UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL
on
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

IN RE: DEPUY ORTHOPAEDICS, INC., PINNACLE HIP IMPLANT PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

MDL No. 2244

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)

CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO −77)

On May 23, 2011, the Panel transferred 3 civil action(s) to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1407. *See* 787 F.Supp.2d 1358 (J.P.M.L. 2011). Since that time, 423 additional action(s) have been transferred to the Northern District of Texas. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable James Edgar Kinkeade.

It appears that the action(s) on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Northern District of Texas and assigned to Judge Kinkeade.

Pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the Rules of Procedure of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, the action(s) on the attached schedule are transferred under 28 U.S.C. §1407 to the Northern District of Texas for the reasons stated in the order of May 23, 2011, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable James Edgar Kinkeade.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 7 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 7−day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.

Inasmuch as no objection is pending at this time, the stay is lifted.

Jun 12, 2012

CLERK'S OFFICE
UNITED STATES
JUDICIAL PANEL ON
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

FOR THE PANEL:

Jeffery N. Lüthi
Clerk of the Panel

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

I certified a true copy of an instrument on file in my office on 6/13/12
Clerk, U.S. District Court,
Northern District of Texas
By _____ Deputy

IN RE: DEPUY ORTHOPAEDICS, INC., PINNACLE HIP IMPLANT PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

MDL No. 2244

SCHEDULE CTO–77 – TAG–ALONG ACTIONS

DIST	DIV.	C.A.NO.	CASE CAPTION	
CALIFORNIA CENTRAL				
~~CAC~~	~~2~~	~~12–04446~~	~~Patricia M. Gentry et al v. DePuy Orthopaedics, Inc. et al~~	Opposed 6/11/12
~~CAC~~	~~2~~	~~12–04577~~	~~Diana Giosa et al v. DePuy Orthopaedics Inc et al~~	Opposed 6/11/12
CALIFORNIA EASTERN				
CAE	2	12–01086	Klostermann v. DePuy Orthopaedics, Inc. et al	
CALIFORNIA NORTHERN				
CAN	3	12–02685	McNutt v. DePuy Orthopaedics, Inc. et al	
CAN	3	12–02686	Curran v. DePuy Orthopaedics, Inc. et al	
CAN	3	12–02697	Deville et al v. DePuy Orthopaedics, Inc. et al	
CAN	3	12–02699	Bowers et al v. DePuy Orthopaedics, Inc. et al	
CAN	3	12–02700	Davis et al v. DePuy Orthopaedics, Inc. et al	
CAN	3	12–02733	Lillis et al v. DePuy Orthopaedics, Inc. et al	
CAN	4	12–02687	Schneider et al v. DePuy Orthopaedics, Inc. et al	
CAN	4	12–02702	Hawkins v. DePuy Orthopaedics, Inc. et al	
KENTUCKY WESTERN				
KYW	3	12–00278	Arington v. DePuy Orthopaedics, Inc. et al	