

Magistrate Judge noted two cases from the Northern District of Texas arriving at the same conclusion and stated that Martinez's petition could not be construed as seeking habeas corpus relief, rather than an extension of time, because it specified no grounds for habeas corpus relief and did not set out the factual bases for any such claim.

Martinez received a copy of the Magistrate Judge's Report on December 19, 2011, but filed no objections thereto; accordingly, he is barred from *de novo* review by the district judge of those findings, conclusions, and recommendations and, except upon grounds of plain error, from appellate review of the unobjected-to proposed factual findings and legal conclusions accepted and adopted by the district court. Douglass v. United Services Automobile Association, 79 F.3d 1415, 1430 (5th Cir. 1996) (*en banc*).

The Court has reviewed the pleadings in this cause and the Report of the Magistrate Judge. Upon such review, the Court has determined that the Report of the Magistrate Judge is correct. It is accordingly

ORDERED that the Report of the Magistrate Judge (docket no. 4) is ADOPTED as the opinion of the District Court. It is further

ORDERED that the above-styled application, consisting of a request for extension of time in which to seek habeas corpus relief, is DISMISSED without prejudice for want of jurisdiction. The dismissal of this case shall not prevent Martinez from filing an application for habeas corpus relief, and expresses no opinion upon the timeliness or untimeliness of any such petition, nor upon the applicability of legal or equitable tolling of the limitations period. It is further

ORDERED that any and all other motions which may be pending in this civil action are hereby DENIED.

So ORDERED and SIGNED this 15th day of February, 2012.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Leonard Davis', written over a horizontal line.

**LEONARD DAVIS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**