EXHIBIT H

Dockets.Justia.com

Page 138

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS TYLER DIVISION Eolas Technologies,) Incorporated,)) Plaintiff,) Civil Action) No. 6:09-cv-446 vs. Adobe Systems, Inc.,)) JURY TRIAL Amazon.com, Inc., Apple, Inc., Argosy) Publishing, Inc., et al,)) Defendants.) VIDEOTAPED and ORAL DEPOSITION OF MICHAEL DOYLE, Ph.D. JUNE 30, 2011 VOLUME 2 VIDEOTAPED AND ORAL DEPOSITION of MICHAEL DOYLE, Ph.D., produced as a witness at the instance of the Defendant and duly sworn was taken in the above-styled and numbered cause on the 30th of June, 2011, from 10:16 a.m. to 8:45 p.m. before Gina Oertli, RMR, CSR in and for the State of Texas, reported by method of machine shorthand, at the law offices of McKool Smith, 300 W. 6th Street, Suite 1700, Austin, Texas, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the provisions stated on the record or attached hereto.

Veritext Corporate Services

800-567-8658

973-410-4040

Confidential For Attorneys' Eyes Only

1	Page 483		Page 485
1	that corresponds to other elements within the claim.	1	12, Table II, did you have any other examples of an
2	In each claim, the embed text format has	2	embed text format in your mind?
3	that same meaning in in that it's language that	3	A. Well, we had various specific embodiments
4	corresponds to the other elements in the in the	4	that we had created that used embed text format, but
5	individual claims.	5	we recognized that that that format was was
6	Q. Did you provide any examples of an embed text	6	or the way to implement that format would be limited
7	format in the 985 patent?	7	only by the language in the claims itself, which
8	A. Yes.	8	which means that that format could be any information
9	Q. Can you point me to that?	9	that that, for example, in Claim 1 of the 985, is
10	A. In the specific embodiment, one example is	10	information which corresponds to a first location in
11	given, for example, in Column 11 no, sorry	11	the document where the embed text format specifies the
12	Column 12, Table II.	12	location of at least a portion of the object. And
13	Q. That is Column 12 starting at Line 55?	13	then so on, to the end of the claim.
14	A. Yes.	14	Q. Does an embed text format have to specify the
15	Q. So this is an example of an HTML tag format	15	location of an object in order to be an embed text
16	used by the present invention. Is that what you're	16	format?
17	referring to?	17	A. It depends on the individual claims.
18	A. Yes.	18	Q. But if the claim language says, every time it
19	Q. So Table II of the 985 patent is an example	19	talks about an embed text format, that it has to
20	of an embed text format?	20	specify the location of an object, does an embed text
21	A. Yes. It gives an example of an embed text	21	format have to specify the location of an object in
22	format.	22	order to be an embed text format?
23	Q. Does an embed text format have to have little	23	A. If the location or if the claim language
24	angled brackets around it?	24	states that the embed text format specifies the
25	A. This is one example of an embed text format.	25	location of at least a portion of an object in that
	Page 484		Page 486
1			2030 100
1	It could be implemented in any manner that is	1	claim, then the embed text format needs to specify the
2	consistent with the language in the claim, in any	2	claim, then the embed text format needs to specify the location of at least a portion of an object. Yes.
2 3	consistent with the language in the claim, in any particular claim, in either of the patents.	2 3	claim, then the embed text format needs to specify the location of at least a portion of an object. Yes. Q. And what's now, I want to go back to your
2 3 4	consistent with the language in the claim, in any particular claim, in either of the patents.Q. At the time of your patent application, what	2 3 4	claim, then the embed text format needs to specify the location of at least a portion of an object. Yes.Q. And what's now, I want to go back to your answer about the examples in the patent. You said
2 3 4 5	consistent with the language in the claim, in any particular claim, in either of the patents.Q. At the time of your patent application, what were the different ways you contemplated an what	2 3 4 5	claim, then the embed text format needs to specify the location of at least a portion of an object. Yes. Q. And what's now, I want to go back to your answer about the examples in the patent. You said that you had in mind other ways to do an embed text
2 3 4 5 6	 consistent with the language in the claim, in any particular claim, in either of the patents. Q. At the time of your patent application, what were the different ways you contemplated an what were the different examples of an embed text format 	2 3 4 5 6	claim, then the embed text format needs to specify the location of at least a portion of an object. Yes. Q. And what's now, I want to go back to your answer about the examples in the patent. You said that you had in mind other ways to do an embed text format but you disclose in your patent the best way,
2 3 4 5 6 7	consistent with the language in the claim, in any particular claim, in either of the patents. Q. At the time of your patent application, what were the different ways you contemplated an what were the different examples of an embed text format you had in mind?	2 3 4 5 6 7	claim, then the embed text format needs to specify the location of at least a portion of an object. Yes. Q. And what's now, I want to go back to your answer about the examples in the patent. You said that you had in mind other ways to do an embed text format but you disclose in your patent the best way, right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	consistent with the language in the claim, in any particular claim, in either of the patents. Q. At the time of your patent application, what were the different ways you contemplated an what were the different examples of an embed text format you had in mind? MR. BURGESS: Objection, form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	claim, then the embed text format needs to specify the location of at least a portion of an object. Yes.Q. And what's now, I want to go back to your answer about the examples in the patent. You said that you had in mind other ways to do an embed text format but you disclose in your patent the best way, right?A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	consistent with the language in the claim, in any particular claim, in either of the patents. Q. At the time of your patent application, what were the different ways you contemplated an what were the different examples of an embed text format you had in mind? MR. BURGESS: Objection, form. A. Well, we used what we thought was the best	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 claim, then the embed text format needs to specify the location of at least a portion of an object. Yes. Q. And what's now, I want to go back to your answer about the examples in the patent. You said that you had in mind other ways to do an embed text format but you disclose in your patent the best way, right? A. Yes. Q. What were the other ways you had in mind?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	consistent with the language in the claim, in any particular claim, in either of the patents. Q. At the time of your patent application, what were the different ways you contemplated an what were the different examples of an embed text format you had in mind? MR. BURGESS: Objection, form. A. Well, we used what we thought was the best example we had at the time, which we conveyed in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 claim, then the embed text format needs to specify the location of at least a portion of an object. Yes. Q. And what's now, I want to go back to your answer about the examples in the patent. You said that you had in mind other ways to do an embed text format but you disclose in your patent the best way, right? A. Yes. Q. What were the other ways you had in mind? A. Well, we we were working with at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 consistent with the language in the claim, in any particular claim, in either of the patents. Q. At the time of your patent application, what were the different ways you contemplated an what were the different examples of an embed text format you had in mind? MR. BURGESS: Objection, form. A. Well, we used what we thought was the best example we had at the time, which we conveyed in the patent specification, realizing that in the future, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 claim, then the embed text format needs to specify the location of at least a portion of an object. Yes. Q. And what's now, I want to go back to your answer about the examples in the patent. You said that you had in mind other ways to do an embed text format but you disclose in your patent the best way, right? A. Yes. Q. What were the other ways you had in mind? A. Well, we we were working with at different times, we we used the Word Viewer, or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 consistent with the language in the claim, in any particular claim, in either of the patents. Q. At the time of your patent application, what were the different ways you contemplated an what were the different examples of an embed text format you had in mind? MR. BURGESS: Objection, form. A. Well, we used what we thought was the best example we had at the time, which we conveyed in the patent specification, realizing that in the future, there could be other ways to implement the particular 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 claim, then the embed text format needs to specify the location of at least a portion of an object. Yes. Q. And what's now, I want to go back to your answer about the examples in the patent. You said that you had in mind other ways to do an embed text format but you disclose in your patent the best way, right? A. Yes. Q. What were the other ways you had in mind? A. Well, we we were working with at different times, we we used the Word Viewer, or other characters, to correspond to that word, that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 consistent with the language in the claim, in any particular claim, in either of the patents. Q. At the time of your patent application, what were the different ways you contemplated an what were the different examples of an embed text format you had in mind? MR. BURGESS: Objection, form. A. Well, we used what we thought was the best example we had at the time, which we conveyed in the patent specification, realizing that in the future, there could be other ways to implement the particular aspects of systems using the technology. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 claim, then the embed text format needs to specify the location of at least a portion of an object. Yes. Q. And what's now, I want to go back to your answer about the examples in the patent. You said that you had in mind other ways to do an embed text format but you disclose in your patent the best way, right? A. Yes. Q. What were the other ways you had in mind? A. Well, we we were working with at different times, we we used the Word Viewer, or other characters, to correspond to that word, that what we thought the actual choice of characters for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 consistent with the language in the claim, in any particular claim, in either of the patents. Q. At the time of your patent application, what were the different ways you contemplated an what were the different examples of an embed text format you had in mind? MR. BURGESS: Objection, form. A. Well, we used what we thought was the best example we had at the time, which we conveyed in the patent specification, realizing that in the future, there could be other ways to implement the particular aspects of systems using the technology. For example, in Column 16, Line 47, it 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 claim, then the embed text format needs to specify the location of at least a portion of an object. Yes. Q. And what's now, I want to go back to your answer about the examples in the patent. You said that you had in mind other ways to do an embed text format but you disclose in your patent the best way, right? A. Yes. Q. What were the other ways you had in mind? A. Well, we we were working with at different times, we we used the Word Viewer, or other characters, to correspond to that word, that what we thought the actual choice of characters for that for that word was actually irrelevant to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 consistent with the language in the claim, in any particular claim, in either of the patents. Q. At the time of your patent application, what were the different ways you contemplated an what were the different examples of an embed text format you had in mind? MR. BURGESS: Objection, form. A. Well, we used what we thought was the best example we had at the time, which we conveyed in the patent specification, realizing that in the future, there could be other ways to implement the particular aspects of systems using the technology. For example, in Column 16, Line 47, it says, "In the foregoing specification, the invention 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 claim, then the embed text format needs to specify the location of at least a portion of an object. Yes. Q. And what's now, I want to go back to your answer about the examples in the patent. You said that you had in mind other ways to do an embed text format but you disclose in your patent the best way, right? A. Yes. Q. What were the other ways you had in mind? A. Well, we we were working with at different times, we we used the Word Viewer, or other characters, to correspond to that word, that what we thought the actual choice of characters for that for that word was actually irrelevant to the embed text format and and what it was able to do.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 consistent with the language in the claim, in any particular claim, in either of the patents. Q. At the time of your patent application, what were the different ways you contemplated an what were the different examples of an embed text format you had in mind? MR. BURGESS: Objection, form. A. Well, we used what we thought was the best example we had at the time, which we conveyed in the patent specification, realizing that in the future, there could be other ways to implement the particular aspects of systems using the technology. For example, in Column 16, Line 47, it says, "In the foregoing specification, the invention has been described with reference to a specific 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 claim, then the embed text format needs to specify the location of at least a portion of an object. Yes. Q. And what's now, I want to go back to your answer about the examples in the patent. You said that you had in mind other ways to do an embed text format but you disclose in your patent the best way, right? A. Yes. Q. What were the other ways you had in mind? A. Well, we we were working with at different times, we we used the Word Viewer, or other characters, to correspond to that word, that what we thought the actual choice of characters for that for that word was actually irrelevant to the embed text format and and what it was able to do. So we we picked the particular format that was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 consistent with the language in the claim, in any particular claim, in either of the patents. Q. At the time of your patent application, what were the different ways you contemplated an what were the different examples of an embed text format you had in mind? MR. BURGESS: Objection, form. A. Well, we used what we thought was the best example we had at the time, which we conveyed in the patent specification, realizing that in the future, there could be other ways to implement the particular aspects of systems using the technology. For example, in Column 16, Line 47, it says, "In the foregoing specification, the invention has been described with reference to a specific exemplary embodiment thereof. It will, however, be 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 claim, then the embed text format needs to specify the location of at least a portion of an object. Yes. Q. And what's now, I want to go back to your answer about the examples in the patent. You said that you had in mind other ways to do an embed text format but you disclose in your patent the best way, right? A. Yes. Q. What were the other ways you had in mind? A. Well, we we were working with at different times, we we used the Word Viewer, or other characters, to correspond to that word, that what we thought the actual choice of characters for that for that word was actually irrelevant to the embed text format and and what it was able to do. So we we picked the particular format that was that was laid out in the table.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 consistent with the language in the claim, in any particular claim, in either of the patents. Q. At the time of your patent application, what were the different ways you contemplated an what were the different examples of an embed text format you had in mind? MR. BURGESS: Objection, form. A. Well, we used what we thought was the best example we had at the time, which we conveyed in the patent specification, realizing that in the future, there could be other ways to implement the particular aspects of systems using the technology. For example, in Column 16, Line 47, it says, "In the foregoing specification, the invention has been described with reference to a specific exemplary embodiment thereof. It will, however, be evident that various modifications and changes can be 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 claim, then the embed text format needs to specify the location of at least a portion of an object. Yes. Q. And what's now, I want to go back to your answer about the examples in the patent. You said that you had in mind other ways to do an embed text format but you disclose in your patent the best way, right? A. Yes. Q. What were the other ways you had in mind? A. Well, we we were working with at different times, we we used the Word Viewer, or other characters, to correspond to that word, that what we thought the actual choice of characters for that for that word was actually irrelevant to the embed text format and and what it was able to do. So we we picked the particular format that was that was laid out in the table. Q. This is written in C language, the example of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 consistent with the language in the claim, in any particular claim, in either of the patents. Q. At the time of your patent application, what were the different ways you contemplated an what were the different examples of an embed text format you had in mind? MR. BURGESS: Objection, form. A. Well, we used what we thought was the best example we had at the time, which we conveyed in the patent specification, realizing that in the future, there could be other ways to implement the particular aspects of systems using the technology. For example, in Column 16, Line 47, it says, "In the foregoing specification, the invention has been described with reference to a specific exemplary embodiment thereof. It will, however, be evident that various modifications and changes can be made" And then it goes on to talk about how you 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 claim, then the embed text format needs to specify the location of at least a portion of an object. Yes. Q. And what's now, I want to go back to your answer about the examples in the patent. You said that you had in mind other ways to do an embed text format but you disclose in your patent the best way, right? A. Yes. Q. What were the other ways you had in mind? A. Well, we we were working with at different times, we we used the Word Viewer, or other characters, to correspond to that word, that what we thought the actual choice of characters for that for that word was actually irrelevant to the embed text format and and what it was able to do. So we we picked the particular format that was that was laid out in the table. Q. This is written in C language, the example of an embed text format here in your patent?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 consistent with the language in the claim, in any particular claim, in either of the patents. Q. At the time of your patent application, what were the different ways you contemplated an what were the different examples of an embed text format you had in mind? MR. BURGESS: Objection, form. A. Well, we used what we thought was the best example we had at the time, which we conveyed in the patent specification, realizing that in the future, there could be other ways to implement the particular aspects of systems using the technology. For example, in Column 16, Line 47, it says, "In the foregoing specification, the invention has been described with reference to a specific exemplary embodiment thereof. It will, however, be evident that various modifications and changes can be made" And then it goes on to talk about how you can use different programming approaches, different 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 claim, then the embed text format needs to specify the location of at least a portion of an object. Yes. Q. And what's now, I want to go back to your answer about the examples in the patent. You said that you had in mind other ways to do an embed text format but you disclose in your patent the best way, right? A. Yes. Q. What were the other ways you had in mind? A. Well, we we were working with at different times, we we used the Word Viewer, or other characters, to correspond to that word, that what we thought the actual choice of characters for that for that word was actually irrelevant to the embed text format and and what it was able to do. So we we picked the particular format that was that was laid out in the table. Q. This is written in C language, the example of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 20	 consistent with the language in the claim, in any particular claim, in either of the patents. Q. At the time of your patent