## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS TYLER DIVISION

WI-LAN INC., Plaintiff, vs. ALCATEL-LUCENT USA INC., ET AL.,	§		
	\$ \$		
			§
	§		
	§	CASE NO. 6:10-CV-521	
	§ §		
			§
	Defendants.	\$	
	WI-LAN INC.,	ş	
	ş		
Plaintiff,	ş		
	ş	CASE NO. 6:13-CV-252	
vs.	ş		
* <b>5</b> •	ş		
HTC CORPORATION,	ş		
ET AL.,	s §		
L L (1L/0)	ş		
Defendants.	ş		
	N		

## AMENDED FINAL JUDGMENT

This action was tried by a jury with the undersigned presiding, and the jury has reached a verdict.

It is ORDERED that Defendants Alcatel-Lucent USA Inc.; Ericsson Inc.; and

Telefonaktiebolaget LM Ericsson did not infringe the following claims:

- Claims 2, 5, and 9 of U.S. Patent No. 6,088,326;
- Claims 11 and 12 of U.S. Patent No. 6,195,327; and
- Claim 11 of U.S. Patent No. 6,222,819.

It is also **ORDERED** that Defendants HTC Corporation; HTC America, Inc.; Exedea Inc.; Sony Mobile Communications AB; and Sony Mobile Communications (USA) Inc. did not infringe the following claims:

• Claims 2 and 5 of U.S. Patent No. 6,381,211.

It is further **ORDERED** that the following claims are invalid:

- Claims 2, 5, and 9 of U.S. Patent No. 6,088,326;
- Claim 11 of U.S. Patent No. 6,222,819; and
- Claims 2 and 5 of U.S. Patent No. 6,381,211.

Accordingly, it is **ORDERED**, **ADJUDGED**, **AND DECREED** that Plaintiff Wi-Lan Inc. take nothing from Alcatel-Lucent USA Inc.; Ericsson Inc.; Telefonaktiebolaget LM Ericsson; HTC Corporation; HTC America, Inc.; Exedea Inc.; Sony Mobile Communications AB; and Sony Mobile Communications (USA) Inc. (collectively "Defendants") and that all pending motions are **DENIED**.

It is further **ORDERED**, **ADJUDGED**, **AND DECREED** that Defendants' costs of court should be taxed against Plaintiff. The parties are directed to the Standing Order Regarding Bill of Costs on the Court's website.

So ORDERED and SIGNED this 22nd day of July, 2013.

LEONARD DAVIS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE