Thieleman v. White et al Doc. 104

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

## **TYLER DIVISION**

ROGER MERRITT THIELEMAN, #1153979

VS. § CIVIL ACTION NO. 6:16cv1300

JONI WHITE, ET AL.

§

## ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Plaintiff Roger Merritt Thieleman, an inmate formerly confined at the Michael Unit within the Texas Department of Justice (TDCJ) proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, filed this civil rights lawsuit pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 alleging purported violations of his constitutional rights. The complaint was referred to the United States Magistrate Judge, the Honorable Judge K. Nicole Mitchell, for findings of fact, conclusions of law, and recommendations for the disposition of the case.

In February 2019, Judge Mitchell issued a Report, (Dkt. #75), recommending that Plaintiff's motions for a preliminary injunction, (Dkt. ## 63, 66), be denied. A copy of this Report was sent to both parties; return receipt requested. The docket reflects that Plaintiff received a copy of the Report in April 2019, (Dkt. #84). However, to date, no objections to the Report have been filed.

Because no objections to Judge Mitchell's Report have been filed, Plaintiff is barred from de novo review by the District Judge of those findings, conclusions, and recommendations and, except upon grounds of plain error, from appellate review of the unobjected-to proposed factual findings and legal conclusions accepted and adopted by the district court. Douglass v. United *Services Auto. Ass'n.*, 79 F.3d 1415, 1430 (5th Cir. 1996) (en banc).

The Court has reviewed the pleadings in this cause and the Report of the Magistrate Judge.

Upon such review, the Court has determined that the Report of the Magistrate Judge is correct. See

United States v. Wilson, 864 F.2d 1219, 1221 (5th Cir.), cert. denied, 492 U.S. 918, 109 S.Ct. 3243

(1989) (where no objections to a Magistrate Judge's Report are filed, the standard of review is

"clearly erroneous, abuse of discretion and contrary to law."). Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the Report of the United States Magistrate Judge, (Dkt. #75), is

**ADOPTED** as the opinion of the court. Further, it is

ORDERED that Plaintiff's motions for a preliminary injunction, (Dkt. ##63, 66), are

DENIED.

So Ordered and Signed

Aug 20, 2019

Ron Clark, Senior District Judge

Rom Clark