EXHIBIT E

```
Page 301
1
          IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
           FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
                      TYLER DIVISION
 3
    EOLAS TECHNOLOGIES,
     INCORPORATED
                                §
 4
              Plaintiff
                                Ş
 5
                                §
    VS.
                                S
                                     No. 6:09-cv-446
 6
    ADOBE SYSTEMS, INC.,
 7
    AMAZON.COM, INC., APPLE,
     INC., BLOCKBUSTER, INC.,
     CDW CORP., CITIGROUP, INC.§
     EBAY, INC., FRITO-LAY,
 9
     INC., THE GO DADDY GROUP, §
     INC., GOOGLE, INC.,
10
     JPMORGAN CHASE & CO., NEW §
     FRONTIER MEDIA, INC.,
11
     OFFICE DEPOT, INC., PEROT §
     SYSTEMS CORP., PLAYBOY
12
    ENTERPRISES INTERNATIONAL, §
     INC., RENT-A-CAR, INC.,
13
     STAPLES, INC., SUN
    MICROSYSTEMS, INC., TEXAS §
14
     INSTRUMENTS, INC., YAHOO! §
     INC., AND YOUTUBE, LLC
15
                                S
              Defendants
                                S
16
17
18
              *** HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL ***
19
20
         VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF CHEONG S. ANG
                      Austin, Texas
2.1
                 Friday, July 22, 2011
                         Volume 2
22
23
    Reported by:
24
    MICHEAL A. JOHNSON, CRR
    JOB NO. 40572
25
```

	D 202		D 202
	Page 302		Page 303
1		1	APPEARANCES
2		2	McKOOL SMITH
3		3	Attorney for Plaintiffs
4		4	300 West sixth Street, Suite 1700
5	July 22, 2011	5	Austin, Texas 78701
6	9:02 a.m.	6	BY: JOSH BUDWIN, ESQ.
7		7	KEVIN BURGESS, ESQ.
8		8	
9	Videotaped deposition of CHEONG S. ANG,	9	FISH & RICHARDSON
10	held at the offices of McKool Smith, 300 West	10	Attorney for Defendant
11	6th Street, Suite 1700, Austin, Texas,	11	12390 El Camino Real
12	pursuant to Agreement before Micheal A.	12	San Diego, California 92130
13	Johnson, a Certified Realtime Reporter and	13	BY: JASON W. WOLFF, ESQ.
14	Notary Public of the State of Texas.	14	
15		15	WEIL GOTSHAL & MANGES
16		16	Attorney for Defendants
17		17	201 Redwood Shores Parkway
18		18	Redwood Shores, California 94065
19		19	BY: EDWARD R. REINES, ESQ.
20		20	
21		21	MARSHALL GERSTEIN & BORUN
22		22	Attorney for Defendant
23		23	233 South Wacker Drive
24		24	Chicago, Illinois 60606
25		25	BY: THOMAS L. DUSTON, ESQ.
	Page 304		Page 305
1	APPEARANCES	1	
2	ROPES & GRAY	2	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by
3	Attorney for Defendant	3	and between the attorneys for the respective
4	1900 University Avenue	4	parties herein, that filing and sealing be and
5	East Palo Alto, California 94303	5	the same are hereby waived.
6	BY: JAMES R. BATCHELDER, ESQ.	6	IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED
7	D1. JANILS R. DATCHELDER, ESQ.	7	that all objections, except as to the form of
8	VIDEOGRAPHER:	8	the question, shall be reserved to the time of
9	Angelica Mathews	9	trial.
10	i ingenea maniews	10	IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED
11		11	that the within deposition may be sworn to and
12		12	signed before any officer authorized to
13		13	administer an oath, with the same force and
14		14	effect as if signed and sworn to before the
15		15	Court.
16		16	Court
17		17	
18		18	
19		19	- oOo -
20		20	000
21		21	
22		22	
D 3 F 4		23	
23 24		24	
24 25		25	
د ی		ر کا	

	Page 578		Page 579
1	read the patent, go ahead and start from the	1	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the end
2	front page and read for as long as it takes	2	of tape No. 11. We are off the record at
3	you. Go ahead.	3	4:37.
4	Objection to form on that question.	4	(Recess Taken From 4:37 p.m. To
5	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
	(5	4:48 p.m.) 04:47PM
6	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: I've got about	6	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the
7	five minutes left on the tape. Would you like	7	beginning of tape No. 12. We are back on the
8	to go off the record and change it?	8	record at 4:48.
9	MR. BATCHELDER: Why don't we do	9	BY MR. BATCHELDER:
10	that while he's reading. 04:36PM	10	Q. The videographer needed to change 04:47PM
11	MR. BUDWIN: If we're going to	11	the tape while the question was pending,
12	change tapes, we're going to take a break.	12	Mr. Ang, so let me remind you of the question.
13	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the end	13	Other than the Table II of the
14	of	14	patents-in-suit, is there any other place in
15	MR. BUDWIN: So what do you want 04:36PM	15	the written description of these patents that 04:47PM
16	him to do?	16	describes an embed text format other than an
17	MR. BATCHELDER: I'd just like him	17	HTML tag format?
18	to keep reading while we change the tapes.	18	MR. BUDWIN: Objection, form.
19	MR. BUDWIN: If we're changing	19	Take your time.
20	tapes, we're taking a break. It's been an 04:36PM	20	(Witness Reviews Document.) 04:48PM
21	hour and 15 minutes.	21	A. So, Counsel, as I was mentioning
22	MR. BATCHELDER: Well, there is a	22	earlier before the break and before you had me
23	question pending, so no discussion about the	23	read through this patent, the purpose that I
24	question during the break.	24	was doing this was to answer your question on
25	MR. BUDWIN: That's fine. 04:36PM	25	is there another HTML tag format that is 04:59PM
	Page 580		Page 581
1	similar to what was provided in Table II. Is	1	above Table II what was mentioned was Table II
2	that accurate?		
		2	below shows an example an example of an
3	BY MR. BATCHELDER:	2 3	below shows an example an example of an HTML tag format used by the present invention
			HTML tag format used by the present invention
4	Q. My question was other than in	3 4	HTML tag format used by the present invention to embed a link in an application program
4 5	Q. My question was other than in Table II of the patents-in-suit, is there any 04:59PM	3 4 5	HTML tag format used by the present invention to embed a link in an application program within a hypermedia document. So this 05:00PM
4 5 6	Q. My question was other than in Table II of the patents-in-suit, is there any other place in the written description of	3 4 5 6	HTML tag format used by the present invention to embed a link in an application program within a hypermedia document. So this description actually provides an example and
4 5 6 7	Q. My question was other than in Table II of the patents-in-suit, is there any other place in the written description of these patents that describes an embed text	3 4 5 6 7	HTML tag format used by the present invention to embed a link in an application program within a hypermedia document. So this description actually provides an example and it describes an embodiment of the invention.
4 5 6 7 8	Q. My question was other than in Table II of the patents-in-suit, is there any other place in the written description of these patents that describes an embed text format other than an HTML tag format?	3 4 5 6 7 8	HTML tag format used by the present invention to embed a link in an application program within a hypermedia document. So this O5:00PM description actually provides an example and it describes an embodiment of the invention. And then, in fact, there are other places in
4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. My question was other than in Table II of the patents-in-suit, is there any other place in the written description of these patents that describes an embed text format other than an HTML tag format? A. Okay. So	3 4 5 6 7 8	HTML tag format used by the present invention to embed a link in an application program within a hypermedia document. So this 05:00PM description actually provides an example and it describes an embodiment of the invention. And then, in fact, there are other places in the description that talks about under
4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. My question was other than in Table II of the patents-in-suit, is there any other place in the written description of these patents that describes an embed text format other than an HTML tag format? A. Okay. So MR. BUDWIN: Objection, form. 04:59PM	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	HTML tag format used by the present invention to embed a link in an application program within a hypermedia document. So this 05:00PM description actually provides an example and it describes an embodiment of the invention. And then, in fact, there are other places in the description that talks about under column 9, line approximately 55, it says, 05:01PM
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. My question was other than in Table II of the patents-in-suit, is there any other place in the written description of these patents that describes an embed text format other than an HTML tag format? A. Okay. So MR. BUDWIN: Objection, form. A. My answer to that after reading	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	HTML tag format used by the present invention to embed a link in an application program within a hypermedia document. So this O5:00PM description actually provides an example and it describes an embodiment of the invention. And then, in fact, there are other places in the description that talks about under column 9, line approximately 55, it says, O5:01PM "Assuming network 206 is the Internet, such a
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. My question was other than in Table II of the patents-in-suit, is there any other place in the written description of these patents that describes an embed text format other than an HTML tag format? A. Okay. So MR. BUDWIN: Objection, form. A. My answer to that after reading through this patent was in the description of	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	HTML tag format used by the present invention to embed a link in an application program within a hypermedia document. So this description actually provides an example and it describes an embodiment of the invention. And then, in fact, there are other places in the description that talks about under column 9, line approximately 55, it says, "Assuming network 206 is the Internet, such a request would typically be made by using HTTP
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. My question was other than in Table II of the patents-in-suit, is there any other place in the written description of these patents that describes an embed text format other than an HTML tag format? A. Okay. So MR. BUDWIN: Objection, form. A. My answer to that after reading through this patent was in the description of the patent itself and then following the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	HTML tag format used by the present invention to embed a link in an application program within a hypermedia document. So this 05:00PM description actually provides an example and it describes an embodiment of the invention. And then, in fact, there are other places in the description that talks about under column 9, line approximately 55, it says, 05:01PM "Assuming network 206 is the Internet, such a request would typically be made by using HTTP in response to an HTML-style link definition."
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. My question was other than in Table II of the patents-in-suit, is there any other place in the written description of these patents that describes an embed text format other than an HTML tag format? A. Okay. So MR. BUDWIN: Objection, form. A. My answer to that after reading through this patent was in the description of the patent itself and then following the description at the end, it mentions that the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	HTML tag format used by the present invention to embed a link in an application program within a hypermedia document. So this 05:00PM description actually provides an example and it describes an embodiment of the invention. And then, in fact, there are other places in the description that talks about under column 9, line approximately 55, it says, 05:01PM "Assuming network 206 is the Internet, such a request would typically be made by using HTTP in response to an HTML-style link definition." And so all those other all those
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. My question was other than in Table II of the patents-in-suit, is there any other place in the written description of these patents that describes an embed text format other than an HTML tag format? A. Okay. So MR. BUDWIN: Objection, form. A. My answer to that after reading through this patent was in the description of the patent itself and then following the description at the end, it mentions that the patent the invention has been described 04:59PM 04:59PM 04:59PM 05:00PM	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	HTML tag format used by the present invention to embed a link in an application program within a hypermedia document. So this O5:00PM description actually provides an example and it describes an embodiment of the invention. And then, in fact, there are other places in the description that talks about under column 9, line approximately 55, it says, O5:01PM "Assuming network 206 is the Internet, such a request would typically be made by using HTTP in response to an HTML-style link definition." And so all those other all those descriptions are alluding to the fact that O5:01PM
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. My question was other than in Table II of the patents-in-suit, is there any other place in the written description of these patents that describes an embed text format other than an HTML tag format? A. Okay. So MR. BUDWIN: Objection, form. A. My answer to that after reading through this patent was in the description of the patent itself and then following the description at the end, it mentions that the patent the invention has been described with reference to a specific exemplary 04:59PM 04:59PM 04:59PM 04:59PM 05:59PM	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	HTML tag format used by the present invention to embed a link in an application program within a hypermedia document. So this O5:00PM description actually provides an example and it describes an embodiment of the invention. And then, in fact, there are other places in the description that talks about under column 9, line approximately 55, it says, O5:01PM "Assuming network 206 is the Internet, such a request would typically be made by using HTTP in response to an HTML-style link definition." And so all those other all those descriptions are alluding to the fact that O5:01PM HTML and the Internet are provided in an
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. My question was other than in Table II of the patents-in-suit, is there any other place in the written description of these patents that describes an embed text format other than an HTML tag format? A. Okay. So MR. BUDWIN: Objection, form. A. My answer to that after reading through this patent was in the description of the patent itself and then following the description at the end, it mentions that the patent the invention has been described with reference to a specific exemplary embodiment therefore. And it will, however,	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	HTML tag format used by the present invention to embed a link in an application program within a hypermedia document. So this O5:00PM description actually provides an example and it describes an embodiment of the invention. And then, in fact, there are other places in the description that talks about under column 9, line approximately 55, it says, O5:01PM "Assuming network 206 is the Internet, such a request would typically be made by using HTTP in response to an HTML-style link definition." And so all those other all those descriptions are alluding to the fact that O5:01PM HTML and the Internet are provided in an example embodiment as examples of
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. My question was other than in Table II of the patents-in-suit, is there any other place in the written description of these patents that describes an embed text format other than an HTML tag format? A. Okay. So MR. BUDWIN: Objection, form. A. My answer to that after reading through this patent was in the description of the patent itself and then following the description at the end, it mentions that the patent the invention has been described with reference to a specific exemplary embodiment therefore. And it will, however, be evident that there is modifications and	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	HTML tag format used by the present invention to embed a link in an application program within a hypermedia document. So this description actually provides an example and it describes an embodiment of the invention. And then, in fact, there are other places in the description that talks about under column 9, line approximately 55, it says, "Assuming network 206 is the Internet, such a request would typically be made by using HTTP in response to an HTML-style link definition." And so all those other all those descriptions are alluding to the fact that O5:01PM HTML and the Internet are provided in an example embodiment as examples of distributed hypermedia environment that the
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. My question was other than in Table II of the patents-in-suit, is there any other place in the written description of these patents that describes an embed text format other than an HTML tag format? A. Okay. So MR. BUDWIN: Objection, form. A. My answer to that after reading through this patent was in the description of the patent itself and then following the description at the end, it mentions that the patent the invention has been described with reference to a specific exemplary embodiment therefore. And it will, however, be evident that there is modifications and changes may be made there onto without	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	HTML tag format used by the present invention to embed a link in an application program within a hypermedia document. So this O5:00PM description actually provides an example and it describes an embodiment of the invention. And then, in fact, there are other places in the description that talks about under column 9, line approximately 55, it says, O5:01PM "Assuming network 206 is the Internet, such a request would typically be made by using HTTP in response to an HTML-style link definition." And so all those other all those descriptions are alluding to the fact that O5:01PM HTML and the Internet are provided in an example embodiment as examples of distributed hypermedia environment that the invention can be implemented on.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. My question was other than in Table II of the patents-in-suit, is there any other place in the written description of these patents that describes an embed text format other than an HTML tag format? A. Okay. So MR. BUDWIN: Objection, form. A. My answer to that after reading through this patent was in the description of the patent itself and then following the description at the end, it mentions that the patent the invention has been described with reference to a specific exemplary embodiment therefore. And it will, however, be evident that there is modifications and changes may be made there onto without departing from the broadest spirit and scope 05:00PM	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	HTML tag format used by the present invention to embed a link in an application program within a hypermedia document. So this O5:00PM description actually provides an example and it describes an embodiment of the invention. And then, in fact, there are other places in the description that talks about under column 9, line approximately 55, it says, O5:01PM "Assuming network 206 is the Internet, such a request would typically be made by using HTTP in response to an HTML-style link definition." And so all those other all those descriptions are alluding to the fact that O5:01PM HTML and the Internet are provided in an example embodiment as examples of distributed hypermedia environment that the invention can be implemented on. And then obviously there's another O5:02PM
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. My question was other than in Table II of the patents-in-suit, is there any other place in the written description of these patents that describes an embed text format other than an HTML tag format? A. Okay. So MR. BUDWIN: Objection, form. A. My answer to that after reading through this patent was in the description of the patent itself and then following the description at the end, it mentions that the patent the invention has been described with reference to a specific exemplary embodiment therefore. And it will, however, be evident that there is modifications and changes may be made there onto without departing from the broadest spirit and scope of the invention as set forth in the appended	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	HTML tag format used by the present invention to embed a link in an application program within a hypermedia document. So this O5:00PM description actually provides an example and it describes an embodiment of the invention. And then, in fact, there are other places in the description that talks about under column 9, line approximately 55, it says, O5:01PM "Assuming network 206 is the Internet, such a request would typically be made by using HTTP in response to an HTML-style link definition." And so all those other all those descriptions are alluding to the fact that O5:01PM HTML and the Internet are provided in an example embodiment as examples of distributed hypermedia environment that the invention can be implemented on. And then obviously there's another O5:02PM place in the patent, column 6 close to the
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. My question was other than in Table II of the patents-in-suit, is there any other place in the written description of these patents that describes an embed text format other than an HTML tag format? A. Okay. So MR. BUDWIN: Objection, form. A. My answer to that after reading through this patent was in the description of the patent itself and then following the description at the end, it mentions that the patent the invention has been described with reference to a specific exemplary embodiment therefore. And it will, however, be evident that there is modifications and changes may be made there onto without departing from the broadest spirit and scope of the invention as set forth in the appended claims. And so, for example, different	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	HTML tag format used by the present invention to embed a link in an application program within a hypermedia document. So this description actually provides an example and it describes an embodiment of the invention. And then, in fact, there are other places in the description that talks about under column 9, line approximately 55, it says, "Assuming network 206 is the Internet, such a request would typically be made by using HTTP in response to an HTML-style link definition." And so all those other all those descriptions are alluding to the fact that HTML and the Internet are provided in an example embodiment as examples of distributed hypermedia environment that the invention can be implemented on. And then obviously there's another O5:02PM place in the patent, column 6 close to the end, that talks about, "The invention not only
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. My question was other than in Table II of the patents-in-suit, is there any other place in the written description of these patents that describes an embed text format other than an HTML tag format? A. Okay. So MR. BUDWIN: Objection, form. A. My answer to that after reading through this patent was in the description of the patent itself and then following the description at the end, it mentions that the patent the invention has been described with reference to a specific exemplary embodiment therefore. And it will, however, be evident that there is modifications and changes may be made there onto without departing from the broadest spirit and scope of the invention as set forth in the appended claims. And so, for example, different programming languages and techniques may be	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	HTML tag format used by the present invention to embed a link in an application program within a hypermedia document. So this description actually provides an example and it describes an embodiment of the invention. And then, in fact, there are other places in the description that talks about under column 9, line approximately 55, it says, "Assuming network 206 is the Internet, such a request would typically be made by using HTTP in response to an HTML-style link definition." And so all those other all those descriptions are alluding to the fact that HTML and the Internet are provided in an example embodiment as examples of distributed hypermedia environment that the invention can be implemented on. And then obviously there's another O5:02PM place in the patent, column 6 close to the end, that talks about, "The invention not only allows the user to use a hypermedia format."
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. My question was other than in Table II of the patents-in-suit, is there any other place in the written description of these patents that describes an embed text format other than an HTML tag format? A. Okay. So MR. BUDWIN: Objection, form. A. My answer to that after reading through this patent was in the description of the patent itself and then following the description at the end, it mentions that the patent the invention has been described with reference to a specific exemplary embodiment therefore. And it will, however, be evident that there is modifications and changes may be made there onto without departing from the broadest spirit and scope of the invention as set forth in the appended claims. And so, for example, different	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	HTML tag format used by the present invention to embed a link in an application program within a hypermedia document. So this description actually provides an example and it describes an embodiment of the invention. And then, in fact, there are other places in the description that talks about under column 9, line approximately 55, it says, "Assuming network 206 is the Internet, such a request would typically be made by using HTTP in response to an HTML-style link definition." And so all those other all those descriptions are alluding to the fact that HTML and the Internet are provided in an example embodiment as examples of distributed hypermedia environment that the invention can be implemented on. And then obviously there's another O5:02PM place in the patent, column 6 close to the end, that talks about, "The invention not only

1 Q. Do you see anything related to the paring of type information in your source code appealix on this page? 4 A. Yes, I do. 5 Q. What do you see? 06:09PM 6 A. I see a function code to parse mark tag that was looking for type, the type of attribute from the input text, the Xt. 9 Q. Does that show that your code was using type information to select the my code is using type applications? 11 executable applications. 12 A. Yes, That definitely showed that my code is using type application to select at on pages 735, 803 and 808 of Exhibit 27, 18 the source code appendix attached to your patent, show that your code was using type and the source code appendix attached to your patent, show that your code was using type applications. 12 VIS, WT and MPEG? 23 A. Yes, it does. 24 A. Yes, That definitely showed that my code is using type application to select at on pages 735, 803 and 808 of Exhibit 27, 18 the source code appendix attached to your patent, show that your code was using type information to select among the three of the source code appendix attached to your patent, show that your code was using type information to select among the three of the source code appendix attached to your patent should be provided to the source code appendix attached to your patent should be provided to the source code appendix attached to your patent should be provided to the source to the so		Page 622		Page 623
2 parking of type information in your source 3 code appendix on this page? 4 A. Yes, I do. 5 Q. What do you see? 6 A. Ise a function code to parse mark 17 tag that was looking for type, the type of 2 attribute from the input text, the Xt. 3 Q. Does that show that your code was 2 using type information to select the 2 dexecutable application is using type application to select 3 devectable applications. 4 A. Yes, That definitely showed that 4 executable applications. 5 Q. Let me just make sure that we're 6 clear. Does the code that you've just looked 16 clear. Does the code that you've just looked 17 at on pages 735, 803 and 808 of Fxhibit 27, 18 the source code appendix attached to your 19 patent, show that your code was using type 2 information to select mong the thre 2 information to select among the thre 2 information to select among the thre 2 information to select among the thre 3 A. Yes, it does. 4 Ves, it does. 5 Q. Would Dr. Doyle have demonstrated a 5 Version of the code in Exhibit 27 in thre we just talked about consistent with your code to try to do 6:10PM 4 D. Doy ou have an understanding as to 4 West. 6 D. Doy ou have an understanding a this code: 1 A. Yes. 1 BY MR. BUDWIN:	1		1	
code appendix on this page? A. Yes, I do. Q. What do you see? 6 A. Yes, I do. Q. What do you see? 6 A. Yes, I do. Q. What do you see? 6 A. Tes a function code to parse mark tag that was looking for type, the type of a turibute from the input text, the Kr. Q. Does that show that your code was using type information to select the of:10PM cereative executable applications? 10 using type information to select the of:10PM cereative executable applications. 11 A. Dr. Doyle demonstrated versions of this code? 2 A. Yes. That definitely showed that executable applications to select executable applications. 15 Q. Let me just make sure that we're of:10PM cereative executable applications. 16 clear. Does the code that you've just looked to the source code appendix attached to your patent; both that your code was using type information to select among the three of:10PM patent, show that your code was using type information to select among the three of:10PM different supported executable applications, vis. I was the source code appendix attached to your patent; both that your code was using type information to select among the three of:10PM different supported executable applications, vis. I was a first that the source code appendix attached to your patent; both that your code was using type information to select among the three of:10PM different supported executable applications, vis. I was a first that the source code appendix attached to your patent; both that your code was using type information to select the own of the source code appendix attached to your patent? 2 MR. WOLFF: Objection. 2 Page 624 A. Yes, it does. 3 Q. Would Dr. Doyle have demonstrated a version of the code in Exhibit 27 that we just talked about to Dr. Lindberg? 4 A. Yes, I would br. Doyle have demonstrated a version of the code in Exhibit 27 that we just talked about to Dr. Lindberg? 5 A. Yes, I would br. Ook do you recall br. Doyle making a presentation at the Medicine Meets Virtual Reality Conference? 6 A. Yes, I would br. Ook d				·
4 A. Yes, 1do. Q. What do you see? 06:09PM 5 A. I see a function code to parse mark tag that was looking for type, the type of attribute from the input text, the Xt. Q. Does that show that your code was using type information to select the office of the state of t				
5 A. Vss. it does. Q. What do you see? 6 A. I see a function code to parse mark 7 tag that was looking for type, the type of 8 attribute from the input text, the Xt. 9 Q. Does that show that your code was 10 using type information to select the 06:10PM 10 executable application? 11 A. Yes. That definitely showed that 12 my code is using type application to select 13 my code is using type application to select 14 executable application to select 15 cerea to be the code that you've just looked 16 clear. Does the code that you've just looked 17 at on pages 735, 803 and 808 of Exhibit 27, 18 the source code appendix attached to your 19 patent, show that your code was using type 20 information to select among the three 21 different supported executable applications, 22 VIS, WT and MPEC? 23 A. Yes, it does. 24 M. WOLFF: Objection. 25 BY MR. BUDWIN: 26 A. Correct. 27 A. Correct. 28 A. Yes, it does. 29 A. Well you look to the second page. 29 By MR. BUDWIN: 30 A. Yes, he would. 31 a presentation at the Medicine Meets Virtual and parsentation at the Medicine Meets Virtual and presentation at th			1	
6 Å. I see a function code to parse mark 7 tag that was looking for type, the type of 8 attribute from the input text, the Xt. 9 Q. Does that show that your code was 10 using type information to select to 11 executable applications 12 A. Yes. That definitely showed that 12 my code is using type applications to select 13 my code is using type applications to select 14 executable applications. 15 Q. Left me just make sure that we're of 6:10PM 16 clear. Does the code that you've just looked 17 at on pages 735, 803 and 808 of Exhibit 27, 18 the source code appendix attached to your 19 patent, show that your code was using type 19 information to select among the three of 10PM 21 different supported executable applications, 22 VIS, WT and MPEG? 23 A. Yes. if does. 24 MR. WOLFF: Objection. 25 BY MR. BUDWIN: 26 BY MR. BUDWIN: 27 A. Correct. 28 BY MR. BUDWIN: 28 BY MR. BUDWIN: 39 Q. Would Dr. Doyle have demonstrated a version of the code in Exhibit 27 that we just taked about to Dr. Lindberg? 40 Library Building." 41 Library Building." 51 Library Building." 51 Library Building." 51 Library Building." 51 Library Building." 61 A. Yes. I does. 61 PM 6 MR. WOLFF: Objection. 7 A. Yes. I does. 7 Q. Would Dr. Doyle have demonstrated a version of the code in Exhibit 27 that we just taked about to Dr. Lindberg? 61 Library Building." 61 A. Ornect. 62 PMR. BUDWIN: 66 Library Building." 61 A. Yes, It does. 61 PM 6 MR. WOLFF: Objection. 7 A. Yes. I doe. 7 A. Okay. I have that. 9 Q. Would Dr. Doyle have demonstrated a version of the code in Exhibit 27 that we just the surface of the patent that did not include the source code appendix, for embed text formats other than the embed tag in the patent with the source code appendix, for embed text formats other than the embed tag in the patent that did not include the source code appendix, for extend the patent with the source code appendix, for embed text formats other than the embed tag in the patent that did not include the source code appendix, for embed text formats other than the emb			1	
tag that was looking for type, the type of a turibute from the input text, the Xt. 9 Q. Does that show that your code was using type information to select the executable applications? 12 A. Yes. That definitely showed that executable applications. 13 my code is using type application to select executable applications. 14 executable applications. Q. Let me just make sure that we're executable applications. Q. Let me just make sure that we're of clear. Does the code that you've just looked at on pages 735, 803 and 808 of Eshibit 27, the source code appendix attached to your patent; show that your code was using type applications. 15 the source code appendix attached to your patent; show that your code was using type applications. 16 The source code appendix attached to your patent; show that your code was using type applications. 17 VIS, WT and MPEG? 20 information to select among the three of clear. Does the code that you've just labely applications. 21 VIS, WT and MPEG? 22 VIS, WT and MPEG? 23 MR. WOLFF: Objection. 24 MR. WOLFF: Objection. 25 BY MR. BUDWIN: 26 DA. Okay. I have that. 27 O. At the bottom it says, "The first demonstration of this invention to non-UC personnel was on November 16th, 1993, to Dr. Donald Lindberg in Room 101 of the UCSF of:1PM version of the code in Eshibit 27 that we just attacked about to Dr. Lindberg? 28 A. Yes, he would. 29 Q. When you were answering those of embed text formats other than the embed tag in the patent that did not include the source code appendix, for version of the code in Eshibit 27 to the decline Meets Virtual Reality Conference? 29 Q. When you were answering those of embed text formats other than the embed tag in the patent that did not include the source code appendix? 20 Q. Looking at the version of the patent with the source code appendix, for embed text formats other than the embed tag in the version of the patent with the source code appendix. 20 Q. When you were answering those of embed text formats other than the embed tag in the tex		•		
a attribute from the input text, the Xi. Q. Does that show that your code was using type information to select the of-ci10PM 11 executable application to select the of-ci10PM 12 executable application to select the of-ci10PM 13 my code is using type application to select the of-ci10PM 14 executable applications. Yes, Cet me just make sure that we're of-ci10PM 15 or pages 735, 803 and 808 of Exhibit 27, at on pages 735, 803 and 808 of Exhibit 27, at the source code appendix attached to your 19 patent, show that your code was using type information to select among the three of-ci10PM 21 different supported executable applications, VI, WT and MPEG? 22 A. Yes, it does. 23 A. Yes, it does. 24 B. Library Building. 2 A. Correct. 2 C. Using Building. 2 A. Correct. 2 C. Doys that demonstrated a version of the code in Exhibit 27 that we just taked about to Dr. Lindberg? 06:11PM 25 takked about to Dr. Lindberg? 06:11PM 26 mg. WR. WOLFF: Objection. 2 Page 624 1 Library Building. 2 A. Correct. 2 C. Will you look to the second page, leating and first page 16 mg. Will you look to the second page, leating and first page 16 mg. Will you look to the second page, leating and the page 17 mg. Will you look to the second page, leating and the page 18 mg. Will you look to the second page, leating and the page 18 mg. Will you look to the second page, leating and the page 18 mg. Will you look to the second page, leating and the page 18 mg. Will you look to the second page, leating and the page 18 mg. Will you look to the second page, leating and the page 18 mg. Will you look to the second page, leating and the page 18 mg. Will you look to the second page, leating and the page 18 mg. Will you look to the second page, will you look to the second page, leating and the page 18 mg. Will you look to the second page, leating and the page 18 mg. Will you look to the second page, leating and the leating and the page 18 mg. Will you look to the second page, leating and the leating and the leating and the leating and the leating and		<u> •</u>	1	
Q. Does that show that your code was using type information to select the 06:10PM executable application? A. Yes. That definitely showed that executable application to select coxecutable application to select coxecutable application to select executable applications. Q. Let me just make sure that we're executable applications. Q. Let me just make sure that we're executable applications. Q. Let me just make sure that we're executable applications to pages 735, 803 and 808 of Exhibit 27, the source code appendix attached to your patent, show that your code was using type information to select among the three of 6:10PM executable applications, vis. The source code appendix attached to your patent, show that your code was using type information to select among the three of 6:10PM executable applications, vis. The source code appendix attached to your patent? I. Library Building." Page 624 I. Library Building." Page 625 I. Library Building." Page 624 I. Library Building." Page 625 I. Library Building." Page 624 I. Library Building." Page 624 I. Library Building." Page 624 I. Library Building." Page 625 I. Library Building." Page 624 I. Library Building." Page 625 I. Library Building." Page 624 I. Library Building." Page 625 I. Library Building." Page 626 I. Library Building." Page 627 I. Library Building." Page 628 I. Library Building." Page 629 I. Library Building." Page 629 I. Library Building." Page 629 I. Library Building. P		- 11 11		·
10 using type information to select the of 10PM executable application? 11 executable application? 12 A. Yes. That definitely showed that my code is using type application to select executable applications. 15 Q. Let me just make sure that we're of 10PM 2 the source code appendix attached to your at an opages 735, 803 and 808 of Exhibit 27, 18 the source code appendix attached to your patent, show that your code was using type information to select among the three of 10PM 2 the source code appendix attached to your patent, show that your code was using type information to select among the three of 10PM 2 the source code appendix attached to your patent, show that your code was using type information to select among the three of 10FM 2 the source code appendix attached to your patent, show that your code was using type information to select among the three of 10FM 2 the source code appendix attached to your patent, show that your code was using type information to select among the three of 10FM 2 the source code appendix attached to source code appendix attached a version of the code in Exhibit 27 that we just talked about to Dr. Lindberg? Of 10FM 2 the source code appendix attached to 2 the source code appendix attached a version of the code in Exhibit 27 to the MR. WOLFF: Objection. 10 A. Okay. 11 A. Okay. MR. BUDWIN: 12 A. Okay. Have that. 13 A. Yes, it does. 14 BY MR. BUDWIN: 15 Q. Do you alway Exhibit 27, that we just talked about to Dr. Lindberg? Of 10FM 2 the source code appendix 2 the source code appendix 3 the source code appendix 4 the source code appendix 5 the source code appendix 6 the specification of your patent? 11 A. Okay. 12 A. Okay. 13 A. Yes, it does. 14 A. Okay. 15 A. Okay. 16 A. Okay. 18 BY MR. BUDWIN: 19 A. Okay. 10 A. Okay. 11 A. Okay. 11 A. Okay. 12 A. Okay. 13 A. Okay. 14 By MR. BU				
A. Yes. That definitely showed that my code is using type application to select cecutable applications. Q. Let me just make sure that we're 06:10PM clear. Does the code that you've just looked at on pages 735, 803 and 808 of Exhibit 27, the source code appendix attached to your patent, show that your code was using type information to select among the three 06:10PM clifferent supported executable applications, VIS, WT and MPEG? A. Yes, it does. Page 624 Library Building." A. Correct. Q. Would Dr. Doyle have demonstrated a version of the code in Exhibit 27 to the MR. WOLFF: Objection. BY MR. BUDWIN: Q. Now, do you recall Dr. Doyle making a presentation at the Medicine Meets Virtual Reality Conference in January of 1994? A. Yes, lev would Dr. Q. Would Dr. Doyle have demonstrated a version of the code in Exhibit 27 to the MR. WOLFF: Objection. A. Yes, he would have. BY MR. BUDWIN: Q. Now, do you recall in the testimony that you gave earlier Mr. Reines and Mr. Batchelder of MR. WOLFF: Objection. A. Yes, he would have. BY MR. BUDWIN: Q. Do you recall in the testimony that wasking you about disclosure of enthe direct with the source code appendix, for example, Eshibit 27, do you see a disclosure of embed text formats other than the embed tag in asking you about disclosure of the patent with the source code appendix, for example, Eshibit 27, do you see a disclosure of embed text formats other than the embed tag in asking you about disclosure of the patent with the source code appendix, for example, Eshibit 27, do you see a disclosure of embed text formats other than the embed tag in disclosure of the patent with the source code appendix, for example, Eshibit 27, do you see a disclosure of embed text formats other than the embed tag in asking you about disclosure of embed text formats other than the embed tag in Table II of the specification of your patent? A. Yes, lev ould. MR. WOLFF: Objection. A. Yes, be would have. BY MR. BUDWIN: Q. Do you recall in the testimony that asking you about disclosure of embed text			1	
1.2 A. Yes. That definitely showed that my code is using type application to select executable applications. 1.5 Q. Let me just make sure that we're 06:10PM at on pages 735, 803 and 808 of Exhibit 27, 18 the source code appendix attached to your 19 patent, show that your code was using type information to select among the three 06:10PM 21 different supported executable applications, 22 VIS, WT and MPEG? 23 A. Yes, it does. 23 A. Yes, it does. 24 MR. WOLFF: Objection. 25 BY MR. BUDWIN: 06:10PM 25 Dr. Donald Lindberg in Room 101 of the UCSF 06:11PM 26 Dr. Doyle have demonstrated a version of the code in Exhibit 27 hat we just talked about to Dr. Lindberg? 06:11PM 27 A. Yes, he would. 3 a presentation at the Medicine Meets Virtual 24 A. Yes, treadle the event. 29 Q. Would Dr. Doyle have demonstrated a version of the code in Exhibit 27 to the Medicine Meets Virtual 6 MR. WOLFF: Objection. 4. Yes, the would have. 5 Wong ave earlier MR. Reality Conference in January of 1994? A. Yes, treadle the event. 12 Q. Doy on recall in the testimony that wo guave earlier Mr. Reines and Mr. Batchelder asking you about disclosure of embed text formats other than the embed tag in Table II of the specification of your patent? 4 N. Yes, the would have. 14 MR. WOLFF: Objection. 15 MR. WOLFF: Objection. 16 MR. WOLFF: Objection. 16 MR. WOLFF: Objection. 17 A. Yes, the would have. 17 MR. WOLFF: Objection. 18 WMR. BUDWIN: 18 BY MR. BUDWIN				
