

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
AMARILLO DIVISION

LUIS GONZALEZ ALCORTA

Petitioner,

v.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Respondent.

2:23-CV-034-Z-BR

**ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS, AND RECOMMENDATION**

Before the Court are the findings, conclusions, and recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge to dismiss the 28 U.S.C. § 2255 Motion to Vacate filed by Petitioner (“FCR”) (ECF No. 24). No objections to the FCR have been filed. After making an independent review of the pleadings, files, and records in this case, the Court concludes that the FCR of the Magistrate Judge are correct. It is therefore **ORDERED** that the findings, conclusions, and recommendation of the Magistrate Judge are **ADOPTED** and the case is **DISMISSED**.

Additionally, the Court **DENIES** a certificate of appealability (“COA”).<sup>1</sup> A district court may deny a COA *sua sponte* and without requiring further briefing or argument. *Alexander v. Johnson*, 211 F.3d 895, 898 (5th Cir. 2000). Considering the record in this case and pursuant to Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 22(b), Rule 11(a) of the Rules Governing Sections 2254 and 2255 proceedings, and 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c), and **ADOPTING** and **INCORPORATING** the Magistrate Judge’s FCR, the Court finds that Plaintiff has failed to show that reasonable jurists


---

<sup>1</sup> Because the Motion to Vacate is governed by the Antiterrorism and Effective Death Penalty Act, codified as amended at 28 U.S.C. § 2253, a COA is a “jurisdictional prerequisite” before an appeal may proceed. *Miller-El v. Cockrell*, 537 U.S. 322, 336 (2003) (citing 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)); *Hallmark v. Johnson*, 118 F.3d 1073, 1076 (5th Cir. 1997) (noting that Sections 2254 and 2255 actions require a COA).

would find “it debatable whether the petition states a valid claim of the denial of a constitutional right” or “debatable whether [this Court] was correct in its procedural ruling.” *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000).

**SO ORDERED.**

January 18, 2024

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Matthew J. Kacsmaryk", written in a cursive style with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

---

MATTHEW J. KACSMARYK  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE