

EXHIBIT 1

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
TYLER DIVISION**

<p>WI-LAN INC.,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Plaintiff,</p> <p>v.</p> <p>Alcatel-Lucent USA Inc.; Telefonaktiebolaget LM Ericsson; Ericsson Inc.; Sony Mobile Communications AB; Sony Mobile Communications (USA) Inc.; HTC Corporation; HTC America, Inc.; Exede Inc.; LG Electronics, Inc.; LG Electronics MobileComm U.S.A., Inc.; LG Electronics U.S.A., Inc.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Defendants.</p>	<p>Case No. 6:10-cv-00521-LED</p>
--	-----------------------------------

**EXPERT REPORT OF MARK LANNING REGARDING INVALIDITY OF U.S.
PATENT NUMBERS: 6,088,326; 6,195,327; 6,222,819; and 6,381,211.**

Table of Contents

I.	LIST OF REPORT APPENDICES AND EXHIBITS	6
II.	INTRODUCTION.....	8
	A. Education, Background and Qualifications.....	8
	B. Scope of Work.....	10
	C. Documents Relied Upon	11
III.	SUMMARY OF OPINIONS	11
IV.	RELEVANT LEGAL PRINCIPLES AND GUIDELINES	12
	A. Anticipation.....	12
	B. Obviousness	13
	C. Written Description and Enablement	14
	D. Indefiniteness	14
	E. Priority Date and Date of Invention	15
V.	OVERVIEW OF THE PATENTS-IN-SUIT.....	16
	A. The Patents-in-Suit.....	16
	B. The 819 Patent.....	17
	C. The 327 Patent.....	17
VI.	BACKGROUND FOR RELEVANT TECHNOLOGY	18
	A. The Prior Art Used Both CDMA and TDM.....	19
	a. <i>The IS-95 Cellular Standard (2G) Combines CDMA and TDM.....</i>	20
	b. <i>The Personal Communications System (2G) Combines CDMA and TDM.....</i>	20
	c. <i>The Accused UMTS Functionality Is An Extension of the IS-95 Standard</i>	21
	d. <i>Technology Tutorial</i>	21
VII.	THE PATENTS-IN-SUIT AND WI-LAN’S INFRINGEMENT CONTENTIONS	21
	A. Person of Ordinary Skill.....	21
	B. Claim Interpretation	22
	C. Priority Dates for the Patents-in-Suit	22
	D. Asserted Claims.....	22
	E. Wi-LAN’s Infringement Theories and Claim Interpretations	23
	a. <i>TDM Encoder/Decoder</i>	23
	b. <i>An Overlay Code Generator For Providing An Overlay Code From A First Set Of ‘n’ Overlay Codes .</i>	24
	c. <i>A Second Encoder/Decoder To Apply The Overlay Code From The Overlay Code Generator.....</i>	27
	d. <i>A Second Encoder/Decoder Selectively Operable Instead of the TDM Encoder/Decoder.....</i>	31
	e. <i>Claim 11 of the 819 Patent Requires Three Encoders.....</i>	32
	f. <i>The Orthogonal Code and the Overlay Code Must Be Applied to the Same Data Item on a Wireless Link</i>	32
	g. <i>Use of Orthogonal Codes, Overlay Codes, and a Single Encoder by the Subscriber Terminal.....</i>	33
	h. <i>Parameters Pertaining To A Wireless Link Within The Cell Indicative Of Whether That Wireless Link Is Subject To Interference From Signals Generated By Said Other Cells.....</i>	33
	F. Rademacher-Walsh Codes Are Also Hadamard-Rademacher-Walsh Codes.....	34
VIII.	INVALIDITY ANALYSIS FOR THE 326 AND 211 PATENTS.....	37
	A. U.S. Patent 5,533,013 By Leppanen.....	38
	a. <i>Single Reference Obviousness</i>	44
	B. PCT Application WO 95/03652 By Gilhousen.....	45
	a. <i>Obviousness of Gilhousen 652 Combined With Other References</i>	51
	C. U.S. Patent 6,018,528 By Gitlin, et al.	52
	a. <i>Single Reference Obviousness</i>	57
	D. U.S. Patent 5,511,068 By Sato	58
	a. <i>Single Reference Obviousness</i>	62
	E. TIA/EIA IS-95-A Standard (IS-95-A).....	62

