IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS TYLER DIVISION

	§	
UNILOC USA, INC., ET AL.	§	
Plaintiffs,	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	
-	8	CASE NO. 6:10-CV-373
vs.	8	PATENT CASE
13.	8	THENT CASE
SONY CORPORATION OF AMERICA,	8	
	8	
ET AL.	8	
Defendants.		
UNILOC USA, INC., ET AL.	§	
Plaintiffs,	§	
	§	
vs.	§	CASE NO. 6:10-CV-472
	§	PATENT CASE
NATIONAL INSTRUMENTS CORP., ET	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	
AL.	8	
Defendants.	8	
Defendants.	3	
	8	
LINIT OCCUSA INC. ET AL	§ 8	
UNILOC USA, INC., ET AL.		
UNILOC USA, INC., ET AL. Plaintiffs,		
		CASE NO. 6:10-CV-636
		CASE NO. 6:10-CV-636 PATENT CASE
Plaintiffs, vs.		
Plaintiffs,	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	
Plaintiffs, vs.		
Plaintiffs, vs. BMC SOFTWARE, INC., ET AL.	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	
Plaintiffs, vs. BMC SOFTWARE, INC., ET AL.	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	
Plaintiffs, vs. BMC SOFTWARE, INC., ET AL. Defendants.	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	
Plaintiffs, vs. BMC SOFTWARE, INC., ET AL. Defendants. SYMANTEC CORPORATION, ET AL.	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	
Plaintiffs, vs. BMC SOFTWARE, INC., ET AL. Defendants.	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	PATENT CASE
Plaintiffs, vs. BMC SOFTWARE, INC., ET AL. Defendants. SYMANTEC CORPORATION, ET AL. Plaintiffs,	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	PATENT CASE CASE NO. 6:11-CV-33
Plaintiffs, vs. BMC SOFTWARE, INC., ET AL. Defendants. SYMANTEC CORPORATION, ET AL.	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	PATENT CASE
Plaintiffs, vs. BMC SOFTWARE, INC., ET AL. Defendants. SYMANTEC CORPORATION, ET AL. Plaintiffs, vs.	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	PATENT CASE CASE NO. 6:11-CV-33
Plaintiffs, vs. BMC SOFTWARE, INC., ET AL. Defendants. SYMANTEC CORPORATION, ET AL. Plaintiffs,	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	PATENT CASE CASE NO. 6:11-CV-33

NOTICE REGARDING ESTIMATED AMOUNT OF TIME REQUIRED FOR MARKMAN HEARING

Plaintiffs and Defendants¹ have conferred regarding the amount of time required for the October 19, 2011 *Markman* hearing and agree that two hours will be sufficient with the time equally divided between Plaintiffs and Defendants.

Dated: October 7, 2011 Respectfully Submitted,

s/ Steven W. Hartsell Edward R. Nelson, III Texas State Bar No. 00797142 Barry J. Bumgardner Texas State Bar No. 24041918 Steven W. Hartsell Texas State Bar No. 24040199 S. Brannon Latimer Texas State Bar No. 24060137 Jaime K. Olin Texas State Bar No. 24070363 NELSON BUMGARDNER CASTO, P.C. 3131 West 7th Street, Suite 300 Fort Worth, Texas 76107 (817) 377-9111 (817) 377-3485 (fax) enelson@nbclaw.net barry@nbclaw.net shartsell@nbclaw.net blatimer@nbclaw.net jolin@nbclaw.net

T. John Ward, Jr.
Texas State Bar No. 00794818
J. Wesley Hill
Texas State Bar No. 24032294
WARD & SMITH LAW FIRM
111 West Tyler St.
Longview, Texas 75601
Tel: (903) 757-6400

¹ Plaintiffs did not receive confirmation from the following Defendants as of the filing of this notice: Autodesk, Inc., Borland Software Corp., Filemaker, Inc., and Pervasive Software, Inc.

2

-

Fax: (903) 757-2323 jw@wsfirm.com wh@wsfirm.com

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS UNILOC USA, INC. AND UNILOC SINGAPORE PRIVATE LIMITED

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that on October 7, 2011, the foregoing document was filed electronically in compliance with Local Rule CV-5(a). As such, this motion was served on all counsel who have consented to electronic service. Local Rule CV-5(a)(3)(A).

/s/ Steven W. Hartsell Steven W. Hartsell