

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
TYLER DIVISION

WI-LAN INC.,

Plaintiff,

vs.

ALCATEL-LUCENT USA INC.,  
ET AL.,

Defendants.

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

CASE NO. 6:10-CV-521

WI-LAN INC.,

Plaintiff,

vs.

HTC CORPORATION,  
ET AL.,

Defendants.

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

CASE NO. 6:13-CV-252

VERDICT FORM

In answering these questions, you are to follow the instructions I have given you in the Charge of the Court.

1. Did Wi-LAN prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendants **Alcatel-Lucent** or **Ericsson** infringed any of the following claims of the '326, '327, or '819 Patents?

Answer "Yes" or "No" for each of the listed claims in the spaces provided below. "Yes" is a finding that the claim is infringed. "No" is a finding that the claim is not infringed.

'326 Patent Claims	Alcatel-Lucent	Ericsson
2	No	No
5	No	No
9	No	No

'327 Patent Claims	Alcatel-Lucent	Ericsson
11	No	No
12	No	No

'819 Patent Claim	Alcatel-Lucent	Ericsson
11	No	No

2. Did Wi-LAN prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendants **HTC** or **Sony Mobile** infringed any of the following claims of the '211 Patent?

Answer "Yes" or "No" for each of the listed claims in the spaces provided below. "Yes" is a finding that the claim is infringed. "No" is a finding that the claim is not infringed.

'211 Patent Claims	HTC	Sony Mobile
2	No	No
5	No	No

3. Did Defendants prove by clear and convincing evidence that any of the claims of the patents-in-suit are invalid by Anticipation or Obviousness?

Answer “Yes” or “No” in the space provided beside each particular claim. “Yes” is a finding that the claim is invalid. “No” is a finding that the claim is not invalid.

'326 Patent Claims	Anticipation?	Obviousness?
2	Yes	Yes
5	Yes	Yes
9	N/A	Yes

'819 Patent Claim	Obviousness?
11	Yes

'211 Patent Claims	Anticipation?	Obviousness?
2	Yes	Yes
5	Yes	Yes

**Answer Question No. 4 only for claims you found infringed and not invalid:**

4. For each Defendant listed below, what sum of money, if any, do you find from a preponderance of the evidence would fairly and reasonably compensate Wi-Lan for each Defendant's infringement of the patent Claims that you have found infringed and not invalid up to the time of trial?

**Alcatel-Lucent:** \$ \_\_\_\_\_  $\emptyset$  \_\_\_\_\_

**Ericsson:** \$ \_\_\_\_\_  $\emptyset$  \_\_\_\_\_

**HTC:** \$ \_\_\_\_\_  $\emptyset$  \_\_\_\_\_

**Sony Mobile:** \$ \_\_\_\_\_  $\emptyset$  \_\_\_\_\_

DATE 7/15/13 \_\_\_\_\_

