IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS TYLER DIVISION

JIMMIE CUDJO	§	
V.	§	CIVIL ACTION NO. 6:12cv334
GUILLERMO DELAROSA, ET AL.	§	

MEMORANDUM ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE AND DISMISSING COFFIELD UNIT CLASSIFICATION OFFICE

The Plaintiff Jimmie Cudjo. proceeding *pro se*, filed this civil rights lawsuit under 42 U.S.C. §1983 complaining of alleged violations of his constitutional rights in the Texas Department of Criminal Justice, Correctional Institutions Division. This Court ordered that the case be referred to the United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §636(b)(1) and (3) and the Amended Order for the Adoption of Local Rules for the Assignment of Duties to United States Magistrate Judges.

One of the named Defendants in the lawsuit is the "Coffield Unit Classification Office." The Magistrate Judge issued a Report recommending that this Defendant be dismissed from the lawsuit because the classification office has no separate legal existence and cannot be sued in its own name. *See Darby v. Pasadena Police Department*, 939 F.2d 311, 313 (5th Cir. 1991). Cudjo did not file objections to this Report; accordingly, he is barred from *de novo* review by the district judge of those findings, conclusions, and recommendations and, except upon grounds of plain error, from appellate review of the unobjected-to proposed factual findings and legal conclusions accepted and adopted by the district court. <u>Douglass v. United Services Automobile Association</u>, 79 F.3d 1415, 1430 (5th Cir. 1996) (*en banc*).

The Court has reviewed the pleadings in this cause and the Report of the Magistrate Judge. Upon such review, the Court has determined that the Report of the Magistrate Judge is correct. *See* <u>United States v. Wilson, 864 F.2d 1219, 1221 (5th Cir.), *cert. denied*, 492 U.S. 918, 109 S.Ct. 3243</u> (1989) (where no objections to a Magistrate Judge's Report are filed, the standard of review is "clearly erroneous, abuse of discretion and contrary to law."). It is accordingly

ORDERED that the Report of the Magistrate Judge (docket no. 14) is ADOPTED as the opinion of the District Court. It is further

ORDERED that the Coffield Unit Classification Office is hereby DISMISSED with prejudice as a defendant in this case.

So ORDERED and SIGNED this 30th day of April, 2013.

LEONARD DAVIS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE