

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
TYLER DIVISION

	§	
UNILOC USA, INC., ET AL.	§	
Plaintiffs,	§	
	§	
vs.	§	CASE NO. 6:10-CV-373
	§	PATENT CASE
SONY CORPORATION OF AMERICA,	§	
ET AL.	§	
Defendants.	§	
	§	
UNILOC USA, INC., ET AL.	§	
Plaintiffs,	§	
	§	
vs.	§	CASE NO. 610-CV-471
	§	PATENT CASE
DISK DOCTORS LABS, INC., ET AL.	§	
Defendants.	§	
	§	
	§	
	§	
UNILOC USA, INC., ET AL.	§	
Plaintiffs,	§	
	§	
vs.	§	CASE NO. 610-CV-472
	§	PATENT CASE
NATIONAL INSTRUMENTS CORP., ET	§	
AL.	§	
Defendants.	§	
	§	
UNILOC USA, INC., ET AL.	§	
Plaintiffs,	§	
	§	
vs.	§	CASE NO. 610-CV-591
	§	PATENT CASE
ENGRASP, INC., ET AL.	§	
Defendants.	§	
	§	

UNILOC USA, INC., ET AL.
Plaintiffs,

vs.

BMC SOFTWARE, INC., ET AL.
Defendants.

CASE NO. 6:10-CV-636
PATENT CASE

UNILOC USA, INC., ET AL.
Plaintiffs,

vs.

FOXIT CORPORATION, ET AL.
Defendants.

CASE NO. 6:10-CV-691
PATENT CASE

SYMANTEC CORPORATION, ET AL.
Plaintiffs,

vs.

UNILOC USA, INC., ET AL.
Defendants.

CASE NO. 6:11-CV-33
PATENT CASE

ORDER

The Court has received several letters from Counsel in the above-captioned cases. The Court advises the parties that it does not accept communications to the Court outside of CM/ECF filings. Any letters, faxes, or other communications that have not been specifically requested by the Court will be disregarded. All arguments and communications to the Court shall be made through formal CM/ECF filings.

So ORDERED and SIGNED this 28th day of November, 2011.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Leonard Davis', written over a horizontal line.

**LEONARD DAVIS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**