

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
DALLAS DIVISION**

MICHAEL NELSON,	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	
	§	
v.	§	3:14-CV-02688-N-BK
	§	
DALLAS CITY MANAGER et al.,	§	
Defendants.	§	
	§	

**ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATION
OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE**

The United States Magistrate Judge made Findings, Conclusions, and a Recommendation in this case. No objections were filed. The District Court reviewed the proposed Findings, Conclusions, and Recommendation for plain error. Finding none, the Court **ACCEPTS** the Findings, Conclusions, and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate.


IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that this action is **DISMISSED** without prejudice for want of prosecution. See [FED. R. CIV. P. 41\(b\)](#).

The Court prospectively **CERTIFIES** that any appeal of this action would not be taken in good faith. See [28 U.S.C. § 1915\(a\)\(3\)](#); [FED. R. APP. P. 24\(a\)\(3\)](#). In support of this certification, the Court adopts and incorporates by reference the Magistrate Judge’s Findings, Conclusions, and Recommendation. See [Baugh v. Taylor, 117 F.3d 197, 202](#) and n.21 (5th Cir. 1997). Based on the Findings and Recommendation, the Court finds that any appeal of this action would present no legal point of arguable merit and would, therefore, be frivolous. [Howard v. King, 707 F.2d 215, 220 \(5th Cir. 1983\)](#).¹ In the event of an appeal, Plaintiff may

¹ [Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 4\(a\)](#) governs the time to appeal an order. A timely notice of appeal must be filed even if the district court certifies an appeal as not taken in good faith.

challenge this certification by filing a separate motion to proceed *in forma pauperis* on appeal with the Clerk of the Court, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit. See [Baugh, 117 F.3d at 202](#); [FED. R. APP. P. 24\(a\)\(5\)](#).

SO ORDERED this October 17, 2014.


UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE