IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS TYLER DIVISION

| UNILOC USA, INC., ET AL. Plaintiffs, vs. SONY CORPORATION OF AMERICA, ET AL. Defendants. | \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ | CASE NO. 6:10-CV-373 PATENT CASE |
|---|---|-------------------------------------|
| UNILOC USA, INC., ET AL. Plaintiffs, vs. DISK DOCTORS LABS, INC., ET AL. Defendants. | ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~ | CASE NO. 610-CV-471 PATENT CASE |
| UNILOC USA, INC., ET AL. Plaintiffs, vs. NATIONAL INSTRUMENTS CORP., ET AL. Defendants. | \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ | CASE NO. 610-CV-472 PATENT CASE |
| UNILOC USA, INC., ET AL. Plaintiffs, vs. ENGRASP, INC., ET AL. Defendants. | \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ | CASE NO. 610-CV-591 PATENT CASE |

| UNILOC USA, INC., ET AL. Plaintiffs, vs. BMC SOFTWARE, INC., ET AL. Defendants. | \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ | CASE NO. 6:10-CV-636 PATENT CASE |
|---|--|-------------------------------------|
| UNILOC USA, INC., ET AL. Plaintiffs, vs. FOXIT CORPORATION, ET AL. Defendants. | \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ | CASE NO. 6:10-CV-691 PATENT CASE |
| SYMANTEC CORPORATION, ET AL. Plaintiffs, vs. UNILOC USA, INC., ET AL. Defendants. | \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ | CASE NO. 6:11-CV-33 PATENT CASE |

ORDER

In light of the number of defendants in these cases, **the Court sets a status conference on April 26, 2011 at 9:00 a.m.** By **April 25, 2011 at 9:00 a.m.**, Plaintiffs shall submit, for *in camera* review, a table summarizing the licenses or settlements reached in the instant or prior cases involving the patents-in-suit. For each license or settlement, the summary shall: 1) include the date of the license or settlement; 2) case name, number, and status of the case (if applicable); 3) patent numbers; 4) the parties to the agreement; 5) settlement or license amounts; and 6) Plaintiffs' assessment of possible damages for the parties involved if the case had proceeded to trial.

At the status conference, the parties should be prepared to discuss, among other things, Plaintiffs' litigation and settlement strategy, the possibility of staged trials, phased by issue, e.g. validity with infringement to follow, or by defendant(s). The parties will be expected to present the Court with viable and good faith suggestions regarding the efficient and economical streamlining of discovery for these cases. The Court will also address the claim construction process and encourage the parties to narrow the disputed claim terms to ensure an efficient and orderly *Markman* hearing. Lead and local counsel are expected to attend.

So ORDERED and SIGNED this 20th day of April, 2011.

LEONARD DAVIS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE