

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
DALLAS DIVISION**

EDWARD PAUL NEWSOME, #42352-177	§	
Petitioner,	§	
	§	
v.	§	CIVIL CASE NO. 3:16-CV-1877-M-BK
	§	(CRIMINAL CASE NO. 3:10-CR-246-M-1)
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	§	
Respondent.	§	

**ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATION
OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE**

The United States Magistrate Judge made Findings, Conclusions, and a Recommendation in this case. No objections were filed. The District Court reviewed the proposed Findings, Conclusions, and Recommendation for plain error. Finding none, the Court **ACCEPTS** the Findings, Conclusions, and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the successive section 2255 motion is **TRANSFERRED** to the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 2244(b)(3); 28 U.S.C. § 1631.¹

The Clerk of the Court is **directed** to transmit a copy of this order to the Federal Public Defender's Office.

SO ORDERED this 5th day of August, 2016.


BARBARA M. G. LYNN
CHIEF JUDGE

¹ An order transferring a successive application to the court of appeals is not a final order requiring a certificate of appealability. *See United States v. Fulton*, 780 F.3d 683, 688 (5th Cir. 2015).