

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
TYLER DIVISION

---

Eolas Technologies Incorporated,  
  
Plaintiff,  
  
vs.  
  
Adobe Systems Inc.; Amazon.com, Inc.; Apple  
Inc.; CDW Corp.; Citigroup Inc.; eBay Inc.;  
Frito-Lay, Inc.; The Go Daddy Group, Inc.;  
Google Inc.; J.C. Penney Corporation, Inc.;  
JPMorgan Chase & Co.; New Frontier Media,  
Inc.; Office Depot, Inc.; Perot Systems Corp.;  
Playboy Enterprises International, Inc.; Rent-A-  
Center, Inc.; Staples, Inc.; Sun Microsystems,  
Inc.; Texas Instruments Inc.; Yahoo! Inc.; and  
YouTube, LLC,  
  
Defendants.

---

No. 6:09-cv-00446-LED (Filed Oct. 6, 2009)

**EX PARTE REEXAMINATION OF U.S.  
PATENT 5,838,906**

**REEXAMINATION NO. 90/007,858**

**REQUESTED: December 22, 2005**

**CERTIFICATE ISSUED: February 3, 2009**

**“858 PH”**

---

Adobe Systems Inc.; Amazon.com, Inc.; Apple  
Inc.; CDW LLC; eBay Inc.; Frito-Lay, Inc.; The  
Go Daddy Group, Inc.; Google Inc.; J.C. Penney  
Corporation, Inc.; JPMorgan Chase & Co.; New  
Frontier Media, Inc.; Office Depot, Inc.; Perot  
Systems Corp.; Playboy Enterprises  
International, Inc.; Rent-A-Center, Inc.; Staples,  
Inc.; Oracle America, Inc. f/k/a Sun  
Microsystems, Inc.; Texas Instruments Inc.;  
Yahoo! Inc.; and YouTube, LLC,  
  
Counterclaimants,  
  
vs.  
  
Eolas Technologies Incorporated,  
  
Counterdefendant.

---

SHUBHAM MUKHERJEE declares:

1. I am an associate in the Chicago office of the law firm Sidley Austin LLP, counsel of record to Apple, Inc. I have personal knowledge of the facts stated in this declaration (unless stated otherwise) and, if called as a witness, I could and would testify competently to these facts under oath. As to those matters stated on information and belief, I am informed and believe them to be true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

2. On October 21, 2010, the Defendants to this litigation served to Eolas Technologies, Inc. a certified copy and a certification of the United States Patent and Trademark Office file wrapper corresponding to this prosecution. (*See* PH\_001\_0000588349 – PH\_001\_0000775640.) In view of the length of certain file wrappers associated with this litigation, and for the convenience of the parties, the Defendants to this litigation concurrently served copies of select file wrapper transactions for this prosecution in a separate bates range. (*See* PH\_001\_0000786089 – PH\_001\_0000787424.) The exhibits listed below are taken from this latter bates range.

3. The following is an index for the exhibits appended hereto:

<b>Paper No.</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Bates No.</b>
858 PH Ex. 1	12/22/2005	Reexamination Request	PH_001_0000786091
858 PH Ex. 2	02/09/2006	Order Granting Reexamination	PH_001_0000786255
858 PH Ex. 3	07/30/2007	Rejection	PH_001_0000786943
858 PH Ex. 4	09/06/2007	Examiner Interview Summary	PH_001_0000787018
858 PH Ex. 5	09/27/2007	Applicant Response	PH_001_0000787028
858 PH Ex. 6	09/27/2007	Declaration (Felten)	PH_001_0000787052
858 PH Ex. 7	09/27/2007	Declaration (M. Doyle)	PH_001_0000787070
858 PH Ex. 8	04/18/2008	Final Rejection	PH_001_0000787208
858 PH Ex. 9	06/03/2008	Examiner Interview Summary	PH_001_0000787254
858 PH Ex. 10	06/23/2008	Amendment	PH_001_0000787257
858 PH Ex. 11	09/10/2008	Notice of Intent to Issue Reexam Certificate	PH_001_0000787394

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed in Chicago on Februar 4, 2011.

/s/ Shubham Mukherjee  
SHUBHAM MUKHERJEE