

Exhibit 2

Van Hoven, Joshua

From: Josh Budwin [jbudwin@McKoolSmith.com]
Sent: Tuesday, April 05, 2011 11:56 AM
To: Francis, Mark; Matt Rappaport
Cc: Eolas; Google-Eolas; Ropes Google Group
Subject: RE: Eolas - Google Browser and YouTube Player for Android 3.0 Operating System

Mark -

Your email did not address our queries. Are you available for a brief call on Friday morning? We want to make sure that we are not talking past each other.

I am unsure what you mean by "Google Browser." As you are no doubt aware, our initial infringement contentions for Android included screenshots and allegations against the browser within Android. Is it your contention that the browser of Android is not, and has never been in the case? If so, why?

As for the YouTube player, as you are no doubt aware, this is a new feature that Google has recently started including with its Android OS. In any event, the YouTube player for Android 3.0 was released within a few days of the contentions we served.

Please let us know your availability on Friday morning.

Thanks.

"Francis, Mark" <MFrancis@KSLAW.com> wrote:

Matt,

I believe Google's letter explained our position. Android as a product is already at issue in this case and there is no reason why Eolas could not have identified the Google Browser and YouTube Player in its initial infringement contention claim charts. Google therefore opposes Eolas's attempt to add new infringement contentions at this late-stage in the case.

Regards,

Mark

From: Matt Rappaport [mailto:mrappaport@McKoolSmith.com]
Sent: Tuesday, April 05, 2011 6:57 AM
To: Francis, Mark
Cc: Eolas; Google-Eolas; 'ropesgooglegroup@ropesgray.com'
Subject: RE: Eolas - Google Browser and YouTube Player for Android 3.0 Operating System

Mark,

Thank you for your letter. We are in agreement that Google's Android is, and has always been, an accused product in this case. However, as you are aware, Google just recently released Android 3.0. Android 3.0 includes many new features, including support for tablet devices. The infringement contentions we sent to you addressed these new features.

If Google agrees that Android 3.0 (including tablet support, the browser and YouTube player) is properly within the scope of the case, then there is no need for us to supplement our contentions with respect to this newly-released version of the previously-accused Android. If however, Google contends that Android 3.0 (including tablet support, the browser and YouTube Player) is outside the scope of our prior allegations, supplementation is warranted.

Please let us know your position by the end of the week.

Thank you.

From: Francis, Mark [mailto:MFrancis@KSLAW.com]
Sent: Sunday, April 03, 2011 2:01 AM
To: Matt Rappaport
Cc: Eolas; Google-Eolas; 'ropesgooglegroup@ropesgray.com'
Subject: RE: Eolas - Google Browser and YouTube Player for Android 3.0 Operating System

Matt,

Please see the attached letter.

Regards,

Mark

Mark H. Francis
King & Spalding LLP
1185 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10036
(212) 556-2117
(212) 556-2222 (fax)
mfrancis@kslaw.com

From: Matt Rappaport [mailto:mrappaport@McKoolSmith.com]
Sent: Sunday, March 27, 2011 5:08 PM
To: Carnaval, Christopher
Cc: Eolas; Weingaertner, Scott; Google-Eolas; Mike Jones; Allen Gardner
Subject: Eolas - Google Browser and YouTube Player for Android 3.0 Operating System

Counsel,

Please see the attached correspondence.

Matt Rappaport | Attorney | McKool Smith 300 W. 6th Street, Suite 1700 | Austin, TX 78701
telephone: 512/692-8754 | fax: 512/692-8744
mrappaport@mckoolsmith.com<<mailto:mrappaport@mckoolsmith.com>>

NOTICE OF CONFIDENTIALITY:

The information contained in and transmitted with this e-mail is SUBJECT TO THE ATTORNEY-CLIENT and ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT PRIVILEGE and is CONFIDENTIAL. It is intended only for the individual or entity designated above. You are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, copying, use or reliance upon the information contained in and transmitted with this e-mail by or to anyone other than the addressee designated above by the sender is unauthorized and strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please

notify the sender by reply immediately. Any e-mail erroneously transmitted to you should be immediately destroyed.

King & Spalding Confidentiality Notice:

This message is being sent by or on behalf of a lawyer. It is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This communication may contain information that is proprietary, privileged or confidential or otherwise legally exempt from disclosure. If you are not the named addressee, you are not authorized to read, print, retain, copy or disseminate this message or any part of it. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by e-mail and delete all copies of the message.