

EXHIBIT B

Anthony Garza

From: Joseph Jakubek [joseph.jakubek@klarquist.com]
Sent: Wednesday, November 07, 2007 6:38 PM
To: Luke McLeroy
Cc: Chris Carraway; JAMES.BLANK@lw.com; Gunther, Jr., Robert J.; Rwf@nixonvan.com; Jsp@nixonvan.com; Carrie Fry
Subject: Anascape v. MS and Nintendo: Priority Date Issues

Luke:

In its PLR 3-1 disclosure, Anascape claimed a March 5, 1992, effective filing date (priority date) under Section 120 for each of the asserted '525 and '700 Patent claims. Please let us know whether Anascape still claims a March 5, 1992 priority date for all of the asserted '525 and '700 Patent claims.

If not, then for each asserted '525 Patent claim, please let us know what pre-Aug. 4, 2000, effective filing date (s) under Section 120, if any, it seeks. And, for each asserted '700 Patent claim, please let us know what pre-Nov. 16, 2000, effective filing date(s) under Section 120, if any, it seeks.

Thanks. Joe.

Joseph T. Jakubek
Klarquist Sparkman, LLP
One World Trade Center
121 S.W. Salmon Street, Suite 1600
Portland, Oregon 97204
503-595-5300
503-595-5301 (fax)

The information contained in this e-mail is confidential and may be legally privileged. It is intended solely for the addressee. Access to this e-mail by anyone else is not authorized. If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure, copying, distribution, use, or any action you take or fail to take in reliance on it, is prohibited and may be unlawful. Please immediately notify us by telephone (collect) or return e-mail, destroy the original message, and retain no copy - on your system or otherwise.