

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
TYLER DIVISION

UNILOC USA, INC., ET AL.
Plaintiffs,

vs.

SONY CORPORATION OF AMERICA,
ET AL.
Defendants.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

CASE NO. 6:10-CV-373
PATENT CASE

UNILOC USA, INC., ET AL.
Plaintiffs,

vs.

DISK DOCTORS LABS, INC., ET AL.
Defendants.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

CASE NO. 6:10-CV-471
PATENT CASE

UNILOC USA, INC., ET AL.
Plaintiffs,

vs.

NATIONAL INSTRUMENTS CORP., ET
AL.
Defendants.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

CASE NO. 6:10-CV-472
PATENT CASE

UNILOC USA, INC., ET AL.
Plaintiffs,

vs.

ENGRASP, INC., ET AL.
Defendants.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

CASE NO. 6:10-CV-591
PATENT CASE

UNILOC USA, INC., ET AL.
Plaintiffs,

vs.

BMC SOFTWARE, INC., ET AL.
Defendants.

CASE NO. 6:10-CV-636
PATENT CASE

UNILOC USA, INC., ET AL.
Plaintiffs,

vs.

FOXIT CORPORATION, ET AL.
Defendants.

CASE NO. 6:10-CV-691
PATENT CASE

SYMANTEC CORPORATION, ET AL.
Plaintiffs,

vs.

UNILOC USA, INC., ET AL.
Defendants.

CASE NO. 6:11-CV-33
PATENT CASE

DOCKET CONTROL ORDER

It is hereby **ORDERED** that the following schedule of deadlines is in effect until further order of this Court:

<p>Trial Date</p> <p><u>November 13, 2012</u></p> <p>Court designated date – not flexible without good cause - Motion Required</p>	<p>9:00 a.m. JURY TRIAL as reached at the United States District Court, 211 W. Ferguson, 3rd Floor, Courtroom of Judge Leonard Davis, Tyler, Texas.</p>
<p>ORDER REGARDING EXHIBITS, EXHIBIT LISTS AND WITNESS LISTS:</p> <p>A. <u>On the first day of trial</u>, each party is required to have on hand the following:</p> <p>(1) One copy of their respective original exhibits. Each exhibit shall be properly labeled with the following information: Identified as either Plaintiff's or Defendant's Exhibit, the Exhibit</p>	

	<p>Number and the Case Number. In addition, exhibits shall be placed in properly marked manilla folders and contained in a box with handles.</p> <p>(2) Three (3) hard copies of their exhibit list and witness list. The Court’s preferred format for Exhibit Lists is available on the Court’s website at www.txed.uscourts.gov under “Orders & Forms.”</p> <p>B. During trial on a daily basis, each party shall tender to the Court a list of exhibits admitted for each day. A description of the exhibits is not necessary, just a list containing the exhibit numbers. For example, Plaintiff will submit a document entitled, “Plaintiff’s List of Exhibits Admitted on (<i>the date</i>).” Said daily lists are to be tendered the following day. (If trial commences on Monday, Monday’s list will be due Tuesday morning and so on until the conclusion of trial).</p> <p>C. At the conclusion of the evidentiary phase of trial, each party shall be responsible for pulling those exhibits admitted at trial and tender those to the Courtroom Deputy, who will verify the exhibits and tender them to the jury for their deliberations.</p> <p>D. At the conclusion of trial, all boxes of exhibits shall be returned to the respective parties and the parties are instructed to remove these exhibits from the courtroom.</p> <p>E. Within five business days of the conclusion of trial, each party shall submit to the Court (to Chambers) the following:</p> <p>(1) A Final Exhibit List of Exhibits Admitted During Trial, and in addition provide the Court a disk containing this document in WordPerfect or Word format.</p> <p>(2) A disk or disks containing their respective admitted trial exhibits in PDF format, with the exception of sealed exhibits. If the Court ordered any exhibits sealed during trial, the Sealed Exhibits shall be copied on a separate disk. If tangible or over-sized exhibits were admitted, such exhibits shall be substituted with a photograph to be converted to a PDF file and shall be included in the Court’s disk of admitted exhibits.</p> <p>(3) A disk or disks containing the transcripts of Video Depositions played during trial, along with a copy of the actual video deposition.</p> <p>F. After verification of final exhibit lists, the Courtroom Deputy shall file and docket the lists, and the disk or disks containing the exhibits in PDF format shall be stored in the Clerk’s Office.</p>
--	---

