IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

BEAUMONT DIVISION

WENDELL HARRISON	§	
VS.	§	CIVIL ACTION NO. 1:24cv101
DIRECTOR, TDCJ-CID	§	

MEMORANDUM OPINION REGARDING TRANSFER

Petitioner Wendell Harrison, an inmate confined at the Lewis Unit of the Texas Department of Criminal Justice, Correctional Institutions Division, proceeding *pro se*, brings this Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254.

The above-styled action was referred to the undersigned magistrate judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636 and the Local Rules for the Assignment of Duties to the United States Magistrate Judge for findings of fact, conclusions of law, and recommendations for the disposition of the case.

Discussion

Petitioner filed this petition for writ of habeas corpus attempting to appeal a prison grievance regarding alleged the loss of his property.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241(d), a petitioner may bring his petition for writ of habeas corpus in the district court for the district wherein such person is in custody or in the district court for the district within which he was convicted. Section 2241(d) further provides that the district court in the exercise of its discretion may transfer the action to the other district in the furtherance of justice.

Petitioner is confined at the Gib Lewis Unit which is located in Woodville, Tyler County, Texas. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 124, Tyler County is in the Lufkin Division of the Eastern District

of Texas. Thus, jurisdiction is proper in the Eastern District of Texas. However, the petition has

been inadvertently filed in the Beaumont Division instead of the Lufkin Division.

While jurisdiction is proper in the Eastern District of Texas, the court has considered the

circumstances and has determined that the interest of justice would best be served if this petition

were transferred to the division in which the petitioner is confined.

Under 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a), for the convenience of parties and witnesses and in the interest

of justice, a district court may transfer any civil action to any other district or division where it could

have been brought. Such a transfer may be done *sua sponte* and is reviewable only for an abuse of

discretion. Mills v. Beech Aircraft Corp., 886 F.2d 758, 761 (5th Cir. 1989).

Since petitioner is confined in the Lufkin Division, and he complains of incidents which

occurred in the Lufkin Division, all records and witnesses involving this action most likely will be

located in the Lufkin Division. Thus, the transfer of this action to such division would further

justice. Therefore, it is the opinion of the undersigned that this petition should be transferred to the

United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas, Lufkin Division. An Order of Transfer

so providing shall be entered in accordance with this Memorandum Opinion.

SIGNED this 26th day of March, 2024.

Zack Hawthorn

United States Magistrate Judge

2