IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

TYLER DIVISION

STRAGENT, LLC, et al.,	§	
	§	
Plaintiffs,	§	CIVIL ACTION NO. 6:10-CV-242-LED
	§	
v.	§	
	§	
CLASSMATES ONLINE, INC., et al.,	§	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
	§	
Defendants.	§	

PLAINTIFFS STRAGENT, LLC AND SEESAW FOUNDATION'S ANSWER TO DEFENDANT GANNETT CO., INC.'S COUNTERCLAIMS

Plaintiffs Stragent, LLC and SeeSaw Foundation respond to each of the numbered paragraphs of the counterclaims of Defendant Gannett Co., Inc. ("Gannett"), as set forth in Defendant Gannett Co., Inc.'s Answer, Affirmative Defenses, and Counterclaims (Dkt. No. 57), as follows:

JURISDICTION AND THE PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiffs admit the allegations of paragraph 1.
- 2. Plaintiffs admit the allegations of paragraph 2.
- 3. Plaintiffs admit the allegations of paragraph 3.
- 4. Plaintiffs admit that Gannett purports to bring an action for Declaratory Relief for which this Court has jurisdiction under Title 35 of the United States Code as well as under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1338, and 2201. Plaintiffs deny any remaining allegations of paragraph 4.
- 5. Plaintiffs admit that venue is proper in this District because Plaintiffs have asserted a Second Amended Complaint for patent infringement in this District, in response to

which Gannett purports to assert its counterclaims. Plaintiffs deny any remaining allegations of paragraph 5.

FIRST COUNTERCLAIM

(NON-INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,665,722)

- 6. Plaintiffs restate and incorporate by reference each answer to paragraphs 1 through 5 above, but Plaintiffs deny the allegations in those paragraphs unless specifically admitted therein.
- 7. Plaintiffs admit that, by the filing of their Second Amended Complaint, they have asserted claims against Gannett for infringement of the '722 Patent. Plaintiffs deny any remaining allegations of paragraph 7.
- 8. Plaintiffs admit that Gannett has purported to deny Plaintiffs' claims of infringement. To the extent necessary to respond, Plaintiffs deny that the Second Amended Complaint has been filed without good cause. Plaintiffs lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations of paragraph 8, and therefore deny them.
- 9. Plaintiffs admit that an actual controversy has arisen between themselves and Gannett concerning the '722 Patent. Plaintiffs deny any remaining allegations of paragraph 9.
 - 10. Plaintiffs deny the allegations of paragraph 10.

SECOND COUNTERCLAIM

(INVALIDITY OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,665,722 PURSUANT TO 35 U.S.C. §§ 101, et seq.)

11. Plaintiffs restate and incorporate by reference each answer to paragraphs 1 through 10 above, but Plaintiffs deny the allegations in those paragraphs unless specifically admitted therein.

- 12. Plaintiffs admit that Gannett has purported to deny that the '722 Patent is valid and assert that the patent is invalid pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §§ 101, et seq. Plaintiffs deny any remaining allegations of paragraph 12, and specifically deny that the '722 Patent is invalid pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §§ 101, et seq.
 - 13. Plaintiffs deny the allegations of paragraph 13.

GANNETT'S PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Plaintiffs deny that Gannett is entitled to any relief, and specifically deny all of the allegations and prayers for relief contained in paragraphs A-E of Gannett's counterclaims.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully request that this Court enter judgment denying and dismissing Gannett's counterclaims, and that the Court enter judgment in favor of Plaintiffs as requested in Plaintiffs' complaint, as amended or supplemented.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiffs, under Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, request a trial by jury of any issues so triable by right.

Respectfully submitted,

Eric M. Albritton

Texas Bar No. 00790215

ema@emafirm.com

Adam A. Biggs

Texas Bar No. 24051753

aab@emafirm.com

Debra Coleman

Texas Bar No. 24059595

drc@emafirm.com

Matthew C. Harris

Texas Bar No. 24059904

mch@emafirm.com

ALBRITTON LAW FIRM

P.O. Box 2649

Longview, Texas 75606 Telephone: (903) 757-8449 Facsimile: (903) 758-7397

Thomas John Ward, Jr.
Texas Bar No. 00794818
jw@jwfirm.com
WARD & SMITH LAW FIRM
P.O. Box 1231
Longview, Texas 75606

Telephone: (903) 757-6400 Facsimile: (903) 757-2323

Danny L. Williams Texas Bar No. 21518050 danny@wmalaw.com J. Mike Amerson Texas Bar No. 01150025 mike@wmalaw.com Jaison C. John Texas State Bar No. 24002351 jjohn@wmalaw.com Christopher N. Cravey Texas Bar No. 24034398 ccravey@wmalaw.com Matthew R. Rodgers Texas Bar No. 24041802 mrodgers@wmalaw.com Michael A. Benefield Indiana Bar No. 24560-49 mbenefield@wmalaw.com David Morehan

Texas Bar No. 24065790 dmorehan@wmalaw.com WILLIAMS MORGAN & AMERSO

WILLIAMS, MORGAN & AMERSON, P.C. 10333 Richmond, Suite 1100

Houston, Texas 77042

Telephone: (713) 934-7000 Facsimile: (713) 934-7011

Attorneys for Stragent, LLC and SeeSaw Foundation

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that the foregoing document was filed electronically in compliance with Local Rule CV-5(a). As such, this document was served on all counsel who are deemed to have consented to electronic service. Local Rule CV-5(a)(3)(A). Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 5(d) and Local Rule CV-5(d) and (e), all other counsel of record not deemed to have consented to electronic service were served with a true and correct copy of the foregoing by email and/or fax, on this the 28th day of October 2010.

Fric M Albritton

allutton