my code is using type application to select executable applications. Q. Let me just make sure that we're clear. Does the code that you've just looked at on pages 735, 803 and 808 of Exhibit 27, the source code appendix attached to your patent, show that your code was using type information to select among the three O6:10PM different supported executable applications, VIS, WT and MPEG? A. Yes, it does. Page 624 Library Building." Library Building." A. Correct. Q. Would Dr. Doyle have demonstrated a version of the code in Exhibit 27 that we just A. Yes, he would. BY MR. BUDWIN: Q. Now, do you recall Dr. Doyle making a presentation at the Medicine Meets Virtual Reality Conference in January of 1994? A. Yes, it done demonstrated a version of the code in Exhibit 27 to the Medicine Meets Virtual Reality Conference? MR. WOLFF: Objection. A. Yes, he would have. BY MR. BUDWIN: Q. Do you recall in the testimony that you gave earlier Mr. Reines and Mr. Batchelder of clear. Does the code that you've just looked 16 Q. Would br. Doyle have demonstrated a version of the code in Exhibit 27 to the Medicine Meets Virtual Reality Conference? Q. Would Dr. Doyle have demonstrated a version of the code in Exhibit 27 to the MR. WOLFF: Objection. A. Yes, he would have. BY MR. BUDWIN: Q. Do you recall in the testimony that you gave earlier Mr. Reines and Mr. Batchelder of clear. Does the code that we'put a sking you about disclosure of 06:11PM 15 Q. Would Dr. Doyle have demonstrated a version of the code in Exhibit 27 to the Medicine Meets Virtual Reality Conference? O6:12PM AR. WOLFF: Objection. A. Yes, he would have. D. Do you recall in the testimony that you gave earlier Mr. Reines and Mr. Batchelder of clear. D. Would Dr. Doyle have demonstrated a version of the code in Exhibit 27 to the Medicine Meets Virtual Reality Conference? O6:12PM AR. WOLFF: Objection of your patent? A. Yes, let on the code in Exhibit 27 to the Medicine Meets Virtual Reality Conference? O6:12PM AR. WOLFF: Objection of your patent? A. Yes, he wou			1	•
Sex		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1	
Q. Let me just make sure that we're 06:10PM 15 clear. Does the code that you've just looked at on pages 735, 803 and 808 of Eshibit 27, 17 letter. 18 the source code appendix attached to your 19 patent, show that your code was using type information to select among the three of 6:10PM 21 different supported executable applications, VIS, WT and MPEG? 22 A. Yes, it does. 23 A. Yes, it does. 24 MR. WOLFF: Objection. 25 BY MR. BUDWIN: 06:10PM 26 MR. WOLFF: Objection. 26 A. Correct. 27 Constitution of the code in Exhibit 27 that we just 18 BY MR. BUDWIN: 06:11PM 15 A. Yes, I do. Q. When you were answering those 06:12PM 18 BY MR. BUDWIN: 06:11PM 19 Q. Now, do you recall Dr. Doyle making a presentation at the Medicine Meets Virtual Reality Conference? 06:12PM 18 Reality Conference in Exhibit 27 to the 18 WR. WOLFF: Objection. 4 A. Yes, I recall the event. 29 Q. Would Dr. Doyle have demonstrated a version of the code in Exhibit 27 to the 18 WR. WOLFF: Objection. 4 Yes, he would have. 19 WR. WOLFF: Objection. 4 Yes, he would have. 19 WR. WOLFF: Objection. 4 Yes, he would have. 19 WR. WOLFF: Objection. 4 Yes, he would have. 19 WR. WOLFF: Objection. 4 Yes, he would have. 19 WR. WOLFF: Objection. 4 Yes, he would have. 19 WR. WolFF: Objection. 4 Yes, he would have. 19 WR. WolFF: Objection. 4 Yes, he would have. 19 WR. WolFF: Objection. 4 Yes, he would have. 19 WR. WolFF: Objection. 4 Yes, he would have. 19 WR. WolFF: Objection. 4 Yes, he would have. 19 WR. WolFF: Objection. 4 Yes, he would have. 19 WR. WolFF: Objection. 4 WR. WolFF: Objection. 4 Yes, he would have. 19 WR. WolFF: Objection. 4 Yes, he would have. 19 WR. WolFF: Objection. 4 Yes, he would have. 19 WR. WolFF: Objection. 4 WR. WolFF				•
clear. Does the code that you've just looked at on pages 735, 803 and 808 of Exhibit 27, at one page 735, 803 and 808 of Exhibit 27, at one page 735, 803 and 808 of Exhibit 27, at one page 735, 803 and 808 of Exhibit 27, at one page 825 and Mr. Reality Conference? 1 Library Building." 2 Library Building." 2 Library Building." 2 Library Building." 3 Library Building." 4 Library Building. The first demonstrated a version of the code in Exhibit 27 that we just a version of the specifica		* *	1	
at on pages 735, 803 and 808 of Exhibit 27, the source code appendix attached to your patent, show that your code was using type information to select among the three of:10PM different supported executable applications, 21 VIS, WT and MPEG? 22 VIS, WT and MPEG? 23 A. Yes, it does. 24 MR. WOLFF: Objection. 25 BY MR. BUDWIN: 06:10PM 25 Dr. Donald Lindberg in Room 101 of the UCSF 06:11PM 26 Dr. Donald Lindberg in Room 101 of the UCSF 06:11PM 27 Dr. Donald Lindberg in Room 101 of the UCSF 06:11PM 28 Dr. Donald Lindberg in Room 101 of the UCSF 06:11PM 29 Dr. D				
the source code appendix attached to your patent, show that your code was using type information to select among the three of 6:10PM different supported executable applications, 22 VIS, WT and MPEG? 22 A. Yes, it does. 23 A. Yes, it does. 24 MR. WOLFF: Objection. 25 BY MR. BUDWIN: 06:10PM 25 Dr. Donald Lindberg in Room 101 of the UCSF 06:11PM 26 Dr. Doyle have demonstrated a version of the code in Exhibit 27 that we just talked about to Dr. Lindberg? 06:11PM 27 A. Yes, he would. 28 BY MR. BUDWIN: 06:11PM 29 A. Yes, 1 recall the event. 29 A. Yes, 1 recall the event. 20 Would Dr. Doyle have demonstrated a version of the code in Exhibit 27 to the Mcdicine Meets Virtual Reality Conference in January of 1994? A. Yes, 1 recall the event. 20 Would Dr. Doyle have demonstrated a version of the code in Exhibit 27 to the Mcdicine Meets Virtual Reality Conference? 06:12PM 29 Mcdicine Meets Virtual Reality Conference? 06:12PM 20 Looking at the version of the code in Exhibit 27 to the Mcdicine Meets Virtual Reality Conference? 06:12PM 20 Looking at the version of the code in Exhibit 27 to the Mcdicine Meets Virtual Reality Conference? 06:12PM 20 Looking at the version of the code in Exhibit 27 to the Mcdicine Meets Virtual Reality Conference? 06:12PM 20 Looking at the version of the code in Exhibit 27 to the Mcdicine Meets Virtual Reality Conference? 06:12PM 20 Looking at the version of the code in Exhibit 27 to the Mcdicine Meets Virtual Reality Conference? 06:12PM 20 Looking at the version of the code in Exhibit 27 to the Mcdicine Meets Virtual Reality Conference? 06:12PM 20 Looking at the version of the code in Exhibit 27 to the Mcdicine Meets Virtual Reality Conference? 06:12PM 20 Looking at the version of the code in Exhibit 27 to the Mcdicine Meets Virtual Reality Conference? 06:12PM 20 Looking at the version of the code in Exhibit 27 to the Mcdicine Meets Virtual Reality Conference? 06:12PM 20 Looking at the version of the code in Exhibit 27 to the Mcdicine Meets Virtual Reality Conference? 06:12PM 20 Looking at the v			1	-
patent, show that your code was using type information to select among the three of:10PM information of this invention to non-UC personnel was on November 16th, 1993, to Dr. Donald Lindberg in Room 101 of the UCSF of:11PM in the specification of but UCSF of:11PM in the specification of your patent? 1 Library Building." 2 A. Correct. 2 and Mr. Reines asking you about disclosure of embed text formats other than the embed tag in Table II of the patent that did not include the source code appendix? 2 Q. Now, do you recall Dr. Doyle making a presentation at the Medicine Meets Virtual Reality Conference in January of 1994? 3 A. Yes, I doe. 4 Version of the code in Exhibit 27 to the MR. WOLFF: Objection. 4 Version of the code in Exhibit 27 to the MR. WOLFF: Objection. 5 A. Yes, I recall the event. 6 Q. Would Dr. Doyle have demonstrated a version of the code in Exhibit 27 to the MR. WOL			1	
information to select among the three different supported executable applications, different supported executable applications, 21 VIS, WT and MPEG? 22 A. Yes, it does. 23 demonstration of this invention to non-UC personnel was on November 16th, 1993, to 25 BY MR. BUDWIN: 06:10PM 25 Dr. Donald Lindberg in Room 101 of the UCSF 06:11PM Page 624 Page 625 and Mr. Reines asking you about disclosure of embed text formats other than the embed 1ag in Table II of the specification of your patent? 4. Yes, I do. 4. Yes, I ewould have. 25 Wen you were answering those 06:13PM and wersion of the code in Exhibit 27 to the version of the code in Exhibit 27 to				•
different supported executable applications, VIS, WT and MPEG? A. Yes, it does. MR. WOLFF: Objection. Page 624 Library Building." A. Correct. Q. Would Dr. Doyle have demonstrated a version of the code in Exhibit 27 to the Relity Conference in January of 1994? A. Yes, I recall the event. A. Yes, I recall the event. Q. Would Dr. Doyle have demonstrated a version of the code in Exhibit 27 to the MR. WOLFF: Objection. BYMR. BUDWIN: Q. Now, do you recall in the testimony that you gave earlier Mr. Reines and Mr. Batchelder of formats other than the embed tag in Table II of the specification of your patent? A. Yes, I would Dr. Doyle have demonstrated a version of the code in Exhibit 27 to the MR. WOLFF: Objection. A. Yes, I recall the event. A. Yes, he would have. BYMR. BUDWIN: Q. Would Dr. Doyle have demonstrated a version of the code in Exhibit 27 to the MR. WOLFF: Objection. A. Yes, he would have. BYMR. BUDWIN: Q. Doyou recall in the testimony that you gave earlier Mr. Reines and Mr. Batchelder of 6:12PM asking you about disclosure of embed text formats other than the embed tag in Table II of the specification of your patent? A. Yes, he would have. BYMR. BUDWIN: Q. Doyou recall in the testimony that you gave earlier Mr. Reines and Mr. Batchelder of:12PM asking you about disclosure of embed text formats other than the embed tag in Table II of the specification of your patent? A. Yes, he would have. BYMR. BUDWIN: BYMR. BUDWIN: A. Yes, he would have. BYMR. BUDWIN: BYMR. BUDWIN: A. Yes, he would have. A. Yes, he would have. BYMR. BUDWIN: A. Yes, he would have. BYMR.				
VIS, WT and MPEG? A. Yes, it does. BY MR. BUDWIN: Dage 624 Library Building." A. Correct. Q. Would Dr. Doyle have demonstrated a version of the code in Exhibit 27 to the Reality Conference in January of 1994? A. Yes, I recall the event. BY MR. BUDWIN: A. Yes, I recall the event. C. O. Looking at the version of the ode in Exhibit 27 to the version of the code in Exhibit 27 to the MR. WOLFF: Objection. A. Yes, he would have. BY MR. BUDWIN: BY MR. BUDWIN: C. D. Looking at the version of the ode in Exhibit 27 to the wersion of the code in Exhibit 27 to the wersion of the code in Exhibit 27 to the wersion of the code in Exhibit 27 to the wersion of the code in Exhibit 27 to the wersion of the code in Exhibit 27 to the wersion of the code in Exhibit 27 to the wersion of the code in Exhibit 27 to the wersion of the code in Exhibit 27 to the wersion of the code in Exhibit 27 to the wersion of the code in Exhibit 27 to the wersion of the code in Exhibit 27 to the wersion of the code in Exhibit 27 to the wersion of the code in Exhibit 27 to the wersion of the code in Exhibit 27 to the wersion of the code in Exhibit 27 to the wersion of the code in Exhibit 27 to the wersion of the code in Exhibit 27 to the wersion of the code in Exhibit 27 to the wersion of the code in Exhibit 27 to the wersion of			1	• •
A. Yes, it does. MR. WOLFF: Objection. Page 624 Library Building," A. Correct. Q. Would Dr. Doyle have demonstrated a version of the code in Exhibit 27 that we just talked about to Dr. Lindberg? A. Yes, it does. Page 625 Library Building," A. Correct. Q. Would Dr. Doyle have demonstrated a version of the code in Exhibit 27 that we just talked about to Dr. Lindberg? A. Yes, I do. Q. When you were answering those of 6:12PM questions, were you looking at a version of the specification of your patent? A. Yes, I do. Q. When you were answering those of 6:12PM questions, were you looking at a version of the patent that did not include the source code appendix? MR. WOLFF: Objection. A. Yes, I recall the event. Q. Would Dr. Doyle have demonstrated a version of the code in Exhibit 27 to the Medicine Meets Virtual Reality Conference? MR. WOLFF: Objection. A. Yes, I recall the event. Q. Would Dr. Doyle have demonstrated a version of the code in Exhibit 27 to the MR. WOLFF: Objection. A. Yes, I recall the event. Q. Would Dr. Doyle have demonstrated a version of the code in Exhibit 27 to the MR. WOLFF: Objection. A. Yes, I would Dr. Doyle making of 1994? A. Yes, I do. A. That's correct. Q. Looking at the version of the patent with the source code appendix, for example, Exhibit 27, do you see a disclosure of embed text formats other than the embed tag in Table II of the specification? Let me direct you to page 578. I think we might have switched exhibits. Do you have Exhibit 6 which is the other version of the source code appendix? Do you see the page that ends 578? A. Powsecond. A. Repeat that, please. A. Repeat that, please.			1	-
24 MR. WOLFF: Objection. 25 BY MR. BUDWIN: Page 624 1 Library Building." A. Correct. 2 Q. Would Dr. Doyle have demonstrated a version of the code in Exhibit 27 that we just talked about to Dr. Lindberg? MR. WOLFF: Objection. A. Yes, he would. BY MR. BUDWIN: Q. Now, do you recall Dr. Doyle making a presentation at the Medicine Meets Virtual Reality Conference in January of 1994? A. Yes, I recall the event. Q. Would Dr. Doyle have demonstrated a version of the code in Exhibit 27 to the Medicine Meets Virtual Reality Conference? MR. WOLFF: Objection. A. Yes, he would brave. MR. WOLFF: Objection. A. Yes, he would have. MR. WOLFF: Objection. A. Yes, recall the event. A. Yes, he would have. MR. WOLFF: Objection. A. Yes, he would have. MR. WOLFF: Objection. A. Yes, he would have. MR. WOLFF: Objection. A. Yes, he would have. A. Yes, he would have. MR. WOLFF: Objection. A. Yes, he woul			1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Page 624 Library Building." A. Correct. Q. Would Dr. Doyle have demonstrated a version of the code in Exhibit 27 that we just A. Yes, he would. BYMR. BUDWIN: Q. Now, do you recall Dr. Doyle making a presentation at the Medicine Meets Virtual Reality Conference in January of 1994? A. Yes, i recall the event. Q. Would Dr. Doyle have demonstrated a set version of the code in Exhibit 27 to the MR. WOLFF: Objection. A. Yes, he would br. Doyle making a presentation at the Medicine Meets Virtual O6:11PM in the patent with the source code appendix? A. Yes, I recall the event. A. Yes, I recall the event. MR. WOLFF: Objection. A. Yes, he would br. Doyle have demonstrated a version of the code in Exhibit 27 to the Medicine Meets Virtual Reality Conference? MR. WOLFF: Objection. A. Yes, he would br. Doyle have demonstrated a version of the code in Exhibit 27 to the Medicine Meets Virtual Reality Conference? MR. WOLFF: Objection. A. Yes, he would have. BYMR. BUDWIN: Q. Do you recall in the testimony that you gave earlier Mr. Reines and Mr. Batchelder of the specification of your patent? A. Yes, on the code in Exhibit 27 to you see the page that ends 578? Of:13PM asking you about disclosure of embed text of the specification? Let which is the other version of the source code appendix? A. Yes, I recall the event. BYMR. BUDWIN: BYMR. BUDWIN: Q. Do you recall in the testimony that you gave earlier Mr. Reines and Mr. Batchelder of:12PM asking you about disclosure of embed text of the specification? Let which is the other version of the source code appendix? Do you see the page that ends 578? Of:13PM Are you there? A. Consecond. Q. A. Repeat that, please.			1	
Page 624 Library Building." A. Correct. Q. Would Dr. Doyle have demonstrated a version of the code in Exhibit 27 that we just talked about to Dr. Lindberg? 06:11PM 5 talked about to Dr. Lindberg? 06:11PM 5 dalked about to Dr. Lindberg? 06:11PM 5 dependix? A. Yes, I do. Q. When you were answering those 06:12PM questions, were you looking at a version of the patent that did not include the source code appendix? Q. Now, do you recall Dr. Doyle making a presentation at the Medicine Meets Virtual 06:11PM 10 a Patent William 10 dalked 10 da	24		1	-
Library Building." A. Correct. Q. Would Dr. Doyle have demonstrated a version of the code in Exhibit 27 that we just talked about to Dr. Lindberg? Or. Would Dr. Doyle have demonstrated a version of the code in Exhibit 27 that we just talked about to Dr. Lindberg? Or. Would Dr. Doyle have demonstrated a version of the code in Exhibit 27 that we just talked about to Dr. Lindberg? Or. Would Dr. Doyle making the patent that did not include the source code appendix? Or. Now, do you recall Dr. Doyle making a presentation at the Medicine Meets Virtual O6:11PM to a presentation at the Medicine Meets Virtual O6:11PM to a presentation at the Medicine Meets Virtual O6:11PM to a presentation at the Medicine Meets Virtual O6:11PM to a presentation at the Medicine Meets Virtual O6:11PM to a presentation at the Medicine Meets Virtual O6:11PM to a presentation at the Medicine Meets Virtual O6:11PM to a presentation at the Medicine Meets Virtual O6:11PM to a presentation at the Medicine Meets Virtual O6:11PM to a presentation at the Medicine Meets Virtual O6:11PM to a presentation at the Medicine Meets Virtual O6:11PM to a presentation at the Medicine Meets Virtual O6:11PM to a presentation at the Medicine Meets Virtual O6:11PM to a presentation at the Medicine Meets Virtual O6:11PM to a presentation at the Medicine Meets Virtual Reality Conference? O6:12PM to of embed text formats other than the embed tag of 06:13PM shown in Table II of the specification of the surce code appendix? Do you see the page that ends 578? Or. 13PM that switched exhibits. Do you have Exhibit 6 which is the other version of the source code appendix? Do you see the page that ends 578? Or. 13PM that switched exhibits. Do you see the page that ends 578? Or. 13PM that should be presented at the pres	25	BY MR. BUDWIN: 06:10PM	25	Dr. Donald Lindberg in Room 101 of the UCSF 06:11PM
A. Correct. Q. Would Dr. Doyle have demonstrated a version of the code in Exhibit 27 that we just 4 A. Yes, I do. talked about to Dr. Lindberg? 06:11PM 5 Q. When you were answering those 06:12PM 6 MR. WOLFF: Objection. A. Yes, he would. BY MR. BUDWIN: 8 code appendix? Q. Now, do you recall Dr. Doyle making 9 MR. WOLFF: Objection. A. Yes, I recall the event. 12 Q. Looking at the version of the code in Exhibit 27 to the Medicine Meets Virtual Reality Conference? 06:12PM 15 Medicine Meets Virtual Reality Conference? 06:12PM 16 MR. WOLFF: Objection. 17 MR. WOLFF: Objection. 18 MR. WOLFF: Objection. 18 MR. WOLFF: Objection. 19 MR. WOLFF: Objection. 19 MR. WOLFF: Objection. 19 MR. WOLFF: Objection. 10 MR. WOLFF:		Page 624		Page 625
Q. Would Dr. Doyle have demonstrated a version of the code in Exhibit 27 that we just 4 Version of the code in Exhibit 27 that we just 4 A. Yes, I do. 5 talked about to Dr. Lindberg? 06:11PM 5 Q. When you were answering those 06:12PM 6 MR. WOLFF: Objection. 6 questions, were you looking at a version of the patent that did not include the source code appendix? 9 MR. WOLFF: Objection. 4 Yes, I recall the event. 10 A. Yes, I recall the event. 11 BY MR. BUDWIN: 11 BY MR. BUDWIN: 12 A. Yes, I recall the event. 12 Q. Would Dr. Doyle have demonstrated a 13 Version of the code in Exhibit 27 to the 14 Wedicine Meets Virtual Reality Conference? 15 Medicine Meets Virtual Reality Conference? 16 MR. WOLFF: Objection. 16 Wedicine Meets Virtual Reality Conference? 17 A. Yes, he would have. 18 BY MR. BUDWIN: 18 BY MR. BUDWIN: 18 BY MR. BUDWIN: 18 BY MR. BUDWIN: 19 Q. Do you recall in the testimony that 19 you gave earlier Mr. Reines and Mr. Batchelder 19 Quive gave earlier Mr. Reines and Mr. Batchelder 19 Q. To of the specification of your patent? 19 A. One second. 19 Q. Are you on the page that ends 19 Q. Ar	1	Library Building."	1	and Mr. Reines asking you about disclosure of
4 version of the code in Exhibit 27 that we just 5 talked about to Dr. Lindberg? 06:11PM 6 MR. WOLFF: Objection. 7 A. Yes, he would. 8 BY MR. BUDWIN: 9 Q. Now, do you recall Dr. Doyle making 10 a presentation at the Medicine Meets Virtual 06:11PM 11 Reality Conference in January of 1994? 12 A. Yes, I recall the event. 13 Q. Would Dr. Doyle have demonstrated a version of the code in Exhibit 27 to the 14 version of the code in Exhibit 27 to the 15 Medicine Meets Virtual Reality Conference? 06:12PM 16 MR. WOLFF: Objection. 17 A. Yes, I do. 18 Wolff: Objection. 18 Wolff: Objection. 19 Q. Would Dr. Doyle have demonstrated a version of the code in Exhibit 27 to the 19 MR. WOLFF: Objection. 10 A. Yes, he would have. 11 MR. WOLFF: Objection. 12 Ocial PM 13 MR. WOLFF: Objection. 14 version of the code in Exhibit 27 to the 15 Medicine Meets Virtual Reality Conference? 06:12PM 16 MR. WOLFF: Objection. 17 M. Yes, he would have. 18 BY MR. BUDWIN: 19 Q. Do you recall in the testimony that you gave earlier Mr. Reines and Mr. Batchelder 06:12PM 20 asking you about disclosure of embed text formats other than the embed tag in Table II of the specification of the source code appendix? Do you see the page that ends 578? Oci 13PM 21 Are you there? 22 formats other than the embed tag in Table II of the specification of your patent? 22 A. One second. 23 Of the specification of your patent? 24 A. Repeat that, please. 24 B. A. Yes, I do. 26 When you were answering those 06:12PM 27 A. Yes, he would on include the source of the page that ends of 12PM 28 A. Yes, I do. Ne second. 29 Q. Are you on the page that ends 29 Q. Are you on the page that ends 20 Q. Are you on the page that ends 20 You gave earlier Mr. Reines and Mr. Batchelder of 12PM 20 A. Repeat that, please.	2	A. Correct.	2	embed text formats other than the embed tag in
talked about to Dr. Lindberg? 06:11PM 6 MR. WOLFF: Objection. 7 A. Yes, he would. 8 BY MR. BUDWIN: 9 Q. Now, do you recall Dr. Doyle making 10 a presentation at the Medicine Meets Virtual 06:11PM 11 Reality Conference in January of 1994? 12 A. Yes, I recall the event. 13 Q. Would Dr. Doyle have demonstrated a version of the code in Exhibit 27 to the 14 version of the code in Exhibit 27 to the 15 Medicine Meets Virtual Reality Conference? 16 MR. WOLFF: Objection. 17 A. Yes, he would have. 18 Wolff: Objection. 19 Would Dr. Doyle have demonstrated a version of the code in Exhibit 27 to the 19 MR. WOLFF: Objection. 10 MR. WOLFF: Objection. 11 MR. WOLFF: Objection. 12 Medicine Meets Virtual Reality Conference? 13 MR. WOLFF: Objection. 14 Ves, he would have. 15 Medicine Meets Virtual Reality Conference? 16 MR. WOLFF: Objection. 17 A. Yes, he would have. 18 BY MR. BUDWIN: 19 Wr. BUDWIN: 10 Are you gave earlier Mr. Reines and Mr. Batchelder of 12PM have switched exhibits. Do you have Exhibit 6 which is the other version of the source code appendix, for example, Exhibit 27, do you see the page that ends 578? of 13PM have switched exhibits. Do you have Exhibit 6 which is the other version of the source code appendix? 17 Are you there? 18 Wr. BUDWIN: 18 BY MR. BUDWIN: 19 Q. Do you recall in the testimony that which is the other version of the source code appendix? 20 you gave earlier Mr. Reines and Mr. Batchelder of 12PM have switched exhibits. Do you have Exhibit 6 which is the other version of the source code appendix? 21 Are you there? 22 formats other than the embed tag in Table II of the specification of your patent? 23 A. One second. 24 A. Repeat that, please.	3	Q. Would Dr. Doyle have demonstrated a	3	Table II in the specification of your patent?
MR. WOLFF: Objection. A. Yes, he would. BY MR. BUDWIN: Q. Now, do you recall Dr. Doyle making a presentation at the Medicine Meets Virtual Reality Conference in January of 1994? A. Yes, I recall the event. Q. Would Dr. Doyle have demonstrated a version of the code in Exhibit 27 to the Medicine Meets Virtual Reality Conference? MR. WOLFF: Objection. A. That's correct. O6:12PM BY MR. BUDWIN: Q. Looking at the version of the version of the patent with the source code appendix, for example, Exhibit 27, do you see a disclosure of embed tag in Table II A. Yes, he would have. BY MR. BUDWIN: A. Yes, he would have. BY MR. BUDWIN: A. Yes, he would have. BY MR. BUDWIN: Q. Do you recall in the testimony that you gave earlier Mr. Reines and Mr. Batchelder Q. Do you see and Mr. Batchelder O6:12PM A. Yes, he would disclosure of embed text formats other than the embed tag in Table II A. Repeat that, please. 6 questions, were you looking at a version of the patent that did not include the source code appendix? MR. WOLFF: Objection. A. That's correct. O6:12PM BY MR. BUDWIN: Q. Looking at the version of the version of the example, Exhibit 27, do you see a disclosure of embed text formats other than the embed tag O6:13PM have switched exhibits. Do you have Exhibit 6 which is the other version of the source code appendix? Do you see the page that ends 578? O6:13PM A. Yes, he would disclosure of embed text Are you there? A. One second. Q. Are you on the page that ends E 023578?	4	version of the code in Exhibit 27 that we just	4	A. Yes, I do.
A. Yes, he would. BY MR. BUDWIN: Q. Now, do you recall Dr. Doyle making a presentation at the Medicine Meets Virtual of:11PM Reality Conference in January of 1994? A. Yes, I recall the event. Q. Would Dr. Doyle have demonstrated a version of the code in Exhibit 27 to the Medicine Meets Virtual Reality Conference? Medicine Meets Virtual Reality Conference? MR. WOLFF: Objection. A. Yes, he would have. BY MR. BUDWIN: Conference? O6:12PM MR. WOLFF: Objection. A. Yes, he would have. BY MR. BUDWIN: Conference? O6:12PM MR. WOLFF: Objection. A. Yes, he would have. BY MR. BUDWIN: Conference? O6:12PM MR. WOLFF: Objection. A. Yes, he would have. Do you recall in the testimony that you gave earlier Mr. Reines and Mr. Batchelder of:12PM asking you about disclosure of embed text formats other than the embed tag in Table II Are you there? A. One second. A. Repeat that, please. The patent that did not include the source code appendix? MR. WOLFF: Objection. A. That's correct. O6:12PM BY MR. BUDWIN: Q. Looking at the version of the version of the source code appendix, for example, Exhibit 27, do you see a disclosure of embed text formats other than the embed tag of:13PM shown in Table II of the specification? Let me direct you to page 578. I think we might have switched exhibits. Do you have Exhibit 6 which is the other version of the source code appendix? Do you see the page that ends 578? A. One second. Q. Are you on the page that ends A. Repeat that, please.	5	talked about to Dr. Lindberg? 06:11PM	5	Q. When you were answering those 06:12PM
BY MR. BUDWIN: Q. Now, do you recall Dr. Doyle making a presentation at the Medicine Meets Virtual Conference in January of 1994? A. Yes, I recall the event. Q. Would Dr. Doyle have demonstrated a version of the code in Exhibit 27 to the Medicine Meets Virtual Reality Conference? MR. WOLFF: Objection. MR. WOLFF: Ob	6	MR. WOLFF: Objection.	6	questions, were you looking at a version of
Q. Now, do you recall Dr. Doyle making a presentation at the Medicine Meets Virtual Reality Conference in January of 1994? A. Yes, I recall the event. Q. Would Dr. Doyle have demonstrated a version of the code in Exhibit 27 to the Medicine Meets Virtual Reality Conference? MR. WOLFF: Objection. A. Yes, he would have. BY MR. BUDWIN: Q. Looking at the version of the patent with the source code appendix, for example, Exhibit 27, do you see a disclosure of embed text formats other than the embed tag shown in Table II of the specification? Let me direct you to page 578. I think we might have switched exhibits. Do you have Exhibit 6 which is the other version of the source code appendix? Do you see the page that ends 578? A. One second. Q. A. That's correct. O6:12PM BY MR. BUDWIN: Q. Looking at the version of the example, Exhibit 27, do you see a disclosure of embed text formats other than the embed tag of:13PM shown in Table II of the specification? Let me direct you to page 578. I think we might have switched exhibits. Do you have Exhibit 6 which is the other version of the source code appendix? Do you see the page that ends 578? O6:13PM Are you there? A. One second. Q. Are you on the page that ends E 023578?	7	A. Yes, he would.	7	the patent that did not include the source
Q. Now, do you recall Dr. Doyle making a presentation at the Medicine Meets Virtual Reality Conference in January of 1994? A. Yes, I recall the event. Q. Would Dr. Doyle have demonstrated a version of the code in Exhibit 27 to the Medicine Meets Virtual Reality Conference? MR. WOLFF: Objection. A. Yes, I recall the event. Q. Looking at the version of the patent with the source code appendix, for example, Exhibit 27, do you see a disclosure of embed text formats other than the embed tag shown in Table II of the specification? Let me direct you to page 578. I think we might have switched exhibits. Do you have Exhibit 6 which is the other version of the source code appendix? Do you see the page that ends 578? A. One second. Q. Are you on the page that ends E 023578?	8	BY MR. BUDWIN:	8	code appendix?
Reality Conference in January of 1994? A. Yes, I recall the event. Q. Would Dr. Doyle have demonstrated a version of the code in Exhibit 27 to the Medicine Meets Virtual Reality Conference? MR. WOLFF: Objection. A. Yes, he would have. BY MR. BUDWIN: Q. Looking at the version of the patent with the source code appendix, for example, Exhibit 27, do you see a disclosure of embed text formats other than the embed tag of embed text formats other than the embed tag of embed text formats other than the embed tag of embed text formats other than the embed tag of embed text formats other than the embed tag of embed text formats other than the embed tag of embed text formats other than the embed tag of embed text formats other than the embed tag of embed text formats other than the embed tag of embed text formats other than the embed tag of embed text formats other than the version of the specification? Let me direct you to page 578. I think we might have switched exhibits. Do you have Exhibit 6 which is the other version of the source code appendix, for example, Exhibit 27, do you see a disclosure of embed text formats other than the embed tag of embed text formats other than the embed tag of embed text formats other than the embed tag of embed text formats other than the embed tag of embed text formats other tha	9	Q. Now, do you recall Dr. Doyle making	9	
A. Yes, I recall the event. Q. Would Dr. Doyle have demonstrated a version of the code in Exhibit 27 to the Medicine Meets Virtual Reality Conference? 06:12PM MR. WOLFF: Objection. A. Yes, he would have. BY MR. BUDWIN: Q. Do you recall in the testimony that you gave earlier Mr. Reines and Mr. Batchelder 20 you gave earlier Mr. Reines and Mr. Batchelder 21 Are you there? A. Yes, he embed tag in Table II of the specification? Let which is the other version of the source code appendix, for example, Exhibit 27, do you see a disclosure of embed tag 06:13PM shown in Table II of the specification? Let me direct you to page 578. I think we might have switched exhibits. Do you have Exhibit 6 which is the other version of the source code appendix? Do you see the page that ends 578? O6:13PM Are you there? A. One second. Q. Are you on the page that ends E 023578?	10	a presentation at the Medicine Meets Virtual 06:11PM	10	A. That's correct. 06:12PM
Q. Would Dr. Doyle have demonstrated a version of the code in Exhibit 27 to the Medicine Meets Virtual Reality Conference? 06:12PM 15 of embed text formats other than the embed tag MR. WOLFF: Objection. 16 shown in Table II of the specification? Let me direct you to page 578. I think we might have switched exhibits. Do you have Exhibit 6 which is the other version of the source code appendix, for example, Exhibit 27, do you see a disclosure of embed text formats other than the embed tag 06:13PM shown in Table II of the specification? Let me direct you to page 578. I think we might have switched exhibits. Do you have Exhibit 6 which is the other version of the source code appendix, for example, Exhibit 27, do you see a disclosure of embed tag 06:13PM shown in Table II of the specification? Let me direct you to page 578. I think we might have switched exhibits. Do you have Exhibit 6 which is the other version of the source code appendix? Do you see the page that ends 578? 06:13PM asking you about disclosure of embed text 21 Are you there? 22 formats other than the embed tag in Table II 22 A. One second. 23 Q. Are you on the page that ends E 023578?	11	Reality Conference in January of 1994?	11	BY MR. BUDWIN:
version of the code in Exhibit 27 to the Medicine Meets Virtual Reality Conference? Medicine Meets Virtual Reality Conference? MR. WOLFF: Objection. A. Yes, he would have. BY MR. BUDWIN: Q. Do you recall in the testimony that you gave earlier Mr. Reines and Mr. Batchelder asking you about disclosure of embed text formats other than the embed tag in Table II A. Yes, he would have. 17 me direct you to page 578. I think we might have switched exhibits. Do you have Exhibit 6 which is the other version of the source code appendix? Do you see the page that ends 578? Are you there? A. One second. Q. Are you on the page that ends Q. Are you on the page that ends A. Repeat that, please.	12	A. Yes, I recall the event.	12	Q. Looking at the version of the
Medicine Meets Virtual Reality Conference? MR. WOLFF: Objection. A. Yes, he would have. BY MR. BUDWIN: Q. Do you recall in the testimony that you gave earlier Mr. Reines and Mr. Batchelder asking you about disclosure of embed text formats other than the embed tag in Table II asking you about disclosure of embed text formats other than the embed tag in Table II of embed text formats other than the embed tag in Table II me direct you to page 578. I think we might have switched exhibits. Do you have Exhibit 6 which is the other version of the source code appendix? Do you see the page that ends 578? Are you there? A. One second. Q. Are you on the page that ends A. Repeat that, please.	13	Q. Would Dr. Doyle have demonstrated a	13	patent with the source code appendix, for
MR. WOLFF: Objection. A. Yes, he would have. BY MR. BUDWIN: Q. Do you recall in the testimony that you gave earlier Mr. Reines and Mr. Batchelder asking you about disclosure of embed text formats other than the embed tag in Table II of the specification? Let me direct you to page 578. I think we might have switched exhibits. Do you have Exhibit 6 which is the other version of the source code appendix? Do you see the page that ends 578? Are you there? A. One second. Q. Are you on the page that ends A. Repeat that, please. Let me direct you to page 578. I think we might have switched exhibits. Do you have Exhibit 6 which is the other version of the source code appendix? Do you see the page that ends 578? A. One second. Q. Are you on the page that ends E 023578?	14	version of the code in Exhibit 27 to the	14	example, Exhibit 27, do you see a disclosure
A. Yes, he would have. BY MR. BUDWIN: Q. Do you recall in the testimony that you gave earlier Mr. Reines and Mr. Batchelder asking you about disclosure of embed text formats other than the embed tag in Table II of the specification of your patent? A. Repeat that, please. me direct you to page 578. I think we might have switched exhibits. Do you have Exhibit 6 which is the other version of the source code appendix? Do you see the page that ends 578? Are you there? A. One second. Q. Are you on the page that ends E 023578?	15	Medicine Meets Virtual Reality Conference? 06:12PM	15	of embed text formats other than the embed tag 06:13PM
BY MR. BUDWIN: Q. Do you recall in the testimony that you gave earlier Mr. Reines and Mr. Batchelder asking you about disclosure of embed text formats other than the embed tag in Table II of the specification of your patent? A. Repeat that, please. 18 have switched exhibits. Do you have Exhibit 6 which is the other version of the source code appendix? Do you see the page that ends 578? Are you there? A. One second. Q. Are you on the page that ends Q. Are you on the page that ends E 023578?	16	MR. WOLFF: Objection.	<mark>16</mark>	shown in Table II of the specification? Let
Q. Do you recall in the testimony that you gave earlier Mr. Reines and Mr. Batchelder 06:12PM asking you about disclosure of embed text formats other than the embed tag in Table II of the specification of your patent? A. Repeat that, please. ywhich is the other version of the source code appendix? Do you see the page that ends 578? Are you there? A. One second. Q. Are you on the page that ends E 023578?	17	A. Yes, he would have.	17	me direct you to page 578. I think we might
you gave earlier Mr. Reines and Mr. Batchelder 06:12PM appendix? Do you see the page that ends 578? 06:13PM asking you about disclosure of embed text 21 Are you there? 22 formats other than the embed tag in Table II 22 A. One second. 23 of the specification of your patent? 24 A. Repeat that, please. 26 E 023578?	18	BY MR. BUDWIN:	18	have switched exhibits. Do you have Exhibit 6
21 asking you about disclosure of embed text 22 formats other than the embed tag in Table II 23 of the specification of your patent? 24 A. Repeat that, please. 25 A. Are you there? 26 A. One second. 27 Q. Are you on the page that ends 28 E 023578?	19	Q. Do you recall in the testimony that	19	which is the other version of the source code
21 asking you about disclosure of embed text 22 formats other than the embed tag in Table II 23 of the specification of your patent? 24 A. Repeat that, please. 25 A. Are you there? 26 A. One second. 27 Q. Are you on the page that ends 28 E 023578?	20	you gave earlier Mr. Reines and Mr. Batchelder 06:12PM	20	appendix? Do you see the page that ends 578? 06:13PM
formats other than the embed tag in Table II of the specification of your patent? A. One second. Q. Are you on the page that ends E 023578?	21		21	
23 of the specification of your patent? 24 A. Repeat that, please. 25 Q. Are you on the page that ends 26 E 023578?	22	formats other than the embed tag in Table II		A. One second.
A. Repeat that, please. 24 E 023578?	23	of the specification of your patent?		Q. Are you on the page that ends
Q. Sure. Do you recall Mr. Batchelder 06:12PM 25 A. Yes. 06:14PM	24	A. Repeat that, please.	24	E 023578?
	0.5	O Sure Do you recall Mr Batchelder 06:12PM	25	A. Yes. 06:14PM

	Page 626		Page 627
1	Q. Do you see, Mr. Ang, about midway	1	A. Yes, I do.
2	through the page there's a #ifdef_VIS?	2	Q. And did your employment agreement
3	A. Yes, I do.	3	require you to bring inventions to the
_	Q. And then do you see something in	4	attention of the university?
4		5	•
5		6	A. Yes, my yes, definitely. 06:15PM
6	A. Yes.		Q. Is that why you filled out the
7	Q. Is IMAGE3D an example of an embed	7 8	document in Exhibit 2 that we talked about
8	text format that is not an embed tag, for		earlier?
9	example, what's shown in Table II of your	9	MR. WOLFF: Objection.
10	patent? 06:15PM	10	A. That's correct. 06:16PM
11	MR. WOLFF: Objection.	11	BY MR. BUDWIN:
12	A. Yeah, IMAGE3D would be. So prior	12	Q. At the time you began working on
13	to using the tag e-m-b-e-d, IMAGE3D was used	13	your invention in the summer of 1993 at the
14	as another instance of an embed text format	14	University of California San Francisco, what
<mark>15</mark>	for HTML. 06:15PM	15	web browsers were you aware of? 06:16PM
16	BY MR. BUDWIN:	16	A. During during my work at UC
17	Q. Mr. Ang, we may have talked you	17	San Francisco around the 1993 time frame I was
18	can set that aside. We may have talked about	18	aware of NCSA Mosaic.
19	this earlier, but other than yourself, your	19	Q. And was one of the things that your
20	co-inventors are Mr. Martin and Dr. Doyle; is 06:15PM	20	invention did was add functionality to Mosaic 06:16PM
21	that right?	21	that didn't previously exist in Mosaic or any
22	A. That's correct.	22	other web browser?
23	Q. Do you recall your employment	23	MR. WOLFF: Objection.
24	agreement with the University of California	24	A. That's correct. We added
25	San Francisco? 06:15PM	25	functionality that was described in the 06:16PM
	Page 628		Page 629
1	invention.	1	MR. WOLFF: Objection.
2	BY MR. BUDWIN:	2	A. No, I wasn't aware of any.
3	Q. And that's what we see in the code	3	BY MR. BUDWIN:
4	in Exhibit 6 and Exhibit 27?	4	Q. Have you heard of something called
5	MR. WOLFF: Objection. 06:16PM	5	X Windows? 06:17PM
6	A. That's correct.	6	A. Yes, I have.
7	BY MR. BUDWIN:	7	Q. What is X Windows?
8	Q. In the summer and fall of 1993, did	8	A. X Windows is a graphical windowing
9	Mosaic allow for embedded interactive objects	9	systems that runs on many Unix systems.
10	in web pages? 06:17PM	10	Q. Is X Windows a web browser? 06:17PM
11	MR. WOLFF: Objection.	11	A. X Windows is not a browser.
12	A. Mosaic itself from NCSA did not	12	Q. Is X Windows your invention?
13	allow embedding of interactive objects.	13	A. No, X Windows is not my invention.
14	BY MR. BUDWIN:	14	MR. WOLFF: Object to form.
15	Q. If Mosaic itself did allow the 06:17PM	15	BY MR. BUDWIN: 06:18PM
16	embedding of interactive objects, would you	16	Q. Have you ever heard of someone
17	have had to modify it?	17	called Pei Wei or something called Viola?
18	MR. WOLFF: Objection.	18	A. I have heard of Pei Wei and Viola
19	A. If Mosaic itself allowed embedding	19	much later, I guess from the last litigation.
20	of interactive objects, then I would not have 06:17PM	20	Q. How did you first come about 06:18PM
21	done any additional codes to it.	21	hearing about Pei Wei or Viola?
22	BY MR. BUDWIN:	22	A. In the last litigation.
23	Q. Were you aware of any web browser	23	Q. So that would have been after your
24	prior to your invention that allowed for	24	patent issued?
	embedded interactive objects? 06:17PM	25	A. That's correct. 06:18PM
25			