# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS TYLER DIVISION

UNILOC USA, INC., ET AL.,

: Case No. 6:10-cv-373

Plaintiffs, : PATENT CASE

v.

v.

SONY CORPORATION OF AMERICA, ET AL.,

Defendants.

ichdunts.

X

UNILOC USA, INC., ET AL.,

Case No. 6:10-cv-471

Plaintiffs, : PATENT CASE

:

DISK DOCTORS LABS, INC., ET AL.,

:

Defendants X

UNILOC USA, INC., ET AL.

Case No. 6:10-cv-472

Plaintiffs, : PATENT CASE

v.

.

NATIONAL INSTRUMENTS CORP., ET AL.,

Defendants. X

UNILOC USA, INC., ET AL.,

Case No. 6:10-cv-591

Plaintiffs, : PATENT CASE

v.

ENGRASP, INC., ET AL.,

Defendants. X

UNILOC USA, INC., ET AL.,

: Case No. 6:10-cv-636

Plaintiffs, : PATENT CASE

:

Defendants. X

UNILOC USA, INC., ET AL.,

BMC SOFTWARE, INC., ET AL.,

v.

Case No. 6:10-cv-691

Plaintiffs, : PATENT CASE

:

V.

FOXIT CORPORATION, ET AL.,

Defendants. X

SYMANTEC CORPORATION, ET AL.,

Case No. 6:11-cv-33

Plaintiffs. : PATENT CASE

:

UNILOC USA, INC., ET AL.,

v.

•

Defendants. X

# JOINT MOTION TO STAY ALL ABOVE ACTIONS

Plaintiffs Uniloc USA, Inc. and Uniloc Singapore Limited ("Uniloc"), together with all remaining Defendants ("Defendants," and collectively with Plaintiffs, the "Parties"), move the Court for the entry of an Order, a copy of which is submitted herewith, staying the above-captioned actions, including all discovery (except as discussed in Paragraphs 5 and 6 herein), pending the resolution of a recently-filed California state court action to determine whether Uniloc, or another entity, has exclusive rights to the Patent-In-Suit, U.S. Patent No. 5,490,216 ("the '216 Patent"). In support of this Motion, the Parties state as follows:

- 1. On November 3, 2011, Uniloc USA, Inc., Uniloc Corporation Pty. Ltd., and Uniloc Luxembourg S.A. filed suit in the Superior Court of the State of California against Sureloc, Inc., Patrick Rooney, and Does 1-100 (the "California Action"), seeking, *inter alia*, declaratory relief that Uniloc USA, and not Sureloc, Inc., is the exclusive licensee of the '216 Patent. (See Exhibit A hereto.)
- 2. On November 10, 2011, counsel for Sureloc, Inc. wrote to counsel for Defendants contending that Sureloc, Inc. has exclusive rights to the '216 Patent under a patent license agreement with Uniloc Corporation, Pty, Ltd. (See Exhibit B hereto.)
- 3. The Parties have agreed that, based on the current proceedings before the Superior Court of the State of California initiated by Uniloc seeking a declaration of exclusive rights to enforce the '216 Patent, it would best serve judicial economy and efficiency to stay the proceedings before this Court pending the outcome of the California Action. The outcome of the California Action could affect the standing of Uniloc to assert the '216 Patent against Defendants in the above-captioned actions. The Parties have agreed that it would be most efficient for all Parties and the Court to stay the present actions while the court in the California Action rules on ownership and any exclusive rights under the '216 Patent.
- 4. In the present above-captioned actions, discovery remains open and post-Markman dates are yet to be scheduled. As such, the Parties maintain that a stay is reasonable at this stage in the cases and would not unduly prejudice any Party. (See, e.g., Dkt. 134 from Case No. 6:10-CV-373 (Uniloc v. Sony et al.), which notes with an asterisk (\*) the dates to be set after the Parties' post-Markman case management conference.)
- 5. Defendant McAfee does not oppose a stay of Uniloc's cases against the other Defendants. However, McAfee believes that briefing and consideration of McAfee's pending

Motion to Dismiss ("McAfee's Motion") [Dkt 219 in Case No. 6:10-CV-373 (*Uniloc v. Sony* et al.)] should continue as normal, and thus opposes a stay as to McAfee to the extent such a stay would impact briefing and resolution of McAfee's Motion. With respect to McAfee's Motion, Uniloc believes that, at a minimum, it should be permitted to submit its brief(s) opposing McAfee's Motion, irrespective of the stay.

- 6. Notwithstanding the proposed stay of the above-captioned actions, Uniloc has agreed to keep all Defendants reasonably apprised of litigation involving the '216 Patent, including, but not limited to, the California Action and Uniloc's litigation against Microsoft Corporation. To this end, Uniloc will provide Defendants with electronic copies of all documents filed in such litigation as they become available.
- 7. In view of the foregoing, the Parties jointly move that the Court enter the attached Order staying this litigation.

Dated: November 18, 2011 Respectfully submitted by,

/s/ Mark A. Flagel
(with permission by Michael E. Jones)
Michael E. Jones
State Bar No. 10929400
Allen F. Gardner
State Bar No. 24043679
POTTER MINTON
A Professional Corporation
110 N. College, Suite 500 (75702)
Tyler, Texas 75702
(903) 597-8311
(903) 593-0846 (Facsimile)
mikejones@potterminton.com
allengardner@potterminton.com

Mark A. Flagel Yury Kapgan Dale Chang LATHAM &WATKINS LLP 355 S. Grand Avenue

Los Angeles, CA 90071-1560

Tel: (213) 485-1234 Fax: (213) 891-8763 mark.flagel@lw.com yury.kapgan@lw.com dale.chang@lw.com

Dean G. Dunlavey LATHAM &WATKINS LLP 650 Town Center Drive, 20th Floor Costa Mesa, CA 92626-1925

Tel: (714) 540-1235 Fax: (714) 755-8290 dean.dunlavey@lw.com

# ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANTS AND COUNTERCLAIM-PLAINTIFFS SYMANTEC CORPORATION

By: <u>/s/ Charles D. Huston, with permission by</u>

Michael E. Jones

Charles D. Huston

State Bar No. 10328950

Stacy L. Zoern

State Bar No. 24051565

DAFFER MCDANIEL, LLP

700 Lavaca Street, Suite 720

Austin, TX 78701

Tel. (512) 476-1400

Fax (512) 703-1250

# ATTORNEYS FOR PERVASIVE SOFTWARE INC.

By: /s/ Melissa Richards Smith, with permission by

Michael E. Jones

Melissa Richards Smith

TX Bar No. 24001351

GILLAM & SMITH, L.L.P.

303 South Washington Avenue

Marshall, Texas 75670

Telephone: (903) 934-8450

Facsimile: (903) 934-9257

Email: melissa@gillamsmithlaw.com

#### MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP

Michael A. Jacobs (CA Bar No. 111664)

425 Market Street

San Francisco, California 94105 Telephone: (415) 268-7000 Facsimile: (415) 268-7522

E-mail: mjacobs@mofo.com

#### MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP

Rudy Y. Kim (CA Bar No. 199426)

Christopher F. Jeu (TX Bar No. 24050823)

755 Page Mill Road

Palo Alto, California 94304

Telephone: (650) 813-5600 Facsimile: (650) 494-0792 E-mail: rudykim@mofo.com

E-mail: cjeu@mofo.com

### Attorneys for Defendant FILEMAKER, INC

## /s/ Steven W. Hartsell, with permission by

Michael E. Jones

Edward R. Nelson, III

Texas State Bar No. 00797142

Barry J. Bumgardner

Texas State Bar No. 24041918

Steven W. Hartsell

Texas State Bar No. 24040199

S. Brannon Latimer

Texas State Bar No. 24060137

Jaime K. Olin

Texas State Bar No. 24070363

#### NELSON BUMGARDNER CASTO, P.C.

3131 West 7th Street, Suite 300

Fort Worth, Texas 76107

(017) 277 0111

(817) 377-9111

(817) 377-3485 (fax)

enelson@nbclaw.net

barry@nbclaw.net

shartsell@nbclaw.net

blatimer@nbclaw.net

jolin@nbclaw.net

T. John Ward, Jr.

Texas State Bar No. 00794818

J. Wesley Hill
Texas State Bar No. 24032294
WARD & SMITH LAW FIRM
111 West Tyler St.
Longview, Texas 75601
Tel: (903) 757-6400
Few. (902) 757-2323

Fax: (903) 757-2323 jw@wsfirm.com wh@wsfirm.com

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS UNILOC USA, INC. AND UNILOC SINGAPORE PRIVATE LIMITED

# **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

The undersigned hereby certifies that all counsel of record who are deemed to have consented to electronic service are being served with a copy of this document via the Court's CM/ECF system per Local Rule CV-5(a)(3) on November 18, 2011.

/s/ Michael E. Jones