McClure v. Nobles Doc. 10

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

LUFKIN DIVISION

RODERRETTE MCCLURE	§	
VS.	§	CIVIL ACTION NO. 9:13-CV-270
JIM NOBLES	§	

MEMORANDUM OPINION REGARDING VENUE

Plaintiff, Roderrette McClure, proceeding *pro se*, brings this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 against defendant Jim Nobles, Assistant United States Attorney for the Eastern District of Texas, Tyler Division.

The above-styled action was referred to the undersigned Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636 and the Local Rules for the Assignment of Duties to the United States Magistrate Judge for findings of fact, conclusions of law, and recommendations for the disposition of the case.

Discussion

Venue in a civil rights action is determined pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b). When, as in this case, jurisdiction is not founded solely on diversity of citizenship, 28 U.S.C. § 1391 provides that venue is proper only in the judicial district where all the defendants reside or in which a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred.

Plaintiff complains of actions taken by Assistant United States Attorney Jim Nobles who works for the Eastern District of Texas, Tyler Division. It would appear that a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to his claims occurred in the Eastern District of Texas, Tyler Division. Venue, therefore, is not proper in the Eastern District of Texas, Lufkin Division.

When venue is not proper, the court "shall dismiss, or if it be in the interest of justice, transfer such case to any district or division in which it could have been brought." 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a). Plaintiff's claims should be transferred to the Eastern District of Texas, Tyler Division. An appropriate order so providing will be entered by the undersigned.

SIGNED this 2nd day of April, 2014.

Zack Hawthorn

United States Magistrate Judge