application, what were the different ways you contemplated an what were the different examples of an embed text format you had in mind? MR. BURGESS: Objection, form. A. Well, we used what we thought was the best example we had at the time, which we conveyed in the patent specification, realizing that in the future, there could be other ways to implement the particular aspects of systems using the technology. For example, in Column 16, Line 47, it says, "In the foregoing specification, the invention has been described with reference to a specific exemplary embodiment thereof. It will, however, be evident that various modifications and changes can be made" And then it goes on to talk about how you 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 claim, then the embed text format needs to specify the location of at least a portion of an object. Yes. Q. And what's now, I want to go back to your answer about the examples in the patent. You said that you had in mind other ways to do an embed text format but you disclose in your patent the best way, right? A. Yes. Q. What were the other ways you had in mind? A. Well, we we were working with at different times, we we used the Word Viewer, or other characters, to correspond to that word, that what we thought the actual choice of characters for that for that word was actually irrelevant to the embed text format and and what it was able to do. So we we picked the particular format that was that was laid out in the table. Q. This is written in C language, the example of an embed text format here in your patent? MR. BURGESS: Objection, form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 20 21	 consistent with the language in the claim, in any particular claim, in either of the patents. Q. At the time of your patent application, what were the different ways you contemplated an what were the different examples of an embed text format you had in mind? MR. BURGESS: Objection, form. A. Well, we used what we thought was the best example we had at the time, which we conveyed in the patent specification, realizing that in the future, there could be other ways to implement the particular aspects of systems using the technology. For example, in Column 16, Line 47, it says, "In the foregoing specification, the invention has been described with reference to a specific exemplary embodiment thereof. It will, however, be evident that various modifications and changes can be made" And then it goes on to talk about how you can use different programming approaches, different ways to implement. That the invention itself is 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 claim, then the embed text format needs to specify the location of at least a portion of an object. Yes. Q. And what's now, I want to go back to your answer about the examples in the patent. You said that you had in mind other ways to do an embed text format but you disclose in your patent the best way, right? A. Yes. Q. What were the other ways you had in mind? A. Well, we we were working with at different times, we we used the Word Viewer, or other characters, to correspond to that word, that what we thought the actual choice of characters for that for that word was actually irrelevant to the embed text format and and what it was able to do. So we we picked the particular format that was that was laid out in the table. Q. This is written in C language, the example of an embed text format here in your patent? MR. BURGESS: Objection, form. A. I don't understand. That question doesn't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	consistent with the language in the claim, in any particular claim, in either of the patents. Q. At the time of your patent application, what were the different ways you contemplated an what were the different examples of an embed text format you had in mind? MR. BURGESS: Objection, form. A. Well, we used what we thought was the best example we had at the time, which we conveyed in the patent specification, realizing that in the future, there could be other ways to implement the particular aspects of systems using the technology. For example, in Column 16, Line 47, it says, "In the foregoing specification, the invention has been described with reference to a specific exemplary embodiment thereof. It will, however, be evident that various modifications and changes can be made" And then it goes on to talk about how you can use different programming approaches, different ways to implement. That the invention itself is defined by and limited only by the provided claims.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 claim, then the embed text format needs to specify the location of at least a portion of an object. Yes. Q. And what's now, I want to go back to your answer about the examples in the patent. You said that you had in mind other ways to do an embed text format but you disclose in your patent the best way, right? A. Yes. Q. What were the other ways you had in mind? A. Well, we we were working with at different times, we we used the Word Viewer, or other characters, to correspond to that word, that what we thought the actual choice of characters for that for that word was actually irrelevant to the embed text format and and what it was able to do. So we we picked the particular format that was that was laid out in the table. Q. This is written in C language, the example of an embed text format here in your patent? MR. BURGESS: Objection, form. A. I don't understand. That question doesn't make any sense.

Veritext Corporate Services

800-567-8658

973-410-4040

88 (Pages 483 to 486)