<p><u>November 5, 2012</u></p> <p>Court designated date – not flexible without good cause - Motion Required</p>	<p>9:00 a.m. JURY SELECTION at the United States District Court, 211 W. Ferguson, 3rd Floor, Courtroom of Judge Leonard Davis, Tyler, Texas.</p>
<p><u>October 18, 2012</u></p> <p>Court designated date – not flexible without good cause - Motion Required</p>	<p>9:00 a.m. PRETRIAL CONFERENCE at the United States District Court, 211 W. Ferguson, 3rd Floor, Courtroom of Judge Leonard Davis, Tyler, Texas.</p> <p>All pending motions will be heard. Lead Attorney must attend the pretrial conference.</p>
<p><u>October 16, 2012*</u></p>	<p>Parties to file estimates of the amount of time they request at jury selection and trial for (1) voir dire, (2) opening statements, (3) direct and cross examinations, and (4) closing arguments.</p>
<p><u>October 11, 2012*</u></p>	<p>Responses to Motions in Limine due.</p>
<p><u>October 8, 2012*</u></p>	<p>Motions in Limine due. The parties are directed to confer and advise the Court on or before 3:00 o’clock p.m. the day before the pre-trial conference</p>

	which paragraphs are agreed to and those that need to be addressed at the pre-trial conference.
October 8, 2012*	Pretrial Objections due.
September 28, 2012*	Objections to Rebuttal Deposition Testimony due.
September 23, 2012*	Rebuttal Designations and Objections to Deposition Testimony due. For rebuttal designations, cross examination line and page numbers to be included. In video depositions, each party is responsible for preparation of the final edited video in accordance with their parties' designations and the Court's rulings on objections.
September 13, 2012*	Pretrial Disclosures due. Video and Stenographic Deposition Designation due. Each party who proposes to offer deposition testimony shall file a disclosure identifying the line and page numbers to be offered.
August 24, 2012*	Joint Pretrial Order, Joint Proposed Jury Instructions with citation to authority and Form of the Verdict for jury trials due. Proposed Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law with citation to authority for issues tried to the bench. Notice of Request for Daily Transcript or Real Time Reporting of Court Proceedings due. If a daily transcript or real time reporting of court proceedings is requested for trial or hearings, the party or parties making said request shall file a notice with the Court and email the Court.
August 14, 2012*	Second mediation to be completed.
June 17, 2012*	Response to Dispositive Motions (including <i>Daubert</i> motions) due. Responses to dispositive motions filed prior to the dispositive motion deadline, including <i>Daubert</i> motions, shall be due in accordance with Local Rule CV-56 and Local Rule CV-7. <u>Motions to extend page limits will only be granted in exceptional circumstances.</u>
June 2, 2012*	Dispositive Motions due from all parties and any other motions that may require a hearing (including <i>Daubert</i> motions) due. Motions shall comply with Local Rule CV-56 and Local Rule CV-7. <u>Motions to extend page limits will only be granted in exceptional circumstances.</u>
May 30, 2012*	Parties to Identify Rebuttal Trial Witnesses.
May 19, 2012*	Parties to Identify Trial Witnesses; Amend Pleadings (after <i>Markman</i> Hearing). It is not necessary to file a Motion for Leave to Amend before the deadline to amend pleadings. It is necessary to file a Motion for Leave to Amend after the deadline. However, except as provided in Patent Rule 3-6, if the amendment would effect infringement contentions or invalidity contentions, a motion must be made pursuant to Patent Rule 3-6 irrespective of whether the amendment is made prior to this deadline.

