

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
TYLER DIVISION**

DATE: July 1, 2013

LEONARD DAVIS
Judge Presiding

Law Clerk: Josue Caballero
Chief Staff Attorney: Nicole Mitchell

Court Reporter: Shea Sloan
Judicial Assistant: Carrie King

WI-LAN, INC.	CIVIL ACTION NO: 6:10cv521
V.	JURY SELECTION
ALCATEL-LUCENT USA, INC., ET AL.	
WI-LAN, INC.	CIVIL ACTION NO: 6:13cv252
V.	JURY SELECTION
HTC CORPORATION, ET AL.	
ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF	ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANTS
SEE SIGN-IN SHEETS	

On this day, came the parties by their attorneys and the following proceedings were had:

OPEN: 9:45 AM

ADJOURN: 11:55 AM

TIME:	MINUTES:
9:45 am	Jury Panel seated in the courtroom.
	Case called.
	Court addressed the Jury Panel and welcomed them to jury service.
	Court gave the jury a brief overview of the case and tentative schedule.
	Court conducted voir dire examination of the Jury Panel.
	Court asked counsel for the parties to introduce themselves, co-counsel, clients and any witnesses from the East Texas area.
	Wesley Hill for Plaintiff introduced himself, his co-counsel, and client Andrew Parolin. He advised Plaintiff has no witnesses from the East Texas area. Court inquired if anyone knew those mentioned by Mr. Hill. No jurors responded.

TIME:	MINUTES:
	Mike Jones for Defendant Alcatel-Lucent introduced himself, client Joe Tarralo, and his co-counsel. He advised Defendant has no witnesses from the East Texas area. Court inquired if anyone knew those mentioned by Mr. Jones. No jurors responded.
	Bill Cornelius for Defendants Ericsson and Sony introduced himself, his co-counsel, and clients Fredrik Gessler and Susana Capper. Defendants have no witnesses from East Texas. Court inquired if anyone knew those mentioned by Mr. Cornelius. No jurors responded.
	Eric Findlay for Defendants HTC introduced himself, his co-counsel, and during trial will have client James MacKenzie present. Court inquired if anyone knew those mentioned by Mr. Findlay. No jurors responded.
10:05 am	Mr. Hill conducted voir dire examination of the jury panel on behalf of Plaintiff.
10:32 am	Mr. Jones conducted voir dire examination of the jury panel on behalf of Defendants.
11:00 am	Court asked to see counsel at the bench. Court and parties discussed challenges for cause.
11:01 am	Court will allow the panel to take a break until approximately 11:30 am and gave instructions to not discuss this case. Court requested Jurors No. 7, 11, and 29 to remain in the Courtroom. Remainder of Jury Panel excused.
	Mr. Hill conducted additional voir dire with Juror No. 29. Mr. Jones conducted additional voir dire with Juror No. 29. Juror No. 29 excused for break.
	The parties had no additional voir dire questions for Juror No. 7. Juror No. 7 excused for break.
	Mr. Jones conducted additional voir dire with Juror No. 11. Mr. Hill conducted additional voir dire with Juror No. 11. Juror No. 11 excused for break.
	Court addressed the parties. Mr. Hill and Mr. Jones challenged Juror No. 7 for cause. Court granted challenge.
	Mr. Hill challenged Juror No. 11 for cause. Mr. Jones responded. Court denied challenge.
	Mr. Hill challenged Juror No. 29 for cause. Mr. Jones responded. Court denied challenge. Court will give 10 peremptory strikes to Plaintiff and Defendants.
11:11 am	Court in recess.
11:36 am	Jury selection resumed. Jury Panel seated in Courtroom.
	Court asked Clerk to read the names of the jurors selected. Clerk read the 8 names of the jurors. Court asked the Clerk to administer oath to Jury Panel. Jury sworn.
	Court addressed the Jury, gave some brief instructions, and discussed the trial schedule. Jury Panel excused.
	Court thanked and excused the remaining Jury Panel.
11:55 am	Nothing further from the parties. There being nothing further, Court adjourn.