Tripp v. Livingston et al Doc. 22

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS TYLER DIVISION

LEROY TRIPP	§	
v.	§	CIVIL ACTION NO. 6:14cv742
BRAD LIVINGSTON, ET AL.	§	

MEMORANDUM ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE AND ENTERING FINAL JUDGMENT

The Plaintiff Leroy Tripp, an inmate of the Texas Department of Criminal Justice, Correctional Institutions Division proceeding *pro se*, filed this civil rights lawsuit under 42 U.S.C. §1983 complaining of alleged violations of his constitutional rights. This Court ordered that the case be referred to the United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §636(b)(1) and (3) and the Amended Order for the Adoption of Local Rules for the Assignment of Duties to United States Magistrate Judges.

Tripp complained of an incident in which a table in the prison dining tall broke loose from the bottom because of rust and decay, causing him to fall backwards onto the floor with the table on top of him. He argued that the defendants had a legal responsibility to maintain the prison facilities in good operating order and safe repair in order to prevent unsafe or hazardous conditions.

After review of the pleadings, the magistrate judge issued a report recommending that the lawsuit be dismissed. A copy of this report was sent to Tripp at his last known address, return receipt requested, but no objections have been received; accordingly, he is barred from *de novo* review by the district judge of those findings, conclusions, and recommendations and, except upon grounds of plain error, from appellate review of the unobjected-to factual findings and legal conclusions accepted and adopted by the district court. <u>Douglass v. United Services Automobile</u> Association, 79 F.3d 1415, 1430 (5th Cir. 1996) (*en banc*).

The Court has reviewed the pleadings, records, and testimony in this cause as well as the report of the magistrate judge. Upon such review, the Court has determined that the report of the magistrate judge is correct. *See* <u>United States v. Wilson</u>, 864 F.2d 1219, 1221 (5th Cir.), *cert. denied*, 492 U.S. 918, 109 S.Ct. 3243 (1989) (where no objections to a magistrate judge's report are filed, the standard of review is "clearly erroneous, abuse of discretion and contrary to law.") It is accordingly

ORDERED that the report of the magistrate judge (docket no. 19) is **ADOPTED** as the opinion of the District Court. It is further

ORDERED that the above-styled civil action is **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE** for purposes of proceeding *in forma pauperis* as frivolous and for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted. It is further

ORDERED that to the extent Tripp may have claims arising under state law, such claims are **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE** to his right to pursue such claims in state court. Finally, it is

ORDERED that any and all motions which may be pending in this action are hereby **DENIED**.

SIGNED this 16th day of June, 2016.

MICHAEL H. SCHNEIDER

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

charl HChnila