May 5, 2012*	Discovery Deadline.
April 5, 2012*	Parties designate rebuttal expert witnesses (non-construction issues), Rebuttal expert witness reports due. Refer to Local Rules for required information.
April 3, 2012*	Deadline to File Letter Briefs for Summary Judgment Motions and Daubert Motions. See the Court's website for further information.
March 26, 2012*	Parties with burden of proof designate expert witnesses (non-construction issues). Expert witness reports due. Refer to Local Rules for required information.
February 25, 2012*	Comply with P.R.3-7 - Furnishing documents and privilege logs pertaining to willful infringement. Privilege Logs to be exchanged by parties (or a letter to the Court stating that there are no disputes as to claims of privileged documents).
<u>Within 15 days of the Court's Memorandum Opinion setting forth the claim construction</u> Court designated date	The parties shall meet and confer and jointly file in each case a notice that the cases are ready for a management conference. The notice shall include a list of any pending motions and contain specific, viable suggestions for efficient trial management.
<u>October 19, 2011</u> Court designated date – not flexible without good cause – Motion Required	ONE Consolidated <i>Markman</i> hearing and hearing on any Motion for Summary Judgment of Indefiniteness at 9:00 a.m. at the United States District Court, 211 West Ferguson, 3rd Floor, Courtroom of Judge Leonard Davis, Tyler, Texas.
October 12, 2011	P.R. 4-5(d) Chart due. Parties shall jointly submit a claim construction chart on computer disk in WordPerfect format or in such other format as the Court may direct in accordance with P.R. 4-5(d). Reply to Motion for Summary Judgment of Indefiniteness due. The filing party is to provide the Court with 2 binders containing their brief and exhibits appropriately tabbed. If a technical advisor has been appointed the moving party is to provide their brief on disk or CD along with a hard copy, tabbed and bound in notebook format with exhibits to the advisor. Briefing shall comply with Local Rules CV-7 and 56 and Patent Rule 4-5(e). Pages shall be counted against the party's total page limit. <u>See Local Rules CV-7(a)(3). Motions to extend page limits will only be granted in exceptional circumstances.</u>
October 10, 2011	Respond to Amended Pleadings.
October 7, 2011	Tutorials due. Deadline for parties, if they desire, to provide Court with tutorials concerning technology involved in patent. If a technical advisor has been appointed, each party that provides a tutorial shall provide a copy to the advisor.

<p>October 7, 2011</p>	<p>Parties to file a notice with the Court stating the estimated amount of time requested for the <i>Markman</i> Hearing. The Court will notify the parties if it is unable to accommodate this request.</p> <p>Comply with P.R. 4-5(c) - Reply brief and supporting evidence due re response to claim construction. The filing party is to provide the Court with 2 binders containing their reply brief and exhibits appropriately tabbed. If a technical advisor has been appointed the moving party is to provide their brief on disk or CD along with a hard copy, tabbed and bound in notebook format with exhibits to the advisor.</p> <p>Response to Motion for Summary Judgment of Indefiniteness due. The filing party is to provide the Court with 2 binders containing their brief and exhibits appropriately tabbed. If a technical advisor has been appointed the moving party is to provide their brief on disk or CD along with a hard copy, tabbed and bound in notebook format with exhibits to the advisor.</p> <p>Briefing shall comply with Local Rules CV-7 and 56 and Patent Rule 4-5(e). Pages shall be counted against the party's total page limit. <i>See</i> Local Rules CV-7(a)(3). <u>Motions to extend page limits will only be granted in exceptional circumstances.</u></p>
<p>October 1, 2011</p>	<p>Amended Pleadings (pre-claim construction) due from all parties. Defendant shall join additional parties, assert any counterclaims and add any inequitable conduct allegations to pleadings. It is not necessary to file a Motion for Leave to Amend before the deadline to amend pleadings. It is necessary to file a Motion for Leave to Amend after the deadline. However, if the amendment would affect infringement contentions or invalidity contentions, a motion must be made pursuant to Patent Rule 3-6 irrespective of whether the amendment is made prior to this deadline.</p>
<p>September 26, 2011</p>	<p>Comply with P.R. 4-5(b) - Responsive brief and supporting evidence due to party claiming patent infringement. The filing party is to provide the Court with 2 binders containing their <i>Markman</i> brief and exhibits appropriately tabbed. If a technical advisor has been appointed the moving party is to provide their <i>Markman</i> brief on disk or CD along with a hard copy, tabbed and bound in notebook format with exhibits to the advisor.</p> <p>Motion for Summary Judgment of Indefiniteness due. The moving party is to provide the Court with 2 binders containing their brief and exhibits appropriately tabbed. If a technical advisor has been appointed the moving party is to provide their brief on disk or CD along with a hard copy, tabbed and bound in notebook format with exhibits to the advisor.</p> <p>Briefing shall comply with Local Rules CV-7 and 56 and Patent Rule 4-5(e). Pages shall be counted against the party's total page limit. <i>See</i> Local Rules CV-7(a)(3). <u>Motions to extend page limits will only be granted in exceptional circumstances.</u></p>

