

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
TYLER DIVISION**

WI-LAN INC.,	§	
	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	
v.	§	
	§	
ALCATEL-LUCENT USA INC.;	§	
TELEFONAKTIEBOLAGET LM	§	Civil Action No. 6:10-cv-521-LED
ERICSSON; ERICSSON INC.; SONY	§	
ERICSSON MOBILE COMMUNICATIONS	§	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
AB; SONY ERICSSON MOBILE	§	
COMMUNICATIONS (USA) INC.; HTC	§	
CORPORATION; HTC AMERICA, INC.;	§	
EXEDEA INC.; LG ELECTRONICS, INC.;	§	
LG ELECTRONICS MOBILECOMM U.S.A.,	§	
INC.; LG ELECTRONICS U.S.A., INC.	§	
	§	
Defendants.	§	
	§	

**WI-LAN, INC.’S REPLY TO DEFENDANT SONY ERICSSON MOBILE
COMMUNICATIONS (USA) INC.’S ANSWER AND COUNTERCLAIMS TO
PLAINTIFF’S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT**

Plaintiff Wi-LAN, Inc. (“Wi-LAN”) hereby replies to the numbered paragraphs of the Counterclaims of Defendant Sony Ericsson Mobile Communications (USA) Inc. (“SEUS”) as follows:

Wi-LAN reasserts and incorporates by reference herein its allegations set forth in paragraphs 1-68 of its original Complaint.

1. Wi-LAN does not have sufficient information or knowledge to either admit or deny the allegations contained in paragraph 1 of SEUS’s Counterclaims, and on that basis denies them.

2. Wi-LAN admits that it is a corporation organized under the laws of Canada with its principal place of business at 11 Holland Ave., Suite 608, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada.

3. Wi-LAN admits the Court has subject-matter jurisdiction over SEUS's counterclaims.

BREACH OF CONTRACT

4. Wi-LAN admits Wi-LAN and SEUS executed a Patent and Conflict Resolution Agreement having an effective date of November 1, 2007. Wi-LAN denies the remaining allegations of paragraph 4 of SEUS's Counterclaims.

5. Wi-LAN denies that the Patent and Conflict Resolution Agreement precludes the filing of Wi-LAN's original Complaint, and further denies the remaining allegations of paragraph 5 of SEUS's Counterclaims.

6. Wi-LAN admits SEUS paid to Wi-LAN \$100,000 pursuant to the Patent and Conflict Resolution Agreement, but denies the remaining allegations of paragraph 6 of SEUS's Counterclaims.

7. Wi-LAN denies the allegations of paragraph 7 of SEUS's Counterclaims.

NON-INFRINGEMENT AND INVALIDITY OF THE '819 PATENT

8. Wi-LAN admits the allegations of paragraph 8 of SEUS's Counterclaims.

9. Wi-LAN admits the allegations of paragraph 9 of SEUS's Counterclaims.

10. Wi-LAN denies the allegations of paragraph 10 of SEUS's Counterclaims.

11. Wi-LAN denies the allegations of paragraph 11 of SEUS's Counterclaims.

NON-INFRINGEMENT AND INVALIDITY OF THE '211 PATENT

12. Wi-LAN admits the allegations of paragraph 12 of SEUS's Counterclaims.

13. Wi-LAN admits the allegations of paragraph 13 of SEUS's Counterclaims.

14. Wi-LAN denies the allegations of paragraph 14 of SEUS's Counterclaims.

15. Wi-LAN denies the allegations of paragraph 15 of SEUS's Counterclaims.

REPLY TO PRAYER FOR RELIEF

To the extent a reply is necessary, Wi-LAN denies that SEUS is entitled to any of the relief requested in its Prayer for Relief.

WI-LAN'S PRAYER FOR RELIEF

In view of the foregoing, Wi-LAN respectfully requests the following relief:

- A. An order dismissing with prejudice SEUS's Counterclaims;
- B. An order finding Wi-LAN has not breached the Patent and Conflict Resolution agreement executed by SEUS and Wi-LAN, or in the alternative finding that SEUS has not suffered any actual damages;
- C. SEUS's prayer for attorney's fees and costs be denied;
- D. Judgment be entered in favor of Wi-LAN that each of the claims of the '819, and '211 patents is valid and infringed;
- E. An order declaring that this is an exceptional case and awarding Wi-LAN its costs, expenses, and reasonable attorney fees under 35 U.S.C. § 285 and all other applicable statutes, rules, and common law, including all such laws governing contracts in the State of New York; and
- F. The Court award Wi-LAN the relief sought in its original Complaint.

Dated: December 22, 2010

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ David B. Weaver (by permission Wesley Hill)

Johnny Ward
Texas State Bar No. 00794818
Wesley Hill
Texas State Bar No. 24032294
WARD & SMITH LAW FIRM
111 W. Tyler Street
Longview, TX 75601
Tel: (903) 757-6400
Fax: (903-757-2323
jw@jwfirm.com
wh@jwfirm.com

David B. Weaver – LEAD ATTORNEY
Texas State Bar No. 00798576
Juliet M. Dirba
Texas State Bar No. 24051063
David D. Hornberger
Texas State Bar No. 24055686
VINSON & ELKINS LLP
2801 Via Fortuna, Suite 100
Austin, TX 78746
Tel: (512) 542-8400
Fax: (512)236-3476
dweaver@velaw.com
dhornberger@velaw.com

Chuck P. Ebertin
California State Bar No. 161374
VINSON & ELKINS LLP
525 University Avenue, Suite 410
Palo Alto, CA 94301-1918
Tel: (650) 687-8204
Fax: (650) 618-8508
cebertin@velaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff, Wi-LAN Inc.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that the foregoing document was filed electronically in compliance with Local Rule CV-5(a). As such, this document was served on all counsel who are deemed to have consented to electronic service. Local Rule CV-5(a)(3)(A). All other counsel of record not deemed to have consented to electronic service were served with a true and correct copy of the foregoing by email and/or fax, on this the 22nd day of December, 2010.

/s/ Wesley Hill

Wesley Hill