

Arnold v. Cockrell, 306 F.3d 277, 279 (5th Cir. 2002). Because Petitioner does not have a protected liberty interest, the Court does not need to discuss the remainder of his objections concerning the merits of his claims.


The Report of the Magistrate Judge, which contains her proposed findings of fact and recommendations for the disposition of such action, has been presented for consideration, and having made a *de novo* review of the objections raised by Petitioner to the Report, the Court is of the opinion that the findings and conclusions of the Magistrate Judge are correct and the objections of Petitioner are without merit. Therefore the Court hereby adopts the findings and conclusions of the Magistrate Judge as the findings and conclusions of the Court. It is accordingly

ORDERED that the Report and Recommendation (docket entry #57) is **ADOPTED**. It is further

ORDERED that the petition for a writ of habeas corpus is **DENIED** and the case is **DISMISSED** with prejudice. A certificate of appealability is **DENIED**. All motions not previously ruled on are hereby **DENIED**.

It is SO ORDERED.

SIGNED this 24th day of September, 2014.


MICHAEL H. SCHNEIDER
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE