

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
DALLAS DIVISION**

**DANNY BORGOS, #65484-054,  
Plaintiff,**

v.

**JENNIFER LAPERTON, et al.,  
Defendants.**

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

**CIVIL NO. 3:16-CV-2936-N-BK**

**ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATION  
OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE**

The United States Magistrate Judge made Findings, Conclusions, and a Recommendation in this case. No objections were filed. The District Court reviewed the proposed findings, conclusions and recommendation for plain error. Finding none, the Court **ACCEPTS** the Findings, Conclusions, and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Plaintiff's claims against the BOP and the Defendants in their official capacity are **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE** for want of jurisdiction, and that Plaintiff's *Bivens* claims against the Defendants in their individual capacity are summarily **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE** as frivolous and/or for failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted. *See* [28 U.S.C. § 1915\(e\)\(2\)\(B\)](#); and [28 U.S.C. § 1915A\(b\)](#).


This dismissal will count as a “strike” or “prior occasion” within the meaning of [28 U.S.C. § 1915\(g\)](#).<sup>1</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> [28 U.S.C. § 1915\(g\)](#), commonly known as the “three-strikes” provision, provides: “[i]n no event shall a prisoner bring a civil action or appeal a judgment in a civil action or proceeding under this section, if the prisoner has, on 3 or more prior occasions, while incarcerated or detained in any facility, brought an action or appeal in a court of the United States that was

The Court prospectively **CERTIFIES** that any appeal of this action would not be taken in good faith. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3); FED. R. APP. P. 24(a)(3). In support of this certification, the Court adopts and incorporates by reference the Magistrate Judge's Findings, Conclusions, and Recommendation. *See Baugh v. Taylor*, 117 F.3d 197, 202 and n.21 (5th Cir. 1997). Based on the Findings and Recommendation, the Court finds that any appeal of this action would present no legal point of arguable merit and would, therefore, be frivolous. *Howard v. King*, 707 F.2d 215, 220 (5th Cir. 1983).<sup>2</sup> In the event of an appeal, Plaintiff may challenge this certification by filing a separate motion to proceed *in forma pauperis* on appeal with the Clerk of the Court, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit. *See Baugh*, 117 F.3d at 202; FED. R. APP. P. 24(a)(5).

SO ORDERED this 7<sup>th</sup> day of July, 2017.

  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

---

dismissed on the grounds that it is frivolous, malicious, or fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, unless the prisoner is under imminent danger of serious physical injury.”

<sup>2</sup> Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 4(a) governs the time to appeal an order. A timely notice of appeal must be filed even if the district court certifies an appeal as not taken in good faith.