A. Referring back to Column 14, at line  
8 13, it says that "Returning to Figure 7, it is  
9 assumed that a hypermedia document is obtained"  
10 -- "has been obtained at a user's client  
11 computer and that a browser program executing on  
12 the client computer displays the document and  
13 calls a first routine in the HTMLparse.c file

14 called 'HTMLparse.' This first routine,  
15 HTMLparse, is entered at step 252 where a pointer  
16 to the start to the document portion is passed.  
17 Steps 254, 256 and 258 represent a loop where the  
18 document is parsed or scanned for HTML tags or  
19 other symbols."

20 The sequence in which that parsing  
21 occurs indicates a location within the hypermedia  
22 document.

23 MS. CONLIN: I know we haven't been  
24 quite going an hour, but I'd like to take a break  
25 when you get a chance.

¶page 152

1 MR. PETERSEN: Sure, that's fine.

2 MS. CONLIN: That would be fine.

3 VIDEO TECHNICIAN: Going off the  
4 video record. The time is now approximately 2:20  
5 p.m.

6 (Whereupon, a short break was taken  
7 from 2:20 to 2:32 p.m.)

8 VIDEO TECHNICIAN: We're back on the  
9 video record. The time is now approximately 2:32  
10 p.m.

11 BY MR. PETERSEN:

12 Q. So if I understand your testimony  
13 then, Mr. Martin, the location of the embed text  
14 format within a hypermedia document has to do  
15 with the order in which it's parsed by the  
16 browser?

17 A. As referred to in the specification,  
18 yes.

19 Q. So then what does it mean to say  
20 that an object is displayed at that location?

21 A. Can you refer me to --

22 Q. Yes, it was from the passage of the  
23 Claim 6 that you read before, line 25 of Column  
24 18, it actually goes on for about three or four  
25 lines.

¶page 153

1 A. For example, do you mean beginning  
2 in Column 18, line 15, "includes an embed text  
3 format, located at a first location in said first  
4 hypermedia document"?

5 Q. Yes, we just talked about that and  
6 you said that location is where within the  
7 hypermedia document the embed text format is  
8 located in terms of the order in which the  
9 document is parsed; right?

10 A. That is correct.



¶page 164

10 Q. Did you define the term "location"  
11 in your patent specification?  
12 A. I believe that is defined, but I'd  
13 have to refer back to the text.  
14 MS. CONLIN: Can I have the question  
15 back.  
16 (Whereupon, the reporter read back  
17 the last question.)  
18 THE WITNESS: I think the term  
19 "location" has been defined in different  
20 contexts for different purposes within the scope  
21 of the specification of the patent.  
22 BY MR. PETERSEN:  
23 Q. No, what I mean is the term  
24 "location" with quotes around it or the word  
25 "location" found in the patent specification

¶page 165

1 with a definition associated with it?  
2 A. Can you point me to a place where  
3 the word "location" is used with the quotation  
4 marks around it?  
5 Q. I can't find -- I don't think there  
6 is any definition of the word "location" in the  
7 patent specification, but you're the inventor so  
8 I thought I would ask you.  
9 A. Well, it depends on the context in  
10 which the term is used.  
11 Q. In the context of Claim 6, Column  
12 18.  
13 A. So if by that do you mean the first

14 location of the first -- the first distributed  
15 hypermedia document or do you mean the specifies  
16 the location of at least a portion of an object  
17 external?

18 Q. I mean "located at a first location  
19 in said first hypermedia document," that was a  
20 fair question.

21 A. Yes, we've already reviewed that in  
22 terms of the parsing functionality.

23 Q. No, but it is the term definition  
24 itself, is the term "location" itself defined in  
25 the patent specification?

¶page 166

1 A. To one who is fluent in the art, the  
2 understanding of the reading of the specification  
3 in regard to the term "location" in regard to  
4 parsing of the hypermedia document is clear.

9 Q. Mr. Martin, looking again at Claim 6  
10 of the patent which is Exhibit 15 in front of  
11 you, in Column 18, about line 24 it says that the  
12 "embed text format is parsed by said browser to  
13 automatically invoke the application," do you see

14 that?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. What does it mean to "automatically  
17 invoke" in that sentence?

18 A. Generically it means to respond to  
19 the information contained in the hypermedia  
20 document, to start -- if I can refer back -- to  
21 start the program code for the application  
22 external to the first hypermedia document.

23 Q. But the word "automatically," what  
24 does that add to it?

25 A. It means that it's done in the

page 194

1 course of parsing the hypermedia document.

9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22

23  
24  
25  
page

DATED

DAVID C. MARTIN

236

1 STATE OF MINNESOTA:

: CERTIFICATE

2 COUNTY OF HENNEPIN:

3 BE IT KNOWN, that I, Sherlyn M. Anderson,  
RPR, took the foregoing videotaped deposition of  
4 DAVID C. MARTIN;

5 That the witness, before testifying, was by  
me first duly sworn to testify the whole truth  
6 and nothing but the truth relative to said cause;

7 That the testimony of said witness was  
recorded in shorthand by me and was reduced to  
8 typewriting under my direction;

9 That the foregoing deposition is a true  
record of the testimony given by said witness;

10

That the foregoing deposition, when  
transcribed, was submitted for review;

11

12 That I am not related to any of the parties  
hereto, nor an employee of them, nor interested  
13 in the outcome of the action;

14

That the cost of the original has been  
charged to the party who noticed the deposition,  
15 and that all parties who ordered copies have been  
charged at the same rate for such copies;

16

WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL this 30th DAY of  
17 JANUARY, 2000.

18

19

20

21

22

23

SHERLYN M. ANDERSON, RPR  
NOTARY PUBLIC

24

25

+



# **Exhibit EE**





1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
2 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
3 - - - - -  
4 EOLAS TECHNOLOGIES INCORPORATED,  
5 Plaintiff,  
6 vs. Case No. C-99-0212 CRB (MISC)  
7 MICROSOFT CORPORATION,  
8 Defendant.  
9 - - - - -  
10 VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION  
11 The following is the videotaped  
12 deposition of CHEONG ANG, VOLUME II, taken before  
13 Sherlyn M. Anderson, RPR, Notary Public,  
14 pursuant to Notice of Taking Deposition, at the  
15 Law Offices of Robins, Kaplan, Miller & Ciresi,  
16 L.L.P., 2800 LaSalle Plaza, 800 LaSalle Avenue,  
17 Minneapolis, Minnesota, commencing at  
18 approximately 8:10 a.m., January 22, 2000.

19  
20 PAGES 179-344  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

1 APPEARANCES:  
2 On Behalf of the Defendants:  
3 Richard A. Cederoth, Esquire  
4 Sidley & Austin  
5 One First National Plaza  
6 Chicago, Illinois 60603  
7 (312) 853-7026  
8 and  
9 Steven P. Petersen, Esquire  
10 Leydig, Voit & Mayer, Ltd.  
11 Two Prudential Plaza  
12 Suite 4900  
13 Chicago, Illinois 60601-6780  
14 (312) 616-5600  
15  
16 On Behalf of the Plaintiff:  
17 Jan M. Conlin, Esquire, and  
18 Richard M. Martinez, Esquire  
19 Robins, Kaplan, Miller &  
20 Ciresi, L.L.P.  
21 2800 LaSalle Plaza  
22 800 LaSalle Avenue  
23 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402-2015  
24  
25 Also Present: Don Carl, Video Technician  
Mike Doyle

22  
23  
24  
25  
page 181

181

1	DEPOSITION REFERENCE INDEX
2	EXAMINATIONS:
3	By Mr. Cederoth: 182
4	OBJECTIONS:
5	By Ms. Conlin: 183, 187, 188, 189, 190, 192,
	200, 204, 208, 209, 212, 213, 215, 217,
6	218, 221, 223, 224, 225, 226, 228, 231,
	234, 236, 238, 242, 243, 244, 246, 247,
7	248, 249, 251, 252, 253, 257, 258, 259,
	260, 263, 266, 269, 270, 271, 276, 279,
8	282, 300, 301, 306, 311, 313, 320, 322,
	323
9	
10	EXHIBIT REFERENCE INDEX
11	PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT NO. 15..... 187, 198
	MARTIN EXHIBIT NOS. 77 & 78..... 189, 190
12	MARTIN EXHIBIT NOS. 79 & 80..... 193
	ANG EXHIBIT NO. 94..... 273
13	ANG EXHIBIT NO. 95..... 283
	ANG EXHIBIT NO. 92..... 286
14	ANG EXHIBIT NO. 96..... 324
	ANG EXHIBIT NO. 97..... 327
15	ANG EXHIBIT NO. 98..... 328
	ANG EXHIBIT NO. 99..... 335
16	ANG EXHIBIT NO. 100..... 336
	ANG EXHIBIT NO. 101..... 338
17	ANG EXHIBIT NO. 102..... 339

18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
page 182

1 PROCEEDINGS  
2 Whereupon, the deposition of CHEONG  
3 ANG, VOLUME II, was commenced at 8:10 a.m. as  
4 follows:  
5 \*\*\*  
6 VIDEO TECHNICIAN: We're back on the  
7 video record. The time is now approximately 8:12  
8 a.m. It's Saturday, January 22nd, in the year  
9 2000.  
10 Do you understand you're still under  
11 oath?  
12 THE WITNESS: Yes.  
13  
14 EXAMINATION  
15 BY MR. CEDEROTH:  
16 Q. Good morning.  
17 A. Good morning.  
18 Q. Mr. Ang, when you left UCSF to join  
19 IBM did you take any written materials with you  
Page 2

25 Q. At line 24 of Column 18 --  
page 233

1 A. Okay.

2 Q. -- there's the phrase "to  
3 automatically invoke," I'm going to ask you  
4 what's your understanding of that is, but if  
5 you'd first read whatever portion of the claim  
6 around that that you feel is necessary and let me  
7 know when you have.

8 A. "Automatically invoke" means "invoke  
9 without human intervention or user intervention."



22 Q. In the system described here, the  
23 browser creates a display; is that correct?  
24 A. There is "a display area created at  
25 said first location within the portion of said  
page 242  
1 first hypermedia document."

page 243

1 Q. Well, in Figure 9 you can tell where  
2 the Block 352 is on the screen, can't you?  
3 A. Okay. What the claim said here is  
4 "the display area was created at said first  
5 location within the portion of said first  
6 hypermedia document being displayed," so to the  
7 user it's the hypermedia document they're looking  
8 at.  
9 Q. Well, what about the display area?  
10 A. And the display area corresponds to

11 how the hypermedia document, how it's laid out in  
12 the hypermedia document.

1 I, CHEONG S. ANG, do hereby certify that I  
2 have read the foregoing deposition, Volume II,  
3 and found the same to be true and correct except  
4 as follows, (noting the page and line number of  
the change or addition as desired and the reason  
why):

Page	Line	Correction
------	------	------------

5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14

15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22

\_\_\_\_\_  
DATED

\_\_\_\_\_  
CHEONG S. ANG

23  
24  
25

⌘page 344

344

1 STATE OF MINNESOTA:

:

CERTIFICATE

2 COUNTY OF HENNEPIN:

3 BE IT KNOWN, that I, Sherlyn M. Anderson,  
RPR, took the foregoing videotaped deposition of  
4 CHEONG S. ANG;

5 That the witness, before testifying, was by  
me first duly sworn to testify the whole truth  
6 and nothing but the truth relative to said cause;

7 That the testimony of said witness was  
recorded in shorthand by me and was reduced to  
8 typewriting under my direction;

9 That the foregoing deposition is a true  
record of the testimony given by said witness;

10

11 That the foregoing deposition, when  
transcribed, was submitted for review;

12 That I am not related to any of the parties  
hereto, nor an employee of them, nor interested  
13 in the outcome of the action;

14 That the cost of the original has been  
charged to the party who noticed the deposition,  
15 and that all parties who ordered copies have been  
charged at the same rate for such copies;

16

17 WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL this 27th DAY of  
JANUARY, 2000.

18  
19  
20  
21  
22

23

\_\_\_\_\_  
SHERLYN M. ANDERSON, RPR  
NOTARY PUBLIC

24  
25

⌘



# **Exhibit FF**



page 1

1                   IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
2                   NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS  
3                   EASTERN DIVISION  
4   EOLAS TECHNOLOGIES,                   )  
  INCORPORATED, a Delaware               )  
  corporation,                               )  
5                   Plaintiff,                   )  
6                   vs.                               ) No. 99 C 0626  
7   MICROSOFT CORPORATION,                )  
  a Washington corporation,                )  
8                   Defendant.                   )

9  
10                  The deposition of MICHAEL D. DOYLE,  
11   Ph.D., taken in the above-entitled cause before  
12   Lisa H. Breiter, CSR, RPR, CRR, a notary public  
13   within and for the County of DuPage and State of  
14   Illinois taken pursuant to the Federal Rules of  
15   Civil Procedure for the United States District  
16   Courts, at 55 West Wacker Drive, Suite 1400, in  
17   Chicago, Illinois, on the 28th day of February,  
18   2000, at the hour of 9:27 a.m.

19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24

page 2

1                   APPEARANCES  
2  
3                   ROBINS, KAPLAN, MILLER & CIRESI, L.L.P.,  
  by  
4                   MS. JAN M. CONLIN and  
  MR. HOWARD R. ORENSTEIN  
5                   2800 LaSalle Plaza  
  800 LaSalle Avenue  
6                   Minneapolis, MN 55402-2015  
  (612) 349-8500  
  (612) 339-4181 Fax

7  
8                   On behalf of the Plaintiff;

9                   LEYDIG, VOIT & MAYER, LTD., by  
10                  MR. STEVEN P. PETERSEN and  
  MR. VLADAN M. VASILJEVIC  
11                  Two Prudential Plaza  
  180 North Stetson Avenue  
12                  Suite 4900  
  Chicago, IL 60601  
  (312) 616-5600  
  (312) 616-5700 Fax

13                  On behalf of the Defendant;

14  
15  
16                  SIDLEY & AUSTIN, by  
  MR. DAVID T. PRITIKIN and  
  MR. RICHARD A. CEDEROTH

17 Bank One Plaza  
18 10 South Dearborn Street  
Chicago, IL 60603  
(312) 853-7359  
19 (312) 853-7036 Fax  
20 On behalf of the Defendant.  
21 ALSO PRESENT:  
22 MS. ANGELA LANDMESSER,  
Certified Legal Video Specialist

23  
24  
page 3

## I N D E X

WITNESS EXAMINATION  
MICHAEL D. DOYLE, Ph.D.  
BY MR. PETERSEN. . . . . 6

## EXHIBITS

NUMBER	MARKED FOR ID
Doyle Deposition Exhibit	
No. 173. . . . .	48
No. 174. . . . .	142
No. 175. . . . .	170
No. 176. . . . .	173

## REFERENCED

Deposition Exhibit	
No. 15. . . . .	64
No. 25. . . . .	145
No. 50. . . . .	161
No. 54. . . . .	158
No. 84. . . . .	84

22  
23  
24  
page 4

1 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. Today's date  
2 is February 28th, 2000. We are going on the video  
3 record at 9:27 a.m. We are present at the offices  
4 of Robins, Kaplan, Miller & Ciresi, 55 West Wacker  
5 Drive in Chicago, Illinois, for the purpose of  
6 taking the videotaped deposition of Michael Doyle,  
7 Ph.D.  
8 The party on whose behalf the deposition  
9 is being taken is the defendant, and the party at  
10 whose instance the deposition is being recorded on  
11 an audiovisual device is the defendant. This case  
12 is instituted in the United States District Court  
13 for the Northern District of Illinois, Eastern

10 Q. So the word "automatically" in claim 6,  
11 you would define as happening in response to the  
12 loading of the Web page?

13 A. I would use it in the sense that it's  
14 used in the invention where it happens as a result  
15 of the parser identifying the embed text format and  
16 going through the other operations that show the  
17 elements of the invention.

18 Q. I'm still not clear that that was an  
19 answer to the question. Let me make it simple.  
20 What does the word "automatically" mean as used in  
21 claim 6 at column 18, line 24?

22 MS. CONLIN: Objection, asked and answered.

23 THE WITNESS: Again, it shows that the browser  
24 renders or automatically invokes the executable

1 application in response to the elements that are  
2 described above in claim 6.

3 BY MR. PETERSEN:

4 Q. In response to the parsing of the Web  
5 document?

6 A. The parsing of the Web document, the  
7 browser using type information to identify and  
8 locate executable application and the browser --  
9 and the text format's "parsed by said browser to  
10 automatically invoke said executable application."



14 THE WITNESS: Well, if you look at figure 1,  
15 prior art, it shows an image icon that is displayed  
16 within a hypermedia document.  
17 BY MR. PETERSEN:  
18 Q. Which element of figure 1 are you  
19 referring to?  
20 A. Element 22.  
21 Q. So your answer to the question is yes?  
22 A. Yeah, that's a display area within the  
23 document, as described in the spec.  
24 Q. But the prior art included the capability  
page 117

1 of displaying an object within a display area?  
2 A. As we stated in our specification.  
3 Q. Did the prior art have the capability of  
4 displaying the object at a location within the  
5 document?  
6 MS. CONLIN: And are you referring to any  
7 prior art now, or are you referencing Mosaic  
8 QuickTime? Are we still on the QuickTime, or are  
9 we moving into the more general?  
10 MR. PETERSEN: Well, it's not really  
11 specifically QuickTime. QuickTime, I believe --  
12 correct me if I'm wrong, Dr. Doyle -- you testified  
13 was a helper application? And I'm not talking  
14 about helper applications.  
15 MS. CONLIN: He's talking generally now.  
16 MR. PETERSEN: I'm talking about Mosaic at the  
17 time that you made the invention in the '906 patent  
18 by itself.  
19 THE WITNESS: Displaying static images  
20 within --  
21 MR. PETERSEN: In response to the --  
22 THE REPORTER: One at a time.  
23 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, go ahead. I'll let  
24 you expand.  
page 118

1 MR. PETERSEN: I think we're on the same page.  
2 Q. Display static images in response to  
3 parsing the IMG tag, for example, right?  
4 A. Uh-huh.  
5 Q. That's the context I'm talking about. Do  
6 you understand?  
7 A. I understand, yeah, sure.  
8 Q. Now, did that operate to display an image  
9 at the location in the document where the IMG text  
10 format is located?

11

A. Yes.



15 Q. And looking at the second page of the  
16 exhibit near the top, there's a portion of  
17 underlined language that says "located at a first  
18 location," and so on?

19 A. I see that.

20 Q. What does the location refer to there?

21 MS. CONLIN: The first location, Counsel, is  
22 that what you're referencing?

23 MR. PETERSEN: That's correct. It says,  
24 "located at a first location."

1 Q. So the question is what is the first  
2 location?

3 A. It is a location of an embed text format  
4 as defined in claim 6 of the '906 patent. Well,  
5 sorry, as defined in this amended claim 1, but it  
6 appears to refer to the same meaning as defined in  
7 claim 6 of the '906 patent.

8 Q. Now, does that refer to the location of  
9 the embed text format within the hypermedia  
10 document?

11 A. You'd have to define -- well, within the  
12 hypermedia document referred to in this claim?  
13 Yes, it says, "at a first location in said first  
14 distributed hypermedia document."

15 Q. Now, is that referring to the location of  
16 the embed text format relative to other text  
17 formats that may be in the hypermedia document?

18 A. It's referring to the location with  
19 respect to the ordered definition of elements  
20 within the hypermedia document.

21 Q. And is the ordered definition of elements  
22 in the hypermedia document the order in which they  
23 appear in the text file of the document?

24 MS. CONLIN: Objection as to form.

1           THE WITNESS: To the extent that there can be  
2 multiple mappings of the location in the hypermedia  
3 document with respect to anything on the display,  
4 that's referring to the location within the  
5 hypermedia document date, in this case, the  
6 specific embodiment described in the specification  
7 would be within the HTML file.  
-       -       -       -       -



12 Q Take a look, if you would, again at  
13 Exhibit 78, the attachment to your invention  
14 disclosure form, please. And I'd like you to read  
15 the first paragraph of the first page of that  
16 document, and then I'll ask you some questions.

17 A Yes, I see that paragraph.

18 Q Is that an accurate description of your  
19 invention at the time that the invention  
20 disclosure was signed in April of 1994?

21 A I wouldn't say it was a completely  
22 accurate description, no.

23 Q What's wrong with it?

24 MS. CONLIN: Objection, misstates his

page 346

1 testimony.

2 THE WITNESS: There is a sentence that says  
3 "when a user browsing the WWW selects such a  
4 link," and so on. That does not appear to be  
5 accurate.

6 BY MR. PETERSEN:

7 Q Why not?

8 A Because a user didn't have to do any  
9 selection of links for a Web page to cause the  
10 execution of the external application.

15 Q. And looking at the second page of the  
16 exhibit near the top, there's a portion of  
17 underlined language that says "located at a first  
18 location," and so on?

19 A. I see that.

20 Q. What does the location refer to there?

21 MS. CONLIN: The first location, Counsel, is  
22 that what you're referencing?

23 MR. PETERSEN: That's correct. It says,  
24 "located at a first location."

1 Q. So the question is what is the first  
2 location?

3 A. It is a location of an embed text format  
4 as defined in claim 6 of the '906 patent. Well,  
5 sorry, as defined in this amended claim 1, but it  
6 appears to refer to the same meaning as defined in  
7 claim 6 of the '906 patent.

8 Q. Now, does that refer to the location of  
9 the embed text format within the hypermedia  
10 document?

11 A. You'd have to define -- well, within the  
12 hypermedia document referred to in this claim?  
13 Yes, it says, "at a first location in said first  
14 distributed hypermedia document."

15 Q. Now, is that referring to the location of  
16 the embed text format relative to other text  
17 formats that may be in the hypermedia document?

18 A. It's referring to the location with  
19 respect to the ordered definition of elements  
20 within the hypermedia document.

21 Q. And is the ordered definition of elements  
22 in the hypermedia document the order in which they  
23 appear in the text file of the document?

24 MS. CONLIN: Objection as to form.

1           THE WITNESS: To the extent that there can be  
2 multiple mappings of the location in the hypermedia  
3 document with respect to anything on the display,  
4 that's referring to the location within the  
5 hypermedia document date, in this case, the  
6 specific embodiment described in the specification  
7 would be within the HTML file.

¶page 570

21           Q.    What does it mean to automatically invoke  
22   an executable application?

23           A.    To invoke without requiring user  
24   interaction.

¶page 571

1           Q.    When it says that the embed text format  
2   is parsed by the browser to automatically invoke  
3   the external application -- executable application,  
4   does that mean that the browser invokes the  
5   application?

6           A.    Well, as shown in the specification, the  
7   external application is invoked as a result of the  
8   parsing of the embed text format as described in  
9   its entirety in the claim.

page 629

1                   IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
2                   FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS  
3                   EASTERN DIVISION  
4                   EOLAS TECHNOLOGIES,                   )  
5                   Plaintiff,                   )  
6                   vs.                   ) No. 99 C 0626  
7                   MICROSOFT CORPORATION,                   )  
8                   Defendant.                   )

9                   This is to certify that I have read the  
10                  transcript of my deposition taken and that the  
11                  foregoing transcript accurately states the  
12                  questions asked and the answers given by me, with  
13                  the changes made on the errata sheets, if any,  
14                  attached hereto.

15  
16                  Number of errata sheets submitted:

17  
18   MICHAEL D. DOYLE, Ph.D.

19  
20                  SUBSCRIBED and SWORN to  
21                  before me this                  day of  
22   , 2000.

23                  Notary Public  
24



1           IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
2           FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS  
3           EASTERN DIVISION

4           I, Lisa H. Breiter, CSR, RPR, CRR, a  
5           Notary Public in and for the County of DuPage and  
6           State of Illinois, and a Certified Shorthand  
7           Reporter of said state, do hereby certify:

8           That previous to the commencement of the  
9           examination of MICHAEL D. DOYLE, Ph.D. , he was  
10          first duly sworn to testify the whole truth  
11          concerning the matters herein;

12          That the foregoing deposition was  
13          reported stenographically by me and Valerie  
14          Calabria, CSR, both Certified Shorthand Reporters  
15          of the State of Illinois; and was thereafter  
16          reduced to typewriting by computer-aided  
17          transcription under our personal direction;

18          That the signature of the witness to the  
19          foregoing deposition was not waived by agreement of  
20          counsel for the respective parties, and that the  
21          said deposition constitutes a true record of the  
22          testimony given by said witness;

23          That no one reporting this deposition is  
24          a relative of, or employee or attorney or counsel  
25          for any of the parties nor a relative or employee

1          of any attorney or counsel for any of the parties  
2          hereto, nor interested, directly or indirectly, in  
3          the outcome of this action.

4           In witness whereof, I have hereunto set  
5          my hand and affixed by notarial seal this 7th day  
6          of March, 2000.

7  
8  
9  
10  
11                               LISA H. BREITER, CSR, RPR, CRR  
12                               CSR License No. 84-3155  
13                               TALAMO COURT REPORTERS, INC.  
14                               100 West Monroe Street  
15                               Suite 2222  
16                               Chicago, IL 60603  
17                               (312) 641-3500  
18                               (312) 641-3795 Fax  
19                               talamoinc@aol.com  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24



# **Exhibit GG**



IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS  
EASTERN DIVISION

1			
2			
3	EOLAS TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,	)	No. 99 C 626
4	a Delaware corporation, and	)	
5	THE REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY	)	
6	OF CALIFORNIA, a California	)	
7	educational corporation,	)	
8		)	
9	Plaintiffs,	)	
10		)	
11	vs.	)	Chicago, Illinois
12		)	
13	MICROSOFT CORPORATION,	)	
14	a Washington corporation,	)	
15		)	July 8, 2003
16	Defendant.	)	10:30 a.m.

VOLUME 1  
TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS  
BEFORE THE HONORABLE JAMES B. ZAGEL, and a Jury

APPEARANCES:

FOR THE PLAINTIFFS: ROBINS, KAPLAN, MILLER & CIRESI  
2800 LaSalle Plaza, 800 LaSalle Avenue,  
Minneapolis, MN 55402-2015  
BY: MR. MARTIN R. LUECK  
MR. RICHARD M. MARTINEZ  
MS. JAN M. CONLIN  
MR. MUNIR MEGHJEE  
MS. KEIKO SUGISAKA  
MS. EMILY ROME

FOR THE DEFENDANT: LEYDIG, VOIT & MAYER, LTD.  
Two Prudential Plaza, Suite 4900,  
Chicago, IL 60601  
BY: MR. H. MICHAEL HARTMANN

and

SIDLEY, AUSTIN, BROWN & WOOD  
Bank One Plaza, 10 South Dearborn Street,  
Chicago, IL 60603  
BY: MR. DAVID T. PRITIKIN

1 APPEARANCES: (Cont.)

2 FOR THE DEFENDANT: MR. T. ANDREW CULBERT  
3 Microsoft Corporation  
4 One Microsoft Way  
Redmond, WA 98052-6399

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 ANTHONY W. LISANTI  
23 Official Court Reporter  
United States Courthouse  
24 219 South Dearborn Street  
Chicago, Illinois 60604

25

18 reserved for the Center and it included a number  
19 of hard walled offices with doors leading out to  
20 an open space. And within that open space a  
21 number of cubicles and off of that open space one  
22 large conference room.

23 Q. Was that the campus's main library  
24 or was it the library for a particular school or  
25 department?

¶page 20

1 A. That was the campus's main library.

2 Q. And how many floors or levels did  
3 the library have?

4 A. I can't recall specifically, I think  
5 it was four or five.

6 Q. But the lowest level was reserved  
7 entirely for the Center For Knowledge Management?

8 A. No, there were a number of other  
9 uses for the first floor as well, including the  
10 stacks, the administrative offices for the  
11 library and Center For Knowledge Management  
12 overall, as well as some space that was utilized  
13 by another research group.

14 Q. And was there somebody in charge of  
15 the Center For Knowledge Management at the time  
16 you joined?

17 A. At the time that I initially joined  
18 there was a vacancy in the position of director  
19 of the Center and that was being fulfilled by  
20 Dick Lucier who was the assistant vice chancellor  
21 and University Librarian.

22 Q. What was your title then when you

23 joined?

24 A. I can't recall specifically. I  
25 believe when I joined I joined as assistant

¶page 21

1 director for innovative software systems, but at  
2 the time that I joined there was a number of  
3 individuals leaving the organization and I would  
4 have to refer back to my offer letter to actually  
5 see the title that was specified at the time.

6 Q. But essentially when you joined you  
7 were reporting to the acting director who was the  
8 University Librarian?

9 A. That is correct.

10 Q. And that was the fall of 1992?

11 A. Fall of 1992, that is correct.

12 Q. Can you be more specific, do you  
13 remember the month?





6 Q So with the '906 invention, if I understand you, the  
7 browser is asking what kind of object do I have in the window,  
8 is it a game or something else, and where is the code located  
9 to run that object?

10 A That's correct.

11 MR. PRITIKIN: Objection, leading, Your Honor.

12 THE COURT: I'll let that one stand.

13 BY MS. CONLIN:

14 Q What are we seeing in this next part of the flow chart?

15 A As we saw earlier, the browser had determined what kind of  
16 data it was dealing with. At this point it's reaching a  
17 decision point in the program, and it's saying, well, if the  
18 data object is of one type, run one kind of program, or run a  
19 program to allow the user to work with that data, and if it's a  
20 different type, run a specific separate program.

21 Q At the time you came up with your '906 invention,  
22 Dr. Doyle, did the Mosaic browser have this capability to  
23 identify and locate?

24 A No, it didn't.

25 Now what we're seeing is the browser has gone out and

283

Doyle - direct

1 it's coming back with a data set. It's hard to see, but  
2 there's actually a tiny little embryo in that arrow. And the  
3 browser launches the code with that data, and we can actually  
4 interactively control that, and the '906 invention allows for  
5 doing that in any way, any way you can interactively control  
6 the data object that is being displayed.

7 Q And was that application for running or interacting with  
8 this visible embryo automatically launched then?

9 A Yes, it was. When the browser pulled up the page that  
10 specified that embed text format, the browser then  
11 automatically launches the application with the data all  
12 seamlessly integrated for the end user, so the end user doesn't  
13 have to do what they had to do before, which was, you know,  
14 worry about, you know, somebody sending me some data, what kind  
15 of program am I going to use to load this data and go and find  
16 the program. It's all seamlessly choreographed for the user by  
17 the browser.



15 Q What type of software were you using when you arrived at  
16 the University of California at the time that you were  
17 developing this online medical library?

18 A Well, the project had been working with AT&T's Bell Labs  
19 that had a software program called Write Pages that was a  
20 proprietary kind of hypermedia browser that could allow someone  
21 to browse through something that looked like a series of  
22 journal covers. You could click on the journal cover, it would  
23 pull up that issue of the journal. You could click on the  
24 table of contents of the journal and it could pull up an  
25 article, and you could browse through and view it. But it was

304

Doyle - direct

1 able to work with a self-contained database of information.

2 Q Was it a web browser in the sense of the Mosaic browser?

3 A No, not at all.

4 Q What limitations, if any, did you uncover as a result of  
5 trying to develop an online medical library for use by  
6 physicians around the world?

7 A Well, the biggest limitation struck me right away was that  
8 if we wanted to do anything new with this we were limited,

9 severely limited, because if we wanted to add a new data type,  
10 for example, a new kind of image that might be able to be used  
11 in one of these articles, we'd have to go and request to the  
12 programmers at Bell Labs that they add a new kind of image  
13 format, and then they would have to rewrite a new version of the  
14 software that put all of the code necessary, all the -- you  
15 know, the program instructions necessary to render this new  
16 kind of data to allow the user to work with this new kind of  
17 data.

