

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
TYLER DIVISION

UNILOC USA, INC., ET AL.	§	
Plaintiffs,	§	
	§	
vs.	§	CASE NO. 6:10-CV-373
	§	PATENT CASE
	§	
SONY CORPORATION OF AMERICA,	§	
ET AL.	§	
Defendants.	§	
	§	
UNILOC USA, INC., ET AL.	§	
Plaintiffs,	§	
	§	
vs.	§	CASE NO. 6:10-CV-471
	§	PATENT CASE
	§	
DISK DOCTORS LABS, INC., ET AL.	§	
Defendants.	§	
	§	
UNILOC USA, INC., ET AL.	§	
Plaintiffs,	§	
	§	
vs.	§	CASE NO. 6:10-CV-472
	§	PATENT CASE
	§	
NATIONAL INSTRUMENTS CORP., ET	§	
AL.	§	
Defendants.	§	
	§	
UNILOC USA, INC., ET AL.	§	
Plaintiffs,	§	
	§	
vs.	§	CASE NO. 6:10-CV-591
	§	PATENT CASE
	§	
ENGRASP, INC., ET AL.	§	
Defendants.	§	

UNILOC USA, INC., ET AL.
Plaintiffs,

vs.

BMC SOFTWARE, INC., ET AL.
Defendants.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§

CASE NO. 6:10-CV-636
PATENT CASE

UNILOC USA, INC., ET AL.
Plaintiffs,

vs.

FOXIT CORPORATION, ET AL.
Defendants.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§

CASE NO. 6:10-CV-691
PATENT CASE

SYMANTEC CORPORATION, ET AL.
Plaintiffs,

vs.

UNILOC USA, INC., ET AL.
Defendants.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§

CASE NO. 6:11-CV-33
PATENT CASE

**ORDER REGARDING UNILOC’S MOTION TO STRIKE PREVIOUSLY
CONSTRUED CLAIM TERMS FROM THE PARTIES’ P.R. 4-3 STATEMENT**

Having considered Uniloc’s Motion to Strike Previously Construed Claim Terms from the Parties’ P.R. 4-3 Statement, the Court finds that Uniloc’s Motion should be GRANTED.

IT IS ORDERED:

- (1) The term “licensee unique ID” is stricken from the parties’ P.R. 4-3 Statement and shall not be addressed in the upcoming Markman briefing;

- (2) The proposed construction of “Local (in the phrase ‘local licensee unique ID generating means’)” is stricken from the parties’ P.R. 4-3 Statement and shall not be addressed in the upcoming Markman briefing; and
- (3) Any reference to “prosecution history disclaimer applicable to all claims” is stricken from the parties’ P.R. 4-3 Statement and shall not be addressed in the upcoming Markman briefing.