<p>September 12, 2011</p>	<p>Comply with P.R. 4-5(a) - The party claiming patent infringement shall serve and file an opening brief and any evidence supporting its claim construction. The filing party is to provide the Court with 2 binders containing their <i>Markman</i> brief and exhibits appropriately tabbed. If a technical advisor has been appointed the moving party is to provide their <i>Markman</i> brief on disk or CD along with a hard copy, tabbed and bound in notebook format with exhibits to the advisor.</p> <p>Briefing shall comply with Local Rules CV-7 and 56 and Patent Rule 4-5(e). <u>Motions to extend page limits will only be granted in exceptional circumstances.</u></p>
<p>September 7, 2011</p>	<p>Deadline to File Letter Brief for Motion for Summary Judgment of Indefiniteness. See the Court's website for further information.</p>
<p>August 29, 2011</p>	<p>Comply with P.R. 4-3 - Filing of Joint Claim Construction and Prehearing Statement.</p> <p>The Court prefers to minimize the time spent on previously construed terms. The parties shall meet and confer regarding preserving the parties' arguments for appeal by stipulation rather than resubmitting previously construed terms for construction. The parties must seek leave and show good cause to submit previously construed terms for construction. The parties shall coordinate to file one Joint Claim Construction and Prehearing Statement applicable to all the Uniloc cases.</p>
<p>August 22, 2011</p>	<p>Comply with P.R. 4-2 - Exchange of Preliminary Claim Constructions and Extrinsic Evidence.</p>
<p><u>August 15, 2011</u> Court designated date</p>	<p>Comply with P.R. 3-3 and 3-4- Invalidation Contentions due. Thereafter, except as provided in Patent Rule 3-6(a), it is necessary to obtain leave of Court to add and/or amend invalidity contentions, pursuant to Patent Rule 3-6(b).</p> <p>Exchange of Additional Disclosures according to the Court's Discovery Order.</p>
<p>August 15, 2011</p>	<p>Comply with P.R. 4-1 - Exchange Proposed Terms and Claim Elements for Construction.</p>
<p>August 10, 2011</p>	<p>Proposed Technical Advisors due. Parties to provide name, address, phone number, and curriculum vitae for up to three agreed technical advisors and information regarding the nominees' availability for <i>Markman</i> hearing or a statement that they could not reach an agreement as to any potential technical advisor. If the parties cannot agree on a technical advisor, they shall not submit any proposed technical advisors to the Court.</p>
<p><u>August 1, 2011</u> Court designated date</p>	<p>Exchange of Initial Disclosures according to the Court's Discovery Order.</p>
<p><u>July 30, 2011</u></p>	<p>First mediation to be completed. The Court appoints Robert W. Faulkner as</p>

Court designated date	<p>the mediator. Mediation shall be conducted in accordance with the Court-Annexed Mediation Plan. See Appendix H to Local Rules, available on the Court’s website at www.txed.uscourts.gov.</p> <p>Defendants that have disclosed source code may request leave to extend this deadline 14 days. No further extensions shall be granted.</p>
<p><u>June 30, 2011</u></p> <p>Court designated date</p>	<p>Deadline for Voluntary Early Disclosure of Source Code. Defendants may choose to disclose their source code related to the operation of the accused instrumentality identified by Uniloc’s P.R. 3-1(c) chart. Should a Defendant disclose its source code, Uniloc shall amend its P.R. 3-1(c) chart to incorporate the provided source code within 30 days. After this amendment, or if a Defendant does not participate in the early disclosure of its source code, Uniloc must obtain leave of Court to add and/or further amend its infringement contentions, pursuant to P.R. 3-6.</p> <p>If necessary, Uniloc may request leave to extend this deadline depending on the source code disclosure upon showing good cause (e.g., the number of Defendants choosing early disclosure of source code, the volume of source code to review, whether the disclosed source code is printed or produced on a stand-alone a computers with limited access, the number of corresponding cites to incorporate in to the P.R. 3-1(c) chart).</p>
<p><u>June 24, 2011</u></p> <p>Court designated date</p>	<p>Defendants’ Production of Accused Product Sales Data—Defendants shall provide limited sales disclosures regarding the accused products (e.g., quantity of accused devices sold and revenue from those sales).</p>
<p><u>June 3, 2011</u></p> <p>Court designated date</p>	<p>Comply with P.R. 3-1 and P.R. 3-2 - Disclosure of Asserted Claims and Infringement Contentions due. Thereafter, except as provided in Patent Rule 3-6(a), it is necessary to obtain leave of Court to add and/or amend infringement contentions, pursuant to Patent Rule 3-6(b).</p> <p>Plaintiff shall produce all prior licenses related to the patent-in-suit.</p> <p>Plaintiff shall join additional parties. It is not necessary to file a motion to join additional parties prior to this date. Thereafter, it is necessary to obtain leave of Court to join additional parties.</p> <p>Plaintiff shall add new patents and/or claims for patents-in-suit. It is not necessary to file a motion to add additional patents or claims prior to this date. Thereafter, it is necessary to obtain leave of Court to add patents or claims.</p>
<p><u>May 31, 2011</u></p> <p>Court designated date</p>	<p>Docket Control and Discovery Orders due</p>
<p><i>To be determine at Post-Markman management Conference</i></p>	<p>EXPECTED LENGTH OF TRIAL</p>

*As it is premature to address the specific logistics of trial, dates following the post-Markman management conference are simply placeholders and will be modified after the parties’ post-Markman

management conference.

In the event that any of these dates fall on a weekend or Court holiday, the deadline is modified to be the next Court business day.

The parties are directed to Local Rule CV-7(d), which provides in part that “[i]n the event a party fails to oppose a motion in the manner prescribed herein the Court will assume that the party has no opposition.” Local Rule CV-7(e) provides that a party opposing a motion has 15 days in which to serve and file supporting documents and briefs after which the Court will consider the submitted motion for decision.

OTHER LIMITATIONS

- (a) All depositions to be read into evidence as part of the parties’ case-in-chief shall be EDITED so as to exclude all unnecessary, repetitious, and irrelevant testimony; ONLY those portions which are relevant to the issues in controversy shall be read into evidence.
- (b) The following excuses will not warrant a continuance nor justify a failure to comply with the discovery deadline:
 - (i) The fact that there are motions for summary judgment or motions to dismiss pending;
 - (ii) The fact that one or more of the attorneys is set for trial in another court on the same day, unless the other setting was made prior to the date of this order or was made as a special provision for the parties in the other case;
 - (iii) The failure to complete discovery prior to trial, unless the parties can demonstrate that it was impossible to complete discovery despite their good faith effort to do so.

So ORDERED and SIGNED this 8th day of June, 2011.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Leonard Davis", written over a horizontal line.

**LEONARD DAVIS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**