18           And we realized that if this -- if we were going to  
19 work on something that would be more generally useful that  
20 there would be no end to the number of kinds of data that we'd  
21 want the system to be able to handle, and it was just an  
22 unworkable situation to think that we could use this thing to  
23 do new, innovative kinds of research.

24 Q   So if you wanted to add a new type of medical image or  
25 support so that that Write Pages software could display a

305

Doyle - direct

1 medical image, would you actually have to then go back to Bell  
2 Labs and ask them to rewrite the browser?  
3 A   That's correct. They'd have to add this new capability of  
4 the browser. They would then send us back a browser that would  
5 be bigger than the one that they sent us before because they  
6 added this new software to it. And then if we wanted to do  
7 anything else new with it, then we'd have to send it back to  
8 them, ask them to rewrite it and add more stuff to it, and we'd  
9 get what we call browser bloat. The browser would just  
10 continue to grow and grow and grow, and eventually you'd have,  
11 you know, just an enormous application.

12 Q Did you undertake to solve some of the problems or  
13 limitations in the Bell Lab software that you were using as  
14 part of your research for this online medical library?  
15 A Yes, we did. As soon as I started at the university in  
16 California and we started talking about these new kinds of  
17 projects, one of the things, for example, I wanted to be able  
18 to do was to create a new kind of way to display an article  
19 where, for instance, if it's for a radiology journal, you know,  
20 radiologists are the kind of doctors who look at X-rays and  
21 MRIs, I wanted to be able to allow the scientist or the doctor  
22 to actually be able to see the actual data and interact with it  
23 rather than seeing, you know, the author's one preferred view  
24 of that data in a still image. And we had seen the Mosaic web  
25 browser at Illinois, and we knew that it was freely available

306

Doyle - direct

1 for academic researchers to use, and so that source code was  
2 available, and we looked at it, and we thought, well, we can  
3 use this system and start building on this base to add new  
4 functionality and create an entirely new kind of web browser.  
5 Q Did you continue to develop this idea then?  
6 A Yes, we did. We were thinking about a project that was --  
7 we were considering working on relating to brain research, and  
8 so we thought we'd use this as a reason to start considering  
9 this, and so we started looking at the idea of coming up with a  
10 way to create the capabilities that eventually came -- became  
11 possible in the '906 invention, the ability to allow pages to  
12 embed interactive programs in them where you don't have to add  
13 the actual executable code to the browser or to the document  
14 itself.

Doyle - cross

- 12 Q. The claims require that the executable application be  
13 automatically invoked, isn't that right?
- 14 A. That's correct.
- 15 Q. And what does "invoke" mean in the world of computers?
- 16 A. Well, as I mentioned on direct, when the browser sees the  
17 embed tag, it invokes the application without the user having  
18 to do anything.
- 19 Q. So what that means is that the executable application  
20 starts up without a mouse click, right?
- 21 A. That's correct.
- 22 Q. When the Web page is displayed.
- 23 A. Correct.
- 24 Q. So if a mouse click were required first, it would be



25 outside the scope of this patent.

460

Doyle - cross

1 A. Correct.

Doyle - cross

- 20 includes the -- how many lines of code did you add to the  
21 Mosaic browser code?  
22 A. I don't recall exactly.  
23 Q. Does 305 sound about right?  
24 A. Could be.  
25 Q. Does 100,000 sound about right for the total Mosaic code?

Doyle - cross  
Page 445

1 A. Could be.

2 Q. So everything you did, you did with 305 lines of code that  
3 were written and added to Mosaic?

4 A. Sounds like it could be on the browser side.

Doyle - redirect by Conlin

1 Q. One last question, Dr. Doyle. Do you remember when  
2 Mr. Pritikin was talking to you about the number of lines with  
3 code Mosaic versus the lines of your invention?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. Can your invention be weighed in terms of lines with  
6 code?

7 A. No. In fact, that was part of the point. We were trying  
8 to prevent what's called browser blow. In the software  
9 industry the more functionality you can get out of the fewer  
10 lines of code means you have a more elegant solution.

8 the morning.

9 THE COURT: That's it. See you in the morning.

10 MS. CONLIN: What time, your Honor?

11 THE COURT: 9:00 o'clock. But I would ask you to

12 remain in the courtroom for about four or five minutes, and if

13 no one comes out and says the time is any different, then it's

14 9:00 o'clock.

15 (Court adjourned at 3:10 p.m., to reconvene at 9:00 a.m.,

16 8/05/03.)

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25