

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
TYLER DIVISION

DARRELL J. HARPER #1957729                   §  
v.   §       CIVIL ACTION NO. 6:16cv1014  
KEITH GORSUCH, ET AL.                   §

MEMORANDUM ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION  
OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
AND ENTERING FINAL JUDGMENT

The Plaintiff Darrell Harper, proceeding pro se, filed this civil rights lawsuit under 42 U.S.C. §1983 complaining of alleged violations of his constitutional rights. This Court ordered that the case be referred to the United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §636(b)(1) and (3) and the Amended Order for the Adoption of Local Rules for the Assignment of Duties to United States Magistrate Judges. As Defendants, Harper names Texas Department of Criminal Justice, Correctional Institutions Division officials Keith Gorsuch, Kevin Smith, V.A. McMillian, E. Johnson, and Charles Landis.

In his complaint, Harper stated that the Defendants inflicted some unspecified harm on him for refusing to turn out for work without a legitimate reason. He asserted that Texas is a right work to work state and that “racism has the right to work in the workplace” [sic].

After review of the pleadings, the Magistrate Judge issued a Report recommending that the lawsuit be dismissed as barred by sanctions previously imposed upon Harper by the Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals. Harper filed objections to this Report asserting that the sanctions imposed by the Fifth Circuit are indisputably meritless and allow the Defendants to benefit from racism. Harper’s objections are patently without merit.

The Court has conducted a careful de novo review of those portions of the Magistrate Judge’s proposed findings and recommendations to which the Plaintiff objected. See 28 U.S.C. §636(b)(1)

(District Judge shall “make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made.”) Upon such de novo review, the Court has determined that the Report of the Magistrate Judge is correct and the Plaintiff’s objections are without merit. It is accordingly

**ORDERED** that the Plaintiff’s objections are overruled and the Report of the Magistrate Judge (docket no. 4) is **ADOPTED** as the opinion of the District Court. It is further

**ORDERED** that the above-styled civil action is **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE** as barred by sanctions previously imposed upon Harper by the Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals. It is further

**ORDERED** that any and all motions which may be pending in this action are hereby **DENIED**.

So **ORDERED** and **SIGNED** this **14** day of **June, 2017**.



---

Ron Clark, United States District Judge