a.	<i>Single Reference Obviousness</i>	68
F.	The Personal Communications System (PCS) As Described by Tiedemann	69
G.	The Personal Communication System As Described by Zehavi and Tiedemann.....	72
H.	U.S. 6,112,080 By Anderson et al.....	73
a.	<i>Single Reference Obviousness</i>	79
I.	Spread Spectrum and TDMA Satellite Communications By Mohanty	79
a.	<i>Single Reference Obviousness</i>	82
J.	PCT Application WO 93/15573 By Miller.....	83
K.	Murai (US 5,966,377)	88
a.	<i>Single Reference Obviousness</i>	95
L.	Combinations of Prior Art That Invalidate the 326 and 211 Patents.....	96
a.	<i>Combination of Gilhousen 652 for the “overlay code generator” or “second encoder to apply the overlay code” elements</i>	96
b.	<i>Combination of Tiedemann or Zehavi for “overlay code generator” and “second encoder to apply the overlay code” limitations</i>	97
c.	<i>Combination of Mohanty for “the orthogonal code generator is a storage arranged to store the set of orthogonal codes”</i>	97
d.	<i>Combination of Mohanty for “the set of orthogonal codes comprise a set of Rademacher-Walsh (RW) codes”</i>	98
e.	<i>Combination of Gitlin with IS-95-A for “a first of the orthogonal channels is reserved for the transmission of signals relating to the acquisition of wireless links”</i>	98
f.	<i>Combination of Gitlin with Tiedemann for “a first of the orthogonal channels is reserved for the transmission of signals relating to the acquisition of wireless links”</i>	98
g.	<i>Combination of Gitlin with Miller for “a first of the orthogonal channels is reserved for the transmission of signals relating to the acquisition of wireless links”</i>	99
h.	<i>Combination of Gitlin with IS-95-A for “a second of the orthogonal channels is reserved for the transmission of signals relating to control of calls”</i>	99
i.	<i>Combination of Gitlin with Tiedemann for “a second of the orthogonal channels is reserved for the transmission of signals relating to control of calls”</i>	99
j.	<i>Combination of Gitlin with Miller for “a second of the orthogonal channels is reserved for the transmission of signals relating to control of calls”</i>	99
M.	Secondary Considerations	100
N.	The 326 and 211 Patents Lack Written Description and Are Not Enabled To Teach One of Ordinary Skill in the Art How to Make and Use the Invention as Broadly as They Are Being Asserted	103
IX.	INVALIDITY ANALYSIS FOR THE 819 PATENT.....	105
A.	The Personal Communications System (PCS) As Described by Tiedemann	105
B.	U.S. Patent 6,018,528 By Gitlin, et al.	106
a.	<i>Single Reference Obviousness</i>	106
C.	PCT Application WO 95/03652 By Gilhousen	107
D.	The Personal Communication System As Described by Zehavi and Tiedemann.....	107
E.	U.S. 6,112,080 By Anderson et al.....	108
a.	<i>Single Reference Obviousness</i>	108
F.	U.S. Patent 5,471,497 By Zehavi	109
a.	<i>Single Reference Obviousness</i>	112
G.	Japanese Patent Application By Sawahashi	113
a.	<i>Single Reference Obviousness</i>	114
H.	Murai (US 5,966,377)	115
I.	TIA/EIA IS-95-A Standard (IS-95-A).....	115
a.	<i>Single Reference Obviousness</i>	115
J.	Combinations of Prior Art That Invalidate the 819 Patent	116
a.	<i>Combination of Gilhousen 652 for the “overlay code generator” or “second encoder to apply the overlay code” elements</i>	116
b.	<i>Combination of Tiedemann or Zehavi for “overlay code generator” and “second encoder to apply the overlay code” limitations</i>	117
c.	<i>Combination of Mohanty for “the orthogonal code generator is a storage arranged to store the set of orthogonal codes”</i>	117
d.	<i>Combination of Mohanty for “the set of orthogonal codes comprise a set of Rademacher-Walsh (RW) codes”</i>	118

e.	<i>Combination of Gitlin with IS-95-A for “a first of the orthogonal channels is reserved for the transmission of signals relating to the acquisition of wireless links”</i>	118
f.	<i>Combination of Gitlin with Tiedemann for “a first of the orthogonal channels is reserved for the transmission of signals relating to the acquisition of wireless links”</i>	118
g.	<i>Combination of Gitlin with IS-95-A for “a second of the orthogonal channels is reserved for the transmission of signals relating to control of calls”</i>	118
h.	<i>Combination of Gitlin with Tiedemann for “a second of the orthogonal channels is reserved for the transmission of signals relating to control of calls”</i>	119
K.	Secondary Considerations	119
L.	The 819 Patent Lacks Written Description and Is Not Enabled To Teach One of Ordinary Skill in the Art How to Make and Use the Invention as Broadly as It Is Being Asserted	120
a.	<i>Claim 11 Lacks Written Description and Is Not Enabled</i>	120
b.	<i>Claim 12 and Its Dependent Claims Are Indefinite, Lack Written Description, and Are Not Enabled</i>	121
c.	<i>Claim 21 Is Indefinite</i>	121
X.	INVALIDITY ANALYSIS FOR THE 327 PATENT	122
A.	U.S. Patent 5,838,671 By Ishikawa et al.	123
a.	<i>Channel controller to allocate and selectively reduce the number of CDMA traffic channels in the channel pool</i>	125
b.	<i>Selectively designate one or more of said traffic channels as locked channels which should not be included in the channel pool</i>	126
c.	<i>Analyzer for receiving and comparing interference parameters based on at least the BER</i>	126
d.	<i>A value indicating a maximum number of CDMA channels that can be designated as traffic channels</i>	127
e.	<i>The said CDMA channels are orthogonal channels, an orthogonal code generator, and a first encoder</i>	128
f.	<i>A storage for storing data identifying the at least two frequency channels</i>	128
g.	<i>A selector for selecting a frequency channel from those listed in said storage and the selector being responsive to the link acquisition logic</i>	129
h.	<i>Link acquisition logic for establishing a wireless link on the frequency channel</i>	129
i.	<i>Single Reference Obviousness</i>	130
B.	PCT WO 96/02979 By Andersson et al.	130
a.	<i>Channel controller to allocate and selectively reduce the number of CDMA traffic channels in the channel pool</i>	131
b.	<i>Selectively designate one or more of said traffic channels as locked channels which should not be included in the channel pool</i>	132
c.	<i>Analyzer for receiving and comparing interference parameters based on at least the BER</i>	132
d.	<i>A value indicating a maximum number of CDMA channels that can be designated as traffic channels</i>	133
e.	<i>The said CDMA channels are orthogonal channels, an orthogonal code generator and a first encoder</i>	133
f.	<i>A storage for storing data identifying that at least two frequency channels, a selector for selecting a frequency channel from those listed in said storage and the selector being responsive to the link acquisition logic</i>	133
g.	<i>A TDM encoder arranged to apply time division multiplexing (TDM) techniques to the data item</i>	134
h.	<i>Single Reference Obviousness</i>	134
C.	U.S. Patent 5,410,737 By Jones	135
a.	<i>Single Reference Obviousness</i>	137
D.	U.S. Patent 6,018,528 By Gitlin, et al.	137
E.	Combinations of Prior Art That Invalidate the 327 Patent	138
a.	<i>Combination of Gilhousen 652 for the “orthogonal code generator,” “first encoder,” “overlay code generator,” or “second encoder” elements</i>	139
b.	<i>Combination of IS-95-A, Tiedemann, Gitlin or Zehavi for the “orthogonal code generator,” “first encoder,” “overlay code generator,” “second encoder” or “TDM encoder” elements</i>	139
c.	<i>Combination of Leppanen, Sato, Anderson or Miller for the “orthogonal code generator,” “first encoder,” or “TDM encoder” elements</i>	139
d.	<i>Combination of Ishikawa, Andersson, or Gitlin for a Central Terminal comprising an Interference Controller</i>	140
F.	Secondary Considerations	140
G.	The 327 Patent Lacks Written Description and Is Not Enabled To Teach One of Ordinary Skill in the Art How to Make and Use the Invention as Broadly as It Is Being Asserted	141

a.	<i>The 327 Patent Does Not Disclose How The Alleged Invention Determines If the Present Interference Is “interference generated by other cells” or Is From Some Other Source</i>	141
b.	<i>Claim 15 Lacks Written Description and Is Not Enabled</i>	142
XI.	SUMMARY OF INVALIDITY OPINIONS BASED ON PRIOR ART	142
A.	The Asserted Claims of the 326 Patent Are Invalid	143
B.	The Asserted Claims of the 211 Patent are Invalid	148
C.	The Asserted Claims of the 819 Patent Are Invalid	151
D.	The Asserted Claims of the 327 Patent Are Invalid	161
XII.	OTHER COMMENTS	165