Johnson v. Smith et al Doc. 7

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS TYLER DIVISION

DONALD BERFORD JOHNSON §

v. § CIVIL ACTION NO. 6:14cv564

OFFICER SMITH, ET AL. §

## MEMORANDUM ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE AND ENTERING FINAL JUDGMENT

The Plaintiff Donald Johnson, proceeding *pro se*, filed this civil rights lawsuit under 42 U.S.C. §1983 complaining of alleged violations of his constitutional rights. This Court ordered that the case be referred to the United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §636(b)(1) and (3) and the Amended Order for the Adoption of Local Rules for the Assignment of Duties to United States Magistrate Judges.

After review of the pleadings, the Magistrate Judge issued a Report recommending that the lawsuit be dismissed with prejudice as malicious, inasmuch as Johnson has another lawsuit pending concerning the same issues raised in the present case. A copy of the Magistrate Judge's Report was sent to Johnson at his last known address, return receipt requested, but no objections have been received; accordingly, he is barred from *de novo* review by the district judge of those findings, conclusions, and recommendations and, except upon grounds of plain error, from appellate review of the unobjected-to proposed factual findings and legal conclusions accepted and adopted by the district court. *Douglass v. United Services Automobile Association*, 79 F.3d 1415, 1430 (5th Cir. 1996) (*en banc*).

The Court has reviewed the pleadings in this cause and the Report of the Magistrate Judge. Upon such review, the Court has determined that the Report of the Magistrate Judge is correct. *See United States v. Wilson*, 864 F.2d 1219, 1221 (5th Cir.), *cert. denied*, 492 U.S. 918, 109 S.Ct. 3243

(1989) (where no objections to a Magistrate Judge's Report are filed, the standard of review is "clearly erroneous, abuse of discretion and contrary to law."). It is accordingly

**ORDERED** that the Report of the Magistrate Judge (docket no. 4) is hereby **ADOPTED** as the opinion of the District Court. It is further

**ORDERED** that the above-styled civil action be and hereby is **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE** as malicious. 28 U.S.C. §1915A. The dismissal of this case shall not prevent

Johnson from pursuing his claims in cause no. 6:14cv549. It is further

**ORDERED** that the Clerk shall send a copy of this order to the Administrator of the Three Strikes List for the Eastern District of Texas. Finally, it is

**ORDERED** that any and all motions which may be pending in this action are hereby **DENIED**.

It is SO ORDERED.

SIGNED this 31st day of July, 2014.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

charl Schnille