

# Exhibit D

1 Scott R. Miller (State Bar No. 112656)  
2 smiller@cblh.com  
3 Keith D. Fraser (State Bar No. 216279)  
4 kfraser@cblh.com  
5 CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP  
6 333 South Grand Avenue, Suite 2300  
7 Los Angeles, California 90071  
8 Telephone: (213) 787-2500; Fax: (213) 687-0498

7 Attorneys for Plaintiffs UNILOC  
8 CORPORATION PTY LIMITED, UNILOC USA,  
9 INC., and UNILOC (SINGAPORE) PRIVATE  
10 LIMITED.

11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
12 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SOUTHERN DIVISION

13 UNILOC CORPORATION PTY  
14 LIMITED, an Australian Proprietary  
15 Limited Company, UNILOC USA,  
16 INC., a Rhode Island Corporation, and  
17 UNILOC (SINGAPORE) PRIVATE  
18 LIMITED, a Singapore Corporation,

19 Plaintiffs,

20 vs.

21 XTREAMLOK, PTY, an Australian  
22 Proprietary Limited Company; and  
23 SYMANTEC CORPORATION, a  
24 Delaware Corporation, and DOES 1  
25 through 10, Inclusive,

26 Defendants.

Case No. **CV 08-03574 DOC (MLGx)**

**ORDER STAYING CASE PENDING  
ARBITRATION**

[Stipulation to Stay Case Pending  
Arbitration of Plaintiffs' Breach of  
Contract Cause of Action filed  
concurrently herewith]

Hearing Date: October 27, 2008

Time: 8:30 a.m.

Courtroom: 9D, Santa Ana

Judge: Hon. David O. Carter

1 The Court, having considered Plaintiffs Uniloc Corporation Pty. Limited,  
2 Uniloc USA, Inc., and Uniloc (Singapore) Private Limited, and Defendants  
3 Xstreamlok, Pty. and Symantec Corporation's Stipulation to Stay the Case Pending  
4 Arbitration of Plaintiffs' Breach of Contract Cause of Action, as well as the papers  
5 on the issue previously submitted by the parties, and finding good cause,

6 IT IS ORDERED THAT:

7 (1) Uniloc's Claims against Defendants for Breach of Contract are subject  
8 to the arbitration clause of the License Agreement, and shall be submitted to  
9 arbitration in accordance with the Uniloc/XstreamLok License Agreement and  
10 applicable law;

11 (2) This action shall be stayed pending the arbitration of Uniloc's Breach  
12 of Contract claims against Defendants; and

13 (3) This Court shall retain jurisdiction over Uniloc's Patent Infringement  
14 and Unfair Competition Claims, and shall re-activate the matter upon application of  
15 the parties upon completion of the arbitration to allow the continuation of the action  
16 as to any claims and issues which either party may contend remain to be resolved in  
17 accordance with applicable law.

18 (4) The hearing currently set October 27, 2008 is now VACATED.

19  
20 IT IS SO ORDERED.

21  
22 Dated: October 22, 2008



23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  

---

Honorable David O. Carter  
U.S. District Court Judge

Submitted by:  
Connolly Bove Lodge & Hutz LLP

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

By:  /s/ Scott R. Miller

Scott R. Miller  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
UNILOC CORPORATION PTY LIMITED,  
UNILOC USA, INC., and UNILOC (SINGAPORE)  
PRIVATE LIMITED