Wilkerson v. Presiding Judge et al Doc. 8

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
DALLAS DIVISION

SENRICK SHERN WILKERSON	)	
ID # 1885146,	)	
Petitioner,	)	
vs.	)	**No. 3:17-CV-3077-M (BH)**
	)	
PRESIDING JUDGE, et. al,	)	
Respondents.	)	

ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATION
OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

After reviewing the objections to the Findings, Conclusions, and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge and conducting a *de novo* review of those parts of the Findings and Conclusions to which objections have been made, the Court is of the opinion that the Findings and Conclusions of the Magistrate Judge are correct and they are accepted as the Findings and Conclusions of the Court.

For the reasons stated in the Findings, Conclusions, and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge, the petition for habeas corpus is successive and is hereby **TRANSFERRED** to the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit pursuant to *Henderson v. Haro*, 282 F.3d 862, 864 (5th Cir. 2002), and *In re Epps*, 127 F.3d 364, 365 (5th Cir. 1997), by separate judgment.[1]

SIGNED this 11th day of December, 2017.

BARBARA M. G. LYNN
CHIEF JUDGE

[1] A certificate of appealability (COA) is not required to appeal an order transferring a successive habeas petition. *See In re Garrett*, 633 F. App'x 260, 261 (5th Cir. 2016); *United States v. Fulton*, 780 F.3d 683 (5th Cir.2015).

Dockets.Justia.com