IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

TERRENCE M. GORE,	
Petitioner,)
vs.) No. 3:15-CV-0272-K (BH)
)
TENA CALLAHAN ,)
Judge, 302nd Judicial District Court,)
Respondent.)

ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATION OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

After reviewing all relevant matters of record in this case, including the Findings, Conclusions, and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge and any objections thereto, in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), the Court is of the opinion that the Findings and Conclusions of the Magistrate Judge are correct and they are accepted as the Findings and Conclusions of the Court. For the reasons stated in the Findings, Conclusions, and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge, the petition for habeas corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2254 is construed as a petition for relief under § 2241(c)(3), and it will be **DISMISSED** for lack of subject matter jurisdiction by separate judgment. The petitioner's *Motion for Temporary Relief and Stay Pending Decision on Writ of Habeas Corpus*, filed March 6, 2015 (doc. 9), is **DENIED**. To the extent that the Court has jurisdiction, the petition will be **DISMISSED** with prejudice for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted.

In accordance with Fed. R. App. P. 22(b) and 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c) and after considering the record in this case and the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, the

petitioner is **DENIED** a Certificate of Appealability. The Court adopts and incorporates

by reference the Magistrate Judge's Findings, Conclusions and Recommendation in

support of its finding that the petitioner has failed to show (1) that reasonable jurists

would find this Court's "assessment of the constitutional claims debatable or wrong,"

or (2) that reasonable jurists would find "it debatable whether the petition states a valid

claim of the denial of a constitutional right" and "debatable whether [this Court] was

correct in its procedural ruling." Slack v. McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000).

If the petitioner files a notice of appeal, he must pay the \$505.00 appellate filing

fee or submit a motion to proceed in forma pauperis and a properly signed certificate of

inmate trust account.

SO ORDERED.

Signed July 9th, 2015.

ED KINKEADE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Kinkeade

2