

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
LUFKIN DIVISION

ANASCAPE, LTD.	§	
	§	Hon. Ron Clark
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	
v.	§	Civil Action No. 9:06-CV-00158-RC
	§	
MICROSOFT CORPORATION, and NINTENDO OF AMERICA, INC.,	§	
	§	
Defendants.	§	

**DEFENDANT MICROSOFT'S NOTICE THAT REQUESTS FOR
REEXAMINATION ON ALL TWELVE PATENTS-IN-SUIT HAVE
BEEN FILED IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

Defendant Microsoft Corporation (“Microsoft”) provides this notice to the Court and the parties that on January 31, 2007, Microsoft filed the last of the reexamination requests for all twelve patents-in-suit with the United States Patent and Trademark Office (“PTO”). These twelve requests ask the PTO to reexamine all claims that Anascape has asserted against Defendants in this litigation.

Set forth below is a chart listing the patents-in-suit, whether the request for was filed as an inter partes or ex parte proceeding, and the date the request was filed. Every patent-in-suit that was eligible for inter partes reexamination pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.913 was filed as an inter partes request.

Patent-in-Suit	Procedure	Date Filed
5,999,084	Ex Parte	12/15/06
6,102,802	Ex Parte	12/13/06
6,135,886	Ex Parte	1/29/07
6,208,271	Ex Parte	1/31/07
6,222,525	Ex Parte	1/31/07
6,343,991	Inter Partes	1/29/07

MICROSOFT'S NOTICE OF FILING OF
PATENT REEXAMINATION REQUESTS

- 1 -

6,344,791	Inter Partes	1/31/07
6,347,997	Inter Partes	1/12/07
6,351,205	Inter Partes	1/31/07
6,400,303	Inter Partes	1/31/07
6,563,415	Inter Partes	1/31/07
6,906,700	Inter Partes	1/31/07

Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §§ 303 and 312, the PTO should decide whether or not to order reexamination within three months of the date of filing of the requests.

As required by PTO rules, a copy of each reexamination request was mailed to Anascape on the day it was filed with the PTO. A copy of each reexamination request was also mailed to Anascape's attorneys.

Attached as Exhibits 1-12 are the respective transmittal letters for each reexamination request. Microsoft would be happy to provide the complete reexamination requests and claim charts to the Court if it would like to see them.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: February 2, 2006

By: /s/ Joseph T. Jakubek
 J. Christopher Carraway (admitted *pro hac vice*)
christopher.carraway@klarquist.com
 Joseph T. Jakubek (admitted *pro hac vice*)
joseph.jakubek@klarquist.com
 Richard D. McLeod (Bar No. 24026836)
rick.mcleod@klarquist.com
 Derrick W. Toddy (admitted *pro hac vice*)
derrick.toddy@klarquist.com
 KLARQUIST SPARKMAN, LLP
 121 S.W. Salmon Street, Suite 1600
 Portland, Oregon 97204
 Telephone: 503-595-5300

J. Thad Heartfield (Bar No. 09346800)
thad@jth-law.com

Law Offices of J. Thad Heartfield
2195 Dowlen Road
Beaumont, Texas 77706
Telephone: 409-866-3318
Facsimile: 409-866-5789

Clayton E Dark Jr. (Bar No. 05384500)
clay.dark@yahoo.com
Clayton E Dark Jr., Law Office
207 E Frank Ave # 100
Lufkin, TX 75901
Telephone: 936-637-1733

Stephen McGrath, Esq. (admitted *pro hac vice*)
MICROSOFT CORPORATION
One Microsoft Way, Building 8
Redmond, Washington 98052-6399
Telephone: 425-882-8080
Facsimile: 425-706-7329

Attorneys for Defendant Microsoft Corporation

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that on the 2nd day of February, 2007, the foregoing pleading was electronically filed with the Court. Pursuant to Local Rule CV-5, this constitutes service on the following counsel:

Luke Fleming McLeroy
McKool Smith - Dallas
300 Crescent Court
Suite 1500
Dallas, TX 75201

By: /s/ Joseph T. Jakubek
Joseph T. Jakubek (admitted *pro hac vice*)
joseph.jakubek@klarquist.com
KLARQUIST SPARKMAN, LLP
121 S.W. Salmon Street, Suite 1600
Portland, Oregon 97204
Telephone: 503-595-5300