

9:00 a.m. on Monday, March 4, 2013. *See* Transcript of Hearing on February 26, 2013 at 35:5-13, Attached as Exhibit A.¹

However, HTC delayed in identifying the 80 documents it wished to submit for in camera review until after 5:00 p.m. on Thursday, February 28, 2013. Accordingly, Wi-LAN respectfully requests that its deadline to submit the documents along with its assertions of privilege and accompanying affidavits for in camera inspection be extended slightly from 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. on Monday, March 4, 2013. HTC does not oppose the requested extension.

An order reflecting the relief requested herein is attached for the Court's convenience.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Claire Abernathy Henry

Johnny Ward

Texas State Bar No. 00794818

Wesley Hill

Texas State Bar No. 24032294

Claire Abernathy Henry

Texas State Bar No. 24053063

WARD & SMITH LAW FIRM

1127 Judson Rd., Suite 220

Longview, TX 75601

Tel: (903) 757-6400

Fax: (903-757-2323

jw@wsfirm.com

wh@wsfirm.com

claire@wsfirm.com

David B. Weaver – LEAD ATTORNEY

¹ “I am going to allow HTC to identify 80 documents, take your best 80 documents, identify those -- what is today? Tuesday. Identify those by Thursday at noon. The plaintiff will then have until Monday morning at 9:00 o'clock to submit any additional -- identify those. Then I want Wi-Lan to produce the documents for in camera inspection along with specific assertions as to each of those 80 as to what your objection is . . .”

Texas State Bar No. 00798576
David D. Hornberger
Texas State Bar No. 24055686
VINSON & ELKINS LLP
2801 Via Fortuna, Suite 100
Austin, TX 78746
Tel: (512) 542-8400
Fax: (512)236-3476
dweaver@velaw.com
dhornberger@velaw.com

Chuck P. Ebertin
California State Bar No. 161374
VINSON & ELKINS LLP
525 University Avenue, Suite 410
Palo Alto, CA 94301-1918
Tel: (650) 687-8204
Fax: (650) 618-8508
cebertin@velaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff, Wi-LAN Inc.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing document was filed electronically in compliance with Local Rule CV-5(a). Therefore, this document was served on all counsel who are deemed to have consented to electronic service on March 1, 2013. Local Rule CV-5(a)(3)(A). Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 5(d) and Local Rule CV-5(d) and (e), all other counsel of record not deemed to have consented to electronic service were served with a true and correct copy of the foregoing by email on the same date.

/s/ Claire Abernathy Henry
Claire Abernathy Henry

CERTIFICATE OF CONFERENCE

I hereby certify that I have complied with the requirements of Local Rule CV-7(h)
and that this motion is unopposed.

/s/ Claire Abernathy Henry
Claire Abernathy Henry