Daniels v. Ortolani et al Doc. 13

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

Plaintiff,

Plaintiff,

V.

Civil Action No. 3:14-CV-2665-L

SHELLEY ORTOLANI, INDIVIDUALLY
AND AS SUBSTITUTE TRUSTEE FOR
WELLS FARGO BANK; AND
WELLS FARGO BANK, NATIONAL
ASSOCIATION,

Defendants.

S

Civil Action No. 3:14-CV-2665-L

S

Civil Action No. 3:14-CV-2665-L

S

Civil Action No. 3:14-CV-2665-L

S

S

Defendants

S

Civil Action No. 3:14-CV-2665-L

S

S

Civil Action No. 3:14-CV-2665-L

S

S

Defendants

S

Civil Action No. 3:14-CV-2665-L

S

S

Civil Action No. 3:14-CV-2665-L

S

S

Defendants

S

Civil Action No. 3:14-CV-2665-L

S

S

Civil Action No. 3:14-CV-2665-L

S

S

Civil Action No. 3:14-CV-2665-L

S

S

Defendants

S

Civil Action No. 3:14-CV-2665-L

S

Civil Action No. 3:14-CV-2665-L

S

Civil Action No. 3:14-CV-2665-L

S

S

Defendants

ORDER

Before the court is Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.'s Motion to Dismiss (Doc. 5), filed July 31, 2014. This case was referred to Magistrate Judge Paul D. Stickney, who entered Findings, Conclusions and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge ("Report") on February 2, 2015, recommending that the court grant Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.'s Motion to Dismiss and dismiss with prejudice Plaintiff's claims against Defendant Wells Fargo Bank, National Association ("Wells Fargo Bank, N.A."). No response to the motion or objections to the Report were filed by Plaintiff.

Having reviewed the motion, pleadings, file, record in this case, and Report, the court determines that the findings and conclusions of the magistrate judge are correct, and **accepts** them as those of the court. Accordingly, the court **grants** Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.'s Motion to Dismiss (Doc. 5) and **dismisses with prejudice** Plaintiff's claims against Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6).

Order -Page 1

It is so ordered this 24th day of February, 2015.

Sam O. Sindsay
Sam A. Lindsay
United States District Judge