

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
TYLER DIVISION

PAUL BELYEU	§	
v.	§	CIVIL ACTION NO. 6:13cv928
CHEROKEE COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE, ET AL.	§	

MEMORANDUM ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION
OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
AND ENTERING FINAL JUDGMENT

The Plaintiff Paul Belyeu, proceeding *pro se*, filed this civil rights lawsuit under 42 U.S.C. §1983 complaining of alleged violations of his constitutional rights in the Texas Department of Criminal Justice, Correctional Institutions Division. This Court ordered that the case be referred to the United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §636(b)(1) and (3) and the Amended Order for the Adoption of Local Rules for the Assignment of Duties to United States Magistrate Judges.

On February 4, 2014, Belyeu filed a motion asking that his lawsuit be dismissed. His wife Jerika Belyeu, listed on the docket as a movant, also filed a motion asking that the case be dismissed. On February 18, 2014, the Magistrate Judge issued a Report recommending that the motion to dismiss be granted and that the lawsuit be dismissed without prejudice. No objections have been filed to the Report; accordingly, the parties are barred from *de novo* review by the district judge of those findings, conclusions, and recommendations and, except upon grounds of plain error, from appellate review of the unobjected-to factual findings and legal conclusions accepted and adopted by the district court. Douglass v. United Services Automobile Association, 79 F.3d 1415, 1430 (5th Cir. 1996) (*en banc*).

The Court has reviewed the pleadings in this cause and the Report of the Magistrate Judge. Upon such review, the Court has concluded that the Report of the Magistrate Judge is correct. *See*

United States v. Wilson, 864 F.2d 1219, 1221 (5th Cir.), *cert. denied*, 492 U.S. 918, 109 S.Ct. 3243 (1989) (where no objections to a Magistrate Judge’s Report are filed, the standard of review is “clearly erroneous, abuse of discretion and contrary to law.”). It is accordingly

ORDERED that the Report of the Magistrate Judge (docket no. 11) is **ADOPTED** as the opinion of the District Court. It is further

ORDERED that the motions for voluntary dismissal (docket no.’s 9 and 10) are hereby **GRANTED** and the above-styled civil action is **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE**. Finally, it is

ORDERED that any and all motions which may be pending in this action are hereby **DENIED**.

It is **SO ORDERED**.

SIGNED this 18th day of March, 2014.



MICHAEL H. SCHNEIDER
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE